

**From:** [OC GCP Questions](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Electronic DOA logs  
**Date:** Friday, March 25, 2016 10:42:45 AM

---

Good morning –

Please see the guidance document on electronic signatures and validation.

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/ucm125125.pdf>

As you are probably aware, delegation logs are not addressed in the FDA regulations related to the conduct of clinical trials (21 CFR Part 312 for drugs and biologics and Part 812 for medical devices). Such a log is also not specified in the list of essential documents in the ICH good clinical practice (GCP) guidance document (ICH E6), though signature sheets are included there. Appropriate delegation of clinical trial responsibilities is addressed in the FDA guidance regarding clinical investigator responsibility (available at [www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM187772.pdf](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM187772.pdf)).

The guidance document states:

*It is common practice for investigators to delegate certain study-related tasks to employees, colleagues, or other third parties (individuals or entities not under the direct supervision of the investigator). When tasks are delegated by an investigator, the investigator is responsible for providing adequate supervision of those to whom tasks are delegated. The investigator is accountable for regulatory violations resulting from failure to adequately supervise the conduct of the clinical study. The investigator should ensure that any individual to whom a task is delegated is qualified by education, training, and experience (and state licensure where relevant) to perform the delegated task.*

*Though a delegation log is not required, it is commonly used at clinical sites to document who is assigned essential study tasks. While an FDA investigator would not routinely request to see such a log during a bioresearch monitoring (BIMO) inspection of a site, since it is not a regulatory requirement, he/she may request documentation of who performed which task during a study, particularly when regulatory noncompliance is observed. The clinical investigator is ultimately responsible for the conduct of the study. However, determining if an observed noncompliance resulted from improper delegation of a task is essential to correction of the problem, in the present study or in future studies if the study inspected is already complete. However a site chooses to document those assigned to essential study tasks, it should be updated whenever there is a change in personnel performing any of those tasks. The date on which the designation of the individual was made should be captured, but an ending date would not be necessary. Later addition of a different person for the same task would suffice. If the same study site personnel are assigned essential tasks throughout the life of the study, then no update would be required.*

That said electronic signatures for DOA logs may not conflict with FDA regulations as long as you follow the recommended guidelines in the guidance document.

FDA regulations pertaining to recordkeeping practices for clinical trial records are fairly general. The regulations do not specifically address signing or dating of documents by the clinical investigator, nor do the regulations prohibit the use of date stamps by clinical investigators. Sites therefore have flexibility in how they handle documents at their sites because FDA's regulations do not specify how this must be done.

I would suggest that if the site is contemplating the use of date or signature stamps, from a practical standpoint, they might wish to consider developing standard operating procedures (SOPs) for their use. If a signature stamp were to be employed, the SOPs should address any necessary controls over the stamp, for example, who is authorized to use the stamp, where the stamp is stored and how access to

the stamp is controlled, the type(s) of correspondence on which it may be used, and the circumstances for its use (e.g., cover letters providing routine or general information). If the site subsequently follows the SOPs that you develop, then it would appear to be acceptable and in keeping with good clinical practice.

I hope this information is helpful. Please contact us again at [gcp.questions@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:gcp.questions@fda.hhs.gov) should you have additional questions.

Kind regards,

Doreen M. Kezer, MSN  
Senior Health Policy Analyst  
Office of Good Clinical Practice  
Office of the Commissioner, FDA

This communication does not constitute a written advisory opinion under 21 CFR 10.85, but rather is an informal communication under 21 CFR 10.85(k) which represents the best judgment of the employee providing it. This information does not necessarily represent the formal position of FDA, and does not bind or otherwise obligate or commit the agency to the views expressed.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2016 4:29 PM  
**To:** OC GCP Questions  
**Subject:** Electronic DOA logs

A site has an electronic delegation of authority log with only electronic signatures. There are no physical signatures associated with this DOA log, study staff or PI. The PI's electronic approval signature stamp may be found on the final page (name/date/time/email)

Is this acceptable?