

STANDARD 1 - REGULATORY FOUNDATION

INSTRUCTIONS AND WORKSHEET FOR CONDUCTING A VERIFICATION AUDIT

Part I – *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factor Controls

STEP 1 – Confirm Completion of the Self-Assessment for the Program’s Regulatory Foundation

The jurisdiction’s review of its food code against the *Food Code* should include documentation that a side-by-side comparison of its prevailing statutes, regulations, rules, and other pertinent requirements was completed. If a jurisdiction adopted the current published edition or one of the two most recent editions of the *Food Code* by reference, a side-by-side comparison of the language is not necessary. Adoption by reference meets the criteria of the Standard.

The jurisdiction’s side-by-side comparison must include an assessment of the following items:

1. The major *Food Code* Public Health Intervention and Risk Factor control measures;
2. Good Retail Practices;
3. Compliance and Enforcement administrative requirements.

The side-by-side comparison should clearly identify the jurisdiction's corresponding requirements to the applicable *Food Code* section.

STEP 2 – Determine *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factor Controls Sections to Review

The verification auditor must randomly select *Food Code* sections to review. The auditor should only review public health interventions and risk factor control categories that the jurisdiction reported as meeting on Part I of their *Standard 1: Self-Assessment Worksheet*. Part I of the jurisdiction's *Standard 1: Self-Assessment Worksheet* contains 94 *Food Code* sections pertaining to Public Health Interventions and Risk Factor Controls. Each of *these* Food Code sections has been assigned a number from 1 to 94.

For Part I, the verification auditor must randomly select 15 *Food Code* sections for the review. A list of random numbers can be obtained from the following web link: www.randomizer.org. Using the jurisdiction's *Standard 1: Self-Assessment Worksheet*, the verification auditor must identify the *Food Code* sections that correspond to the randomly selected numbers recorded on the verification audit worksheet. This worksheet is included at the end of these instructions.

The auditor should only review those *Food Code* sections that the jurisdiction indicates were met. If a Public Health Intervention or Risk Factor Control *Food Code* section is selected that the jurisdiction indicated was not met, the verification auditor should select a substitute *Food Code* section to review.

STEP 3 – Confirm Findings for *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factor Controls

The auditor must review the randomly selected regulatory requirements. The auditor must compare the language in each of the selected jurisdiction code sections to verify that it is at least as stringent

as the corresponding *Food Code* section language. The language may be more stringent, but not less stringent. Record an "X" in the appropriate box on the *Standard 1: Verification Audit Worksheet* based on the determination.

Yes - Full Intent is Met or

No - Full Intent is not Met

In instances where the verification auditor has determined that the jurisdiction's language does not meet the criterion, an explanation must be provided on the *Standard 1: Verification Audit Worksheet*. Record the explanation under the column "If No, Auditor is to specify why the criterion is not met."

STEP 4 – Document the Verification Audit Results for Part I

Part I of the *Standard 1: Self-Assessment Worksheet*, included at the end of these instructions, contains 11 public health interventions and risk factor controls:

1. Demonstration of Knowledge
2. Employee Health
3. Consumer Advisory
4. Approved Source
5. Time/Temperature
6. Protection from Contamination
7. Control of Hands as a Vehicle of Contamination
8. Good Hygienic Practices
9. Chemical
10. Conformance with Approved Procedures
11. Highly Susceptible Population

To meet any one of the 11 public health intervention and risk factor controls identified under the self-assessment process, the self-assessment must indicate that the jurisdiction's regulatory requirements address all *Food Code* sections listed for that area. For initial listing, the jurisdiction's regulatory foundation must contain at least 9 of the 11 public health interventions and risk factor controls. In order to fully meet the requirement of the Standard, the regulatory foundation must meet all 11 of the interventions and risk factor controls by the third verification audit cycle.

If four or more of the 15 selected code sections reviewed during the audit process do not meet the stringency of language criteria, the Standard 1, Part I element fails to meet the criteria, and no further sampling is necessary. If one, two or three of the 15 selected code sections do not meet the stringency of the language criteria but the jurisdiction continues to meet the required number of interventions and risk factor controls to meet the Standard, then randomly select an additional 15 *Food Code* sections. No more than three total disagreements are acceptable in the thirty (30) Code sections drawn for comparison in order for the audit to confirm the Part I element of Standard 1 as met. In addition, at least 9 out of the 11 interventions and risk factor controls must still be met at the end of the first audit after the disagreements are taken into account, and the jurisdiction must meet 11 out of the 11 interventions and risk factor controls by the third regular audit in order to meet the Standard 1 criteria.

Examples of documents that may be reviewed:

- The jurisdiction's statute, regulation, rule, ordinance, or other prevailing set of regulatory requirements that govern the operation of its food establishments
- Version of the *FDA Food Code* that was used for the self-assessment
- Completed *Standard 1: Self-Assessment Worksheet*, Part I – *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factor Controls
- If applicable, documents discussing or comparing code provisions excepted if adoption was made by reference with exceptions.

Standard 1: Regulatory Foundation
Verification Audit Worksheet
Part I – *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factor Control

Part I – Interventions and Risk Factors

Number of Sections Reviewed	Randomly Selected Number	Corresponding <i>Food Code</i> Chapter from Part I Interventions and Risk Factors Self-Assessment Worksheet	Jurisdiction's Corresponding Code Section, Rule, etc.	<u>YES</u> Full Intent is Met	<u>NO</u> Full Intent is not Met	If no, auditor must specify why criterion is not met
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						

NOTES

1. If there is Agreement that ALL 15 selected code sections meet the stringency of the language criteria in the *FDA Food Code*, proceed to Part II.
2. If one, two or three of the 15 selected code sections do not meet the stringency of the language criteria in the *FDA Food Code*, then complete the Supplemental Part I Section of the Worksheet by randomly selecting another 15 Interventions and Risk Factor code sections to review.
3. If four or more of the 15 selected code sections do not meet the stringency of the language criteria in the *FDA Food Code*, then the jurisdiction does not meet the Standard 1 criteria for *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factors.

Standard 1: Regulatory Foundation
Verification Audit Worksheet
Supplement to Part I – *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factor Control

Part I – Interventions and Risk Factors

Number of Sections Reviewed	Randomly Selected Number	Corresponding <i>Food Code</i> Chapter from Part I Interventions and Risk Factors Self-Assessment Worksheet	Jurisdiction's Corresponding Code Section, Rule, etc.	<u>YES</u> Full Intent is Met	<u>NO</u> Full Intent is not Met	If no, auditor must specify why criterion is not met
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						

NOTES

1. If more than three of the 30 total selected code sections do not meet the stringency of the language criteria in the *FDA Food Code*, then the jurisdiction does not meet the Standard 1 criteria for *Food Code* Interventions and Risk Factors.