Analyses of ADHD-RS-IV	Total Score in the Primary Cohort (ITT-LOCF)

	Placebo (N=52)	10 mg (N=54)	20 mg (N=53)	30 mg (N=58)	40 mg (N=61)
Baseline					
Mean (SD)	35.1 (9.7)	34.9 (10.4)	33.9 (9.1)	35.1 (10.8)	32.6 (10.8)
Endpoint					
Mean (SD)	25.7 (13.4)	20.0 (11.8)	13.3 (10.3)	16.1 (11.0)	16.0 (11.2)
Mean change (SD)	-9.4 (10.6)	-14.9 (12.1)	-20.7 (11.2)	-19.0 (11.1)	-16.5 (11.6)
LS mean difference		-5.59	-12.23	-9.23	-8.49
(95% CI)		(-9.40, -1.77)	(-16.06, -8.39)	(-13.00, -5.46)	(-12.22, -4.76)
p-value		0.0043	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001

## 2. Introduction

## 2.1 Overview

Adderall XR is a once-daily, extended-release, single-entity amphetamine produce. Adderall XR was approved by the Agency in 2001 for the treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in children aged 6 and older. Much of the current ADHD literature focuses on school age children (6-12 years old) and there is very limited scientific literature that specifically examines the safety and efficacy of amphetamines in the treatment of adolescents with ADHD.

In this submission, a trial completed was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled conducted in 50 centers in USA, evaluating the use of Adderall XR (10mg/day to 40 mg/day) in subjects (age 13-17) with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). The trial had one 4-week doubleblind treatment phase, and followed by a 6-month open-label phase. The primary cohort (designed for the primary objective) consisted of subjects whose weights were less than or equal to 75 kg/165 lbs, and secondary cohort (designed for secondary objective and exploratory analysis) consisted of subjects whose weights were greater than 75 kg/165 lbs. A total of 329 subjects enrolled in the study, and resulted 327 randomized to the double-blind phase. ITT included 287 subjects in the primary cohort, and 40 subjects in the secondary cohort. The primary efficacy endpoint was the mean change in ADHD-RS-IV total score from baseline at Week 4 LOCF in the ITT population. The primary analysis was ANCOVA model with terms for treatment, site, and the corresponding baseline score as the covariate. A closed-testing procedure starting from the highest dose is used to compare each active dose vs. placebo.

#### **2.2 Data Sources**

The path to the CDER Electronic Document Room (EDR) is:

\<u>Cdsesub1\n21303\S 009\2004-09-17</u> \<u>Cdsesub1\n21303\S 009\2004-10-27</u> \<u>Cdsesub1\n21303\S 009\2004-10-29</u>

## **3. Statistical Evaluation**

#### **3.1 Evaluation of Efficacy**

Texts, tables, and graphs in Sections 3.1.1 - 3.1.7 are mainly adapted from the Applicant's Study Report.

## 3.1.1 Objective

The primary objective of this study was to assess, under controlled conditions, the safety and efficacy of ADERALL XR (10mg/day to 40 mg/day) compared to placebo in the treatment of adolescents (age 13-17, weighing less than or equal to 75 kg/165 lbs) with ADHD, based on the clinician administered ADHD-Rating Scale (ADHD-RS-IV).

Secondary objective includes to assess the safety and efficacy of ADERALL XR (50mg/day to 60 mg/day) compared to placebo in the treatment of adolescents (age 13-17, weighing over 75 kg/165 lbs) with ADHD, based on the clinician administered ADHD-Rating Scale (ADHD-RS-IV).

## 3.1.2 Study Design

This study consisted of two parts, Part A and Part B. Part A was a randomized, double-blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled, forced dose titration phase in which subjects took study drug in a blinded fashion for approximately 4 weeks. Part B was a 6-month open-label extension involving subjects from Part A to continue to assess the safety of various doses. This report describes results of Part A only.

There were 2 cohorts of subjects aged 13-17 years old (inclusive): those weighing less than or equal to 75 kg/165 lbs (primary cohort) and those weighing greater than 75 kg/165 lbs 9secondary cohort). The evaluation of the efficacy and safety in both cohorts will be run in parallel.

Approximately 225 subjects weighing less than or equal to 75 kg/165 lbs were to be randomized in a 1:1:1:1:1 ratio (Adderall XR 10 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, 40 mg, or placebo). All subjects had 10 mg for Week 1, and increased 10 mg each week until reach their object dose level. Approximately 30 subjects weighing greater than 75 kg/165 lbs were to be randomized in a 1:1:1 ratio (Adderall XR 50 mg, 60 mg, or placebo). Subjects in 50 mg group started 20 mg for Week 1, and increased 10 mg each week. Subjects in 60 mg group started 20 mg for Week 1, 40 mg for Week 2, 50 mg for Week 3, and 60 mg for Week 4. The treatment phase lasted approximately 4 weeks. Visits were scheduled 7 days apart during the treatment phase.

#### 3.1.3 Efficacy Measures

The primary efficacy measure was ADHD-RS-IV total score. This rating scale is based on a clinician administered semi-structured interview with the subject's parent (or primary caregiver) and the subject at each applicable visit, beginning with the baseline visit, to capture the ADHA symptoms within each study week. The ADHD-RS-IV consists of 18 items designed to reflect current symptomatology of ADHD based on DSM-IV criteria. Each item is scored from a range of zero (reflecting no symptoms) to three (reflecting severe symptoms) with total scores ranging from 0 to 54.

#### NDA 21-303/S-009

The primary endpoint was defined as the change from baseline on the ADHD-RS-IV total score at the last treatment week of double-blind treatment phase.

Secondary efficacy measures included the CGI-I (CGI-improvement) rating scale. Rating was completed with respect to ADHD symptoms relative to the baseline.

### 3.1.4 Statistical Analysis Plan

The primary analysis was a two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model with terms for treatment of each active dose vs. placebo, site, and the corresponding baseline score as the covariate, using ITT population. A closed-testing procedure starting from the highest dose is used.

The subject's score on CGI-I was dichotomized into two categories, with "very much improved" and "much improved" going into one category (improved) and the rest into the other category (not improved) prior to analysis. The dichotomized CGI-I was analyzed using a CMH test adjusting for study site between active doses combined vs. placebo. The significant treatment effect of each active dose vs. placebo was based on a closed-testing procedure starting from the highest dose.

#### **3.1.5 Protocol Amendments and Deviations**

There was one amendment to the final statistical analysis plan issued on July 14, 2003. The amendment was issued on December 5, 2003 and implemented the following changes: described how sites would be pooled; indicated that the overall test of all active vs. placebo did not need to be significant before each dose was compared to placebo; added efficacy analyses for subjects with low and high baseline ADHD severity both by intended dose and final dose; and added the qualitative, categorized analysis of vital signs and ECG parameters.

#### 3.1.6 Study Population

A total of 329 subjects were enrolled in the study. Two subjects terminated prior to randomization and thus, 327 subjects were randomized. Of these, 287 were in the primary cohort and 40 were in the secondary cohort.

The disposition of all patients randomized in the study for the primary cohort is presented in Table 3.1.6.1.

	Placebo	10 mg	20 mg	30 mg	40 mg	Total
Enrolled	54	56	56	58	63	287
Randomized	54	56	56	58	63	287
ITT	52 (96.3%)	54 (96.4%)	53 (94.6%)	58 (100%)	61 (96.8%)	278 (96.9%)
		Primary reas	son for discon	tinuation		
Adverse event(s)	0	1 (1.8%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (1.7%)	2 (3.2%)	5 (1.7%)
Protocol	1 (1.9%)	3 (5.4%)	0	0	1 (1.6%)	5 (1.7%)
violation						
Withdrew	0	2 (3.6%)	2 (3.6%)	1 (1.7%)	5 (7.9%)	10 (3.5%)
consent						
Lost to follow-up	2 (3.7%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (1.7%)	1 (1.6%)	6 (2.1%)
Other	1 (1.9%)	0	1 (1.8%)	0	1 (1.6%)	3 (1.0%)

## Table 3.1.6.1 Disposition of Patients (Primary Cohort)

The demographic and other baseline characteristics for the primary cohort are presented by in Table 3.1.6.2.

Parameter	Placebo	10 mg	20 mg	30 mg	40 mg	Total
	(N=54)	(N=56)	(N=56)	(N=58)	(N=63)	(N=287)
Age (years)						
Mean (SD)	14.5 (1.3)	14.4 (1.2)	14.3 (1.2)	14.2 (1.2)	14.0 (1.2)	14.2 (1.2)
Age category						
13-14	32 (59.3%)	30 (53.6%)	37 (66.1%)	37 (63.8%)	46 (73.0%)	182 (63.4%)
15-17	22 (40.7%)	26 (46.4%)	19 (33.9%)	21 (36.2%)	17 (27.0%)	105 (36.6%)
Gender						
Male	36 (66.7%)	35 (62.5%)	38 (67.9%)	38 (65.5%)	40 (63.5%)	187 (65.2%)
Female	18 (33.3%)	21 (37.5%)	18 (32.1%)	20 (34.5%)	23 (36.5%)	100 (34.8%)
Ethnic origin						
White	40 (74.1%)	40 (71.4%)	42 (75.0%)	43 (74.1%)	49 (77.8%)	214 (74.6%)
Black	11 (20.4%)	10 (17.9%)	9 (16.1%)	8 (13.8%)	6 (9.5%)	44 (15.3%)
Hispanic	3 (5.6%)	2 (3.6%)	3 (5.4%)	5 (8.6%)	6 (9.5%)	19 (6.6%)
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native American	0	2 (3.6%)	0	0	2 (3.2%)	4 (1.4%)
Other	0	2 (3.6%)	2 (3.6%)	2 (3.4%)	0	6 (2.1%)
Weight at baseline (lb)	131.5	125.9	125.3	128.6	125.3	127.2
Mean (SD)	(18.1)	(22.2)	(20.4)	(18.8)	(22.3)	(20.5)
Height at screening (inches)	65.5	64.2	64.5	64.1	64.4	64.5
Mean (SD)	(3.6)	(3.6)	(3.7)	(3.1)	(3.6)	(3.5)
BMI at baseline	21.6	21.4	21.2	22.0	21.1	21.4
Mean (SD)	(2.8)	(2.6)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(2.6)	(2.8)
Type of ADHD						
Inattentive	24 (44.4%)	20 (35.7%)	25 (44.6%)	20 (34.5%)	26 (41.3%)	115 (40.1%)
Hyperactive/Impulsive	0	4 (7.1%)	2 (3.6%)	1 (1.7%)	2 (3.2%)	9 (3.1%)
Combined	30 (55.6%)	32 (57.1%)	29 (63.8%)	37 (63.8%)	35 (55.6%)	163 (56.8%)
Years since ADHD	4.35	5.44	5.11	4.87	5.76	5.13
diagnosis: Mean (SD)	(4.16)	(3.97)	(4.41)	(4.12)	(4.07)	(4.14)
Number of subjects with	7	6	13	16	17	59
recent prior ADHD	(13.0%)	(10.7%)	(23.2%)	(27.6%)	(27.0%)	(20.6%)
treatment						

 Table 3.1.6.2 Demographic and Baseline of the Primary Cohort (All Randomized)

The disposition of all patients randomized in the study for the secondary cohort is presented in Table 3.1.6.3, and the demographic and other baseline characteristics for the secondary cohort are presented by in Table 3.1.6.4, respectively.

	Placebo	50 mg	60 mg	Total			
Enrolled	15	15	10	40			
Randomized	15	15	10	40			
ITT	15 (100%)	15 (100%)	10 (100%)	40 (100%)			
Primary reason for discontinuation							
Adverse event(s)	0	2 (13.3%)	1 (10%)	3 (7.5%)			
Lost to follow-up	1 (6.7%)	0	0	1 (2.5%)			

Table 3.1.6.3 Disposition of Patients (Secondary Cohort)

Table 3.1.6.4	<b>Demographic and</b>	<b>Baseline</b> of the	Secondary	Cohort (	ITT)
	201105100110		~~~~~,	~~~~~	,

Parameter	Placebo	50 mg	60 mg	Total
	(N=15)	(N=15)	(N=10)	(N=40)
Age (years)				
Mean (SD)	15.3 (1.2)	15.4 (1.4)	15.4 (1.2)	15.4 (1.2)
Age category				
13-14	4 (26.7%)	6 (40.0%)	3 (30.0%)	13 (32.5%)
15-17	11 (73.3%)	9 (60.0%)	7 (70.0%)	27 (67.5%)
Gender				
Male	14 (93.3%)	14 (93.3%)	8 (80.9%)	36 (90.0%)
Female	1 (6.7%)	1 (6.7%)	2 (20.0%)	4 (10.0%)
Ethnic origin				
White	9 (60.0%)	11 (73.3%)	6 (60.0%)	26 (65.0%)
Black	4 (26.7%)	1 (6.7%)	2 (20.0%)	7 (17.5%)
Hispanic	2 (13.3%)	2 (13.3%)	2 (20.0%)	6 (15.0%)
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0
Native American	0	1 (6.7%)	0	1 (2.5%)
Weight at baseline (lb)	190.5	189.6	191.1	190.3
Mean (SD)	(35.2)	(22.1)	(15.0)	(26.0)
Height at screening (inches)	68.7	71.1	69.3	69.8
Mean (SD)	(3.0)	(2.6)	(3.5)	(3.1)
BMI at baseline	28.4	26.4	28.0	27.5
Mean (SD)	(4.9)	(2.9)	(2.2)	(3.7)
Type of ADHD				
Inattentive	6 (40.0%)	8 (53.3%)	3 (30.0%)	17 (42.5%)
Combined	9 (60.0%)	7 (46.7%)	7 (70.0%)	23 (57.5%)
Years since ADHD	4.84	8.67	6.34	6.65
diagnosis: Mean (SD)	(5.19)	(4.03)	(4.62)	(4.83)
Number of subjects with	2	4	1	7
recent prior ADHD	(13.3%)	(26.7%)	(10.0%)	(17.5%)
treatment				

#### **3.1.7 Applicant's Efficacy Results**

The primary analysis was a two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model with terms treatment of each active dose vs. placebo, site, and the corresponding baseline score as the covariate, using ITT population. A closed-testing procedure starting from the highest dose is used.

Table 3.1.7.1 presents the primary analyses results of the primary cohort at Week 4 LOCF.

	Placebo (N=52)	10 mg (N=54)	20 mg (N=53)	30 mg (N=58)	40 mg (N=61)
Baseline					
Mean (SD)	35.1 (9.7)	34.9 (10.4)	33.9 (9.1)	35.1 (10.8)	32.6 (10.8)
Endpoint					
Mean (SD)	25.7 (13.4)	20.0 (11.8)	13.3 (10.3)	16.1 (11.0)	16.0 (11.2)
Mean change (SD)	-9.4 (10.6)	-14.9 (12.1)	-20.7 (11.2)	-19.0 (11.1)	-16.5 (11.6)
LS mean difference		-5.59	-12.23	-9.23	-8.49
(95% CI)		(-9.40, -1.77)	(-16.06, -8.39)	(-13.00, -5.46)	(-12.22, -4.76)
p-value		0.0043	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001

Table 3.1.7.1 Analyses of ADHD-RS-IV Total Score in the Primary Cohort (ITT-LOCF)

P-values in the last row in the above table are for each pair's comparisons with placebo.

Table 3.1.7.2 presents the primary analyses results of the primary cohort at Week 4 OC.

Table 3.1.7.2 Analyses of ADHD-RS-IV Total Score in the Primary Cohort (ITT-OC)

	Placebo	10 mg	20 mg	30 mg	40 mg
	(N=52)	(N=54)	(N=53)	(N=58)	(N=61)
Baseline					
Mean (SD)	35.1 (9.7)	34.9 (10.4)	33.9 (9.1)	35.1 (10.8)	32.6 (10.8)
Endpoint at Week 4					
Ν	50	49	50	55	53
Mean (SD)	25.5 (13.2)	19.3 (11.7)	13.1 (10.1)	15.6 (10.9)	15.7 (11.6)
Mean change (SD)	-9.6 (10.2)	-16.0 (12.0)	-20.8 (11.2)	-19.7 (10.8)	-17.3 (11.4)
LS mean difference		-6.23	-12.05	-9.21	-8.31
(95% CI)		(-10.11, -2.34)	(-15.91, -8.19)	(-13.02, -5.41)	(-12.15, -4.47)
p-value		0.0018	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001

Secondary efficacy measures include the dichotomized CGI-I ("improved", or "not improved"). CMH test adjusting for study site between active doses combined vs. placebo was analysis for the dichotomized CGI-I.

Table 3.1.7.3 presents the analyses results of the dichotomized CGI-I at Week 4 LOCF.

#### Table 3.1.7.3 Analyses of CGI-I in the Primary Cohort (ITT-LOCF)

	Placebo	10 mg	20 mg	30 mg	40 mg	10-40 mg
	(N=52)	(N=54)	(N=53)	(N=58)	(N=61)	(N=226)
<b>Dichotomized CGI-I</b>						
Improvement	14 (26.9%)	28 (51.9%)	35 (66.0%)	41 (70.7%)	39 (63.9%)	143 (63.3%)
No improvement	38 (73.1%)	26 (48.1%)	18 (34.0%)	17 (29.3%)	22 (36.1%)	83 (36.7%)
Difference in % with						
improvement in		24.9%	39.1%	43.8%	37.0%	36.4%
active group vs.						
placebo						
p-value		0.0098	0.0002	< 0.0001	0.0001	< 0.0001

Table 3.1.7.4 presents the analyses results of the dichotomized CGI-I at Week 4 OC.

Table 3.1.7.4 Analyses of CGI-I in the	he Primary Cohort (ITT-OC)
--	----------------------------

	Placebo	10 mg	20 mg	30 mg	40 mg	10-40 mg
	(N=52)	(N=54)	(N=53)	(N=58)	(N=61)	(N=226)
<b>Dichotomized CGI-I</b>						
Ν	50	49	50	55	53	207
Improvement	13 (26.0%)	28 (57.1%)	35 (70.0%)	39 (70.9%)	36 (67.9%)	138 (66.7%)
No improvement	37 (74.0%)	21 (42.9%)	15 (30.0%)	16 (29.1%)	17 (32.1%)	69 (33.3%)
Difference in % with						
improvement in		31.1%	44.0%	44.9%	41.9%	40.7%
active group vs.						
placebo						
p-value		0.0043	0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001	< 0.0001

The following tables present results for the secondary cohort. Table 3.1.7.5 presents the analyses results of the secondary cohort at Week 4 LOCF.

Table 3.1.7.5 Analyses	of ADHD-RS-IV	<b>Total Score in tl</b>	he Secondary	Cohort (	<b>ITT-LOCF</b>	)
				(		,

	Placebo (N=15)	50 mg (N=15)	60 mg (N=10)
Baseline			
Mean (SD)	35.7 (8.7)	30.4 (10.2)	32.3 (8.6)
Endpoint			
Mean (SD)	23.1 (13.1)	13.5 (8.9)	18.3 (11.5)
Mean change (SD)	-12.5 (10.1)	-16.9 (12.4)	-14.0 (12.5)
LS mean difference		-5.63	-1.41
(95% CI)		(-17.08, 5.83)	(-13.97, 11.15)
p-value		0.3145	0.8156

Table 3.1.7.6 presents the analyses results of the secondary cohort at Week 4 OC.

## Table 3.1.7.6 Analyses of ADHD-RS-IV Total Score in the Secondary Cohort (ITT-OC)

	Placebo (N=15)	50 mg (N=15)	60 mg (N=10)
Baseline			
Mean (SD)	35.7 (8.7)	30.4 (10.2)	32.3 (8.6)
Endpoint at Week 4			
Ν	14	13	9
Mean (SD)	22.6 (13.4)	14.3 (9.2)	17.3 (11.8)
Mean change (SD)	-12.6 (10.4)	-15.9 (12.8)	-15.8 (11.9)
LS mean difference		-3.24	-1.20
(95% CI)		(-16.06, 9.58)	(-15.88, 13.48)
p-value		0.5943	0.8626

Table 3.1.7.7 presents the analyses results of the dichotomized CGI-I at Week 4 LOCF.

Table 3.1.7.7 Analyses of CGI-I in the Secondary Cohort (ITT-LOCF)

	Placebo (N=15)	50 mg (N=15)	60 mg (N=10)	50-60 mg (N=25)
<b>Dichotomized CGI-I</b>				
Improvement	7 (46.7%)	11 (73.3%)	6 (60.0%)	17 (68.0%)
No improvement	8 (53.3%)	4 (26.7%)	4 (40.0%)	8 (32.0%)
Difference in % with				
improvement in		26.7%	13.3%	21.3%
active group vs.				
placebo				
p-value		0.7316	0.4328	0.4072

Table 3.1.7.8 presents the analyses results of the dichotomized CGI-I at Week 4 OC.

Table 3.1.7.8 Analyses of CGI-I in the Secondary Cohort (ITT-OC)

	Placebo	50 mg	60 mg	50-60 mg
	(N=15)	(N=15)	(N=10)	(N=25)
<b>Dichotomized CGI-I</b>				
Ν	14	13	9	22
Improvement	7 (50.0%)	9 (69.2%)	6 (66.7%)	15 (68.2%)
No improvement	7 (50.0%)	4 (30.8%)	3 (33.3%)	7 (31.8%)
Difference in % with				
improvement in		19.2%	16.7%	18.2%
active group vs.				
placebo				
p-value		1.0000	0.3173	0.6473

## 3.1.8 Reviewer's Analysis

The reviewer validated the sponsor's analysis according to the protocol.

Wilcoxon two-sample test gives p-values .0231 for 10 mg vs. placebo, .0001 for 20 mg vs. placebo, .0001 for 30 mg vs. placebo, and .0018 for 40 mg vs. placebo, respectively.

Table 3.1.8.1 presents difference of the mean change in ADHD-RS-IV at Week 4 LOCF by center.

## Table 3.1.8.1 Mean Change of ADHD-RS\_IV by Center for Primary Cohort (ITT-LOCF)

Obs	CENTER	n_t	mean_t	n_p	mean_p	diff
Obs 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	CENTER 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 20 21 22 24 25 26	n_t 101272784475175778532333	mean_t -3.0000 -14.2000 -10.3333 -9.4286 -14.0000 -21.7143 -7.6250 -18.2500 -25.7500 -25.7500 -27.7143 -27.4000 -27.0000 -23.8571 -20.6000 -10.4286 -16.7143 -16.6250 -29.0000 -11.3333 -7.5000 -8.6667 -18.6067	n_p 13311111211222112	mean_p -26.0000 -10.6667 -7.3333 -5.0000 -8.0000 -24.0000 -24.0000 -21.0000 -21.0000 -21.0000 -4.0000 -14.5000 -3.5000 -3.5000 -19.0000 -19.0000	diff 23.0000 -3.5333 -3.0000 -4.4286 -6.0000 -23.7143 16.3750 -21.2500 -4.7500 0.2857 -6.4000 -23.0000 -9.3571 -32.6000 5.5714 -12.2143 -13.1250 -17.6667 0.3333 24.0167
23 24 25	26 27 28	2	-25.9167 -31.0000 -12.5000	3 1 1	-1.0000 3.0000 -19.0000	-24.9167 -34.0000 6.5000
26 27 28 29	29 30 32 33	8 5 5 3	-16.3750 -11.6000 -22.4000 -22.0000	2 1 1 1	-21.0000 1.0000 -1.0000 2.0000	4.6250 -12.6000 -21.4000 -24.0000
30 31 32	34 36 37	5 3 13	-18.4000 -11.6667 -15.5385	2 1 3	-2.0000 -29.0000 -5.0000	-16.4000 17.3333 -10.5385
33 34 35	38 40 41	1 3 1	-1.0000 -13.3333 -15.0000	1 1	-16.0000 -7.0000	15.0000 -6.3333
36 37 38	42 44 45	3 4 4	-19.6667 -32.5000 -19.0000	1 1 1	-9.0000 -14.0000 -32.0000	-10.6667 -18.5000 13.0000
39 40 41	46 47	36	-30.6667 -15.5000	i	-6.0000	-9.5000
42 43	40 49 50	2 5 1	-13.2000	•		
44 45	51 52	3 4	-19.6667 -13.2500	1	2.0000	-15.2500
46 47	54 55	2 2	-17.5000 -14.0000	i	-19.0000	5.0000
48 49	56 57	2 4	-30.0000 -21.2500	i	-6.0000	-15.2500



After removing both centers 15 and 27, ANCOVA (combined active doses vs. placebo) gives p-value .0001.

#### **3.2 Evaluation of Safety**

See Clinical Review.

## 4. Findings in Special/Subgroup Populations

#### 4.1 Gender, Race, and Age

Since the Study was not powered for subgroup analyses, analytical analysis is not performed. Table 4.1.1 presents the mean change in ADHD-RS-IV from baseline at Week 4 LOCF for the primary cohort by gender for ITT population.

# Table 4.1.1 Mean Change from Baseline in ADHD-RS-IV in the Primary Cohort by Gender

Gender	Placebo (N=52)	10 mg (N=54)	20 mg (N=53)	30 mg (N=58)	40 mg (N=61)
Male	35	33	37	38	39
Baseline	35.5	36.7	33.6	36.3	33.9
Endpoint	27.6	18.9	13.2	16.5	16.6

NDA 21-303/S-009

Mean Change	-7.9	-17.8	-20.4	-19.8	-17.3
Female	17	21	16	20	22
Baseline	34.4	32.1	34.7	32.8	30.1
Endpoint	21.9	21.7	13.3	15.3	15.0
Mean Change	-12.4	-10.4	-21.4	-17.5	-15.1

Except for female in 10 mg group, subjects in all other Adderall XR groups had more changes than those in placebo group.

Table 4.1.2 presents the mean change in ADHD-RS-IV from baseline at Week 4 LOCF for the primary cohort by age for ITT population. Subjects in all Adderall XR groups had more changes than those in placebo group.

Age	Placebo (N=52)	10 mg (N=54)	20 mg (N=53)	30 mg (N=58)	40 mg (N=61)
13-14	31	30	37	37	44
Baseline	36.1	36.6	35.9	36.0	32.3
Endpoint	28.1	19.4	14.6	15.9	16.3
Mean Change	-8.0	-17.2	-21.4	-20.1	-16.0
15-17	21	24	16	21	17
Baseline	33.6	32.8	29.3	33.6	33.2
Endpoint	22.3	20.8	10.2	16.4	15.3
Mean Change	-11.3	-12.1	-19.1	-17.2	-17.9

#### Table 4.1.2 Change from Baseline in ADHD-RS-IV in the Primary Cohort by Age

Table 4.1.3 presents the mean change in ADHD-RS-IV from baseline at Week 4 LOCF for the primary cohort by race (white vs. non-white) for ITT population. Subjects in all Adderall XR groups had more changes than those in placebo group.

Race	Placebo	10 mg	20 mg	30 mg	40 mg
	(N=52)	(N=54)	(N=53)	(N=58)	(N=61)
White	38	38	39	43	47
Baseline	33.2	35.5	33.2	35.2	31.4
Endpoint	21.9	19.4	12.6	16.3	15.2
Mean Change	-11.3	-16.1	-20.6	-19.0	-16.2
Non-White	14	16	14	15	14
Baseline	40.1	33.5	35.9	34.7	36.5
Endpoint	36.1	21.5	15.1	15.5	18.9
Mean Change	-4.0	-12.0	-20.9	-19.2	-17.6

 Table 4.1.3 Change from Baseline in ADHD-RS-IV in the Primary Cohort by Race

#### **4.2 Other Special/Subgroup Populations**

Table 4.2.1 presents the mean change in ADHD-RS-IV from baseline at Week 4 LOCF for the primary cohort by type of ADHD for ITT population. Since the Study was not powered for subgroup analyses, analytical analysis is not performed. Subjects in all Adderall XR groups had more changes than those in placebo group.

## Table 4.2.1 Change from Baseline in ADHD-RS-IV in the Primary Cohort by Type ofADHD

Type of ADHD	Placebo	10 mg	20 mg	30 mg	40 mg
	(N=52)	(N=54)	(N=53)	(N=58)	(N=61)
Inattentive	23	20	25	20	26
Baseline	29.7	29.3	29.3	29.4	26.3
Endpoint	18.4	14.9	10.9	14.1	12.5
Mean Change	-11.3	-14.4	-18.4	-15.3	-13.8
Hyperactive/Impulsive					
or combine	29	34	28	38	35
Baseline	39.3	38.2	38.1	38.1	37.3
Endpoint	31.6	23.0	15.4	17.1	18.7
Mean Change	-7.7	-15.2	-22.7	-21.0	-18.6

#### **5. Summary and Conclusions**

#### 5.1 Statistical Issues and Collective Evidence

The primary analysis showed that there was a significant difference in favor of Adderall XR, compared to placebo, for the mean change in ADHD-RS-IV from baseline at Week 4 LOCF in the ITT population, and there was a significant difference in favor of Adderall XR for the proportion of subjects with a score of much improved or very much improved on the CGI-I at Week 4 LOCF. Detailed statistics are presented in the following tables.

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_ Kun He

2/17/05 10:02:04 AM BIOMETRICS

Kun Jin 2/17/05 02:44:28 PM BIOMETRICS

James Hung 2/17/05 02:55:48 PM BIOMETRICS