



# Trial Considerations for Unmet Need

Sumati Nambiar, MD MPH

Director, Division of Anti-Infective Products, FDA

FDA Unmet Need Workshop

July 18, 2016

## Unmet Need Antibacterial Drugs

- Examples of types of antibacterial drugs suitable for an unmet need development pathway
  - Act via new mechanisms of action
  - Have an added inhibitor that neutralizes a mechanism of resistance
  - Activity preserved in setting of resistance to other antibacterial drugs

## General Considerations

- Smaller data package; greater uncertainty about risks and benefits
  - Single adequate and well-controlled trial may be adequate with supportive evidence
  - Thorough evaluation of activity in vitro and in animal models of infection would be needed to support the smaller clinical data package
- Healthcare community should be aware of greater uncertainty about risks and benefits
- Risks and benefits will be communicated appropriately in labeling
  - Labeling from such programs will include a limited use statement

## Expected Data

- Adequate *in vitro* data and activity in relevant animal models of infection
- Evaluation of PK/PD relationships from animal models of infection
- Understanding the PK in patients with renal or hepatic impairment early in development
  - Generating these data early would facilitate enrollment of such patients as they often have important comorbidities
- Collection of PK data in clinical trials (e.g., informative sparse sampling in all patients enrolled)

## Statutory Standards

- Drugs being developed to address unmet need must meet the statutory standard for effectiveness
  - Substantial evidence as “evidence consisting of adequate and well-controlled investigations, including clinical investigations,…” (FD&C Act)
    - 21 CFR 314.126(b): Adequate and well-controlled studies
  - Section 115(a) of the Modernization Act clarified that the Agency may consider “data from one adequate and well-controlled clinical investigation and confirmatory evidence” to constitute substantial evidence

## Unmet Need: Noninferiority Trials (1)

- Well-conducted noninferiority (NI) trials of antibacterial drugs are critical to maintaining a robust pipeline of antibacterial drugs to meet patient needs
- Treatment options should be available before new mechanism(s) of resistance emerge
- If clinical trials in patients with unmet need are easy to conduct due to the high levels of resistance, then antibacterial drug development has not kept pace with emergence of resistance

## Unmet Need: Noninferiority Trials (2)

- A well-conducted NI trial will provide evidence of a drug's efficacy in a given body site of infection
- Generally, will be limited to situations where the baseline microorganism(s) are susceptible to both test and comparator drug
  - Trial often enrolls relatively few (or no) patients infected with MDR phenotype microorganism(s)
- Supported by evidence for the drug's activity from *in vitro* data and animal models of infection

## Unmet Need: Noninferiority Trials (3)

1. A single noninferiority trial at one body site
  - Important to enroll patients with severity of illness/comorbidities similar to those seen in patients with unmet need
  - Wider NI margin acceptable
- May be supplemented with data from a study in patients with infection due to the resistance phenotype of interest
  - Provides PK data in a sicker population/more comorbidities
  - Provides some clinical experience in patients with infections due to organisms with the resistance phenotype of interest

## Unmet Need: Noninferiority Trials (4)

### 2. NI trial pooling across body sites; poses additional challenges

- The magnitude of treatment effect varies across infection types
- Endpoints vary between infection types
- Trial may not demonstrate a potential deficit in treatment effect across the different infection types that are pooled

Pertel PE, et al. CID 2008;46(8):1142-51;

Doripenem Drug Safety Communication; <http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm388328.htm>;

Tigecycline Drug Safety Communication::<http://www.fda.gov/drugs/drugsafety/ucm369580.htm>;

## Superiority Trials (1)

- Provides a clear finding of efficacy
- Ability to rely on superiority is likely time-limited
  - Once a new therapy becomes available, ongoing trial designed to show superiority over standard of care (SOC) will likely become unethical and would probably need to be stopped
  - Subsequent trials will be NI trials
- Superiority can be demonstrated at a single body site or by pooling across certain body sites with a representative sample from each type of infection

## Superiority Trials (2)

1. Superiority over active comparator
  - Usually dependent upon the comparator arm of the trial representing suboptimal treatment
  - Very infrequently an antibacterial drug provides additional benefit over active SOC
  - Recent example of a trial in cUTI with ceftolozane-tazobactam where superiority of ceftolozane-tazobactam over levofloxacin was demonstrated
    - ~26% of baseline isolates in the comparator arm were levofloxacin non-susceptible

[http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2015/206829s001lbl.pdf](http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/206829s001lbl.pdf)

## Superiority Trials (3)

### 2. Superiority over external controls

- Challenges in obtaining comparable external control group are described in ICH E10
- Comparability of the treatment and control groups is a challenge as the groups can differ not only in known risk factors but also in unrecognized or inadequately measured risk factors leading to potential bias
- Untreated historical control groups tend to have worse outcomes than an apparently similarly chosen control group in a randomized study, possibly reflecting a selection bias

### 3. Add on design: Test drug plus standard of care (SOC) vs. SOC plus placebo

## Superiority Trials (4)

- Pooling across body sites (cIAI, cUTI, HABP/VABP) is acceptable; ~ 50% HABP/VABP where deficits in performance of antibacterial drugs have been seen
- Patients with documented infections due to a certain resistance phenotype, e.g. carbapenemase production
- Best available therapy is used as comparator
- All-cause mortality or disease specific definition of clinical success are acceptable endpoints
- We have considered allowing the use of one sided alpha of 0.05, given that the comparator regimen might have some treatment effect

## Nested NI/Superiority Trial Design

- An NI trial where baseline pathogens may or may not have resistance phenotype of interest
  - Demonstrate NI in the population susceptible to comparator
  - Demonstrate superiority in the subset of patients with baseline microorganism(s) resistant to comparator
  - Non-inferiority should be demonstrated before superiority can be tested. However, if superiority not demonstrated, does not impact on the conclusion of noninferiority

IDSA, White paper: recommendations on the conduct of superiority and organism-specific clinical trials of antibacterial agents for the treatment of infections caused by drug-resistant bacterial pathogens. Clin Infect Dis, 55(8):1031-1046

Huque et al. Hierarchical nested trial design (HNTD) for demonstrating treatment efficacy of new antibacterial drugs in patient populations with emerging bacterial resistance. Stat Med. 2014 Jun 23.

## Development Program: Example 1

Spectrum of activity includes Enterobacteriaceae and *P. aeruginosa*; activity against several ESBLs including serine carbapenemases

1. A single NI trial at one body site:
  - Can be tested as monotherapy in cUTI/cIAI
  - For HABP/VABP, will need to address issue of concomitant therapy used to treat *P. aeruginosa*
2. Superiority Trials
  - Superiority at a body site
  - Pooled across body sites
3. Nested NI/superiority

## Development Program: Example 2

- Antibacterial drug has activity only against a single species, e.g. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*
- Topic for Day 2 of the workshop

## Development Program: Example 3

- New beta-lactamase inhibitor (BLI) being combined with an approved beta-lactam (BL) antibacterial drug
- Under 505(b)(2) of the FDCA, can rely in part on the Agency's finding of safety and effectiveness for the corresponding approved indications for the beta-lactam
  - This information can provide part of the evidence needed for the new BL-BLI combination

## Development Program: Example 3

- Justification that the addition of the BLI addresses an unmet need should be provided
- Need robust evidence of the contribution of the BLI in restoring the activity of the beta-lactam from *in vitro* studies and animal models of infection
- Adequate dose rationale should be provided including the appropriate ratio of the BL and BLI
- Adequate safety data needed for the beta-lactamase inhibitor and the combination product

## Development Program: Example 3

Clinical data package could vary; depends on the approved indications for the BL in the combination and the indications in which the BL-BLI have been studied

1. A single adequate and well-controlled NI trial in a body site of infection would suffice; does not need to be enriched for organisms that are non-susceptible to the chosen BL
2. Smaller trials in indications for which the BL is approved might be acceptable; would ideally include some patients with infections due to beta-lactamase producing microorganisms

## Development Program: Example 4

- Product being developed as adjunctive therapy to standard of care (SOC)
  - Inhaled antibacterial drugs being developed for VABP
  - Immune modulators
  - Monoclonal antibody targeting a specific microorganism
- Trial design:
  - Superiority trial
  - Test drug plus standard of care versus standard of care

## Summary

- Noninferiority trial at a single body site
  - Wider NI margin
  - Could include a nested superiority option
- Superiority trial
  - At one body site or pooling across body sites; compared to best available therapy
  - Test drug plus SOC vs. SOC
- For a new beta-lactamase inhibitor being combined with an approved beta-lactam antibacterial drug, could rely in part on Agency's finding of safety and effectiveness of the approved beta-lactam