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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

OralTag is an iodine-based oral contrast agent, which is used to opacify the gastrointestinal tract, for abdominal and pelvic CT scanning. The drug, contains the same active ingredient as prepared solutions of Omnipaque 300 (iohexol), which is the reference listed drug (RLD) for oral use.

NDA 205-383 was submitted to FDA on behalf of Interpharma Praha, a.s., by the US agent, Otsuka Pharmaceutical Development & Commercialization, Inc. on March 11, 2013 (Sequence 00). The clinical reviewer, Barbara Stinson recommended approval from the clinical perspective of the 505(b)(2) NDA for the product, which at that time was called [REDACTED]. This was based on the FDA’s previous finding of safety and effectiveness of Omnipaque, which was approved under NDAs 18-956 and 20-608 and literature search. However, this NDA was not approved due to lack of meeting the CMC regulatory requirement and other deficiencies.

The sponsor resubmitted a complete response to all deficiencies outlined in the Complete Response letter of January 8, 2014, consistent with the proposal that was deemed acceptable by FDA on August 8, 2014 and this NDA was resubmitted on September 26, 2014. The CMC issues have been addressed in this submission.

Any new clinical/efficacy data were not required and were not submitted. Therefore, it is NAI from statistical perspective.
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I concur with the primary reviewer.
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