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Executive Summary
The Sponsor submitted a New Drug Application (NDA) for Liletta, a levonorgestrel (LNG) intrauterine system (IUS), for the indication of prevention of pregnancy for up to 3 years. Liletta contains 52 mg of LNG. After insertion, the release rate of LNG is 18.6 µg/day initially and decreases to 16.3 µg/day at 1 year, 14.3 µg/day at 2 years, and 12.6 µg/day at 3 years. Other LNG-containing IUS products that are currently available in the US are Mirena® (52 mg) and Skylla® (13.5 mg), and they are indicated for the prevention of pregnancy for up to 5 and 3 years, respectively.

In support of this NDA, the Sponsor conducted a pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102) to assess the safety and contraceptive efficacy of Liletta. Three additional study reports submitted under this NDA include a Phase 3 study (Study Levosert-20) in patients with menorrhagia to provide safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) data, two Phase 1 clinical studies and Study M360-L104) to assess the successful placement of Liletta using inserter and inserter THI-002, respectively, in nulliparous and parous women aged 18 - 45 years.

No dedicated clinical pharmacology studies were conducted with Liletta. LNG systemic exposure following Liletta insertion was assessed in a subset of subjects in the pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102).

1.1 Recommendations
The Office of Clinical Pharmacology/Division of Clinical Pharmacology 3 (OCP/DCP3) has reviewed the clinical Pharmacology sections of NDA 206229. The submission is acceptable from a Clinical Pharmacology point of view pending agreement of labeling recommendations in the package insert.

1.2 Phase IV Requirement/Commitment
None

1.3 Summary of Important Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Findings

\( \text{In vivo} \) Release Rate:
Liletta contains 52 mg of LNG in a cylindrical-shaped reservoir. The reservoir is mounted on the vertical arm of a T-shaped plastic frame and is covered with a release rate controlling membrane. The initial \( \text{in vivo} \) release rate is 18.6 µg/day. This rate decreases progressively to approximately 16.3 µg/day at 1 year, 14.3 µg/day at 2 years, and 12.6 µg/day at 3 years after insertion. The average \( \text{in vivo} \) release rate of LNG is approximately 15.6 µg/day over a period of 3 years.

Absorption, Distribution and Elimination

- Absorption
  In the pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102), LNG systemic exposure was determined in a subset of 40 subjects at Day 7 and Months 1, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30 after Liletta insertion. In addition, LNG plasma concentrations were measured in 243 subjects who completed the Phase 3 study at Month 36. Plasma LNG concentrations following placement of Liletta are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial (Day-7)</th>
<th>Month-1</th>
<th>Month-6</th>
<th>Month-12</th>
<th>Month-18</th>
<th>Month-24</th>
<th>Month-30</th>
<th>Month-36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(N = 40)</td>
<td>(N = 40)</td>
<td>(N = 36)</td>
<td>(N = 33)</td>
<td>(N = 30)</td>
<td>(N = 29)</td>
<td>(N = 9)</td>
<td>(N = 243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252 ± 123</td>
<td>216 ± 75</td>
<td>195 ± 69</td>
<td>170 ± 50</td>
<td>149 ± 41</td>
<td>147 ± 46</td>
<td>135 ± 28</td>
<td>135 ± 51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Sponsor did not conduct any studies to characterize the distribution, metabolism and excretion of
LNG. The Sponsor proposes to rely on the literature findings for information on this regard via the 505 (b) (2) regulatory pathway.

- **Distribution**
  The apparent volume of distribution of LNG at steady-state following oral administration is reported to be approximately 1.8 L/kg. It is about 98.9% protein-bound, principally to sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) and, to a lesser extent, serum albumin.

- **Elimination**
  - **Metabolism**
    Following absorption, LNG is conjugated at the 17β-OH position to form sulfate conjugates and, to a lesser extent, glucuronide conjugates in serum. Significant amounts of conjugated and unconjugated 3α, 5β-tetrahydrolevonorgestrel are also present in serum, along with much smaller amounts of 3α, 5β-tetrahydrolevonorgestrel and 16β-hydroxylevonorgestrel. LNG and its phase I metabolites are excreted primarily as glucuronide conjugates. Metabolic clearance rates may differ among individuals by several-fold, and this may account in part for wide individual variations in LNG concentrations seen in individuals using LNG-containing contraceptive products. In vitro studies have demonstrated that oxidative metabolism of LNG is catalyzed by CYP enzymes, especially CYP3A4.
  - **Excretion**:
    About 45% of LNG and its metabolites are excreted in the urine and about 32% are excreted in feces, mostly as glucuronide conjugates. The elimination half-life of LNG after a single oral administration is approximately 13.9 ± 3.2 hours.

**Drug Product Formulation & IUS Inserter**

**Pivotal Phase 3 Formulation vs To-Be-Marketed (TBM) Formulation**

Formulation composition of the clinical trial formulation (pivotal Phase 3 study, study M360-L102) and the TBM formulation is the same. The in vitro drug release profiles are comparable between the Phase 3 and TBM formulations. Per CMC reviewer Dr. Nina Ni, these changes are found to be acceptable.

**Inserter**

Two different inserters (THI-001) were used in the pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102). The original two-handed inserter (THI-001) was used for the first 760 women. Enrollment was temporarily suspended due to reports from investigators of difficult placements, placement failures, and the need for cervical dilation. The Sponsor intends to market Liletta with a modified two-handed inserter (THI-002). Therefore, the Sponsor conducted Study M360-L104 to support the use of the new inserter. Considering Study M360-L104 did not sufficiently address Agency’s concerns on potential infection or late complication after insertion due to small sample size (100 subjects) and short duration of assessment (24 hours), the medical team will request post-marketing evaluation to assess the inserter THI-022 on ease of Liletta placement, adverse events (AE) and expulsions, infections and other AEs that may be related to the insertion procedure.

**Drug-Drug Interactions (DDI):**

No clinical DDI study was conducted under this NDA. Contraceptive effect of Liletta is mediated via the direct release of LNG into the uterine cavity and thus is unlikely to be affected by drug interactions via enzyme induction or inhibition.
Specific Populations:
Renal / Hepatic impairment:
No dedicated study was conducted to evaluate the effect of renal or hepatic impairment on the disposition of Liletta. Of 1545 subjects for Liletta efficacy analysis in the Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102), 29 subjects had hepatic disorder. No significant or additional adverse events associated with Liletta use have been noted for these subjects. Due to mainly local action of Liletta, the efficacy is not expected to be affected by renal or hepatic impairment. Plasma concentration of LNG could be elevated in women with impaired renal or hepatic function. However, considering the systemic exposure LNG in Liletta is much lower than that in LNG-containing oral contraceptives, use of Liletta in women with renal or hepatic impairment is not expected to be of a safety concern.

Pediatric study:
The Sponsor has requested the exemption from Pediatric Research Equity Act (PREA), as Liletta does not contain a new active ingredient, new indication, new dosage form, new dosing regimen, or new route of administration. The Agency agreed with PREA exemption (pre-NDA meeting minutes, DARRTS on October 17 2013).

Safety and efficacy of LILETTA were studied in 11 subjects aged 16 – 17 years in the Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102). There were no pregnancies in these females. Eight subjects experienced adverse events; of these, nasopharyngitis (3/11) and bacterial vaginitis (2/11) occurred in more than 1 subject. Efficacy is expected to be the same for postpubertal females under the age of 16 as for users 16 years and older.

Body Mass Index (BMI)
Out of 1751 subjects in pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102), 958 subjects (55%) were overweight (BMI from 25 to 30 kg/m²) or obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²). There was no difference in contraceptive efficacy based on BMI. In addition, no clinically significant differences in safety profiles were observed when analyzed by BMI. The effect of BMI on LNG exposure from Liletta was assessed in 21 non-obese (BMI ≤ 30 kg/m²) and 20 obese women from day 7 to Month 30 and 166 non-obese and 77 obese women at Month 36 in the Phase 3 study. For the total duration of 36 months of use, plasma LNG concentrations were about 25% – 40% lower in obese subjects than those in non-obese subjects.

Race
Out of 1751 subjects in pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102), 1370 (78.4%) were Caucasians, and 232 (13.3%) were African American. There was no apparent effect of race on contraceptive efficacy. In addition, no clinically significant differences in safety profile have been noted with the Lilleta when analyzed by race. Assessment of the effects of race on LNG exposure was performed on 40 subjects through Month 30 and 243 subjects at Month 36. No apparent impact of race on LNG concentrations was detected.

Bioanalytical Method Validation:
LNG plasma concentrations were determined using a validated liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method for Study M360-L102. Acceptance criteria and assay performance for LNG were found to be acceptable.

2 QUESTION BASED REVIEW
2.1 GENERAL ATTRIBUTES
2.1.1 What is Liletta? Are there any similar products in the US market?
Liletta is a LNG- IUS indicated for the prevention of pregnancy for up to 3 years. Liletta contains 52 mg of LNG. The initial in vivo release rate is 18.6 µg/day. This rate decreases progressively to approximately
16.3 µg/day at 1 year, 14.3 µg/day at 2 years, and 12.6 µg/day at 3 years after insertion. The average in vivo release rate of LNG is approximately 15.6 µg/day over a period of 3 years.

Other products that are currently marked in the US are Mirena® and Skyla® and they were approved in 2000 (NDA 21225) and (b) (NDA 203159), respectively. A comparison among the above three IUS products are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUS product</th>
<th>Duration of use</th>
<th>Total LNG (drug load)</th>
<th>Initial release rate</th>
<th>Release rate after 3- or 5-year of use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liletta</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>52 mg</td>
<td>18.6 µg/day (day 1)</td>
<td>12.6 µg/day (3-year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirena®</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>52 mg</td>
<td>20 µg/day</td>
<td>10 µg/day (5-year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyla®</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>13.5 mg</td>
<td>14 µg/day (day 24)</td>
<td>5 µg/day (3-year)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2 What are the highlights of the chemistry and physical-chemical properties of the drug substance and the formulation of the drug product as they relate to clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics review?

Active substance:
The active pharmacologic ingredient in Liletta is LNG. LNG USP is a white to off-white crystalline powder chemically described as (-)-13-Ethyl-17-hydroxy-18,19-dinor-17 alpha-pregn-4-en-20-yn-3-one. The structural formula is presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1 LNG chemical structure

![Figure 1 LNG chemical structure](image)

C_{21}H_{30}O_{2} \quad \text{MW 312.45}

Formulation:
As shown in Figure 2, Liletta consists of cylindrical drug reservoir mounted on a low density polyethylene T-frame. The drug reservoir is composed of a mixture of 52 mg LNG and polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membrane which covers the reservoir and regulates the release of LNG. A polypropylene monofilament thread is attached to an eyelet at the base of the T-frame for IUS removal. The non-drug components of the delivery system include the intrauterine drug delivery system as well as a device inserter tube and its associated plunger rod.

Figure 2 Schematic illustrations of Liletta and Inserter
2.1.3 What is the proposed mechanism of action?
The contraceptive effect of Liletta is mainly achieved via local progestogenic effect within the uterine cavity and cervix, including thickening of cervical mucus which prevents passage of sperm through the cervix and inhibition of sperm capacitation or survival, and alteration of the endometrium. Ovulation inhibition was not assessed in the pivotal Phase 3 study. Nonetheless, ovulation inhibition does not appear to play a significant role in IUS efficacy. In clinical trials with other LNG-releasing IUSs, ovulation was inhibited in some women but most cycles were ovulatory.

2.1.4 What are the clinical and clinical pharmacology data submitted to support the approval of Liletta?
In support of this NDA, the Sponsor conducted a pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102) and three supporting studies including two Phase 1 clinical studies (Study M360-L104) and a Phase 3 study (Study Levosert-20) to evaluate contraceptive efficacy and safety parameters of Liletta as well as the successful placement of Liletta using different inserters.

Study M360-L102:
A pivotal Phase 3, open-label safety and efficacy study of Liletta conducted in US for the indication of prevention of pregnancy for up to 3 years. The study is currently ongoing to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Liletta up to 5 years.

- Study Design:
  - This is a Phase 3 multi-center, open-label, evaluation of the efficacy of a LNG-releasing IUS. Two groups of women were enrolled and treated with Liletta: 1600 subjects 16-35 years old were enrolled to evaluate contraceptive efficacy (Efficacy Group) and 151 subjects 36-45 years old were enrolled to evaluate safety (Non-efficacy Group) in older women who more commonly choose intrauterine contraceptives. The demographic information of the study subjects are summarized in Table 3:

---

**Table 3** Demographic information in study subjects in Study M360-L102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Efficacy Group</th>
<th>Non-Efficacy Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects number (N)</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age*</td>
<td>26.2 ± 4.4 (18-35) years</td>
<td>39.6 ± 2.7 (36-45) years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparous</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>78.3 % white,</td>
<td>79.5% white</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Reviewer’s Notes:
The initial study design included Mirena® as a comparator for European regulatory filing of LNG20 IUS. The Mirena arm was stopped after 159 subjects had been enrolled. The limited Mirena data in this trial are not adequate to support any comparative conclusions regarding Liletta, but may be useful in comparing LNG exposure between Mirena® and Liletta.
13.3% African American
3.8% Asian

Average BMI
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>26.8 kg/m²</th>
<th>28.6 kg/m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI 30-39.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 40 kg/m²</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

o Primary Efficacy Results:
There were 2 on-treatment pregnancies in the Efficacy Group, both occurring in the first year. The contraceptive efficacy was evaluated using Pearl Index (PI) defined as the number of pregnancies per 100 woman-years. The PI and 95% confidence interval for Years 1, 2, and 3 for the Modified Intent-to-Treat (MITT) group were summarized in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pregnancies</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.02, 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02, 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01, 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative-3 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01, 0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

o Pharmacokinetic (PK) Assessment
LNG plasma concentrations at various times following Liletta placement were determined in a subset of 40 subjects at Day 7 and Months 1, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30. In addition, LNG plasma concentrations were measured in 243 subjects who completed the Phase 3 study at Month 36.

o Assessment on LNG in vivo release rate:
Ex vivo analysis: LNG release rate was determined by residual drug content analysis of 74 samples that removed or expelled over the course of the Phase 3 study M360-L102.

Study M360-L104: A supportive Phase 1 study to assess the successful placement of Liletta using the new optimized THI-002 inserter in nulliparous and parous women aged 18-45 years.

Study Levosert-20: A Phase 3, randomized study to assess to compare the efficacy and safety of the LNG-IUS product and Mirena® in patients with menorrhagia. The sponsor did not make any claim in regards to menorrhagia in the sponsor’s proposed label. The study was submitted mainly to support the safety of Liletta. In addition, LNG plasma concentrations were measured up to 3 years in patients with either Mirena® or LNG-IUS product.

Reviewer’s note:
Per Medical reviewer Dr. Dan Davis, the data from this study were not included in the overall summary of safety (refer to meeting minutes for Type C meeting held on 06/26/2012). In addition, the formulation, manufacture site and inserter of LNG-IUS for Study Levosert-20 are all different from those for the pivotal phase 3 study and the commercial product. The PK information from this study does not provide any significant relevance and therefore, it was not reviewed.

Clinical Pharmacology Studies:
No dedicated clinical pharmacology studies were conducted with Liletta. LNG plasma concentrations at various times following Liletta placement were determined in a subset of 40 subjects at Day 7 and
Months 1, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30. In addition, LNG plasma concentrations were measured in 243 subjects who completed the Phase 3 study at Month 36.

2.2 GENERAL CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

2.2.1 What are the PK characteristics of Liletta?

No dedicated PK study was conducted for Liletta. In the Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102), LNG plasma concentrations were determined from Day 7 to Month 30 in a subset of 57 subjects (16 to 35 years of age). Among those, 40 subjects received Liletta, and 17 subjects received Mirena®. At Month 36, LNG plasma concentrations were determined in 243 subjects who received Liletta and 36 subjects who received Mirena®. LNG plasma concentrations at various times following Liletta placement are shown in Table 5.

### Table 5 Plasma LNG Concentrations (mean ± SD, pg/mL) following Liletta placement in Study M360-L102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>LNG Concentration (pg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>252 ± 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-1</td>
<td>216 ± 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-6</td>
<td>195 ± 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-12</td>
<td>170 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-18</td>
<td>149 ± 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-24</td>
<td>147 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-30</td>
<td>135 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-36</td>
<td>135 ± 51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Are LNG concentrations from Liletta comparable to that in Mirena®?

Yes. The PK data from study M360-L102 showed similar LNG concentrations released from Liletta and Mirena® (Table 6).

### Table 6 LNG concentrations from Liletta and Mirena® in Study M360-L120

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Liletta</th>
<th>Mirena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day-7</td>
<td>310 ± 140</td>
<td>341 ± 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-1</td>
<td>248 ± 83</td>
<td>261 ± 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-6</td>
<td>230 ± 67</td>
<td>222 ± 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-12</td>
<td>192 ± 36</td>
<td>187 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-18</td>
<td>169 ± 38</td>
<td>169 ± 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-24</td>
<td>178 ± 38</td>
<td>150 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-30</td>
<td>137 ± 34</td>
<td>172 ± 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month-36</td>
<td>146 ± 48</td>
<td>148 ± 72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All subjects for PK assessment in Mirena treatment group are non-obese. Therefore, PK data only in non-obese subjects were presented for Liletta for a fair comparison.

2.2.3 What are the ADME characteristics of LNG released from LILETTA

The ADME of LNG after release from Liletta is described in Section 1.3.

2.2.4 Is there a depot effect after Liletta removal?

The Sponsor did not assess LNG plasma concentrations following Liletta Removal. In Phase 3 Study M360-L102, a subset of subjects were selected to evaluate for return of menses after Liletta removal. Out of 183 study subjects, 180 subjects (98.4%) had a returned menses within 3 months.

2.3 INTRINSIC FACTORS

2.3.1 What intrinsic factors (race, age (we also talked about young adolescents) body weight, and organ dysfunction) influence exposure (PK usually) and/or response, and what is the impact of any differences in exposure on contraceptive efficacy

**Relationship between BMI and Exposure**

The effect of BMI on LNG exposure was assessed in 40 subjects through Month 30 and 243 subjects at Month 36 in the Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102). As shown in Figure 4, women with higher BMI tends to have a lower LNG systemic exposure. In particular, plasma LNG concentrations were 25% –
40% lower in obese subjects than in non-obese subjects (Table 7). Additional data are needed to reach solid statistical conclusions on the effect of body weight on LNG plasma concentrations from Liletta.

**Figure 4** Mean Plasma LNG Concentrations in Obese and Non-obese Subjects Following Liletta Placement in study M360-L102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Month 1</th>
<th>Month 6</th>
<th>Month 12</th>
<th>Month 18</th>
<th>Month 24</th>
<th>Month 30</th>
<th>Month 36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-obese</strong></td>
<td>310 ± 140 (N = 21)</td>
<td>248 ± 83 (N = 21)</td>
<td>230 ± 67 (N = 20)</td>
<td>192 ± 36 (N = 18)</td>
<td>169 ± 38 (N = 16)</td>
<td>178 ± 38 (N = 15)</td>
<td>137 ± 34 (N = 6)</td>
<td>146 ± 48 (N = 166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obese</strong></td>
<td>188 ± 53 (N = 19)</td>
<td>180 ± 45 (N = 19)</td>
<td>151 ± 40 (N = 16)</td>
<td>144 ± 52 (N = 15)</td>
<td>126 ± 33 (N = 14)</td>
<td>114 ± 27 (N = 14)</td>
<td>131 ± 15 (N = 3)</td>
<td>111 ± 50 (N = 77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite a decreased LNG systemic exposure in obese women, there was no difference in contraceptive efficacy based on BMI; no pregnancies occurred in obese (BMI ≥ 30) subjects. No impact of lower plasma LNG on efficacy may be attributable to the local action of Liletta in the uterus. In addition, no clinically significant differences in safety profiles have been observed when analyzed by BMI.

**Relationship between Renal/Hepatic Impairment and Exposure/Contraceptive efficacy and safety**

No dedicated study was conducted to evaluate the effect of renal or hepatic impairment on the disposition of Liletta. Of 1545 subjects for Liletta efficacy analysis in the Phase 3 study, 29 subjects have hepatic disorder. No significant or additional adverse events have been noted for these subjects. Due to mainly local action of Liletta, the efficacy is not expected to be affected by renal or hepatic impairment. Plasma concentration of LNG could be elevated in women with impaired renal or hepatic function. However, considering the systemic exposure of LNG in Liletta is much lower than that in LNG-containing oral contraceptives, use of Liletta in women with renal or hepatic impairment is not expected to be of safety concern.

**Relationship between Race and Exposure/Contraceptive efficacy and safety**

Assessment of the effects of race on LNG exposure was performed on 40 subjects from Day 7 to Month 30 and 243 subjects at Month 36 (Figure 5). The effect of race on drug exposure was performed on White and Black/African American subgroups. The Asian and Multiple Races Indicated were not included in the evaluation due to the insufficiency of the sample size. No impact of race on LNG concentration was detected at any of the time points evaluated through Month 24 and in the main Phase 3 study (all subjects) at Month 36. There were insufficient data for the Month 30 time point to include in this analysis.
Additional data are needed for solid statistical conclusions on the impact of race on LNG plasma concentrations from Liletta. There was no apparent effect of race on contraceptive efficacy. In addition, no clinically significant differences in safety profile have been noted with the Liletta when analyzed by race.

**Figure 5** LNG Concentrations in subjects with different races at Month 36 following Liletta placement (NH/O: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander; AI/A: American Indian or Alaska Native)

![LNG Concentrations Graph](image)

### 2.4 EXTRINSIC FACTORS
No clinical DDI study was conducted under this NDA. Contraceptive effect of Liletta is mediated via the direct release of LNG into the uterine cavity and is unlikely to be affected by drug interactions via enzyme induction or inhibition.

### 2.5 GENERAL BIOPHARMACEUTICS

#### 2.5.1 What is the release rate of LNG from Liletta?
LNG release rate was determined by residual drug content analysis of 74 samples that removed or expelled over the course of the Phase 3 study. In particular, the drug content and time of exposure of the samples analyzed were fit by an exponential regression to calculate the initial and average *in vivo* release rate over the duration of the study. Using this method, the initial *in vivo* release rate is estimated to be 18.6 μg/day. The release rate decreases to 16.3 μg/day at 1 year, 14.3 μg/day at 2 years, and 12.6 μg/day after 3 years. The average *in vivo* release rate of LNG is approximately 15.6 μg/day over a period of 3 years. Per ONDAQ reviewer Dr. Kelly Kitchens, the estimated *in vivo* release rates are acceptable.

#### 2.5.2 Is the clinical formulation same to the TBM formulation?
Formulation composition is the same between the Phase 3 and TBM products (Table 8).

Based on *f*² test, *in vitro* drug release profiles are comparable between Phase 3 and TBM formulations. Per CMC reviewer Dr. Nina Ni, the proposed changes in manufacturing process are acceptable.

**Table 8 Phase 3 and TBM Drug Product Quantitative Composition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug Reservoir</td>
<td>Reservoir of LNG active substance</td>
<td>1 unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levonorgestrel</td>
<td>Drug substance</td>
<td>52.0 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone base</td>
<td>Main component of drug reservoir</td>
<td>1 unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tetra-n-propyl silicate

Stannous octoate

T-frame T-frame 1 unit

Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) Support

Barium sulfate

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membrane Release rate controlling membrane 1 unit (b)(4)

Polypropylene thread Removal thread 1 unit

copper

Inserters

Two different inserters (THI-001 (b)(4) were used in the pivotal Phase 3 study (Study M360-L102). The original two-handed inserter (THI-001) was used for the first 760 women. Enrollment was temporarily suspended due to reports from investigators of difficult placements, placement failures, and the need for cervical dilation.

As shown in Table 9, contraceptive efficacy as evaluated by pearl index (PI) does not appear to be affected by the inserter used to place the IUS within the uterus.

Sponsor intends to market Liletta with a modified two-handed inserter (THI-002) that is a redesign of the THI-001 inserter. Compared with THI-01, THI-002 has rounded inserter tube tip. In addition, plunger dimensions are modified to improve ease of handling during insertion and the flange is modified to provide better control of its movement along the inserter tube. The Sponsor intends to market Liletta with a modified two-handed inserter (THI-002). Therefore, the Sponsor conducted Study M360-L104 to support the use of the new inserter. Considering Study M360-L104 did not sufficiently address Agency’s concerns on potential infection or late complication after insertion due to small sample size (100 subjects) and short duration of assessment (24 hours), the medical team will request post-marketing evaluation to assess the inserter THI-022 on ease of Liletta placement, adverse events (AE) and expulsions, infections and other AES that may be related to the insertion procedure.

Table 9 Pearl Index for Liletta Subjects in the Efficacy Group by Inserter Type (Study M360-L102)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inserter Type</th>
<th>Year 1 (0.00, 1.06)</th>
<th>Year 2 (0.00-0.60)</th>
<th>Year 3 (0.00-0.46)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THI-001 Inserter (N= 611)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.00, 1.06)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.00-0.60)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.00-0.46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6  ANALYTICAL SECTION

2.6.1  What bioanalytical methods are used to assess concentrations?

LNG plasma concentrations were determined with a validated liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method. The detailed analytical conditions are presented in Table 10.

Table 10 LC-MS/MS for plasma LNG concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>QC Samples</th>
<th>Standard Curve Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration (pg/mL)</td>
<td>75, 400, 800</td>
<td>25.0, 50.0, 100, 250, 500, 900, 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday Precision (% CV)</td>
<td>6.1 to 8.2</td>
<td>2.8 to 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interday Accuracy (% RE)</td>
<td>0 to -1.4</td>
<td>-1.5 to 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity (Range of R² values)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.9937 to 0.9990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Acceptable criteria and assay performance for LNG were in compliance with the *bioanalytical Method Validation Guidance* and the bioanalytical methods were found to be acceptable.

3 Detailed Labeling Recommendations
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## CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY
### FILING CHECKLIST FOR NDA/BLA or Supplement

**NDA Number:** 206229  
**Applicant:** Medicines360  
**Stamp Date:** 4/30/2014

**Drug Name:** (b)(4)  
**NDA Type:** Original

On initial overview of the NDA/BLA application for filing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Parameter</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria for Refusal to File (RTF)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Has the applicant submitted bioequivalence data comparing to-be-marketed product(s) and those used in the pivotal clinical trials?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>There were changes in manufacturing process of the product which will be reviewed by ONDQA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Has the applicant provided metabolism and drug-drug interaction (DDI) information?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>The metabolism and drug-drug interaction information relying on other approved products were submitted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Criteria for Assessing Quality of an NDA** | | | | |
| **Data** | | | | |
| 3 Are the data sets, as requested during pre-submission discussions, submitted in the appropriate format (e.g., CDISC)? | | X | | |
| 4 If applicable, are the pharmacogenomic data sets submitted in the appropriate format? | | X | | |

| **Studies and Analyses** | | | | |
| 5 Has the applicant made an appropriate attempt to determine the reasonable dose individualization strategy for this product (i.e., appropriately designed and analyzed dose-ranging or pivotal studies)? | | X | | The product has one strength only. |
| 6 Did the applicant follow the scientific advice provided regarding matters related to dose selection? | | X | | |
| 7 Are the appropriate exposure-response (for desired and undesired effects) analyses conducted and submitted in a format as described in the Exposure-Response guidance? | | X | | |
| 8 Is there an adequate attempt by the applicant to use exposure-response relationships in order to assess the need for dose adjustments for intrinsic/extrinsic factors that might affect the pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamics? | | X | | The product has one strength only. |
| 9 Are the pediatric exclusivity studies adequately designed to demonstrate effectiveness, if the drug is indeed effective? | | X | | The applicant requests PREA exemption. |
| 10 Did the applicant submit all the pediatric exclusivity data, as described in the WR? | | X | | The applicant requests PREA exemption. |
IS THE CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY SECTION OF THE APPLICATION FILEABLE? Yes

Background
The sponsor submitted NDA 206229 for an intrauterine contraceptive, for the prevention of pregnancy for up to 3 years under 505(b)(2). Contains 52 mg of levonorgestrel (LNG) for which sponsor claims that the initial release rate and release rate after 3 years are 18.6 µg/day and 12.6 µg/day, respectively. The pivotal phase 3 study M360-L102 is currently ongoing to evaluate the safety and efficacy of up to 5 years.

Clinical Studies
Sponsor conducted 3 clinical studies as described below.
1. M360-L102: A pivotal phase 3, open-label safety and efficacy study of conducted in US for the indication of prevention of pregnancy for up to 3 years in females of any body weight whether or not they have had a child. The study included 1751 women ages 16-45 years who received for up to 3 years. This study includes the following sub-reports:
   a. PK Report: Assessment of the systemic LNG concentration in a subset (57 subjects: 38 non-obese subjects + 19 obese subjects) of the efficacy group through Month 30 and in all subjects at Month 36
   b. Ex Vivo Report: An estimation of the in vivo release rate of LNG from Levosert over the planned duration of use

In study M360-L102, 2 different inserters were used to place The original two-handed inserter (THI-001) was used for the first 760 women. Enrollment was temporarily suspended due to reports from investigators of difficult placements, placement failures, and the need for cervical dilation. Medicines360
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(b)(4)
was conducted as it was requested by the Division during the meeting with the sponsor on September 17, 2013 (DARRTS October 17, 2013).

3. Levosert-20: A phase 3, randomized study to assess to compare the efficacy and safety of Levosert and Mirena (NDA 021225, intrauterine system indicated for prevention of pregnancy) in patients with menorrhagia.

The sponsor did not make any claim in regards to menorrhagia in the sponsor’s proposed label. Study Levosert-20 was conducted with THI-001. Plasma concentration of LNG was measured up to 3 years in patients with either Mirena or Levosert to compare the LNG exposures from two products.

Request for Waiver of Pediatric Studies
The sponsor requested the exemption from Pediatric Research Equity Act (PREA) requirement.

Formulation

Phase 3 study, M360-L102 was conducted with 2 inserters (THI-001 (b)(4) While Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), an European regulatory agency, reviewed the Levosert, MHRA was concerned about the sharp edge of the inserter tip. Based on MHRA’s concern, THI-001 was modified to THI-002 (rounded inserter tube tip, modifications to the plunger dimensions to improve ease of handling during insertion, and modifications to the flange to provide better control of its movement along the inserter tube). Levosert is available in Europe with THI-002.

However, Division informed the sponsor that the extent to which THI-001 is supported by the data obtained from study M360-L102 will be determined during the NDA review. Sponsor was also advised that it is possible that findings identified during the NDA review process may trigger a request for further clinical data to support marketing of the THI-002 inserter even if such a need is not identified prior to the NDA submission (Meeting Minutes, DARRTS, October 17, 2013).
Comments to be conveyed to the sponsor in a 74-day letter:

Information request

- Submit drug exposure-response (e.g., secondary efficacy endpoints such as return to menses, return to fertility, and endometrial thickness) analyses for study M360-L102 referring to the Guidance for Industry - Exposure-Response guidance (April 2003).
- Submit the analysis assessing the effect of race on drug exposure and response (e.g., secondary efficacy endpoints such as return to menses, return to fertility, and endometrial thickness) for study M360-L102.
- Submit the analysis assessing the effect of race, body weight, and age on drug exposure of Levosert from the study Levosert-20.

Hyunjin Kim
Reviewing Pharmacologist
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