

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

755 modern communications tools, geographic dispersion will justify a waiver only in
756 extraordinary circumstances and will generally have to be coupled with very small
757 population size. FDA does not consider economic factors (such as the costs associated
758 with conducting a clinical investigation) as a basis for being “impossible” or “highly
759 impracticable.”

760 **Unsafe** – FDA may determine that the development of a HUD in pediatric patients is
761 “unsafe” if the applicant has provided information, including publicly-available
762 information such as published literature, to FDA that demonstrates that the device would
763 expose pediatric patients to an unreasonable or significant risk of illness or injury. If FDA
764 determines that the HUD is eligible to be sold for profit because development of the
765 device in pediatric patients would be “unsafe,” the labeling (e.g., warnings or
766 contraindications) for the device should reflect the safety concern.

767 An HDE applicant whose device meets one of the eligibility criteria and who wishes to sell its
768 HUD for profit should provide adequate supporting documentation to FDA in its original HDE
769 application to demonstrate to FDA that the HUD meets the eligibility criteria. An HDE holder
770 whose HDE application was approved prior to the enactment of FDASIA on July 9, 2012, and
771 who wishes to sell its HUD for profit should provide adequate supporting documentation to FDA
772 in an HDE supplement to demonstrate to FDA that the HUD meets the eligibility criteria. If FDA
773 determines that the HUD meets the eligibility criteria, FDA will then determine the ADN for the
774 HUD when FDA approves the HDE application or supplement.⁸²

775 **B. The Annual Distribution Number (ADN)**

776 Under section 520(m)(6) of the FD&C Act, if FDA makes a determination that a HUD meets the
777 eligibility criteria, you may sell the HUD for profit after receiving HDE application approval as
778 long as the number of devices distributed in any calendar year does not exceed the ADN for the
779 device.

780 The ADN is determined by FDA:

- 781 • When the Agency approves the original HDE application; or
- 782 • When the Agency approves an HDE supplement for an HDE application approved before
783 the enactment of FDASIA on July 9, 2012, if the HDE holder seeks a “determination” for
784 the HUD in an HDE supplement based upon the profit-making eligibility criteria, and
785 FDA determines that the HUD meets the eligibility criteria.⁸³

786 Under section 520(m)(6)(A)(ii) of the FD&C Act, the ADN is defined, with respect to a device
787 under an HDE, as the number of devices “reasonably needed to treat, diagnose, or cure a
788 population of 8,000 individuals in the United States.” The applicant should provide the number

⁸² See section 613(b) of FDASIA and Section VIII.B. for more discussion on the ADN.

⁸³ See section 520(m)(6)(A)(ii) of the FD&C Act and section 613(b) of FDASIA.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

789 of devices per year reasonably needed for each individual in the HDE application or HDE
790 supplement and provide adequate supporting documentation to support such number in order to
791 provide a basis for FDA to calculate the ADN.

792 When determining the ADN, FDA considers the number of devices per year reasonably needed
793 to treat, diagnose, or cure an individual (“first multiplier”) and multiplies that value by 8,000
794 (“second multiplier”). By law, the second multiplier is always 8,000. Therefore, the ADN will be
795 equal to or greater than 8,000, depending on the value of the first multiplier. For example, the
796 target population estimate for the intended use may be 3,000 individuals, but if 2 devices are
797 reasonably needed per year to treat, diagnose or cure a patient, the ADN would be 16,000 (i.e., 2
798 multiplied by 8,000 because the second multiplier for the ADN is always 8,000, regardless of the
799 actual population estimate). After FDA has determined the ADN, an HDE holder may submit an
800 HDE supplement requesting that FDA modify the ADN based upon additional information, and
801 FDA may modify the number.⁸⁴

802 As required under 21 CFR 814.126(b)(1)(iii), the HDE holder (applicant) is responsible for
803 monitoring how many devices are shipped or sold each year, and if that number exceeds 8,000,
804 to provide an explanation and estimate to FDA of how the device is being used by patients.
805 Similarly, the HDE holder is responsible for monitoring when the number of devices shipped or
806 sold in a year exceeds the ADN, when the HUDs are approved by FDA to make a profit.⁸⁵ An
807 IRB or appropriate local committee is not responsible for monitoring the number of uses per year
808 of the HUD.

809 If the HDE holder ships multiple sizes of a device to help ensure that one of the devices is the
810 appropriate size for the patient(s) when used, it would not be necessary to count all of these
811 devices toward the ADN tally if the additional sizes of the devices (that did not properly fit the
812 patient(s)) are returned to the HDE holder. Unused devices should be returned to the HDE holder
813 to appropriately account for them. The HDE holder should document in its periodic report how
814 many devices are returned to the HDE holder if multiple sizes are shipped. Additionally, HDE
815 holders should keep in mind that if they distribute devices in excess of the ADN, they will not be
816 able to make a profit on those devices.

817 HDE holders assigned an ADN must immediately notify the Agency if the number of devices
818 distributed in a calendar year exceeds the ADN.⁸⁶ FDA interprets this statutory requirement to
819 mean that HDE holders must immediately notify the Agency by submitting an HDE report
820 whenever the number of HUDs shipped or sold in a calendar year, however the HUD is used,
821 exceeds the ADN. The statutory notification requirement is generally consistent with the
822 reporting requirement in 21 CFR 814.126(b)(1)(iii) concerning the number of devices shipped or
823 sold regardless of their ultimate use (even if outside their approved indications). However, the
824 statutory provision requires immediate notification when the number shipped or sold in a

⁸⁴ See section 520(m)(6)(C) of the FD&C Act.

⁸⁵ See section 520(m)(6)(A)(iii) of the FD&C Act.

⁸⁶ See section 520(m)(6)(A)(iii) of the FD&C Act.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

825 calendar year exceeds the ADN, whereas the current HDE regulations require periodic reports on
826 a timeframe specified in the HDE approval order.

827 Once this notification occurs, or once FDA discovers through an inspection that the ADN has
828 been exceeded, then the sales of the HUD for the remainder of the year are subject to the general
829 prohibition on profit (unless FDA approves an ADN modification request in an HDE
830 supplement), and the amount charged for the device must not exceed the cost of research and
831 development, fabrication, and distribution of the device.⁸⁷

832 In those cases in which a device is approved for a certain indication under an HDE application
833 and is approved for a different indication under a PMA or De Novo request, sales or shipments
834 of the device pursuant to the PMA or the De Novo request are not subject to the ADN reporting
835 requirement. The ADN relates only to those devices that are marketed under an HDE. Therefore,
836 the manufacturer is required to notify FDA only when sales or shipments pursuant to the HDE
837 exceed the ADN. If a manufacturer must report the number of sales or shipments of a device
838 approved for certain indications under a PMA, the manufacturer would be responsible for
839 separately reporting sales or shipments of devices marketed for different indications under an
840 HDE per 21 CFR 814.126(b)(1)(iii).

841 **C. Information to Patients**

842 Neither the FD&C Act nor FDA regulations require informed consent from patients who are
843 treated or diagnosed with an HDE-approved HUD in the course of their clinical care. An IRB or
844 appropriate local committee may, however, choose to require that patients receive certain
845 information about the HUD when the committee approves use of the HUD for clinical care at a
846 facility. If a committee requires that patients receive a written document prior to use of the HUD
847 in clinical care, the document should include much of the information found in the HDE patient
848 labeling. If no patient information packet is available, the HDE holder may consider including
849 the following in any written information provided to patients: an explanation that the HUD is
850 designed to diagnose or treat the disease or condition described in the HDE labeling and that no
851 comparable device is available to treat the disease or condition; a description of any ancillary
852 procedures associated with the use of the HUD; a description of the use of the HUD; all known
853 risks or discomforts; and an explanation of the postulated mechanism of action of the HUD in
854 relation to the disease or condition. The IRB or appropriate local committee may decide to
855 require inclusion of this or other information explicitly as part of a written document provided to
856 patients.

857 The labeling for a HUD approved under an HDE, including any labeling provided to patients,
858 must be truthful and non-misleading.⁸⁸ The device labeling must also include the following
859 statement clarifying that effectiveness has not been demonstrated: “Humanitarian Device.
860 Authorized by Federal law for use in the [treatment or diagnosis] of [specify disease or

⁸⁷ See section 520(m)(6)(D) of the FD&C Act.

⁸⁸ See section 502(a) of the FD&C Act, 21 U.S.C. 352(a).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

861 condition]. The effectiveness of this device for this use has not been demonstrated.”⁸⁹ Additional
862 labeling requirements appear under 21 CFR 814.20(b)(10).

863 **D. HDEs and Pediatric Patients**

864 As discussed above, under section 520(m)(6)(A)(i)(I) of the FD&C Act, a HUD is eligible to be
865 sold for profit if, among other things, the device is “intended for the treatment or diagnosis of a
866 disease or condition that occurs in pediatric patients or in a pediatric subpopulation, and such
867 device is labeled for use in pediatric patients or in a pediatric subpopulation in which the disease
868 or condition occurs.” This provision permits HDE holders to receive a profit from the sale of
869 HUDs that are indicated and labeled for pediatric use, subject to the limit of the ADN.

870 HUDs marketed under an HDE may be indicated and labeled for pediatric use only or for use in
871 both pediatric and adult patients. Devices that are intended to treat both a pediatric population
872 and an adult population may be included in a single HDE application, but the indications for use
873 should specify use in pediatric patients, or pediatric subpopulation(s), as well as use in adults. In
874 some cases, the probable benefit-risk profile for devices intended for use in a pediatric
875 population, or in a pediatric subpopulation, may differ from its profile when intended for use in
876 an adult population. Therefore, we recommend that HDE applications for devices intended for
877 use in pediatric populations and in adult populations include data supporting the use in both
878 pediatric and adult populations or an appropriate rationale specifically addressing how the data
879 provided for one population (e.g., adults) are sufficient to support approval of an HDE
880 application with indications for use in both populations. For more information about
881 extrapolating data, refer to the FDA guidance, “[Leveraging Existing Clinical Data for](#)
882 [Extrapolation to Pediatric Uses of Medical Devices](#).”⁹⁰

883 As defined in section 520(m)(6)(E)(i) of the FD&C Act, pediatric patients for purposes of
884 section 520(m) of the FD&C Act are patients who are 21 years of age or younger (i.e., up to, but
885 not including, the 22nd birthday) at the time of the diagnosis or treatment.⁹¹ As defined by section
886 520(m)(6)(E)(ii) of the FD&C Act, “pediatric subpopulation” means one of the following
887 populations: neonates, infants, children, or adolescents. Additional information about the
888 definition of pediatric patients and pediatric use as it relates to medical devices can be found in
889 the FDA guidance, “[Premarket Assessment of Pediatric Medical Devices](#).”⁹²

890 HUDs that are approved and labeled for pediatric patients or in a pediatric subpopulation as
891 described in section 520(m)(6)(A)(i)(I) of the FD&C Act are required, under section 520(m)(8)

⁸⁹ 21 CFR 814.104(b)(4)(ii).

⁹⁰ Available at <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Guidance/Documents/UCM444591>.

⁹¹ See also 21 CFR 814.3(s).

⁹² Available at <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/Guidance/Documents/ucm089742.pdf>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

892 of the FD&C Act, to be reviewed annually by FDA’s PAC.⁹³ The PAC annually reviews these
893 HUDs to ensure that the HDE remains appropriate for the pediatric populations for which it was
894 approved. The PAC also conducts periodic review of adverse events for these devices.⁹⁴

895 **E. Review and Approval of the Use of HUDs in**
896 **Clinical Care**

897 As summarized above, an IRB or appropriate local committee must approve the use of a HUD at
898 a given facility before it can be used at that facility.⁹⁵ Therefore, a health care provider wishing
899 to use an HDE-approved HUD to treat or diagnose a patient at a facility should obtain approval
900 from the facility’s IRB or the appropriate local committee before use of the HUD, except in
901 certain emergencies where prior approval is not required. See Section VIII.G., “Emergency Use
902 of HUDs.” In reviewing the use of the HUD in clinical care, the IRB or appropriate local
903 committee should be cognizant that FDA has made a determination of safety and probable
904 benefit for use of the HUD only within its approved indication(s).

905 The HDE holder is responsible for ensuring that the HUD is administered in facilities that have
906 oversight by an IRB constituted and functioning in accordance with 21 CFR part 56.⁹⁶ Note that
907 an IRB’s or the appropriate local committee’s approval for the “use” of a HUD at a facility to
908 treat or diagnose patients in the course of providing clinical care does not mean that there has
909 been IRB approval of a clinical investigation involving the HUD.

910 FDA interprets the statutory term “appropriate local committee” to mean a standing committee
911 for the facility that has expertise and experience in reviewing and making treatment decisions for
912 clinical care, particularly in applying innovative medical device technologies to clinical care. As
913 such, a standing committee for the facility that includes physicians with experience in the
914 treatment of rare diseases or conditions would be considered an appropriate local committee by
915 the Agency. Depending on the facility and the charters of its committees, examples of an
916 appropriate local committee may include a peer review committee, a credentialing committee, or
917 a Quality Care Committee. We recommend that the committee include the chief medical officer
918 or the departmental chief. In addition, FDA interprets the term “appropriate” to mean that
919 members of the appropriate local committee are free of financial and other conflicts of interest in
920 decisions pertaining to the use of the HUD in clinical care or they recuse themselves from such
921 decisions in which they have a conflict of interest. Merely because a facility has a standing
922 committee does not mean the committee is appropriate to review use of a HUD in clinical care.

923 The IRB or appropriate local committee is not required to review and approve each individual
924 use of a HUD, nor is it required to audit medical records of patients who receive a HUD. Rather,

⁹³ For more information on the PAC, see <https://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/PediatricAdvisoryCommittee/default.htm>.

⁹⁴ See section 520(m)(7) of the FD&C Act.

⁹⁵ Section 520(m)(4)(B) of the FD&C Act.

⁹⁶ See section 520(m)(4)(A) of the FD&C Act and 21 CFR 814.124(a).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

925 the IRB or appropriate local committee may use its discretion to determine how to approve use
926 of a HUD, including consideration of providers' qualifications through training and expertise to
927 use the device.⁹⁷ For example, with the input of members with the appropriate expertise in the
928 clinical area, an IRB or appropriate local committee may specify limitations on the use of the
929 device based upon one or more measures of disease progression, prior use and failure of any
930 alternative treatment modalities, reporting requirements to the committee or committee
931 chairperson, appropriate follow-up precautions and evaluations, or other criteria the committee
932 determines to be appropriate.

1. Process and Considerations for Reviewing the Use of HUDs in Clinical Care

933
934
935 For initial review of a HUD, the IRB or appropriate local committee should perform its review at
936 a convened meeting of the committee.⁹⁸ The IRB or appropriate local committee should have
937 policies and procedures in place for the receipt and evaluation of the materials necessary for
938 initial approval and continuing review of the HUD's use at that facility. The policies and
939 procedures should also specify whether the committee requires a consent document for the use of
940 the HUD at that facility.

941 FDA recommends that the IRB or appropriate local committee follow the review criteria in 21
942 CFR 56.111 and elsewhere in part 56, where applicable. For example, the IRB or appropriate
943 local committee should review the risks to patients that are found in the HDE-approved product
944 labeling, ensure the risks are minimized, and evaluate whether the risks are reasonable in relation
945 to the proposed use of the device at the facility. FDA also recommends that the IRB or
946 appropriate local committee review the following materials, as applicable, during initial review
947 of a request to use a HUD:

- 948 • A copy of the HDE approval order;
- 949 • A description of the device;
- 950 • The product labeling;
- 951 • The patient information packet that may accompany the HUD;
- 952 • A sample consent form for the use of the HUD in clinical care, if required by the IRB or
953 appropriate local committee or by facility policy; and
- 954 • A summary of how the physician proposes to use the device, including a description of
955 any screening procedures, the HUD procedure, and any patient follow-up visits, tests or
956 procedures.

⁹⁷ For many HDE-approved HUDs, the HDE holder is required to provide training on the use of the device prior to the health care provider using the device. Such requirements would be specified in the HDE application approval order. See 21 CFR 814.126(a) and 814.82(a).

⁹⁸ See 21 CFR 56.108, which describes a convened meeting of an IRB for purposes of reviewing FDA-regulated clinical investigations.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

957 A list of approved HDE applications may be found at [https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/
958 ProductsandMedicalProcedures/DeviceApprovalsandClearances/HDEApprovals/default.htm](https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/DeviceApprovalsandClearances/HDEApprovals/default.htm).
959 The approval order, labeling, and patient information may be found by selecting the submission
960 number of the appropriate HDE application.

961 FDA does not require submission of a protocol to the IRB or appropriate local committee for
962 review when the committee is evaluating a request to use the HUD in the clinical care of patients
963 at a facility. However, the IRB, appropriate local committee, or institution may require one under
964 its own policies and procedures.

965 In addition, FDA does not require committees other than the IRB or appropriate local committee
966 to approve the use of a HUD. However, the institution may require additional review. For
967 example, the use of another committee to provide assessments of specific risks posed by the
968 technology or software compatibility may supplement the IRB or appropriate local committee
969 review.

970 If a physician wants to use a HUD outside its approved indication(s), FDA recommends that the
971 physician follow the IRB or appropriate local committee’s requirements for use of a HUD at that
972 facility, which may include separate approval requirements for use outside the approved
973 indication(s). The IRB or appropriate local committee may also require that the physician obtain
974 informed consent⁹⁹ from the patient and ensure that reasonable patient protection measures are
975 followed, such as devising schedules to monitor the patient, taking into consideration the
976 patient’s specific needs, and the limited information available about the risks and probable
977 benefits of the device. The extent of oversight in these circumstances is up to the IRB or
978 appropriate local committee. As discussed above, MDRs must be submitted to FDA and to the
979 “IRB of record” (i.e., an IRB approving the use of the HUD at the relevant facility) if the device
980 may have caused or contributed to death or serious injury and for certain malfunctions. If an
981 appropriate local committee approved the use of the HUD at the facility, FDA recommends that
982 MDRs be submitted to that committee.

2. Continuing Review of the Use of HUDs in Clinical Care

983
984
985 Under FDA’s current regulations, an IRB that reviews a request to use a HUD at a facility is
986 responsible for initial as well as continuing review of the HUD.¹⁰⁰ When an appropriate local
987 committee conducts such an initial review instead of an IRB, that appropriate local committee
988 should also conduct continuing review of the HUD. For continuing review, an IRB may use an
989 expedited review procedure in which a chairperson or one or more experienced reviewers carries
990 out the review, similar to the expedited review procedure described at 21 CFR 56.110(b). When

⁹⁹ As noted above, “informed consent” required by a facility in the context of clinical care does not refer to informed consent subject to the requirements in FDA’s regulations.

¹⁰⁰ 21 CFR 814.124(a).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

991 an IRB conducts the initial review, a facility may decide to utilize an appropriate local committee
992 to conduct continuing review of the use of the HUD in clinical care.

993 Appropriate local committees may develop their own policies and procedures for continuing
994 review of a HUD and should determine what type of review procedure is appropriate for each
995 HUD. An expedited procedure, such as that described under 21 CFR 56.110, may be appropriate
996 for continuing review because a HUD marketed under an HDE is a legally marketed device, and
997 its use in clinical care does not constitute “research.” An expedited review does not mean a less-
998 than-substantive review. The individual(s) conducting an expedited review for use of a HUD at a
999 facility should thoughtfully consider the risk and benefit information available and any MDRs.

1000 In addition, FDA does not require that the IRB or appropriate local committee serve as a Data
1001 Monitoring Committee. The IRB or appropriate local committee may, however, ask the HDE
1002 holder for copies of the safety information submitted to FDA in the periodic reports required by
1003 21 CFR 814.126(b)(1). In this way, information that could have a bearing on human safety
1004 would be considered at the time of continuing review.

1005 When an IRB or appropriate local committee is deciding whether to approve the use of a HUD
1006 for clinical care of patients at a facility, it does not make a Significant Risk/Non-Significant Risk
1007 (SR/NSR) determination. As noted above, use of a legally marketed HUD within its HDE-
1008 approved indication at a facility to treat or diagnose patients is not a clinical investigation of a
1009 device under 21 CFR part 812.

1010 **F. Review and Approval for Clinical Testing of HUDs**

1011 Clinical investigation of a HUD under an IDE must be approved and supervised by an IRB.¹⁰¹
1012 Data may be collected in a clinical investigation **for the HDE-approved indication(s)** without
1013 an IDE. An approved IDE permits a device to be shipped lawfully for the purposes of conducting
1014 investigations of the device without complying with certain other requirements of the FD&C Act
1015 that would apply to devices in commercial distribution.¹⁰² As long as the HUD is being studied
1016 for the indication(s) in its approved labeling, the HUD is not subject to IDE requirements
1017 because the HUD is a legally marketed device and therefore can be lawfully shipped without an
1018 IDE. However, regardless of the applicability of the IDE regulation at 21 CFR part 812, other
1019 FDA regulatory requirements may still apply, including among others, requirements for IRB

¹⁰¹ See 21 CFR 56.103, 812.2(b)(ii), and 812.42.

¹⁰² See 21 CFR 812.1.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1020 review and approval, financial disclosure, informed consent¹⁰³ and, if applicable, additional
1021 safeguards for children.¹⁰⁴

1022 If the IRB receives a request to review an investigation to determine safety or effectiveness of
1023 the HUD for a different indication than the HDE-approved indication(s), then the IRB should be
1024 aware that this type of clinical investigation is subject to the IDE regulations at 21 CFR part 812.
1025 If the device is a SR device, the sponsor of the investigation must submit an IDE application to
1026 FDA and obtain FDA approval of that application before starting the clinical investigation.¹⁰⁵ A
1027 physician who wants to study a HUD may be the sponsor, investigator, or both for the study. In
1028 sum, the investigational use of a HUD under these circumstances must be conducted in
1029 accordance with 21 CFR parts 812, 50, 54, and 56.¹⁰⁶

1030 Significant Risk/Non-Significant Risk Determinations

1031 An IRB does not have to make a SR/NSR determination when it receives a request to review a
1032 clinical investigation of a HUD (e.g., collection of safety and effectiveness data) when that
1033 clinical investigation concerns the HDE-approved indication(s) only. As noted above, FDA does
1034 not consider such investigations to require an IDE under 21 CFR part 812.

1035 For an investigation of the HUD for indications other than the HDE-approved indication(s), the
1036 IRB would need to make a SR/NSR determination if that determination has not already been
1037 made by FDA.¹⁰⁷ In practice, most sponsors have submitted and obtained FDA approval of an
1038 IDE application before submitting such investigations of HUDs to IRBs for review, so IRBs
1039 have not needed to make the SR/NSR determination (i.e., FDA had already determined the
1040 device was a SR device). However, in the event that a sponsor seeks IRB approval for
1041 investigational use of a HUD for an indication other than its approved indication(s) without first
1042 obtaining a determination from FDA regarding whether the study is a SR or NSR study, then the
1043 IRB should make the SR/NSR determination as required in 21 CFR 812.66.

1044 **G. Emergency Use of HUDs**

1045 If a physician in an emergency situation determines that IRB or appropriate local committee
1046 approval for the use of the HUD at the facility cannot be obtained in time to prevent serious harm
1047 or death to a patient, a HUD may be used without prior approval. In this situation, the HDE

¹⁰³ Specific requirements for obtaining informed consent from human subjects apply to FDA-regulated clinical investigations. See 21 CFR part 50, subpart B. Note that, in some cases, facilities may have specific requirements for obtaining informed consent for the use of the HDE-approved HUD in the routine clinical care of patients, but these would not be FDA regulatory requirements.

¹⁰⁴ See 21 CFR part 56 for IRB requirements; see 21 CFR part 54 for requirements for financial disclosure by clinical investigators; and see 21 CFR part 50 for requirements for the protection of human subjects, including additional safeguards for children.

¹⁰⁵ 21 CFR 812.20(a).

¹⁰⁶ Note that 45 CFR part 46 may be applicable to research involving HUDs under certain circumstances. The applicability of those regulations is outside the scope of this draft guidance.

¹⁰⁷ See 21 CFR 812.66.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1048 holder may ship the HUD, based on the physician’s certification of the emergent need and
1049 representation that the physician will follow the requirements of 21 CFR 814.124(a) regarding
1050 reporting. The physician must provide notification of the use to the chairperson of the IRB or
1051 appropriate local committee, and the notification must include the identification of the patient
1052 involved, the date of the use, and the reason for the use.¹⁰⁸ FDA regulations require that
1053 physicians provide such notification to the chairperson of an IRB in writing within 5 days of the
1054 emergency use of the device. For facilities at which an appropriate local committee reviews the
1055 use of HUDs instead of an IRB, FDA recommends that physicians also provide the required
1056 notification of the emergency use in writing and within 5 days.

1057 FDA further recommends that the physician submit a follow-up report on the patient’s condition
1058 to the HDE holder. The HDE holder is required under 21 CFR 814.126(b) to submit annual
1059 reports, including the applicant’s clinical experience with the device and the number of devices
1060 shipped or sold.

DRAFT

¹⁰⁸ See section 520(m)(4) of the FD&C Act.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1061 **Appendix A – Checklist for Filing Review for HDEs**
 1062 **(should be completed within 30 days of DCC receipt)**
 1063 **HDE Number:** _____ **Date Received:** _____
 1064 **HUD Number (from OOPD):** _____
 1065 **Device:** _____ **Procode:** _____
 1066 **Company Name/ Address:** _____
 1067 **Contact Name/Phone Numbers:** _____
 1068 **FDA Staff Member Name:** _____

1069 Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the HDE application, FDA staff should answer the preliminary questions
 1070 below, which are used as an initial screening of the HDE application. Depending upon the answers to these
 1071 preliminary questions, the remainder of the filing review may or may not be necessary. If the responses to the
 1072 preliminary questions and subsequent consultation with FDA staff identified below indicate that the HDE filing
 1073 review should not continue, the FDA staff member or the CBER regulatory project manager (RPM) should promptly
 1074 inform the FDA team (including consulting reviewers and management) and notify the requester using proper
 1075 administrative procedures.

Preliminary Questions		
Answers in the shaded blocks indicate consultation with an identified Center advisor is needed.	Yes	No
1. Is the product a device (per 201(h) of the FD&C Act) or a combination product with a device constituent part? If it appears not to be a device or such a combination product, or you are unsure, consult with the CDRH Jurisdictional Officer or CBER Product Jurisdiction Officer to determine the appropriate action and inform management. <i>Provide summary of Jurisdictional Officer’s/Liaison’s determination.</i> If the product does not appear to be a device or a combination product with a device constituent part, mark “No.” <u>NOTE:</u> If the product is a combination product with a device constituent part, it may not be appropriate for review under an HDE. If the product is a combination product, consult with the CDRH Jurisdictional Officer (cdhrproductjurisdiction@fda.hhs.gov) or CBER Product Jurisdiction Officer and inform management.		
2. Is there a copy of, or reference to the determination made by the Office of Orphan Product Development that the device qualifies as a HUD? [814.104(b)(1)] If there is no copy of, or reference to the HUD determination, mark “No.”		
3. If a Request for Designation (RFD) was submitted for the device and assigned to your center, identify the RFD # and confirm the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the device the same (e.g., design, formulation) as that presented in the RFD submission? • Are the indications for use for the device identified in the HDE the same as those identified in the RFD submission? If you believe the product or the indications presented in the HDE have changed from the RFD, or you are unsure, consult with the CDRH Jurisdictional Officer (cdhrproductjurisdiction@fda.hhs.gov) or CBER Product Jurisdiction Officer to determine the appropriate action and inform management. <i>Provide summary of Jurisdictional Officer’s/Liaison’s determination.</i> If the answer to either question above is no, mark “No.”		

1076 **If the answer to 1 appears to be “No,” then stop review of the HDE and issue the “Original Jurisdictional Product” letter.**

1077 **If the answer to 3 appears to be “No,” then stop the review and contact the CDRH Jurisdictional Officer or CBER**
 1078 **Product Jurisdiction Officer.**

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

<p>4. Is the device eligible for HDE ?</p> <p>NOTE: If the device does not appear to be eligible for review through the HDE program because there is a comparable device available (e.g., a predicate device exists, a De Novo request has been granted for a similar device, or an approved PMA exists for a similar device), you should consult with the appropriate CDRH or CBER staff during the filing review.</p> <p>If you believe an application is for a device that is eligible for review through the HDE program and an exemption from the effectiveness provisions, you should (1) complete the 510(k) decision tree to document why the device would be found NSE (<i>attach copy</i>) and (2) obtain concurrence from the appropriate CDRH or CBER staff prior to the filing the original HDE.</p>		
<p>5. Is the applicant the subject of an Application Integrity Policy (AIP)? If “Yes”, consult with the appropriate CDRH Office or CBER Office of Compliance and Biologics Quality/Division of Inspections and Surveillance/Bioresearch Monitoring Branch (OCBQ/DIS/BMB) to determine the appropriate action. Check on web at https://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/ApplicationIntegrityPolicy/ucm134453.htm</p>		

1079 **If the answer to 4 is “No”, the FDA reviewer should consult management and other Center resources to determine the**
 1080 **appropriate action.**

1081 **If the answer to 5 is “Yes,” then contact CDRH/OC/DBM – BIMO or CBER/OCBQ/DIS/BMB, provide a summary of the**
 1082 **discussion, and indicate recommendation/action.**

**Inventory of Organizational and Administrative Elements
 (Requirements per 21 CFR 814.112 unless otherwise indicated)**

Check “Yes” if item is present, “N/A” if it is not needed and “Not Present” if it is not included but needed.				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any “Not Present” answer may result in a “Refuse to File” decision. Each element on the checklist should be addressed within the application. An applicant may provide a rationale for omission for any criteria that are deemed not applicable. If a rationale is provided, the criteria is considered Present (“Yes”). An assessment of the rationale will be considered during the review of the application. 	Present		Not Present (No)
		Yes	N/A	
A.	HDE Content			
	1. Are all required sections in English or accompanied with an English translation?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	2. Is there a table of contents? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	3. HDE / HUD Information			
	a. Is there an explanation of why the device would not be available unless an HDE was granted? [814.104(b)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Is there a statement that no other comparable device, other than another approved HUD under an HDE or a device under an approved IDE, is available to treat or diagnose the disease or condition? [814.104(b)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. Is there a discussion of the risks and benefits of currently available devices or alternative forms of treatment? [814.104(b)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	d. Is there an explanation of why the probable benefit to health from the use of the device outweighs the risk of injury or illness from its use, taking into account the probable risks and benefits of currently available devices or alternative forms of treatment? [814.104(b)(3)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	e. Has the amount to be charged for the device been provided, and if greater than \$250.00, is a report provided verifying that the amount charged does not exceed the costs of the device’s research, development, fabrication, and distribution? [814.104(b)(5)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Inventory of Organizational and Administrative Elements (Requirements per 21 CFR 814.112 unless otherwise indicated)						
Check “Yes” if item is present, “N/A” if it is not needed and “Not Present” if it is not included but needed.						
				Present		Not Present (No)
				Yes	N/A	
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any “Not Present” answer may result in a “Refuse to File” decision. Each element on the checklist should be addressed within the application. An applicant may provide a rationale for omission for any criteria that are deemed not applicable. If a rationale is provided, the criteria is considered Present (“Yes”). An assessment of the rationale will be considered during the review of the application. 			
4.		Is a bibliography provided? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(8)(i)]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a.	Have copies of key articles been provided and are English translations included, if appropriate? Check “N/A” if applicant includes a statement that upon searching they found no literature related to their device		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.		If a device sample has been requested by FDA, has it been provided or if impractical to submit, has the applicant offered alternatives to allow FDA staff to view or access the device? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(9)]		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.		Is there a summary of the contents of the HDE? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(3)]		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
7.		Device Characteristics				
	a.	Is a description of the device included? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(4)]		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		i.	Pictorial representations? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(4)(i)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		ii.	Materials specifications? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(4)(i)-(ii)]	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If there is a color additive present: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> has the color additive been identified by common name and chemical name, and has the amount of each color additive in the formulation by weight percent of the colored component and total amount (e.g., ppm, µg) in the device been provided? 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b.	Is a description of the principles of operation of the device (including components) and properties relevant to clinical function present? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(4)(iii)-(iv)]		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
8.		Is the Device Manufacturing Section included (see the FDA guidance, “Quality System Information for Certain Premarket Application Reviews,” https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm070899.pdf) [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(4)(v)]		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	a.	Has a description of the methods, facilities, and controls used in the manufacture, processing, packing, storage, and installation of the device been provided?		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
9.		The application includes a summary and full study report* for each nonclinical study provided? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(6)(i)] Note: the applicant can reference data located in other applications. Check “Yes” if nonclinical data is not provided in the current application but found in another application. State where the data were provided (e.g., modular application, master file). *Full study report includes objective of the test, description of test methods and procedures, study endpoint(s), pre-defined pass/fail criteria, results summary, and discussion of conclusions. In the event that an applicant is appropriately declaring conformity with a voluntary consensus standard to meet applicable requirements, full test		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Inventory of Organizational and Administrative Elements (Requirements per 21 CFR 814.112 unless otherwise indicated)						
Check “Yes” if item is present, “N/A” if it is not needed and “Not Present” if it is not included but needed.						
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any “Not Present” answer may result in a “Refuse to File” decision. Each element on the checklist should be addressed within the application. An applicant may provide a rationale for omission for any criteria that are deemed not applicable. If a rationale is provided, the criteria is considered Present (“Yes”). An assessment of the rationale will be considered during the review of the application. 	Present		Not Present (No)
				Yes	N/A	
			reports may not be necessary with respect to those requirements. Refer to 13(a).			
	a.		Sterilization	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b.		Biological/Microbiological	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c.		Immunological	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d.		Toxicological/Biocompatibility	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e.		Engineering (stress, wear, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	f.		Chemistry/Analytical (typically for IVDs)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	g.		Shelf Life	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	h.		Animal Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	i.		Other Essential Laboratory Testing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	10.		Is a summary of clinical experience and investigation(s) and results provided? [814.104(b)(4)(i) as applicable]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		a.	Are the final versions of the clinical protocols included? (If performed under IDE, these should be the final FDA-approved versions of the clinical protocols, incorporating any Notices of Changes.)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		b.	Is a description of study population demographics provided?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		c.	Is a description of adverse events (e.g., adverse reactions, complaints, discontinuations, failures, replacements) provided?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		d.	Have report forms for patients who died or who did not complete the investigation been provided (i.e., to resolve potential bias)? Check “N/A” only if no patients died or were discontinued.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	11.		Are statistical analyses of the clinical investigations provided, if appropriate? [814.104(b)(4)(i)]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		a.	Are the results of all analyses identified in the protocol provided?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	12.		Has appropriate draft labeling been submitted? [814.104(b)(4) and 814.20(b)(10)]			
		a.	Physician Labeling	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		i.	Are indications for use included?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		ii.	Are contraindications, warnings, and precautions included?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		iii.	Are instructions for use included?	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		iv.	Does the labeling include the statement: “Humanitarian Device. Authorized by Federal law for use in the [treatment or diagnosis] of [specify disease or condition]. The effectiveness of this device for this use has not been	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Inventory of Organizational and Administrative Elements (Requirements per 21 CFR 814.112 unless otherwise indicated)							
Check “Yes” if item is present, “N/A” if it is not needed and “Not Present” if it is not included but needed.							
					Present		Not Present (No)
					Yes	N/A	
				demonstrated” [814.104(b)(4)(ii)]			
		b.		Patient Labeling Check Check “N/A” only if the relevant lead Center has previously indicated that patient labeling is not necessary.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		c.		Technical/Operators Manual, if applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	13.			Statements/Certifications/Declarations of Conformity [814.104(b)(4), 814.20(b)(5), and 814.20(b)(12)]			
		a.		Has the applicant provided documentation to establish conformance with applicable performance standards and/or voluntary consensus standards? Check “N/A” only if no standards are used.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		b.		Has the applicant provided documentation to establish that it has followed the recommendations in applicable FDA guidance or otherwise met applicable statutory or regulatory criteria? Check “N/A” only if no guidance is used.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		c.		Investigator Financial Disclosure For additional information refer to the guidance document “Guidance for Industry – Financial Disclosure by Clinical Investigators” (https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM341008) As required by 21 CFR Part 54, has the applicant submitted for each clinical investigator either: 1. A signed and dated Certification Form (3454) or 2. A signed and dated Disclosure Form (3455) Note: the signature should be from a responsible corporate official or representative of the applicant.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		i.		For a Certification Form (3454): Is the required list of all investigators and subinvestigators attached to the Form?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		ii.		If box 3 of Form 3454 is checked, does the Form include an attachment with the reason(s) why financial disclosure information could not be obtained?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		iii.		For a Disclosure Form (3455): Does the application provide details of the financial arrangements and interests of the investigator(s) or subinvestigator(s), along with a description of any steps taken to minimize potential bias?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		d.		Environmental Assessment under 21 CFR 25.20(n) [814.104(b)(4) and	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Inventory of Organizational and Administrative Elements (Requirements per 21 CFR 814.112 unless otherwise indicated)						
Check “Yes” if item is present, “N/A” if it is not needed and “Not Present” if it is not included but needed.						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any “Not Present” answer may result in a “Refuse to File” decision. Each element on the checklist should be addressed within the application. An applicant may provide a rationale for omission for any criteria that are deemed not applicable. If a rationale is provided, the criteria is considered Present (“Yes”). An assessment of the rationale will be considered during the review of the application. 				Present		Not Present (No)
				Yes	N/A	
			814.20(b)(11)]			
		i.	If claiming a categorical exclusion, information to justify the exclusion, OR	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		ii.	An environmental assessment (<u>ONLY</u> required for devices that present new environmental concerns)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		e.	Did the application include a completed FORM FDA 3674, <i>Certification with Requirements of ClinicalTrials.gov Data Bank?</i> (42 U.S.C. 282(j)(5)(B) and 42 CFR part 11) Note: Enter the NCT number(s) in the Center Tracking System (CTS)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			Data from FORM FDA 3674 (mark “Yes” for the applicable one):			
		i.	No clinical trials referenced in application.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		ii.	Requirements are not applicable to referenced clinical trials.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		iii.	Requirements are applicable and have been met.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	14.		Pediatric Use - Per 515A(a)(2) of the FD&C Act, did the application include, if readily available: [814.104(b)(6) and 814.20(b)(13)]			
		a.	A description of any pediatric subpopulations that suffer from the disease or condition that the device is intended to treat, diagnose, or cure, or statement that no pediatric subpopulation exists for the disease or condition for which the device is intended. This statement does not mean the device is indicated for treating pediatric patients. For additional information refer to the guidance document “Providing Information about Pediatric Uses of Medical Devices - Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff” at https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM339465.pdf .	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		b.	The number of affected pediatric patients.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	B.		Issues Identified by FDA Prior to receipt of the HDE Application - history of the applicant with this device			
	1.		Does the applicant list prior applications or state that there were no prior applications? (may be located in CDRH Coversheet Form FDA 3514, Section F) If the applicant lists prior applications, address the applicable questions below:	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
		a.	510(k) # _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		i.	If this device has been the subject of an NSE decision, have the data presented in the HDE taken into account any concerns related to safety or probable benefit that were previously communicated during the review of the prior 510(k) or through 510(k) correspondence?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Inventory of Organizational and Administrative Elements (Requirements per 21 CFR 814.112 unless otherwise indicated)						
Check “Yes” if item is present, “N/A” if it is not needed and “Not Present” if it is not included but needed.						
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any “Not Present” answer may result in a “Refuse to File” decision. Each element on the checklist should be addressed within the application. An applicant may provide a rationale for omission for any criteria that are deemed not applicable. If a rationale is provided, the criteria is considered Present (“Yes”). An assessment of the rationale will be considered during the review of the application. 		Present		Not Present (No)
				Yes	N/A	
	b.	IDE # _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	i.	Have the data presented in the HDE taken into account any safety or probable benefit concerns (e.g., “future considerations”) previously communicated during the review of prior IDE(s) or through IDE correspondence?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c.	PMA # _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	i.	If a previously submitted PMA for this device has been withdrawn or denied, does the current HDE application take into account any issues related to safety or probable benefit raised during review of the prior PMA(s) or through PMA correspondence?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d.	HDE # _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	i.	If a previously submitted HDE application for this device has been withdrawn or denied, does the current HDE application take into account any issues related to safety or probable benefit raised during review of the prior HDE application or through HDE correspondence?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e.	Modular HDE # _____		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	i.	If “Yes”, how many modules submitted? _____ How many modules were closed? _____				
	ii.	If there are modules that are on hold, does the HDE address outstanding deficiencies?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2.	Does the applicant list Pre-Submission(s) regarding the device or this application in which FDA feedback regarding data or information related to safety and/or probable benefit in the HDE was provided by email or during a meeting (in person or by phone), or state that there were no prior Pre-Submission interactions with the FDA regarding this application? If the applicant lists Pre-Submissions, address the applicable questions below:		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	a.	Pre-Submission # _____ Meeting date(s), if applicable _____				
	b.	Copy of minutes from each meeting or other written feedback?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c.	Were all FDA concerns or action items previously presented to the applicant in the Pre-Submission minutes or feedback addressed in the HDE or has the applicant provided a detailed scientific or clinical justification for an alternative approach?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Filing Decision Questions			
A “No” answer will typically result in a Not-Filed decision.			
		Yes	No
Decision 1	<p>Is the HDE complete?</p> <p>If, on its face, the HDE is missing one or more required elements (identified above), such that the application is not sufficiently complete to permit substantive review, answer “No.”</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Decision 2	<p>From only an administrative review, does the HDE include information that appears to constitute valid scientific evidence?</p> <p>Only answer “No” if it is clear that the HDE is supported solely by information that 21 CFR 860.7 identifies as not constituting valid scientific evidence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • isolated case reports • random experience • reports lacking sufficient details to permit scientific evaluation • unsubstantiated opinions <p>Comments:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Decision 3	<p>Does the HDE address the key nonclinical and clinical issues identified by FDA prior to submission of the HDE application?</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Has the applicant provided a detailed scientific or clinical justification for the alternate approach?</p> <p>Section B of the checklist outlines questions intended to identify when the FDA has previously provided specific guidance to the applicant about the content of the HDE application through one or more mechanisms, such as a prior HDE or PMA application, a prior “Not Substantially Equivalent” decision on a 510(k), Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) letters, feedback through the Q-submission Program, a Determination or Agreement meeting(s), or other substantive communication with FDA, or through a published guidance document. If such information has been communicated to the applicant through one or more of these mechanisms, and the HDE application addresses each of the key nonclinical and clinical issues identified by FDA, the answer to the above question is “Yes.” Furthermore, if some of these key issues previously identified by FDA are not addressed, but the HDE application contains a scientific or clinical justification for the omission or deviation, the answer to the above question is “Yes.” These cases do not preclude the responsible review Division from accepting the HDE application.</p> <p>In this context, the term “key issues” is meant to refer to issues that are central to FDA’s review of the device’s safety and probable benefit under sections 515 and 520(m) of the FD&C Act. Examples of key issues include: need for long-term nonclinical studies (e.g., biocompatibility, carcinogenicity, or other animal studies), and certain clinical study parameters (e.g., sample size, patient population, study design, and endpoints). These key issues are typically device-specific. As a result, the decision of FDA to “Refuse to File” an HDE application based on this criterion can only be made after carefully considering the following questions:</p> <p><i>Are the types of necessary nonclinical and clinical studies well-known in the scientific and</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Filing Decision Questions			
A “No” answer will typically result in a Not-Filed decision.			
		Yes	No
	<p><i>medical communities for the particular device?</i></p> <p>For an “established” device type, the types of nonclinical and clinical studies that we would expect in a PMA are likely to be well-known both within FDA and in the scientific and medical communities and, as such, are often included as part of an FDA guidance document and/or consensus standard. You should bear in mind that, for HDEs, the device may not be of an established device type.</p> <p><i>Were the issues conveyed to the applicant as part of a documented regulatory process?</i> Examples of a documented regulatory process include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • interaction through the Q-submission process, • prior PMA or HDE application, • prior “Not Substantially Equivalent” decision on a 510(k), • IDE letters, or • letter(s) issued as a result of Determination or Agreement meetings. <p><i>Were the issues conveyed to the applicant related to insufficient effectiveness data?</i></p> <p>Devices approved under an HDE application are exempt from the requirement to demonstrate a reasonable assurance of effectiveness. If an issue relates to insufficient effectiveness data, filing the HDE may be appropriate in cases for which accepting a PMA would not.</p> <p>FDA staff should only designate an HDE “Refuse to File” based on a “No” response to “Acceptance Decision 3” in instances where the key issues were identified by FDA staff as part of a documented regulatory process.</p>		

1084 **Decision:** *FDA Staff Recommendation: File* ____ *Not File* ____

1085 **Appendix B – Considerations for the Probable Benefit-Risk**
1086 **Assessment**

1087 As discussed in Section VI of this guidance, FDA considers the same factors described in FDA’s
1088 benefit-risk framework for evaluating PMAs or De Novo requests when assessing probable
1089 benefits and risks for HDE applications. Refer to the FDA guidance document, “Factors to
1090 Consider when Making Benefit-Risk Determinations in Medical Device Premarket Approval and
1091 De Novo Classifications,” for a description of those factors. It should be clearly noted, however,
1092 that probable benefit and probable benefit-risk determinations under an HDE are different from
1093 those under a PMA or a De Novo request. Please refer to Sections V and VI of the guidance for
1094 further discussion related to these differences and the probable benefit-risk assessment. The tools
1095 identified in Appendices B and C are meant to serve complementary roles, and both should be
1096 completed as part of the probable benefit-risk assessment.

1097 *Instructions:* FDA staff should make their recommendation regarding the probable benefit-risk
1098 assessment based on the totality of the evidence. The probable benefit-risk assessment is part of
1099 the decision whether to approve the application, but it does not include an assessment of all
1100 applicable requirements for approval. An indication from these tools that the probable benefits
1101 outweigh the risks does not mean that the application satisfies other applicable requirements for
1102 an HDE application.

1103 The following questions are intended as a sequential method to help weigh various factors as part
1104 of the probable benefit-risk assessment. As such, the questions are intended to help identify and
1105 explain which factors and considerations are critical in making a probable-benefit risk
1106 assessment for a particular device. However, the questions are not intended to suggest that
1107 considerations other than those listed in the completed worksheet are irrelevant.

1108 Consider questions 1-8 for Column A (the proposed Indications for Use), to help determine if the
1109 application is approvable for the proposed indications or, if narrowed indications for use are
1110 appropriate and can be agreed upon with the sponsor, consider questions 1-8 in Column B.
1111 However, as reflected under question 1, if the evidence does not support a finding of probable
1112 benefit under the proposed Indications for Use (or narrowed Indications for Use), or evidence
1113 does not support a finding of probable benefit for the proposed Indications for Use and
1114 agreement on narrowed Indications for Use is not achievable or applicable, the application would
1115 not be approvable.

1116 **Assessment of Probable Benefit**

1117 **1. Is there any evidence of clinical benefit?**

1118 *Complete question 1a for therapeutics or invasive/implantable diagnostics; complete question 1b*
1119 *for other diagnostics.*

1120
1121 *Note that in lieu of summaries, conclusions, and results of clinical investigations required under 21*
1122 *CFR 814.20(b)(3)(v)(B), (b)(3)(vi), and (b)(6)(ii), HDE applicants are required to submit summaries,*
1123 *conclusions, and results of all clinical experience or investigations (whether adverse or*

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1124 supportive) reasonably obtainable by the applicant that are relevant to an assessment of the risks
1125 and probable benefits of the device (see 21 CFR 814.104(b)(4)(i)).

1126
1127 a. Therapeutics or invasive/implantable diagnostics: Is a clinical benefit demonstrated for
1128 the device for this indication (e.g., from any one or more of the primary and/or secondary
1129 datasets or from associated real world evidence)? Probable benefit may be considered in
1130 terms of how a patient feels, functions, survives, or an acceptable surrogate outcome.
1131 Probable benefit may also be considered in terms of convenience in managing a disease
1132 or condition. *Select any of the following that demonstrate benefit.*

1133 Indication

A B

- A favorable change in at least 1 clinical assessment which is equal to or greater than seen in the control group
- A favorable change in at least 1 clinical assessment which meets a predetermined performance goal
- A favorable change in at least 1 clinical assessment which meets or surpasses a minimally important clinical difference
- A favorable change in at least 1 clinical assessment which is equal to or greater than seen with other available modalities for the disease or condition
- A favorable change in at least 1 clinical assessment which would be meaningful to patients considering the severity, chronicity, etc., of the condition, taking into consideration patient-reported outcomes and health-related quality of life
- A favorable change in non-clinical data or modeling that is deemed to be predictive of clinical outcomes
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1134
1135 b. Other diagnostics: Is a clinical benefit demonstrated, based on accurate measurement of
1136 the diagnostic analyte(s)/biomarker in the indicated population? *Select one or more of the*
1137 *following clinical indications that demonstrate benefit, if applicable.*

1138 Indication

A B

- Disease susceptibility (likely future occurrence of a disease or condition)
- Screening
- Diagnosis
- Prognosis
- Monitoring
- Treatment selection/modification
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1139
1140 **Question 1: Is there any evidence of clinical benefit?**

1141 Indication

A B

- YES → Continue to Question 2
- NO → Move one column to the right or, if final column has been reached and you have determined there is no evidence of clinical benefit, do not approve the application.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1142
1143

2. What is the degree of uncertainty for the probable benefits?

1144 a. Recognizing that some degree of uncertainty always exists, select the elements that
1145 contribute to uncertainty, if applicable, in the data regarding probable clinical benefit.

1146 Indication

A B

- Inconsistent or conflicting results between studies
- Wide confidence intervals surrounding the point estimate(s) and/or odds ratio(s)
- High subject loss-to-follow-up at critical assessment point(s)
- Large amount of missing data at critical assessment time(s) +/- imputation
- Significant number of major protocol deviations
- Impact of confounding interventions
- Inconsistent user experience or user experience not representative of likely real world user
- Non-clinical data or modeling that does not adequately represent the clinical circumstance
- Real World Evidence (RWE) is not relevant or reliable for the purposes of the proposed analysis
- Inspectional findings
- Study results are not generalizable to population under consideration
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1147
1148
1149
1150

b. Diagnostics: Select the performance characteristics that contribute to uncertainty for analytical validation of the device:

Indication

A B

- Sensitivity
- Specificity/Interference
- Accuracy
- Precision
- Reproducibility
- Reportable range or status
- Linearity/Recovery
- Matrix, carryover
- Calibrators and/or controls
- Pre-analytical
- Post-analytical
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1151
1152
1153

Question 2: What is the degree of uncertainty for the probable benefits?

Indication

A B

- Low → Continue to Question 3; consider suggesting a different kind of marketing application.
- Medium → Continue to Question 3
- High → Continue to Question 3

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1154

1155 **Comments on the Assessment of Probable Benefit**

1156 **For the Proposed Indications for Use (Column A):**

1157 Click here to enter summary of the Assessment of Probable Benefit for the proposed Indications for Use.

1158 Include a description of your assessment of the extent of probable benefit, considering the type,
1159 magnitude, and probability of benefit(s); and the duration of effects. Include a description of the impact of
1160 uncertainty on your Assessment of Probable Benefit.

1161

1162 **For the narrowed Indications for Use (modified indication and/or population) (Column B):**

1163 Click here to enter summary of the Assessment of Probable Benefit for the narrowed Indications for Use.

1164 Include a description of your assessment of the extent of probable benefit, considering the type,
1165 magnitude, and probability of benefit(s); and the duration of effects. Include a description of the impact of
1166 uncertainty on your Assessment of Probable Benefit.

1167 **Assessment of Risk**

1168 **3. Are known/probable risks more than minimal?**

1169 *Select the elements that apply for known/probable risks that are more than minimal.*

1170 Indication

A B

- Adverse events (AEs) or outcomes related to the device itself
- AEs or outcomes related to the use of the device or procedure to use the device
- AEs or outcomes related to anesthesia or sedation to use the device
- AEs or outcomes due to subsequent tests/treatments needed (e.g., radiation from CT scans)
- AEs or outcomes, not seen in the study/data, but probable based on “class effect” or events known to occur with similar technologies
- False positive/false negative/absent result for diagnostics
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1171

1172 **Question 3: Are known/probable risks more than minimal?**

1173 Indication

A B

- YES → Continue to Question 4
- NO → Continue to Question 4

1174

1175 **4. What is the degree of uncertainty for the risks?**

1176 *Recognizing that some degree of uncertainty always exists, select the elements that contribute to*
1177 *uncertainty, if applicable, in the data regarding the adverse events/outcomes or risks.*

1178 Indication

A B

- Insufficient patient/subject numbers to detect serious events
- Insufficient duration of follow-up to detect delayed/late events

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

A B

- Lack of data on repeated exposure to the device/use
- Inconsistent or conflicting results between studies
- Proper evaluations not performed as part of the study protocol to adequately detect certain AEs
- Poor or inconsistent adverse event definitions and documentation
- Events likely confounded by, and attributed to, other comorbidities or treatment modalities
- High subject loss-to-follow-up at critical assessment point(s)
- Large amount of missing data at critical assessment time(s) +/- imputation
- Significant number of major protocol deviations
- Inconsistent user experience or user experience not representative of likely real world user
- False positive/false negative/absent/indeterminate result for diagnostics
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1179

1180

Question 4: What is the degree of uncertainty for the risks?

1181

Indication

A B

- Low → Continue to Question 5
- Medium → Continue to Question 5
- High → Continue to Question 5

1182

1183

Comments on the Assessment of Risk

1184

If you answered “No” to Question 3 but “High” to Question 4, please explain here.

1185

For the Proposed Indications for Use (Column A):

1187 Click here to enter summary of the Assessment of Risk for the proposed Indications for Use. Include a
1188 description of your assessment of the extent of probable risk considering the severity, types, number and
1189 rates of harmful events associated with use of the device; probability of a harmful event; duration of
1190 harmful events; and risk from false-positive or false-negative results for diagnostics. Include a description
1191 of the impact of uncertainty on your Assessment of Risk.

1192

For the narrowed Indications for Use (modified indication and/or population) (Column B):

1194 Click here to enter summary of the Assessment of Risk for the narrowed Indications for Use. Include a
1195 description of your assessment of the extent of probable risk, considering the severity, types, number and
1196 rates of harmful events associated with use of the device; probability of a harmful event; duration of
1197 harmful events; and risk from false-positive or false-negative results for diagnostics. Include a
1198 description of the impact of uncertainty on your Assessment of Risk.

1199

Assessment of Probable Benefit-Risk

1200

Provide a recommendation based on the totality of the evidence. As noted above, the probable benefit-risk assessment is part of the decision regarding whether to approve an HDE application but is not an assessment of all applicable requirements.

1201

1202

1203

5. Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks, considering the assessment of Probable Benefit and Risk and the degree of uncertainty identified above, and taking into account the

1204

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1205 **probable benefits and risks of currently available devices or alternative forms of treatment?**

1206

1207 *To approve an HDE application, FDA must find, among other things, that the device will not expose*
1208 *patients to an unreasonable or significant risk of illness or injury and that the probable benefit to health*
1209 *from the use of the device outweighs the risk of injury or illness from its use, taking into account the*
1210 *probable benefits and risks of currently available devices or alternative forms of treatment. Consider how*
1211 *the probable benefits and risks identified above compare to currently available devices or alternative forms*
1212 *of treatment and select the elements that apply:*

1213 Indication

A B

- No legally marketed alternative medical product or medical intervention exists or the device offers advantages over other modalities
- The device fills an unmet medical need or niche for more effective treatment or diagnosis of life-threatening or irreversibly debilitating human disease/conditions
- The probable benefit of the device is equivalent to or greater than the probable benefits of other modalities
- The probable risk of the device is no greater or is less than the probable risks of other modalities
- The probable benefit of the device is less than the probable benefits of other modalities
- The probable benefit of the device is less than the probable benefits of other modalities
- The probable risk of the device is greater than the probable risks of other modalities
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1214

1215 **Question 5: Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks, considering the assessment of**
1216 **Probable Benefit and Risk and the degree of uncertainty identified above, and taking into**
1217 **account the probable benefits and risks of currently available devices or alternative forms**
1218 **of treatment?**

1219 Indication

A B

- Yes – The probable benefits outweigh the risks such that, for this device, additional consideration of relevant factors would not change that determination.
- Undetermined – The probable benefits may not outweigh the risks, and further discussion and consideration of relevant factors is appropriate → Move to Question 6

1220

1221 **Comments on Assessment of Probable Benefit-Risk**

1222 **For the Proposed Indications for Use (Column A):**

1223 [Click here to summarize the probable benefit\(s\) that have been demonstrated for the proposed Indications](#)
1224 [for Use and your assessment of how Probable Benefit\(s\) compare to Risks. Include a description of how](#)
1225 [available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your assessment.](#)

1226 [Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit\(s\) and Risks affects your assessment.](#)

1227

1228 **For the narrowed Indications for Use (modified indication and/or population) (Column B):**

1229 [Click here to summarize the probable benefit\(s\) that have been demonstrated for the narrowed Indications](#)
1230 [for Use and your assessment of how the Probable Benefit\(s\) compare to Risks. Include a description of](#)
1231 [how available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your assessment.](#)

1232 [Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit\(s\) and Risks affects your assessment.](#)

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1233
1234 **6. Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks, when taking into account additional relevant**
1235 **considerations? Select relevant considerations.**

1236 Indication

A B

- Available patient preference information (PPI) showing patients willingness or unwillingness to accept the probable risks in exchange for the probable benefits
- Available qualitative or quantitative PPI on the relative desirability or acceptability to patients of outcomes or other attributes that differ among alternative health interventions
- Understanding that the device represents novel technology for which the current device technology is different
- Ability to manage the condition and consideration of natural history of disease progression in the absence of the intervention with the device under review
- The adverse events associated with use of the device are reversible
- Type of intervention required to address the harmful event (e.g., medication, surgery)
- Understanding of mechanistic plausibility and/or “class effect” (e.g., familiarity with similar technology)
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1237
1238 **Question 6: Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks, when taking into account**
1239 **additional relevant considerations?**

1240 Indication

A B

- Yes –The probable benefits outweigh the risks such that, for this device, additional consideration of relevant factors would not change that determination.
- Undetermined – The probable benefits may not outweigh the risks, and discussion and consideration of risk mitigation measures is appropriate → Move to Question 7

1241
1242 **Comments on Assessment of Probable Benefit-Risk, taking into account additional relevant**
1243 **considerations**

1244 **For the Proposed Indications for Use (Column A):**

1245 Click here to summarize the probable benefit(s) that have been demonstrated for the proposed Indications
1246 for Use and your assessment of how Probable Benefit(s) compare to Risks. Include a description of how
1247 available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your assessment.
1248 Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit(s) and Risks affects your assessment.
1249 Include a description of how patient perspectives affected your assessment.

1250
1251 **For the narrowed Indications for Use (modified indication and/or population) (Column B):**

1252 Click here to summarize the probable benefit(s) that have been demonstrated for the narrowed Indications
1253 for Use and your assessment of how Probable Benefit(s) compare to Risks. Include a description of how
1254 available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your assessment.
1255 Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit(s) and Risks affects your assessment.
1256 Include a description of how patient perspectives affected your assessment.

1257

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1258 **7. Can the risks be mitigated, so that Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks? Consider if the**
1259 **Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks if risk mitigation strategies are incorporated to lower**
1260 **the probability of a harmful event occurring and improve the probable benefit-risk profile**
1261 **of the device. Select relevant considerations:**

1262 Indication

A B

- Additional descriptions of known and probable benefits and risks in physician and patient labeling, including adequate Contraindications, Warnings, and Precautions and description of the clinical events
- Additional warnings noting limitations of safety information (e.g., “The safety of the use of this device in [situation] has not been evaluated.”)
- Labeling the device for prescription use only
- Limitation to caregivers with certain qualifications or clinical training
- Limit to users with a minimum set of qualifications and/or training
- Physician/user training program
- Device tracking
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1264 **Question 7: Can the risks be mitigated, so that Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks?**

1265 Indication

A B

- Yes –The probable benefits outweigh the risks such that, for this device, additional consideration of relevant factors would not change the determination.
- Undetermined – The probable benefits may not outweigh the risks, and further discussion and consideration of postmarket actions is appropriate → Move to Question 8

1266 **Comments on Assessment of Probable Benefit-Risk, considering risk mitigation strategies**

1267 **For the Proposed Indications for Use (Column A):**

1268 Click here to summarize the probable benefit(s) that have been demonstrated for the proposed Indications
1269 for Use and your assessment of how Probable Benefit(s) compare to Risks. Include a description of how
1270 available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your assessment.

1271 Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit(s) and Risks affects your assessment.

1272 Include a description of how patient perspectives affected your assessment.

1273 **For the narrowed Indications for Use (modified indication and/or population) (Column B):**

1274 Click here to summarize the probable benefit(s) that have been demonstrated for the narrowed Indications
1275 for Use and your assessment of how Probable Benefit(s) compare to Risks. Include a description of how
1276 available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your assessment.

1277 Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit(s) and Risks affects your assessment.

1278 Include a description of how patient perspectives affected your assessment.

1282 **8. Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks considering the use of postmarket actions?**

1283 *Select appropriate postmarket action(s).*

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

1285 Indication

A B

- Collection of additional and/or confirmatory non-clinical performance data in the postmarket space
- Collection of additional and/or confirmatory clinical data in the postmarket space
- Other(s) [Click here to list other\(s\)](#)

1286
1287 **Question 8: Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks considering the use of postmarket**
1288 **actions?**

1289 Indication

A B

- Yes – The probable benefits outweigh the risks.
- No – If you have determined that the probable benefits do not outweigh the risks, move to the right column in the table to assess the probable benefits and risks for a narrowed indication, or if the final column has been reached, and you have determined that the probable benefits do not outweigh the risks, do not approve the application.

1290
1291 **Comments on the Assessment of Probable Benefit-Risk, considering postmarket actions**

1292 **For the Proposed Indications for Use (Column A):**

1293 Click here to summarize the probable benefits(s) that have been demonstrated for the proposed
1294 Indications for Use and your assessment of how Probable Benefit(s) compare to Risks. Include a
1295 description of how available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your
1296 assessment. Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit(s) and Risks affects
1297 your assessment. Include a description of how patient perspectives affected your assessment.

1298
1299 **For narrowed Indications for Use (modified indication and/or population) (Column B):**

1300 Click here to summarize the probable benefits(s) that have been demonstrated for the narrowed
1301 Indications for Use and your assessment of how Probable Benefit(s) compare to Risks. Include a
1302 description of how available alternative modalities, including their probable benefits and risks, affect your
1303 assessment. Include a description of how uncertainty regarding Probable Benefit(s) and Risks affects
1304 your assessment. Include a description of how patient perspectives affected your assessment.

1305

Appendix C – Probable Benefit-Risk Assessment Tool

HDE Probable Benefit-Risk Assessment: Decision Support Tool HDE Questions Based on the totality of the data	A. Proposed Indications for Use	B. Potential Narrowing of Indications for Use ¹
		Is the narrowed indication clinically reasonable?
Assessment of Probable Benefit	Considering benefit in terms of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type Magnitude Probability Duration of effects 	Considering benefit in terms of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type Magnitude Probability Duration of effects
1. Is there any evidence of clinical benefit?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Q2 <input type="checkbox"/> NO → move to B	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Q2 <input type="checkbox"/> NO → Not approvable
2. What is the degree of uncertainty for the Benefits? ²	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low Continue to Q3	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low Continue to Q3
Assessment of Risk	Considering risk in terms of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Severity, types, number and rates of harmful events Probability of a harmful event Duration of harmful events Risks from false-positive or false-negative results 	Considering risk in terms of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Severity, types, number and rates of harmful events Probability of a harmful event Duration of harmful events Risks from false-positive or false-negative results
3. Are known/probable risks more than minimal?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Q4 <input type="checkbox"/> NO → Q4	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Q4 <input type="checkbox"/> NO → Q4
4. What is the degree of uncertainty for the Risks?	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Med <input type="checkbox"/> Low Continue to Q5	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Med <input type="checkbox"/> Low Continue to Q5
Assessment of Probable Benefit-Risk		
5. Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks? ³	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> Undetermined → Q6	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> Undetermined → Q6
6. Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks, taking into account additional relevant considerations?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> Undetermined → Q7	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> Undetermined → Q7
7. Can the risks be mitigated, so that Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> Undetermined → Q8	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> Undetermined → Q8
8. Do the Probable Benefits outweigh the Risks considering the use of postmarket actions?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> NO → move to B	<input type="checkbox"/> YES → Worksheet complete <input type="checkbox"/> NO → Not approvable

1306

¹ Instructions: The term “indications for use” describes the disease or condition that the device will diagnose, treat, prevent, cure, or mitigate, including a description of the patient population for which the device is intended. See 21 CFR 814.20(b)(3)(i) and 814.104(b)(4). Consider the probable benefits and risks for a modified population for the proposed use, a modified indication for the proposed population, or both a modified indication and modified population, which would translate into a ‘narrowing’ of the Indications for Use from what was originally proposed. Note that probable benefit and probable benefit-risk determinations for HDEs are different from those under PMAs. For more information, refer to Section VI of this guidance when it is finalized.

² Instructions: If the degree of uncertainty is low, then consider whether a different kind of marketing application would be appropriate. However, low uncertainty does not necessarily imply clinically meaningful benefit.

³ Instructions: For an HDE, take into account the probable benefits and risks of currently available devices or alternative forms of treatment.