

From: [OC GCP Questions](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Response is in-progress: Clinical Database AE Cut-off Dates
Date: Wednesday, February 26, 2014 9:41:03 AM

Dear Z^ää&^ää–

You are correct in your statement below that generally information is not collected when a subject completes or withdraws from a trial. However, it must be different for collecting adverse events. I would have to defer to CDER OMP as they wrote the guidance on adverse events and are considered the experts. I would contact them directly for answers to your additional questions.

CDEROMP@fda.hhs.gov

Or as suggested in their email, have the sponsor contact the appropriate FDA review division for clarification and guidance.

Kind regards,

Doreen M. Kezer, MSN
Senior Health Policy Analyst
Office of Good Clinical Practice
Office of the Commissioner, FDA

This communication does not constitute a written advisory opinion under 21 CFR 10.85, but rather is an informal communication under 21 CFR 10.85(k) which represents the best judgment of the employee providing it. This information does not necessarily represent the formal position of FDA, and does not bind or otherwise obligate or commit the agency to the views expressed.

From: GYUWYXQ
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 3:59 PM
To: OC GCP Questions
Subject: RE: Response is in-progress: Clinical Database AE Cut-off Dates

Doreen,

First, thank you for continuing to follow up in obtaining a response to the questions below. As the specific request was from a device sponsor, they are still interested in obtaining a response from CDRH.

With regard to the responses in 1.a. and 2, how can a sponsor collect data after the subject has exited the study since the protocol and consent form did not stipulate this? Would it not violate the subject's rights for the *sponsor* to monitor and collect data on a subject who is no longer in the study?

With respect to the response in 1.c., the protocol did not provide for this [as stipulated in the scenario below]. This is the reason for the question. I agree the investigator should ask the sponsor; however, it is the sponsor who is requesting clarification from the FDA on how to respond to the investigator. Again, this is an ongoing study with no provision in the protocol or consent form for

continued follow up after the subject has completed their last study visit and been exited from the study. Again, this ties back to question 1.a with respect to the sponsor's (not the investigator) obligation and rights to monitor and collect data on a subject who is no longer enrolled in the study. If I were a study subject, I would not expect that a pharmaceutical or medical device company could have the right to continue looking at my medical chart and collecting data about me after I completed the study. Am I incorrect? And I get it that we all would like that data (e.g., sponsors and FDA), but I question whether we have the right to collect it. Clearly, these are not easy questions; however, I have come across them enough at this point to ask for guidance. I want to ensure that any advice takes all parties and considerations into account (e.g., subject, sponsor, IRB, FDA). Can I please request a response to my additional questions?

Kind Regards,

k