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Central Messages

• FDA has clear role in supporting scientific and rigorous assessment of marijuana, including product development and regulation of marketing
  • The promise of safety, efficacy and reliability is not good enough

However

• FDA needs to do all it can to support the needed scientific research with marijuana to characterize its therapeutic promise
CURRENT STATUS OF MARIJUANA
State and Federal
Status of Marijuana in the States

• As of March 2016
  • 23 States have statutes recognizing “medical marijuana”
  • 4 states (AK, CO, OR, WA) and DC have approved recreational marijuana
  • 13 states have statutes recognizing cannabidiol (CBD) for medical use
Status of Marijuana Laws in the US

Source: NORML, Drug Policy Alliance, and the Marijuana Policy Project
SELECTED FEDERAL ROLES RELATED TO MARIJUANA

NIDA, DEA, and FDA
Status of Marijuana at the Federal Level

- Controlled Substances Act of 1970:
  - Marijuana regulated under **Schedule I**, defined as having:
    - High potential for abuse
    - No currently accepted medical use
    - Lack of accepted safety for use under medical supervision
NIDA/NIH

• National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA):
  • Conducts and supports scientific research with marijuana and compounds found in marijuana
  • Oversees the cultivation of marijuana at the University of Mississippi (through a contract)
    • Designated by DEA as the single source of marijuana for medical research
    • NIDA assesses the varieties and quantities needed to meet anticipated US research needs
    • DEA establishes yearly quota of the amount grown
  • NIDA provides marijuana to researchers when:
    • Demonstrated scientific validity and ethical soundness of study
    • Submitted/approved IND to the FDA
    • Have a DEA Schedule 1 controlled substance license
DEA

- Oversees investigator registration and site licensure to conduct studies using marijuana
- As a Schedule I controlled substance marijuana use in a clinical trial DEA requires special registration for the investigator and the site where the study will be conducted (CFR §1301.18 DEA, Research Protocols)
FDA

- **Scientific role:**
  - Scientific assessment (‘8-factor analysis’) on appropriate controls (‘schedule’) for marijuana to HHS and DEA

- **Regulatory role:**
  - *Support and regulate scientific research on potential therapeutic uses of marijuana cmpds*

- **Enforcement role:**
  - Take actions as necessary against products containing compounds found in marijuana, particularly those that present human health risks or that make illegal claims in labeling
FDA Work on Marijuana
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FDA ROLES WITH MARIJUANA
Selected FDA Roles in Regulating Marijuana

• Scientific: providing scientific input (‘8-factor analysis’) on the appropriate controls for MJ (‘Scheduling’)
Scheduling Basics

- Scheduling: Classification of drugs based on abuse potential; medical use; physical/psychological dependence
- Five Schedules for control (CI- CV) in decreasing abuse potential order
  - Each schedule has different manufacturing, distribution and prescribing controls necessary
  - Aim to ensure medical availability while reducing abuse and diversion
- Different penalties are also associated with the various Schedules
### Criteria for Scheduling and Schedules under the Controlled Substance Act (CSA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abuse Potential</th>
<th>Low relative to CII</th>
<th>Low relative to CIII</th>
<th>Low relative to CIV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Medical Use</td>
<td>Medical Use</td>
<td>Medical Use</td>
<td>Medical Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of accepted safety under medical supervision</td>
<td>Psychological or Physiological Dependence</td>
<td>Psychological or Physiological Dependence</td>
<td>Psychological or Physiological Dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Psych or Physical</td>
<td>High to CII</td>
<td>High to CIII</td>
<td>High to CIV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Schedules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Schedule I</strong></th>
<th><strong>Schedule II</strong></th>
<th><strong>Schedule III</strong></th>
<th><strong>Schedule IV</strong></th>
<th><strong>Schedule V</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>Opioids</td>
<td>Opioids (Codeine combinations, Buprenorphine)</td>
<td>Benzodiazepines and other depressants (Zaleplon, Zolpidem, Eszopiclone)</td>
<td>Opioids in limited quantities and in combinations (Codeine, Dihydrocodeine, Difenoxin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogens</td>
<td>Barbiturates</td>
<td>Barbiturates (combinations and products)</td>
<td>Fenfluramine Modafinil Butorphanol Tramadol</td>
<td>Pregabalin Lacosamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>Ketamine GHB Marinol Anabolic Steroids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>Amphetamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methylphenidate Methamphetamine PCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Medical Use**: Indicates substances with high abuse potential, limited in quantity and form for medical use.
- **No Medical Use**: Substances with high abuse potential, but not limited for medical use.
- **Psychological or Physiological Dependence**: Categories from severe to limited under medical supervision.
- **Lack of accepted safety under medical supervision**: Indicates substances with low acceptance for medical use.
- **Criteria for Scheduling**: Based on abuse potential and dependence risk.
Statutory Basis for Scheduling Recommendation

CSA requires HHS to consider 8 factors:
1. Actual or relative potential for abuse
2. Scientific evidence of pharmacological effect
3. Current scientific knowledge regarding the substance
4. History and current pattern of abuse
5. Scope, duration, and significance of abuse
6. Risk to public health
7. Psychic or physiological dependence liability
8. Immediate precursor of a substance already controlled
Case Law on Meaning of “Currently Accepted Medical Use”

1. The drug’s chemistry is known and reproducible
2. There are adequate safety studies
3. There are adequate and well-controlled studies proving efficacy
4. The drug is accepted by qualified experts
5. The scientific evidence is widely available

57 FR 10499, 10504-06 (March 26, 1992).
Inter-Agency Drug Scheduling Process

DEA Requests HHS to Schedule, Reschedule, or Deschedule, from Citizen Petition

Forwarded To FDA

Scientific Review, 8-factor Analysis

Scheduling Concurrence by NIDA

FDA Commissioner Sign-Off Transmittal to HHS for Sign-off

HHS Transmits Scheduling Recommendation To DEA

DEA Publishes the Recommendation in Federal Register (30-60 Day Comment Period)

DEA Publishes Final Notice on the Scheduling Action
Recent Scheduling History of MJ

• Controlled Substances Act of 1970 – MJ in Schedule 1
• 2001, 2006, FDA/HHS recommends that marijuana remain in Schedule I
• 2009 – Bryan Krumm submits a petition to DEA requesting that marijuana be removed from Schedule I
• 2011 – Governors of Rhode Island and Washington petition DEA “for the reclassification of medical cannabis from Schedule I to Schedule II of the CSA”
Status of Current 8-Factor Analysis and HHS Recommendation

• Scientific review of publically-available data on clinical uses of MJ by FDA and NIDA ongoing
  • Risk of abuse
  • Accepted medical use
• Results and recommendation follow process described earlier
Selected FDA Roles in Regulating Marijuana

- **Scientific:** providing scientific input (‘8-factor analysis’) on appropriate controls on MJ
- **Regulatory:** supporting drug development from MJ
FDA & Marijuana Drug Development

• Two products approved:
  • Marinol (dronabinol) (1985): nausea from cancer chemotherapy
  • Cesamet (nabilone) (1985 (2006)): nausea & neuropathic pain
FDA & Drug Development from Marijuana*

• FDA actively supports development of drugs from marijuana:
  • Guidance on the use of botanicals (e.g., marijuana) as sources for drugs – August 2015
    • Focus on measures to take to help assure quality manufacturing
  • Expediting drug development using available tools:
    • Orphan Disease designation, Priority Review, Fast Track Designation

* http://www.fda.gov/newsevents/publichealthfocus/ucm421168.htm
FDA & Marijuana Drug Development

• Current research focused on two compounds:
  • Cannabidiol (CBD)
  • Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)

• Drugs in clinical testing (3 therapeutic areas):
  • Sativex (CBD & THC) for cancer pain & spasticity
    • Approved in Europe and Asia
  • Epidiolex (CBD) for childhood seizures
    • March 14: GW Pharmaceuticals announced positive phase 3 pivotal study results in Dravet’s Syndrome
    • INSYS also investigating CBD for infantile spasms
FDA & Drug Development from MJ

- FDA supports access to investigational drugs from MJ:
  - Expanded Access (EA) programs allow access to investigational drugs during development under IND
    - Set up by developer and investigator
      - Requires safety data collection and human subjects protection
    - Example: EA program for Epidiolex
      - Over 400 children have received Epidiolex through EA
  - FDA and NIDA are reviewing the scientific data on CBD to identify gaps in the database on CBD abuse potential

http://www.fda.gov/newsevents/publichealthfocus/ucm421168.htm
Selected FDA Roles in Regulating Marijuana

- **Scientific:** providing scientific input ('8-factor analysis') on appropriate controls on MJ
- **Regulatory:** supporting drug development from MJ
- **Enforcement:** taking enforcement actions against products containing MJ when necessary
Cannabidiol Edibles
CBD Warning Letters

- FDA has enforcement role to target nationally marketed products making egregious health claims
  - Includes products that allege to contain CBD
- FDA has issued two sets (Feb 2015 & Feb 2016) of warning letters (14 total) to those marketing unapproved drugs for the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of diseases
- Some of these firms claim that their products contain cannabidiol (CBD)

--http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/PublicHealthFocus/ucm421163.htm
Examples of Claims

• “[S]tudies have found CBD to possess the following medical properties: … Antipsychotic – combats psychosis disorders…combats neurodegenerative disorders … Anti-tumoral – combats tumor and cancer cells …combats…depression disorders”

• Treats rheumatoid arthritis

• CBD helps with cancer, multiple sclerosis …diabetes, arthritis, dystonia, Crohn’s disease…

http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/PublicHealthFocus/ucm435591.htm
Results of Analytic Testing

• FDA has tested these products, and many were found to not contain the levels of CBD they claimed to contain. Consumers should beware purchasing and using any such products.
  • http://www.fda.gov/newsevents/publichealthfocus/ucm484109.htm
Other FDA Marijuana Activities

• Work with outside groups on issues related to marijuana:
  • States that have legalized use of MJ, either recreational or medical
    • Discuss state experiences and data related to safety
  • States interested in supporting research and in expanded access for patients
  • Press, state officials, legislators, advocacy groups, patients, researchers
Other FDA Marijuana Activities: Safety Surveillance on MJ

- Databases and data on MJ safety are limited:
  - FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) focused on collecting reports for drugs, MJ collected incompletely

- Need new data sources to help:
  - Describe relationship between levels of CBD or THC and adverse outcomes
  - Characterize at-risk populations (e.g., children)

- FDA supporting CDC requested (Mar 2016) report from National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine to assess health risks and consequences of MJ use
Summary

- FDA has multiple activities ongoing around marijuana
- Ongoing FDA work includes:
  - Providing scientific advice on the risks of marijuana and its constituents
  - Supporting rigorous scientific research into therapeutic value of marijuana and its constituents
  - Taking appropriate actions related to the marketing of products containing marijuana or its constituents
Conclusions

• FDA will continue to support development of specific new drugs that are safe, effective, and manufactured to a high quality

• Drug development, grounded in rigorous scientific research is essential to determining the appropriate uses of marijuana and its constituents in the treatment of human disease

• FDA is committed to making this process as efficient as possible and looking for ways to speed the availability of new drugs from marijuana for the American public
Thank You

- CDER Human Drug Information Division of Drug Information
  - (855) 543-3784, or
  - (301) 796-3400
  - http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/OfficeofMedicalProductsandTobacco/CDER/ucm082585

- douglas.throckmorton@fda.hhs.gov
“It was impossible to get a conversation going, everybody was talking too much.”

Yogi Berra