



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration
Rockville MD 20857

MAY - 1 2000

The Honorable David M. McIntosh
Chairman, Subcommittee on National
Economic Growth, Natural Resources,
and Regulatory Affairs
Committee on Government Reform
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515-6143

1738 .00 MAY -2

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Thank you for your letter of April 12, 2000, commenting on the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA or the Agency) proposed rule on "Good Guidance Practices." Your letter raises many important issues that will be considered in developing FDA's final rule on this subject, which we anticipate issuing by the statutory deadline of July 1, 2000.

Because we are in the middle of a rulemaking process, we are unable to respond to your comments specifically at this time. However, we are forwarding your letter to the public docket for this rulemaking. We appreciate your continued interest in the Agency's procedures for the development, issuance, and use of guidance documents.

If you have further questions about this or any other matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Melinda K. Plaisier
Associate Commissioner
for Legislation

cc: Dockets Management Branch
(HFA-305)

99N-4783

C12 /ANS

Page 2 - The Honorable David M. McIntosh

cc: The Honorable Dan Burton
Chairman
Committee on Government Reform

The Honorable Dennis Kucinich
Ranking Minority Member
Subcommittee on National Economic Growth,
Natural Resources and Regulatory Affairs

DAN BURTON, INDIANA
- CHAIRMAN

BENJAMIN A. CALMAN, NEW YORK
CONSTANOE A. MORELLA, MARYLAND
CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT
ILEANA ROSS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA
JOHN M. MCCULLOUGH, NEW YORK
STEPHEN ROHRN, CALIFORNIA
JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA
THOMAS M. DAVIS III, VIRGINIA
DAVID M. MCINTOSH, INDIANA
MARK S. SOUDER, INDIANA
JOE SCARBOROUGH, FLORIDA
STEVEN C. LITOURNETT, OHIO
MARSHALL "MARK" SANFORD, SOUTH CAROLINA
BOB DARR, GEORGIA
DAN MILLER, FLORIDA
ABA RUTONINSON, ARKANSAS
LEG TERRY, NEBRASKA
JUDY BISHOP, ILLINOIS
GREG WALDEN, OREGON
DOUG OSE, CALIFORNIA
PAUL RYAN, WISCONSIN
HELEN CHENOWETH-THORPE, IDAHO
DAVID WITTER, LOUISIANA

ONE HUNDRED SIXTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM

2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (202) 225-8074
MINORITY (202) 225-5051
TTY (202) 225-8652

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER
TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
ROBERT G. WISE, JR., WEST VIRGINIA
MAJOR R. DWIGGS, NEW YORK
EDOLPHUS TOWNSEND, NEW YORK
PAUL A. HANCOCK, PENNSYLVANIA
PATSY T. MINK, HAWAII
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELIZABETH HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CHAKA FATTAH, PENNSYLVANIA
ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
ROD R. BLAGOVICH, ILLINOIS
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
JOHN F. Tierney, MASSACHUSETTS
JIM TURNER, TEXAS
THOMAS H. ALLEN, MAINE
HAROLD E. FORD, JR., TENNESSEE
JAMIE D. SCHAKOWSKY, ILLINOIS

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT,
INDEPENDENT

April 12, 2000

BY FACSIMILE

The Honorable Jane E. Henney
Commissioner
Food and Drug Administration
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857

Re: Docket 99N-4783

Dear Commissioner Henney:

I am writing to comment on the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) proposed rule entitled "Administrative Practices and Procedures, Good Guidance Practices" (GGPs), published in the Federal Register on February 14, 2000 (65 Fed. Reg. 7321).

I have long been concerned about FDA's development and use of non-codified guidance documents and other informal agency statements. On September 14, 1995, I chaired a hearing on the citizen's petition filed by the Indiana Medical Device Manufacturers Council to reform FDA's development and use of guidance documents. This proposed rule represents an important structural reform. I applaud FDA for recognizing the need to increase training and for focusing on changing the attitude of its personnel to ensure that nonbinding guidance documents are not used to impose new mandatory requirements.

The GGPs proposed rule implements section 405 of the FDA Modernization Act of 1997 (FDAMA), which amended the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) by adding a new section 701(h). This section requires FDA to codify its informal GGPs by July 1, 2000. This section also directs FDA to develop guidance documents with public participation and ensure that they are readily available to the public in written and electronic form. FDA's GGPs proposed rule is a step in the right direction toward implementing these Congressional directives. However, I have the following five specific concerns.

First, FDA must refrain from using non-codified guidance documents as a substitute for rulemaking under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). The legal protections provided in the

No. 00-2589

APA and other laws governing rulemaking procedure (e.g., the Regulatory Flexibility Act) ensure that interested parties and the public can participate meaningfully in the development of binding regulations. Moreover, rules and guidance documents with general applicability or legal effect are subject to Congressional review under the Congressional Review Act. Public and Congressional participation in rulemaking helps develop better rules and is a hallmark of our democratic system of government.

Second, FDA's GGP's proposed rule does not clearly inform the regulated community and the public that guidance documents are not legally binding. The proposed GGP's rule would require that all guidance documents include basic identifying information, including a statement explaining their nonbinding legal effect (proposed 21 C.F.R. § 110.15(i)). However, it does not require that the statement be displayed prominently, in a place (e.g., the beginning of the document), where readers will be certain to see it. Requiring such a statement is important, and I support this approach. In fact, I introduced a bill in this Congress, H.R. 3521, entitled "The Congressional Accountability for Regulatory Information Act of 2000," which would require Federal agencies to include in the beginning of their guidance documents a statement of their nonbinding effect. When requiring such important disclosures, FDA often mandates that they be prominent, e.g., 21 C.F.R. § 101.15 (Food; prominence of required statements). I urge FDA to revise the proposal to require that the basic information required in all guidance documents, including the statement of nonbinding effect, be displayed prominently.

Third, FDA's GGP's proposed rule does not adequately encourage FDA to seek public participation before FDA solidifies its views and creates a draft guidance document. FDAMA section 405 requires FDA to "develop guidance documents with public participation." Collaboration with interested parties and the public about approaches to a problem or issue is likely to be more meaningful when done early in the process and before FDA settles on an approach. Early public participation is essential to the legitimacy of allowing unelected administrators to make public policy decisions. Therefore, I urge FDA to revise its proposal to actively encourage such pre-proposal collaboration by substituting "shall" for "may" and "and" for "or" in its proposed section on collaboration. Thus, 21 C.F.R. § 10.115(g)(1)(i) would read: "Before FDA prepares a draft of a Level 1 guidance document, FDA shall seek and accept early input from individuals or groups outside the agency...."

Fourth, FDA's proposed rule on GGP's proposes to retreat to publishing FDA's Guidance Development Agenda to only once per year, instead of twice, and FDA does not prioritize topics for guidance development. The useful Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions is published twice a year; FDA should follow this practice. Interested parties and the public need information about FDA's priorities to participate meaningfully in guidance development. I do not think collecting and providing this minimal information to interested parties and the public is that burdensome. For example, the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition already issues similar annual priority agendas and such priority setting should be done generally by FDA as a management tool. Moreover, under our democratic system of government, the people have a fundamental right to know the priorities of regulatory officials.

Finally, FDA's GGP's proposed rule fails to implement the FDAMA section 405 requirement that FDA identify an appeal process for substantive concerns about a guidance document. The GGP's proposed rule identifies an appeal process only when procedural requirements of the GGP's were not

DAN BURTON, INDIANA
CHAIRMAN
BENJAMIN A. GILMAN, NEW YORK
CONSTANCE A. MORELLA, MARYLAND
CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT
KEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA
JOHN W. McHUGH, NEW YORK
STEPHEN HORN, CALIFORNIA
JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA
THOMAS M. DAVIS III, VIRGINIA
DAVID M. MCINTOSH, INDIANA
MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA
JOE SCARBOROUGH, FLORIDA
STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, OHIO
MARSHALL 'MARK' SANFORD, SOUTH CAROLINA
BOB BARR, GEORGIA
DAN MILLER, FLORIDA
ASA HUTCHINSON, ARKANSAS
LEE TERRY, NEBRASKA
JUDY BRIGERT, ILLINOIS
GREG WALDEN, OREGON
DOUG OSE, CALIFORNIA
PAUL RYAN, WISCONSIN
JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, CALIFORNIA
HELEN CHENOWETH, IDAHO

ONE HUNDRED SIXTH CONGRESS
Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM

2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (205) 225-6074
MINORITY (202) 225-6051
TTY (202) 225-6262

HENRY A. WADSWAN, CALIFORNIA
RANKING MEMBER
TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
ROBERT E. WISE, JR., WEST VIRGINIA
MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK
EDOLPHUS TOMASI, NEW YORK
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
PATY T. MINK, HAWAII
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES MORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
SHARAH FRITMAN, PENNSYLVANIA
BLUINN E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DANIEL J. KUCINICH, OHIO
ROD R. BLAGOVICH, ILLINOIS
RANNY K. DANIS, ILLINOIS
JOHN F. TIERNEY, MASSACHUSETTS
JIM TURNER, TEXAS
THOMAS M. ALLEN, MAINE
HAROLD R. POAD, JR., TENNESSEE
JANICE D. SCHAKOWSKY, ILLINOIS

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT,
INDEPENDENT

**SUBCOMMITTEE ON NATIONAL ECONOMIC GROWTH,
NATURAL RESOURCES, AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS**

B-377 Rayburn House Office Building

Washington, DC 20515

Phone: (202) 225-4407

Fax: (202) 225-2441

<http://www.house.gov/reform/neg>

FAX TRANSMITTAL

TO: THE HONORABLE JANE HENNEY

FAX NUMBER: 1-301-443-3100

of PAGES: 4 (including cover page) DATE: 4/12/00

FROM: K Chairman David M. McIntosh

____ Marlo Lewis - Staff Director
(marlo.lewis@mail.house.gov)

____ Barbara Kahlow - Professional Staff
(barbara.kahlow@mail.house.gov)

____ Heather Henderson - Counsel
(heather.henderson@mail.house.gov)

____ Bill Waller - Counsel
(bill.waller@mail.house.gov)

____ Gabe Rubin - Clerk
(gabe.rubin@mail.house.gov)

____ Danny Phillips - Intern
(danny.phillips@mail.house.gov)

COMMENTS:

[Empty box for comments]