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Slowly Progressive, Low-Prevalence Rare Diseases with Substrate Deposition That Results from Single Enzyme Defects:
Providing Evidence of Effectiveness for Replacement or Corrective Therapies
Guidance for Industry

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

This document is intended to provide guidance to sponsors on the evidence necessary to demonstrate the effectiveness of new drugs or new drug uses intended for slowly progressive, low-prevalence rare diseases that are associated with substrate deposition and are caused by single enzyme defects. This guidance applies only to those low-prevalence rare diseases with well-characterized pathophysiology and in which changes in substrate deposition can be readily measured in relevant tissue(s).

This guidance does not apply to the following:

- Low-prevalence rare diseases with rapidly progressive clinical courses; such conditions can be evaluated by traditional approaches (i.e., using clinical endpoints such as survival, preservation of function, etc.)

---

1 This guidance has been prepared by the Office of New Drugs and the Office of the Center Director in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) in cooperation with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

2 For the purposes of this guidance, all references to drugs or drug products include both human drugs and biological drug products regulated by CDER and CBER unless otherwise specified.

3 For the purposes of this guidance, a disease of low prevalence is defined as a condition affecting approximately 5,000 persons or less in the United States. To be eligible for orphan drug designation, product must be one for a disease or condition that: “(A) affects less than 200,000 persons in the United States, or (B) affects more than 200,000 in the United States and for which there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making available in the United States a drug for such disease or condition will be recovered from sales in the United States of such drug” (21 U.S.C. 360bb).

4 Examples of rapidly progressive rare diseases include infantile-onset Pompe disease and infantile-onset lysosomal acid lipase disease.
Low-prevalence rare diseases with previously characterized endpoints predictive of clinical benefit

FDA encourages sponsors to discuss with the relevant review divisions whether the approach outlined in this guidance applies to their specific drug development programs.

In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

II. DRUG DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

There are many reasons that make demonstration of effectiveness extremely challenging for drugs intended to treat slowly progressive, low-prevalence rare diseases that result from defects in a single enzyme. The following are some of those reasons:

- Given the slow progression of the disease, demonstration of clinical stability or clinical improvement may require an extremely long time, even decades in some conditions.
- Development of new disease-specific instruments and endpoints to assess clinical response (e.g., patient-reported outcomes, observer-reported outcomes, new biomarkers) may not be feasible because of the rarity of the disease, geographical distribution of patients, or slow progression of disease manifestations.
- There may be insufficient information on the natural history of the disease to inform the selection of a historical comparator or to inform clinical endpoint selection in future clinical trials.
- In rare circumstances, conducting clinical trials may be impossible because of the extremely low number of patients with a specific disease or with a clinical manifestation of interest for a given disease.
- When more than one potential therapy is investigated concomitantly, the pool of potential patients is further reduced.

A rational approach to drug development should take into consideration the following:

- A genetic defect affecting a single enzyme can result in either the absence of or a low level of enzyme activity, with subsequent accumulation of toxic substrates in various tissues. Residual enzyme activity often inversely correlates with substrate accumulation.
• An increase in enzyme activity resulting from the administration of an exogenous enzyme product, by reducing the amount of substrate accumulated and/or by slowing substrate accumulation, may alter the rate of disease progression or, over time, shift the disease phenotype to a milder one.

• The amount of enzyme activity necessary to prevent or reduce abnormal substrate accumulation can vary considerably among tissues.

• Replacement enzymes may penetrate different tissues and subcellular compartments with different degrees of efficiency.

• Evidence of activity requires not only proof that the drug reaches the target organ and subcellular compartment of interest but also a demonstration that the drug reduces substrate accumulation.

• Some biomarkers or endpoints are very closely linked to the underlying pathophysiology of the disease (i.e., they can be directly linked to a missing metabolite on a critical biosynthetic pathway). Based on the known human physiology, total or partial restoration of the biosynthetic metabolic pathway is expected to benefit such patients. Sponsors could use changes in such biomarkers during drug development for dose selection or patient selection, or the changes could serve as an early demonstration of drug activity but should not be a replacement for demonstration of reduction in substrate deposition in the tissues of interest in clinical trials.

Sponsors could apply several strategies for the treatment of slowly progressive, low-prevalence rare diseases that result from defects in a single enzyme, including the following:

• Administering a fully functional exogenous enzyme that reaches the organ(s) of interest. This is commonly referred to as enzyme replacement therapy.

• Ameliorating the enzyme defect through use of a pharmacologic chaperone that binds to the mutant enzyme, inducing proper folding, ensuring correct intracellular trafficking, and preventing premature enzyme degradation.

• Reducing the rate of synthesis of toxic substrates.

• Diverting an accumulating toxic metabolite to an alternative metabolic pathway.

• Introducing the wild type gene into somatic cells using viral vectors.

III. TYPE AND QUANTITY OF EVIDENCE NECESSARY TO SUPPORT EFFECTIVENESS FOR REPLACEMENT OR CORRECTIVE THERAPIES

As discussed in section II., Drug Development Considerations, for certain slowly progressive, low-prevalence rare diseases, sponsors can pursue various treatment strategies with the goal of
halting or slowing the abnormal accumulation of substrate in tissues. When the pathophysiology of a disease is well understood and the mechanism of action of the drug/biologic is well characterized, specific drug-induced substrate reduction in relevant tissue(s) can have a reasonable likelihood of predicting clinical effectiveness. In such a case, a clear demonstration in clinical trial(s) that an exogenously administered enzyme reaches the tissue of interest and results in substrate reduction can be seen as reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit and can serve as the basis for accelerated approval.

For drugs granted accelerated approval, FDA has been requiring postmarketing confirmatory trials to verify and describe clinical benefit by evaluating one or more clinical endpoints. In some instances, additional evaluation (e.g., longer duration of treatment and progressive reduction or resolution of substrate deposition) of the same histological endpoint that was used to support accelerated approval in the same or similar population could provide persuasive evidence of clinical benefit to support full approval.

The following sections describe what FDA considers substantial evidence of effectiveness to support accelerated approval for a new replacement or corrective therapy or new drug use intended for the treatment of a slowly progressive, low-prevalence rare disease with substrate deposition that is caused by a single enzyme defect.

In the absence of a way to directly characterize the clinical response to the drug of interest (i.e., how a patient feels, functions, or survives), the nonclinical and, in particular, the clinical pharmacology components of the drug development program become the main source of data that 1) support a safe dose that can be used to initiate human studies, and 2) inform dose exploration, which is essential to final dose selection for clinical trials.

The following sections emphasize how sponsors can use nonclinical and clinical pharmacology information, along with additional sources of information (e.g., in vitro data), to inform dose selection for clinical trials meant to lead to marketing approval.

A. Animal Toxicology/Pharmacology and Animal Models of Disease Activity — Key Considerations

This section highlights some aspects of the nonclinical program that could inform drug development in slowly progressive, low-prevalence rare diseases.

---

• Evaluation of the toxicological profile in animals is necessary for all drug development programs.  

• Disease-specific animal models are desirable for drug development in rare diseases. Conservation of metabolic pathways and essential intermediary components between animal species and humans (e.g., ligands, cognate receptors, critical enzyme domains) can generate a wealth of relevant pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic and proof-of-concept information (e.g., animal disease improvement, survival) that can guide testing of investigational drug products in humans.

• Some animal models of single-gene human storage disorders display phenotypes that mimic to a large extent the clinical manifestations and overall course of the human disease (e.g., tripeptidyl peptidase (TPP) null dachshund dog model for TPP deficiency) and offer unique opportunities for evaluating the effect of human enzymes in situations where there is significant structural and functional conservation of the missing enzyme across species. Animal models can provide opportunities for histological studies and demonstrate penetrance of a specific drug in the tissue of interest, including reaching specific subcellular compartments (e.g., lysosomes). Moreover, such animal models can provide evidence of enzyme activity by demonstrating the reduction or disappearance of disease-specific substrates.

• Although not all animal models mimic the human phenotype, FDA encourages sponsors to develop relevant models, given the potential benefit for future drug development.

• Demonstration of benefit in animal models for a specific drug product may support initiation of clinical studies in pediatric patients by meeting 21 CFR subpart D requirements for prospect of direct benefit.  

---

6 See the draft guidance for industry *Investigational Enzyme Replacement Therapy Products: Nonclinical Assessment*. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic. See also the ICH guidances for industry M3(R2) *Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing Authorization for Pharmaceuticals (M3(R2)), M3(R2) Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing Authorization for Pharmaceuticals — Questions and Answers, and S6(R1) Preclinical Safety Evaluation of Biotechnology-Derived Pharmaceuticals*. For recommendations on the substance and scope of nonclinical information needed to support clinical trials for cell therapy and gene therapy products, see the guidance for industry *Preclinical Assessment of Investigational Cellular and Gene Therapy Products*. We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

7 For complex biological products (e.g., gene therapy), alternative approaches may be needed for animal studies as well as for demonstration of effectiveness. Sponsors are encouraged to discuss their proposals with the appropriate CBER product office. FDA encourages sponsors to consult with review divisions when considering a nonanimal testing method believed to be suitable, adequate, validated, and feasible. FDA will consider if the alternative method could be assessed as a potential replacement to an animal test method.

8 21 CFR 50.52.
B. First-in-Human Dosing and Dose Selection — Key Considerations

In selecting specific doses for slowly progressive, low-prevalence, rare diseases that are caused by a defect in a single enzyme, the sponsor should consider the following:

- Because efficient patient utilization remains a critical component of any rare disease clinical program, dose selection should utilize any available sources of information (e.g., publications, experience with similar compounds, experience in related patient populations).

- Testing of enzyme replacement therapies in healthy subjects may not be appropriate because of the potential risk of inducing an immune response to the investigational drug product and cross-reactivity of the elicited antibodies with the endogenous protein and the risk of inducing a deficient state in such subjects.

- Making use of nonhuman data obtained in animal models of disease and in vitro data may be, in some cases, the only way to estimate a starting human dose that the sponsor hypothesizes to provide clinical benefit.\(^9\) The sponsor can obtain additional dosing information from predictive models based on current understanding of in vitro enzyme kinetics (including characterizing the enzyme kinetics in relevant cell lines) and allometric scaling.

- Animal toxicology data can inform a safe starting human dose.\(^10\)

- An effective dose in an informative animal model of human disease can be used to identify an initial estimate of a human equivalent dose. Such data can also provide initial estimates of dose-response relationships.

- The dose and regimen for clinical trials may be further optimized based on empirical evidence or mechanistic/model-based approaches that consider the time course, magnitude of, and dose or concentration response of pharmacodynamic responses, factors affecting pharmacokinetics (e.g., body weight, organ function), and understanding of the disease (e.g., baseline deficit of the enzyme/enzyme function, severity). Sponsors should consult with the Agency as early as possible if model-based strategies will be used for any aspect of drug development (e.g., dose selection, study design, endpoint analyses).

- In clinical trials, sponsors should evaluate two or more dose levels that are sufficiently different to result in nonoverlapping concentration ranges and/or biomarker/tissue substrate response(s).

---

\(^9\) See the guidance for industry *Estimating the Maximum Safe Starting Dose in Initial Clinical Trials for Therapeutics in Adult Healthy Volunteers*.

\(^10\) See the guidances for industry ICH M3(R2) and *Estimating the Maximum Safe Starting Dose in Initial Clinical Trials for Therapeutics in Adult Healthy Volunteers*. 
C. Providing Evidence of Substrate Reduction

The sponsor should discuss with the Agency any plan to generate evidence of substrate reduction in clinical trials. Such evidence should be generated in tissues where changes in substrate deposition can be readily measured, and the relevance of changes in these tissues to the overall disease process must be well understood and clearly justified. The sponsor should also address how the treatment effect size relates to the variability in the test measure. To this end, the sponsor should consider the following:

- If substrate levels have high intrasubject variability, efforts to reduce variability may improve the likelihood of a positive outcome. For example, multiple specimens may be obtained from the subject at each time point from the same source and assayed separately and averaged.

- Complete analytical validation should be performed for all assays used to measure the substrate levels. This validation should include acceptance criteria for analytical performance characteristics. FDA recommends centralized testing of substrate level endpoints. If local assays are necessary for the purposes of conducting the trial (e.g., for adaptive dosing), specimens should also be obtained for centralized testing.

- Preanalytical sample handling can significantly influence assay performance. Sponsors should establish standard operating procedures for the collection, storage, and shipping of biospecimens that should be followed at each trial site with deviations recorded. Preanalytic reagents and instrumentation should also be validated.

D. Other Considerations

The following considerations are intended to inform the assessments of efficacy or safety in clinical trials:

- Since most rare diseases are pediatric diseases or have onset of manifestations in childhood, pediatric studies will be a critical part of drug development. However, treatment in pediatric patients cannot proceed without addressing ethical considerations for conducting investigations in vulnerable populations. Unless the risks of an investigational drug are no more than a minor increase over minimal risk (21 CFR 50.53), the administration of an investigational drug in children must offer a prospect of direct clinical benefit to individually enrolled patients, the risk must be justified by the anticipated benefit, and the anticipated risk-benefit profile must be at least as favorable as that presented by accepted alternative treatments (21 CFR 50.52). Additionally, adequate provisions must be made to obtain the permission of the parents and the assent of the child as per 21 CFR 50.55.\(^{11}\)

- Perform genetic testing for the defect(s) of interest in all clinical trial subjects.

\(^{11}\) 21 CFR 50.52.
For therapeutic protein products, evaluate immunogenicity in all trial subjects using an analytically validated assay. Refer to the appropriate guidances regarding assessment of immunogenicity.\textsuperscript{12}

Sponsors should consult with FDA regarding additional clinical outcome data that could be systematically collected to assess clinical benefits in individual subjects.

\textsuperscript{12} See the guidance for industry *Immunogenicity Assessment for Therapeutic Protein Products* and the draft guidance for industry *Assay Development and Validation for Immunogenicity Testing of Therapeutic Protein Products*. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.
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Prescribing information

Cholbam (cholic acid) available at
https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/205750s000lbl.pdf.

Fabrazyme (agalsidase beta) available at

Kanuma (sebelipase alfa) available at
https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/125561s000lbl.pdf.

Myozyme (alglucosidase alfa) available at