

---

# Guidance for Industry

## Safety Considerations for Container Labels and Carton Labeling Design to Minimize Medication Errors

*DRAFT GUIDANCE*

**This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.**

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <http://www.regulations.gov/>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER), Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis, Carol Holquist at 301-796-0171.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**April 2013  
Drug Safety**

---

# Guidance for Industry

## Safety Considerations for Container Labels and Carton Labeling Design to Minimize Medication Errors

*Additional copies are available from:*

*Office of Communications  
Division of Drug Information, WO51, Room 2201  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research  
Food and Drug Administration  
10903 New Hampshire Ave., Silver Spring, MD 20993  
Phone: 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-847-8714*

*[druginfo@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:druginfo@fda.hhs.gov)*

*<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research**

**April 2013  
Drug Safety**

## Table of Contents

|             |  |           |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| <b>I.</b>   | <b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>II.</b>  | <b>BACKGROUND .....</b>  | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>III.</b> | <b>GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>  | <b>3</b>  |
|             | <b>A. Poor Design of Product Container Labels and Carton Labeling Can Obscure<br/>Critical Safety Information.....</b> | <b>3</b>  |
|             | <b>B. Risk Assessment During the Design Stage Can Reduce the Risk of Medication<br/>Errors.....</b>                    | <b>4</b>  |
|             | <b>C. Critical Product Information Should Appear on the Principal Display Panel .....</b>                              | <b>4</b>  |
|             | <b>D. Labels Should be Legible, Readable, and Easy to Understand .....</b>   | <b>4</b>  |
|             | 1. <i>Container Label Size.....</i>  | 5         |
|             | 2. <i>Text Size and Style .....</i>  | 5         |
|             | 3. <i>Contrast of Text and Background Color.....</i>   | 6         |
|             | 4. <i>Information Crowding and Visual Clutter.....</i>   | 6         |
|             | 5. <i>Dangerous Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols.....</i>  | 7         |
|             | <b>E. Avoid Look-alike Container Labels and Carton Labeling .....</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
|             | 1. <i>Corporate Trade Dress.....</i>   | 7         |
|             | 2. <i>Use of Color.....</i>  | 8         |
| <b>IV.</b>  | <b>SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>  | <b>9</b>  |
|             | <b>A. Proprietary, Established, and Proper Names.....</b>  | <b>9</b>  |
|             | <b>B. Product Strength.....</b>  | <b>10</b> |
|             | 1. <i>Strength Differentiation .....</i>   | 10        |
|             | 2. <i>Strength Designation .....</i>   | 10        |
|             | 3. <i>Small Volume Parenteral Products .....</i>   | 10        |
|             | 4. <i>Expression of Strength for Dry Powder Products .....</i>   | 11        |
|             | 5. <i>Salt Nomenclature.....</i>   | 11        |
|             | 6. <i>Prodrugs .....</i>   | 12        |
|             | 7. <i>Metric Measurements.....</i>   | 12        |
|             | 8. <i>Location of Net Quantity Statements .....</i>  | 12        |
|             | 9. <i>Leading and Terminal Zeros, Decimals, and Commas .....</i>   | 12        |
|             | <b>C. Route(s) of Administration.....</b>  | <b>13</b> |
|             | <b>D. Warnings for Critical Information.....</b>   | <b>13</b> |
|             | <b>E. Expiration Dates.....</b>  | <b>13</b> |
|             | <b>F. Bar Codes.....</b>   | <b>13</b> |
|             | <b>G. National Drug Code Numbers .....</b>   | <b>14</b> |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>H. Controlled Substance Schedule .....</b>                             | <b>15</b> |
| <b>V. OTHER SPECIAL CONTAINER LABEL AND CARTON LABELING</b>               |           |
| <b>CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>   | <b>15</b> |
| <b>A. Unit Dose Blister Pack Presentations.....</b>                       | <b>15</b> |
| 1. <i>Blister Cell Label</i> .....  | 15        |
| 2. <i>Product Strength</i> .....  | 15        |
| 3. <i>Blister Cell Label Material and Readability</i> .....               | 16        |
| 4. <i>Blister Pack Label Design</i> .....                                 | 16        |
| <b>B. Labeling of Ferrules and Cap Overseals .....</b>                    | <b>17</b> |
| <b>C. Color Closure System for Concentrated Potassium Chloride .....</b>  | <b>17</b> |
| <b>D. Labels for Large Volume Parenterals.....</b>                        | <b>17</b> |
| <b>E. Transferable or Peel-Off Labels for Injectable Medications.....</b> | <b>19</b> |
| <b>F. Double-sided Labels and Labeling .....</b>                          | <b>19</b> |
| <b>G. Pharmacy Bulk Packages.....</b>                                     | <b>19</b> |
| <b>H. Communication of Important Product Changes .....</b>                | <b>19</b> |
| <b>I. Dosing Devices.....</b>   | <b>19</b> |
| <b>J. Product Samples.....</b>  | <b>20</b> |
| <b>K. Package Type.....</b>   | <b>20</b> |
| <b>L. Quick Response Code .....</b>                                       | <b>20</b> |
| <b>GLOSSARY.....</b>  | <b>23</b> |

## Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup>

# Safety Considerations for Container Labels and Carton Labeling Design to Minimize Medication Errors

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this guidance is to help prescription drug and biologic product manufacturers minimize medication errors<sup>2</sup> associated with their products. This guidance focuses on safety aspects of the container **label**<sup>3</sup> and carton **labeling** design, and provides a set of principles and recommendations for ensuring that critical elements of a product's container labels and carton labeling are designed to promote safe dispensing, administration, and use of the product.

This guidance applies to prescription drug and CDER-regulated biological products, including the following:

- Prescription drug products marketed under an approved new drug application (NDA) or abbreviated new drug application (ANDA);
- Prescription drugs marketed without an approved NDA or ANDA; and
- Biological products marketed under an approved biologics licensing application (BLA).

In this guidance, all such products are jointly referred to as *products*, and persons responsible for designing product container labels and carton labeling are referred to as *sponsors*. References to **end user(s)** include, but are not limited to, the patient, patient's caregiver, the prescribing physician, nurse, pharmacist, pharmacy technician, and other individuals who are involved in routine procurement, stocking, storage, and administration of medications (e.g., medication technicians).

<sup>1</sup> This guidance was prepared by the Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

<sup>2</sup> A medication error is any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the healthcare professional, patient, or consumer (National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention, <http://www.nccmerp.org/aboutMedErrors.html>).

<sup>3</sup> Terms that appear in bold type upon first use are defined in the Glossary section of this guidance.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

39 This guidance does not apply to over-the-counter (OTC) drug products.  
40

41 This is the second in a series of three guidance documents that the Food and Drug  
42 Administration (FDA) is issuing to help minimize medication errors. The first guidance focuses  
43 on minimizing risks associated with the design of the drug product and its **container closure**  
44 **system**.<sup>4</sup> The third planned guidance will focus on best practices for the development and testing  
45 of proposed **proprietary names** to minimize risks associated with drug product nomenclature,  
46 such as proprietary names that look or sound like the name of another product (e.g., look-alike or  
47 sound-alike names).  
48

49 FDA’s guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable  
50 responsibilities. Instead, guidance documents describe the FDA’s current thinking on a topic and  
51 should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements  
52 are cited. The use of the word *should* in FDA’s guidance means that something is suggested or  
53 recommended, but not required.  
54

## 55 **II. BACKGROUND**

56 Medication errors are a significant public health concern that account for an estimated 7,000  
57 deaths annually in the United States.<sup>5</sup> In July 2006, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) published a  
58 report titled *Preventing Medication Errors*. The report cited labeling and **packaging** issues as  
59 the cause of 33 percent of all medication errors and 30 percent of fatalities from medication  
60 errors.<sup>6</sup> The IOM emphasized that “[p]roduct naming, labeling, and packaging should be  
61 designed for the end user — the provider in the clinical environment and/or the consumer.”<sup>7</sup>  
62 More specifically, the report urged FDA to address safety issues related to product labeling and  
63 nomenclature using the principles of cognitive and human factors engineering.<sup>8</sup>  
64  
65

66 On September 27, 2007, the reauthorization and expansion of the Prescription Drug User Fee Act  
67 (PDUFA IV) was signed into law as part of the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act  
68 of 2007 (FDAAA) (Public Law 110-85). As part of the PDUFA IV reauthorization, FDA  
69 committed to certain performance goals, including measures to reduce medication errors related  
70 to look-alike and sound-alike proprietary names, unclear label abbreviations, acronyms, dose

---

<sup>4</sup> See the FDA draft guidance for industry *Safety Considerations for Product Design to Minimize Medication Errors* (December 2012). When final, this guidance will represent FDA’s current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance Web page at <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

<sup>5</sup> Phillips DP, Christenfeld N, and Glynn LM. Increase in US Medication-Error Deaths between 1983 and 1993. *The Lancet*. 351:643-644, 1998.

<sup>6</sup> Aspden P, Wolcott JA, Bootman JL, Cronenwett LR, eds. *Preventing Medication Errors*. Institute of Medicine, The National Academies Press: Washington DC. 2006. Chapter 6: p. 275.

<sup>7</sup> IOM, *Preventing Medication Errors*. Chapter 6, Recommendation 4, p. 280.

<sup>8</sup> IOM, *Preventing Medication Errors*. Chapter 6, Actions to Improve Drug Naming, Labeling, and Packaging, p. 281-282.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

71 designations, and error-prone labeling and packaging designs.<sup>9</sup> In June 2010, FDA held a public  
72 workshop and opened a public docket to receive comments on these topics.<sup>10</sup> This guidance and  
73 the companion guidances described in section I of this guidance present FDA's  
74 recommendations and conclusions after reviewing this public input.

75

### 76 **III. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS**

77

78 The format and content of prescription drug and biological product labels and labeling must  
79 comply with FDA regulations in 21 CFR part 201 for drugs and 21 CFR part 610 Subpart G-  
80 Labeling Standards for biologics, and should conform with all labeling requirements required by  
81 the United States Pharmacopeia (USP). Although this guidance refers to some aspects of those  
82 requirements as they relate to the prevention of medication errors, product sponsors should refer  
83 to the regulations and USP for the full requirements.

#### 84 **A. Poor Design of Product Container Labels and Carton Labeling Can Obscure** 85 **Critical Safety Information**

86

87 Product container labels and carton labeling should communicate information that is critical to  
88 the safe use of a medication from the initial prescription, to procurement, preparation and  
89 dispensing of the product to the time it is given to the patient. Poor label design can contribute to  
90 medication errors by making it difficult for healthcare professionals, caregivers, and/or patients  
91 to readily locate and understand critical safety information. Examples from reports of  
92 medication errors include:

93

- 94 • Key information, such as the product name, strength, and dosage form, is missing; is  
95 expressed in a confusing manner; or is not prominently located and displayed.
- 96
- 97 • Key information does not appear in the same field of vision (i.e., the information is not  
98 readable without having to turn or rotate the container).
- 99
- 100 • Container labels and carton labeling look similar across multiple strengths of the same  
101 product or across multiple products within a company's product line.
- 102
- 103 • Container labels and carton labeling look similar among multiple products from different  
104 manufacturers.
- 105
- 106 • Container labels and carton labeling are visually cluttered by extraneous text or  
107 distracting images and graphics.
- 108
- 109 • Error-prone abbreviations or symbols are used.

---

<sup>9</sup> See letters from the Secretary of Health and Human Services to the Chairman of the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate and the Chairman of the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives, as set forth in the Congressional Record <http://www.fda.gov/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/ucm119243.htm>.

<sup>10</sup> See April 12, 2010, Workshop Notice and Request for Comments (75 FR 18514), Docket No. FDA-2010-N-0168.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

- 110
- 111
- 112
- 113
- 114
- 115
- 116
- 117
- Text is difficult to read because of font size or style, insufficient color contrast, or other design elements.
  - Overlapping text is printed on both sides of a clear, transparent, or translucent container label such as those that might be found on syringes, ampules, vials, intravenous bags or low-density polyethylene (LDPE) vials.

#### **B. Risk Assessment During the Design Stage Can Reduce the Risk of Medication Errors**

120

121 It is important to consider the end users and their environment of use during the development and

122 design of a drug product's label, labeling, and packaging. Sponsors should assess and minimize the

123 risk of medication errors resulting from the design of product container labels and carton labeling

124 before submitting proposed labels and labeling for FDA review and approval. Medication error

125 risk assessment should take into account all of the prospective end users and the environments in

126 which the product will be prescribed, dispensed, and used. FDA recommends applying the

127 principles described in this guidance and testing the overall design using well-established risk

128 assessment methods at the pre-IND stage or during the early stages of label, labeling, and

129 packaging development, and when changes or additions to an already marketed drug product occur

130 throughout the product's life cycle. For more information and recommendations on analytical

131 methods for risk assessments, we refer you to the FDA draft guidance for industry *Safety*

132 *Considerations for Product Design to Minimize Medication Errors* (see footnote 4).

133

#### **C. Critical Product Information Should Appear on the Principal Display Panel**

134

135

136 The **principal display panel** (PDP) is the panel of a label that is most likely to be displayed,

137 presented, shown, or examined by the end user. We recommend that the PDP include the

138 following critical information:

139

- 140
- 141
- 142
- 143
- 144
- Proprietary name
  - **Established name** or **proper name**
  - Product strength
  - Route(s) of administration
  - Warnings (if any) or cautionary statements (if any)

145

146 The information listed above should be the most prominent information on the PDP. Other

147 information on the PDP such as the Rx-only statement, net quantity statement, manufacturer

148 name, and logo should not compete in size and prominence with the important information listed

149 above. Information such as the product strength equivalency statement, "each tablet contains"

150 statement, and manufacturer name and logo is best placed on the side or back panel to maximize

151 the prominence of the important information listed above.

152

#### **D. Labels Should be Legible, Readable, and Easy to Understand**

154



## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

155 FDA recommends that the text on the container label and carton labeling should be (1) generally  
156 oriented in the same direction; (2) placed in the same field of vision (i.e., readable without  
157 having to turn or rotate the container); and (3) surrounded by adequate white space to improve  
158 **readability** and avoid crowding. For FDA regulations related to the readability of product  
159 labels, see 21 CFR 201.15. Important factors to consider include the following:

#### *1. Container Label Size*

162  
163 The size of the container label greatly influences the overall container label design. FDA  
164 recognizes that in certain circumstances the container closure system might actually be  
165 inseparable from the container label (e.g., LDPE vial, glass ampule, syringe, blister foil backing,  
166 and intravenous bag). In other cases, the container label might be a paper, foil, or clear label that  
167 is affixed to the container closure system or blister. If the container label is too small, important  
168 information may not always fit on the principal display panel of the container label.

169  
170 Ideally, manufacturers should explore approaches to create larger container labels or unique  
171 packaging to accommodate all critical information on the immediate product container label.  
172 FDA regulations provide an exemption from some drug labeling requirements when the  
173 container is too small or otherwise unable to accommodate a label with enough space to include  
174 all required information, provided that all required information is present on the carton labeling  
175 or in the prescribing information (21 CFR 201.10(i)). In such cases, the container label must  
176 include at minimum the product's proprietary and established name (if any); product strength; lot  
177 number; and the name of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor. USP requires the label of an  
178 **official drug product** to bear an expiration date.<sup>11</sup> Therefore, we also strongly recommend  
179 including the product's expiration date. For biological products, at a minimum, the name of the  
180 product, lot number, manufacturer name, and the recommended individual dose for multiple-  
181 dose containers must be included (21 CFR 610.60(c)). Such exemptions are not available,  
182 however, if the lack of space is caused by failure to use all available space on the container, or  
183 the use of label space is for non-required information or other design-related elements (see 21  
184 CFR 201.15(a)(3) through (a)(5) and 201.15(b)).

#### *2. Text Size and Style*

185  
186  
187  
188 Sponsors should choose a font that is easy to read, not lightweight or condensed. A number of  
189 published references recommend a larger font size such as 12-point sans serif (e.g., Arial) to  
190 improve readability.<sup>12,13</sup> FDA recommends the use of at least a 12-point font whenever label size  
191 permits.

---

<sup>11</sup> USP General Notices: 10. Preservation, Packaging, Storage, And Labeling; 10.40.100; Expiration Date and Beyond-Use Date. USP has announced plans to relocate this information to General Chapter <7> *Labeling* in the near future.

<sup>12</sup> *Design for patient safety: A guide to the graphic design of medication packaging*, National Patient Safety Agency, Second Edition, 2007; *Design for patient safety: A guide to labeling and packaging of injectable medicines*, National Patient Safety Agency, Edition 1, 2008.

<sup>13</sup> Recommendations to the Safe Medication Use Expert Committee by the Health Literacy and Prescription Container Labeling Advisory Panel, May and November 2009, United States Pharmacopia (USP), posted April 2010.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

#### 192 3. *Contrast of Text and Background Color*

193  
194 The color contrast between the text and the container label background color should be chosen to  
195 afford adequate **legibility** of the text. Sponsors should avoid color combinations that do not  
196 afford maximum legibility of text (e.g., pale yellow text on white container label background).

197  
198 Text that is raised or recessed (i.e., embossed or debossed) on clear, transparent, or translucent  
199 containers (e.g., LDPE vials) is generally illegible. For these types of container labels, we  
200 recommend individually overwrapping the product so that a legible label is applied to the  
201 overwrap, and the product should be retained in the overwrap until it is administered.

#### 202 203 4. *Information Crowding and Visual Clutter*

204  
205 When labels are crowded, text size and prominence are generally decreased, and important  
206 information may be difficult to read and/or easily overlooked. Lines or blocks of text should be  
207 separated by sufficient white space to avoid crowding or clutter. We recommend placing less  
208 important information on a side or back panel of the container label and carton labeling, rather  
209 than on the PDP, or placing it, as appropriate, in the prescribing information.

210  
211 Apart from required information about a product's manufacturer, distributor or packer (see §  
212 201.1), information about business partnerships should not appear on the label or labeling.

213  
214 The use of logos, bars, stripes, watermark graphics, lines, and symbols is discouraged on  
215 container labels and/or carton labeling because they can distract the reader from important  
216 information and add to label clutter. When such items are included, the graphic design should  
217 not compete with, interrupt, or distort important information.

218  
219 We recommend not superimposing text over images or logos or placing a logo immediately  
220 before or after the proprietary name, because the logo can often look like an additional letter in  
221 the proprietary name. In addition, there should be no intervening written, printed, or graphic  
222 matter between the proprietary name, established name, and product strength (see § 201.10(a)).

223  
224 Images of tablets and/or capsules can help pharmacists or other healthcare providers confirm  
225 they are dispensing the correct medication when comparing the product to be dispensed against  
226 the product contained in the commercial container closure system. If an image is used on the  
227 PDP, the image should appear at the bottom of the label and should not compete in size or  
228 prominence with the proprietary and/or **nonproprietary name** and strength information. Images  
229 should represent the actual tablet or capsule and reflect the true size, color, and imprint.  
230 Schematic or computer-generated images should not be used.

231

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267

#### 5. *Dangerous Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols*

Certain abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols are dangerous and should not be used because they are frequently misinterpreted and can lead to mistakes that result in patient harm. For example, the abbreviation  $\mu g$  for microgram should not be used because it has been mistaken as *mg*, meaning milligram. The abbreviation *mcg* is an appropriate abbreviation for microgram. The abbreviation *IU* for international unit also should not be used because it has been confused for the intravenous route of administration. Mistakes can also result from the use of abbreviations, symbols, and dose designations whose meaning is non-standardized and/or unfamiliar to the healthcare professional or other target reader.<sup>14</sup> For these reasons, sponsors should avoid using error-prone abbreviations or symbols for product names, doses, and strength designations on container labels and carton labeling. We refer you to The Joint Commission’s “Do Not Use” list, as well as the Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) List of Error-Prone Abbreviations, Symbols, and Dose Designations for a list of commonly confused abbreviations, symbols, and dose designations.<sup>15,16,17</sup>

#### **E. Avoid Look-alike Container Labels and Carton Labeling**

Look-alike container labels and carton labeling have frequently contributed to product selection errors leading to the dispensing and administration of the wrong drug, wrong strength and/or wrong dose. Sponsors should create a container label and carton labeling design that is sufficiently distinct from that of their other products and the products of other manufacturers so that the end user is able to correctly identify, select, dispense, and administer the appropriate medication, strength, and dose.

The potential for product confusion is especially problematic when products with similar looking container labels or carton labeling are customarily stored side-by-side or near one another. To reduce the risk of error, FDA recommends the following:

##### *1. Corporate Trade Dress*

Sponsors should avoid or minimize the use of **corporate trade dress** that could make it difficult for end users to distinguish between different medications or different strengths of the same medication.

---

<sup>14</sup> FDA/Institute for Safe Medication Practices Campaign to Eliminate Use of Error-Prone Abbreviations, <http://www.ismp.org/tools/abbreviations/>.

<sup>15</sup> The Joint Commission 2001; [http://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/Official\\_Do\\_Not\\_Use\\_List\\_6\\_111.PDF](http://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/Official_Do_Not_Use_List_6_111.PDF).

<sup>16</sup> The Institute for Safe Medication Practices’ List of Error-Prone Abbreviations, Symbols, and Dose Designations, 2010, <http://www.ismp.org/tools/errorproneabbreviations.pdf>.

<sup>17</sup> USP General Chapters: <1265> Written Prescription Drug Information-Guidelines.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308

#### 2. *Use of Color*

A common feature of look-alike products is the use of the same or similar colors in the container labels and carton labeling of multiple products across a company’s entire product line or within a line of related products. We recommend that sponsors use color prudently to bring attention to the product name, strength, and important warning(s). Sponsors also should bear in mind that (1) individuals can perceive colors differently and some individuals may be colorblind, (2) identification of products by color might replace reading the label, (3) there are a limited number of discernible colors available, and (4) colors can look different under certain lighting conditions. Accordingly, color should not be the only element used as a means to distinguish different container label and carton labeling of multiple products across a company’s entire product line or within a line of related products.<sup>18</sup>

In response to medication errors associated with the use of color on pharmaceutical product container labels, carton labeling, and packaging, FDA held a public hearing on March 7, 2005, to discuss the pros and cons of each of the following applications of color.<sup>19</sup> Based on these discussions, FDA recommends the following:

##### a. Color Differentiation

Color differentiation is an effective tool that can (1) differentiate products within a manufacturer’s product line; (2) differentiate strengths within a manufacturer’s product line; and (3) highlight certain aspects of the label, such as important warning statements. When applying color, sponsors should ensure that the text highlighted by the color has adequate color contrast against the background color. Color differentiation is most effective when the color used has no association with a particular feature and there is no pattern in the application of the color scheme.

##### b. Color Coding

Color coding is a technique that uses color to designate a specific meaning. FDA generally recommends avoiding color coding in most instances. Color coding is reserved for special circumstances and only after human factors testing and feedback on the prototype from all end users is received and evaluated by FDA prior to use.

The use of color coding on drug labels has been limited and not without risk. Color coding schemes developed to decrease error may actually increase error when the color is relied upon as a shortcut to proper identification (i.e., not reading the label). Errors can also occur when the color code is not meaningful to end users outside the limited environment where the color coding has an established use (e.g., Broselow Tape in the emergency room, and user-applied, color-coded labels in the operating room).

---

<sup>18</sup> Medication Errors, Second Edition, 2007, p. 119.

<sup>19</sup> See the *Federal Register* notice “Use of Color on Pharmaceutical Product Labels, Labeling, and Packaging; Public hearing,” February 3, 2005 (70 FR 5687).

*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

309  
310 Certain applications of color coding are appropriate. Examples include the color coding of  
311 certain drug product strengths such as warfarin, levothyroxine, and conjugated estrogen-  
312 containing products. The colors of the strengths are universally color coded across all  
313 manufacturers. Another example is color coding the caps used for ophthalmic products to  
314 distinguish a therapeutic class (e.g., beta-blockers have a yellow cap). Although color coding the  
315 caps is useful to ophthalmologists and some patients in identifying the therapeutic class of  
316 medication, it is generally not helpful to end users outside of ophthalmology. In fact, the color  
317 coding has made it difficult for these users to differentiate between drugs *within* the same  
318 therapeutic class when the color code was used on the container label and carton labeling.  
319 Because these products are typically stored near each other, the similar appearance of the  
320 container labels and carton labeling has led to dispensing and administering the wrong strength,  
321 wrong dose, and wrong product. For these reasons, the color coding of ophthalmic products is  
322 limited to the cap color.

323  
324 **IV. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

325  
326 **A. Proprietary, Established, and Proper Names**

327  
328 Sponsors should maximize the readability of proprietary, established, and proper names on the  
329 container label and carton labeling. We recommend capitalizing only the first letter in the  
330 proprietary name because words written in all-capital letters are less legible than words written in  
331 mixed case letters. Moreover, the established or proper name and proprietary name should be  
332 displayed in a manner consistent with the FDA regulations, taking into account all pertinent  
333 factors, including typography, layout, contrast, and other printing features (for drugs see 21 CFR  
334 201.10(g)(2)); for biologic products see 21 CFR 610.62).

335  
336 The established name for drug products should include the finished dosage form. If space does  
337 not permit the finished dosage form to appear on the same line as the active ingredient, we  
338 recommend placing the finished dosage form on the next line below the active ingredient.

339  
340 Mydrug Mydrug Mydrug  
341 (drugozide injection) or (drugozide) injection or (drugozide)  
342 Injection

343  
344 For biological products, the proper name for biological products should not include the finished  
345 dosage form. The finished dosage form can appear on the line below the proper name.

346  
347 Mydrug drugozide  
348 (drugozide) Mydrug  
349 Injection Injection

350  
351 Mixed case or **tall man lettering** on approved container labels and carton labeling can  
352 sometimes be used to help distinguish similar looking, established name pairs that have been  
353 confused postmarket. Dissimilar letters in each of the established names are placed in upper case

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

354 letters to bring attention to the point of dissimilarity between the names of concern (e.g.,  
355 drugMY versus drug). We recommend that applicants consult FDA’s medication error  
356 prevention staff before using this technique and supply data concerning the postmarket confusion  
357 concern, a description of how the letter string was selected, and data demonstrating that the  
358 proposed presentation will adequately distinguish between the potentially confusing product  
359 names. A list of approved name pairs that use mixed-case typography can be found on the FDA  
360 Web page at [www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/MedicationErrors/ucm164587.htm](http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/MedicationErrors/ucm164587.htm).

#### **B. Product Strength**

364 A product’s strength or concentration is critically important information for the end user. If the  
365 product strength is not clearly displayed on the container label, or is expressed in units of  
366 measure that are incongruent with those used in the dosing instructions, the wrong strength can  
367 be selected or the wrong dose administered (i.e., over- or under-dosing). We recommend the  
368 following measures to avoid or minimize commonly reported dosing errors:

##### *1. Strength Differentiation*

371 Product selection errors leading to under- or over-dosing can occur when different strengths of  
372 the same product or similar strengths of different products are stored or displayed in close  
373 proximity. Sponsors should ensure that the product strength stands out on the container label and  
374 carton labeling. Appropriate techniques for this purpose include the use of boxing, a prominent  
375 typeface or type weight, and color differentiation, among others.

##### *2. Strength Designation*

377 Product strength designations should use a consistent unit of measure across all elements of the  
380 labeling (e.g., container, carton, and prescribing information). The product strength should  
381 match the units of measure described in the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION section of the  
382 prescribing information to avoid error. For example, user confusion and dosing errors can occur  
383 if product strength is expressed on the label in percentage, but the directions for dosage and  
384 administration of the drug are expressed in milligrams. Sponsors also should use the same units  
385 of measure when labeling multiple products containing the same active ingredient (e.g., use *mg*  
386 for milligram to express the strength for all nitroglycerin products rather than using both *mg* and  
387 *mcg*).

##### *3. Small Volume Parenteral Products*

389 For small volume parenteral products, the product strength should be expressed as total quantity  
392 per total volume followed by the concentration per milliliter (mL), as described in the USP,  
393 General Chapter <1> *Injection*.<sup>20</sup> A number of overdoses have occurred with small-volume  
394

---

<sup>20</sup> USP General Chapter: <1> Injections; Labels and Labeling; strength and total volume for single- and multiple-dose injectable drug products. USP has announced plans to relocate this information to General Chapter <7> *Labeling* in the near future.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

395 parenterals because of healthcare practitioner and patient failure to determine the total amount of  
396 drug in the container. In most cases, the user noticed the concentration (e.g., 10 mg/mL) but  
397 failed to see the net quantity (e.g., 10 mL), which often appears in a different location on the  
398 container label. This confusion has led to administration of the entire contents of the container,  
399 when only a portion of the total volume was needed.

400  
401 To avoid such confusion, the strength per total volume should be the primary and prominent  
402 expression on the principal display panel of the label, followed in close proximity by strength per  
403 milliliter enclosed by parentheses. For example:

404  
405 **500 mg/10 mL**  
406 (50 mg/mL)  
407

408  
409 If the product contains a volume of less than 1 mL, the product should never be labeled with a  
410 concentration of mg/mL, since this may lead end users to mistakenly think the container has  
411 more drug in it than it actually does, which can lead to under-dosing. For containers holding a  
412 volume of less than 1 mL, the strength per fraction of a milliliter should be the only expression  
413 of strength. For example:

414  
415 12.5 mg/0.625 mL  
416 or  
417 12.5 mg per 0.625 mL  
418

#### 419 4. *Expression of Strength for Dry Powder Products*

420  
421 Dry powder products should express the strength in terms of the total amount of drug per vial as  
422 follows:

423  
424 XX mg/vial or XX mg per vial  
425

426 Instructions for reconstituting the product and the resultant concentration should be included on  
427 the vial, if space permits. These instructions will inform persons responsible for preparing the  
428 product what type and volume of diluent should be used for reconstitution, and the amount of  
429 drug contained in each milliliter once reconstituted. If space permits, information on the  
430 expiration date and post-reconstitution storage should also be included.

#### 431 5. *Salt Nomenclature*

433

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

434 When a product contains an active ingredient that is a salt, the USP Salt Policy should be applied  
435 when naming and labeling drug products.<sup>21</sup>

436

#### 437 6. *Prodrugs*

438

439 For **prodrugs**, the product strength should be expressed in terms of the established or proper  
440 name of the prodrug — for example, Valtrex (valacyclovir hydrochloride tablets) is a prodrug for  
441 acyclovir. After oral administration, valacyclovir hydrochloride is rapidly absorbed from the  
442 gastrointestinal tract and nearly completely converted to acyclovir. Therefore, the strength of  
443 this product is based on valacyclovir rather than acyclovir.

444

#### 445 7. *Metric Measurements*

446

447 The dose or expression of strength should appear in metric units of measure such as mL, mg, and  
448 mcg, rather than apothecary or household measurements (e.g., tsp for teaspoon, TBSP for  
449 tablespoon, drams, and grains) or ratios (e.g., 1:1000).

450

451 Fatal errors have occurred when healthcare providers or patients miscalculated medication doses  
452 when converting from one unit of measure to another (for example, the usual dose is expressed  
453 in terms of a milligram unit of measure, but the product strength is expressed as a ratio, requiring  
454 conversion of the ratio to a milligram dose).

455

#### 456 8. *Location of Net Quantity Statements*

457

458 Product selection or dosing errors can occur if the net quantity statement is mistaken for the  
459 product strength, leading to under- or over-dosing. This error generally occurs when the product  
460 strength overlaps with the product net quantity (e.g., 100 tablets versus 100 mg) or when the net  
461 quantity is presented more prominently on the label than is the product strength. The net  
462 quantity statement should appear on the PDP but should be separate from and less prominent  
463 than the statement of strength (e.g., not highlighted, boxed, or bolded).

464

#### 465 9. *Leading and Terminal Zeros, Decimals, and Commas*

466

467 Numbers containing decimal points in the declaration of strength can lead to tenfold dosing  
468 errors when the decimal point goes unseen (e.g., 4.0 mg is seen as 40 mg, or .4 mg is read as 4  
469 mg). To minimize such errors, the quantity of active ingredient in the statement of strength  
470 should be presented in whole numbers, and not with a decimal point that is followed by a  
471 terminal zero (e.g., 4 mg, not 4.0 mg). Conversely, decimal numbers smaller than one should  
472 always be preceded by a zero (e.g., 0.4 mg, not .4 mg). This serves to enhance the visibility of  
473 the decimal point.

474

---

<sup>21</sup> USP General Chapters <1121> Nomenclature; Monograph Naming Policy for Salt Drug Substances in Drug Products and Compounded Preparations.



## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

475 Commas should be used for numbers 1,000 and above to improve the legibility of larger  
476 numerals.

477

#### 478 **C. Route(s) of Administration**

479 The route of administration should be described without abbreviation. We recommend using  
480 positive statements such as “for intravenous use,” “give by subcutaneous injection,” or “topical  
481 use only.” Negative statements such as “NOT for intrathecal use” should not be used because it  
482 is easy to overlook the word “not,” even when it is emphasized by bolding, underlining, or other  
483 means. Using affirmative statements will help to ensure that end users understand the intended  
484 route of administration, even if they do not read every word.<sup>22,23,24</sup>

485

#### 486 **D. Warnings for Critical Information**

487

488 When warning statements are added to the container label or carton labeling, they should be  
489 written affirmatively. Non-affirmative warning statements have been confused. For example,  
490 the warning “Not for intrathecal use” has been confused as “For intrathecal use.” Affirmative  
491 statements such as “For Intravenous Use Only,” “Fatal if given by any other route,” or “Must  
492 Dilute Before Use” are more easily understood.

#### 493 **E. Expiration Dates**

494

495 Currently, manufacturers use various ways to express the expiration date on a product label.  
496 Some express the expiration date with the month and day, while others use the month and year.  
497 Most use abbreviations to express these dates (e.g., MA12). The use of abbreviations for  
498 expiration dates has led to confusion, misinterpretation, and sometimes delays in treatment  
499 because the abbreviation was interpreted incorrectly. For example: “MA” could mean March or  
500 May, whereas the number 12 could represent the day, month, or year. Accordingly, FDA  
501 recommends the expiration date be expressed in a standard format, using three-letter text for the  
502 month, two-digit numerals for the day (if included), and four-digit numerals for the year, as  
503 shown below:

504

505 MMMYYYY (e.g., JAN2013)

506

or

507

MMMDDYYYY (e.g., JAN012013)

#### 508 **F. Bar Codes**

509

---

<sup>22</sup> Eastman Kodak Company. Ergonomic Design for People at Work, Second Edition. Chengalur SN, et al., eds. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2004.

<sup>23</sup> Handbook of Warnings. Wogalter MS, ed. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2006.

<sup>24</sup> Proctor RW, Vu KL. Handbook of Human Factors in Web Design. United Kingdom: Routledge, 2005.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

510 A bar code should be placed on the immediate container label and carton labeling of most drug  
511 products. The barcode should be surrounded by enough white space to allow scanners to read  
512 the bar code properly (see 21 CFR 201.25(c)(2)). Print density should be consistent to allow for  
513 an accurate scan. The bar code should be placed in a conspicuous location (e.g., not on the  
514 bottom of a carton) where it will not be difficult to read because of distorted text. Additionally,  
515 the barcode should be placed in an area where it will not be damaged because it appears at the  
516 point of label separation (e.g., perforation).

#### 517 **G. National Drug Code Numbers**

518  
519 Each listed drug product<sup>25,26</sup> is assigned a unique 10-digit, 3-segment number known as the  
520 national drug code (NDC). The NDC number identifies the labeler, product, and commercial  
521 package size.<sup>27,28</sup> When selecting the product code for NDC numbers of drug products with  
522 multiple strengths, FDA recommends the following:

523  
524 Avoid assigning product codes that are numerically similar or identical. The similarity of the  
525 product code numbers has led to selecting and dispensing of the wrong strength and wrong drug.  
526 The middle digits are traditionally used by healthcare providers to check the correct product,  
527 strength, and formulation. Therefore, assignment of sequential numbers for the middle digits is  
528 not an effective differentiating feature (e.g., 6666, 6667, and 6668), nor is using the identical  
529 product code for injectable products containing the same concentration of drug but different total  
530 volumes. For example, injectable products might contain the same product concentration but  
531 contain a different total amount of drug in the container because of differences in the fill volume  
532 (e.g., 20 mg/2 mL (10 mg/mL), 40 mg/4 mL (10 mg/mL)). When the same product code number  
533 is used for all of the different containers, healthcare practitioners have had difficulty  
534 distinguishing the difference in total drug content. Each of these injectable products should  
535 therefore have a different product code assigned. Another example is when injectable products  
536 contain the same concentration and same total drug content but delivers different volumes or  
537 doses. For example, a drug might be marketed in two prefilled pen devices, each containing a 10  
538 mg/mL solution, and total volume of 3 mL. However, one device might deliver dosages of 5 mg  
539 (0.5 mL) and the other might deliver dosages of 10 mg (1 mL). Each of these prefilled pens  
540 should be assigned a different product code to help avoid confusion between the two products.  
541

---

<sup>25</sup> Under section 510 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 360), as amended, and part 207 of FDA's regulations, with some limited exceptions, firms that manufacture, prepare, propagate, compound, or process drugs in the United States or drugs that are offered for import into the United States must be registered with the FDA (see 21 U.S.C. 360(b), (c), (d), and (i)). Every person who registers must, at the time of initial registration, list all drugs manufactured, prepared, propagated, compounded, or processed for commercial distribution (21 U.S.C. 360(j)(1)) (see also 21 CFR 207.20). Drug listing information must be updated in June and December each year. These updates must include drugs not previously listed (if any), and certain changes to information for previously listed drugs (21 U.S.C. 360(j)(2); 21 CFR 207.21(b) and 207.30).

<sup>26</sup> Information regarding FDA's Drug Registration and Listing is available on FDA's Web site at <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/DrugRegistrationandListing/default.htm>.

<sup>27</sup> See 21 CFR 207.35(b)(3) for specific format requirements.

<sup>28</sup> Information regarding FDA's NDC Directory is available on FDA's Web site at <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ucm142438.htm>.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

542 If for some reason the middle digits cannot be revised, increase the prominence of the middle  
543 digits by increasing their size in comparison to the remaining digits in the NDC number or put  
544 them in bold type. For example: xxxx-XXXX-xx.

545  
546 Avoid the reuse of NDC numbers. The reuse of NDC numbers has led to the dispensing and  
547 administration of the wrong drug or wrong strength.

#### **H. Controlled Substance Schedule**

549  
550  
551 Each commercial container of a controlled substance must prominently display the controlled  
552 substance schedule (i.e., CII through CV) as described in 21 CFR 1302.03 and 1302.04. The  
553 controlled substance schedule and the proprietary or established name should be separated by  
554 white space, not directly juxtaposed. For injectable drugs that are classified as Schedule IV  
555 controlled substances, FDA recommends the designation “CIV” rather than “C-IV.” This is  
556 because “-IV” can be misread as an abbreviation for intravenous, leading to administration of the  
557 product by the wrong route, or as a number, leading to misinterpretation of the product strength  
558 as 4 mg.

#### **V. OTHER SPECIAL CONTAINER LABEL AND CARTON LABELING CONSIDERATIONS**

##### **A. Unit Dose Blister Pack Presentations**

560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565 Various configurations are available for products packaged in blister packs. For example, they  
566 can be a strip of individual blister cells (unit doses) or they can be a sheet containing multiple  
567 tablets for a particular duration of therapy (e.g., 3 days, 5 days, monthly). The size of a unit-dose  
568 blister is generally small, and blisters are often torn apart, punctured, or removed from the outer  
569 carton by the end user. Therefore, FDA recommends the following when developing the  
570 container label and carton labeling for these packs:

##### *1. Blister Cell Label*

571  
572  
573  
574 Ideally, the proprietary and established name, strength, lot number, expiration date, bar code, and  
575 manufacturer should appear over *each* blister cell so that this important information remains  
576 available to the end user up to the point at which the last dose is removed. Each blister should  
577 include only one dosage unit per blister (e.g., one tablet, one capsule).

578  
579 In certain cases it may not be possible to design the packaging to accommodate all critical  
580 information on each blister cell. In such circumstances, a random display of the information can  
581 appear multiple times across the back of the blister or the important information should be  
582 displayed in such a manner that it is not destroyed or eliminated when dosage units are removed.

##### *2. Product Strength*

583  
584  
585

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

586 The product strength on the principal display panel and other panels of the blister carton labeling  
587 should describe the milligram amount of drug per single unit (e.g., tablet, capsule) so that there is  
588 no confusion as to how much product is contained in a single unit as compared to the total  
589 contents of the entire blister card. We recommend the following:

590

591 XX mg per tablet or XX mg per capsule

592

#### 593 3. *Blister Cell Label Material and Readability*

594

595 Color, type size, and font style should be carefully chosen based on the material used for the  
596 blister cell backing. Because the legibility of text printed on foil might be impaired due to the  
597 reflective nature of the material, it is important to ensure that information printed on foil is  
598 readily legible. When possible, a non-reflective material should be used to enhance readability  
599 of product information.

600

#### 601 4. *Blister Pack Label Design*

602

603 Careful consideration should be given to the overall design of a blister pack label so that it does  
604 not lead to confusion and error. Sponsors should limit the number and variety of blister packs,  
605 and ensure that the packaging configuration makes sense for the dosage and administration of the  
606 drug product and the intended patient population. Although special packaging for specific  
607 treatment regimens might improve patient compliance and minimize the risk of accidental  
608 exposures to the drug, they can also be confusing and prone to dosing errors if not designed  
609 properly. Blister labeling design factors that have been associated with reported medication  
610 errors include, but are not limited to, the following:

611

612 • Presenting and sequencing doses in ways that do not match the product's approved usual  
613 dosage, leading to administration of the wrong dose. For example, the blister labeling  
614 presents the product or labels the product in a manner that provides for a fixed dose (e.g.,  
615 twice-a-day dose) but the approved usual dosing regimen is variable (e.g., once or twice  
616 daily).

617

618 • Labeling doses in the package with days of the week when the dosage and administration  
619 does not require such sequencing. For example, a packaging configuration labeled with  
620 the days of the week (e.g., Mon., Tues., and Wed.) can lead to delays in starting therapy  
621 because patients wait to start their medication on the first specified day.

622

623 • Numbering each blister cell in sequence, such as controlled substances packaged in a 30-  
624 tablet blister pack and numbered from 1 to 30. Although this may be convenient for  
625 record keeping of controlled substances in facilities, the number has been confused for  
626 the tablet strength.

627

628 • Providing more doses than needed for a single course of treatment, leading to excessive  
629 duration of therapy (e.g., a 20-tablet pack for a product that should be administered once  
630 daily for a total of 5 days).

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

631

632

#### **B. Labeling of Ferrules and Cap Overseals**

633

634 Vials for injectable drug products often include elastomeric closures (stoppers), which are  
635 connected to the vials by bands, or *ferrules*. A cap overseal is a disc over a ferrule that protects  
636 the stopper. The ferrule and cap should be prominently visible on the vial immediately before  
637 administering the drug product. Given their location, the information provided on ferrules and  
638 caps of medication vials has a critical role in providing crucial information to the healthcare  
639 provider and should be limited to important safety messages critical for the prevention of  
640 imminent, life-threatening situations. If no cautionary statement is necessary, the top surface of  
641 the vial, including the ferrule and cap overseal, should remain blank. Other statements (e.g., lot  
642 numbers) can appear on the side of the ferrule but should not detract from any cautionary  
643 statement appearing on the top surface.

644

645 The USP created a Labeling on Ferrules and Cap Overseals section of General Chapter <1>  
646 *Injections*, which will become official on December 1, 2013. Applicants and sponsors should  
647 comply with the recommendations set forth in the revised USP General Chapter <1>.<sup>29</sup>

648

#### **C. Color Closure System for Concentrated Potassium Chloride**

649

651 The use of a black closure system on a vial (e.g., a black flip-off button and a black ferrule to  
652 hold the elastomeric closure), or the use of a black band or series of bands above the constriction  
653 on an ampule, is used only for Potassium Chloride for Injection Concentrate. This unique color  
654 closure system differentiates this concentrated strength of potassium chloride from other less  
655 concentrated strengths of potassium chloride and alerts the end user that the product is more  
656 concentrated.<sup>30</sup> As such, a black cap/ferrule/lines should not be used on any other drug product.

657

#### **D. Labels for Large Volume Parenterals**

658

659  
660 FDA receives many reports of confusion and errors involving large-volume parenteral products.  
661 These reports cite similarity of the containers, lack of prominence of important information on  
662 the label, and label clutter. These concerns were addressed at a joint public meeting held by  
663 FDA, ISMP, and USP in 2007.<sup>31</sup> Based on the information and recommendations presented at  
664 the public meeting, FDA considers the following information to be essential for the container  
665 label of large volume parenterals:

666

667 • Name and strength

668 • Statement “Do not add supplementary ...to the Y port...”

669 • Statement “Sterile”

---

<sup>29</sup> USP General Chapters; General Test and Assays <1> Injections; Packaging Containers for Injections. USP has announced plans to relocate this information to General Chapter <7> *Labeling* in the near future.

<sup>30</sup> USP General Chapters; General Test and Assays <1> Injections; Packaging Containers for Injections. USP has announced plans to relocate this information to General Chapter <7> *Labeling* in the near future.

<sup>31</sup> See the *Federal Register* notice “Improving Patient Safety by Enhancing the Container Labeling for Parenteral Infusion Drug Products; Public Meeting,” November 28, 2006 (71 FR 68819).

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

- 670 • Statement “Each 100 mL contains.....”
- 671 • General and/or Special Storage Requirements such as:
  - 672 ○ “See USP Controlled Room Temperature”
  - 673 ○ “Protect from Freezing” should only be used if the drug product is
  - 674 adversely affected by freezing<sup>32</sup>
- 675 • Labels of Ports (e.g., arrows with “med” or “set”)
- 676 • Barcode
- 677 • Lot number and Expiration Date
- 678 • Recycling code symbol
- 679 • Statement “For use only with a calibrated infusion device”
- 680 • Revision date
- 681 • “See prescribing information” (not “see package insert”)
- 682 • “Additive compatibility, consult pharmacist” (not “Compatibility of additives, check
- 683 with a pharmacist”)

684

685 The following information is considered label clutter and should not be included on the large  
686 volume parenteral container label or presented, as appropriate, in the prescribing information:

687

- 688 • All secondary trademark information (e.g., “VisIV”, “Intravia” Container)
- 689 • Symbols (e.g., circles that represent strength) should not be included on the container  
690 label or in the prescribing information
- 691 • Statements such as “Caution – check for minute leaks by squeezing container firmly...”  
692 and “If leaks are found...”
- 693 • Statement “Use only if solution is clear and container is undamaged”
- 694 • Statements about “series connection” should not be included on the container label or in  
695 the prescribing information
- 696 • Osmolarity statement
- 697 • Lactic acidosis statement (e.g., on lactated ringers bags)
- 698 • Statements such as “printed in USA”
- 699 • Manufacturer full address
- 700 • Statements such as “Dose intravenously as directed by physician” should not be included  
701 on the container label or in the prescribing information
- 702 • Statement “Whenever possible use central route”
- 703 • pH statement
- 704 • Blood transfusion warning
- 705 • Statements such as “non-pyrogenic”
- 706 • Warnings for purposes of interstate commerce should not be included on the container  
707 label or in the prescribing information

708

---

<sup>32</sup> USP General Notices: 10.30.90. *Protection From Freezing*. [USP has announced plans to relocate this information to General Chapter <7> Labeling in the near future.](#)

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

#### 709 **E. Transferable or Peel-Off Labels for Injectable Medications**

710  
711 Currently, once an injectable medication is withdrawn from the commercial container closure  
712 (e.g., vial or ampule) into a syringe for administration, the syringe no longer provides  
713 information needed by the end user to verify the drug name and strength prior to administration.  
714 Such unlabeled medication has led to administration of the wrong drug and wrong strength.  
715

716 FDA recommends that sponsors develop, when possible, a transferable or peel-off label for the  
717 commercial container of injectable products. This type of label can help to minimize the use of  
718 unlabeled syringes because the label would be attached to the commercial container closure,  
719 present at the point of product preparation, and not be discarded as in the case with other  
720 auxiliary labels often provided with the carton.  
721

#### 722 **F. Double-sided Labels and Labeling**

723  
724 Printing information on both the front and back panels of labels and labeling can be an effective  
725 way to present safety information and, if done correctly, can help prevent medication errors.  
726 When double-sided printing is used on clear, transparent, or translucent labels and labeling, such  
727 as LDPE vials or intravenous bags, the text should be readable in both the upright and inverted  
728 positions and should not overlap with other text.

#### 729 **G. Pharmacy Bulk Packages**

730  
731 For Pharmacy Bulk Packages (PBPs), a prominent, boxed declaration reading “Pharmacy Bulk  
732 Package – Not for Direct Infusion” should be placed on the principal display panel following the  
733 expression of strength. This statement can be made more prominent by using boldface type,  
734 large size type, or a contrasting color. The container label for PBPs should not include  
735 graduation marks.

#### 736 **H. Communication of Important Product Changes**

737  
738 Changes to marketed products such as new strengths or concentrations, or changes in  
739 formulation, or changes in certain inactive ingredients should be communicated to health  
740 practitioners on the container label, if space permits, and on the carton labeling. For example, a  
741 change in product strength should be communicated as “New Strength” or “Note New Strength.”  
742 This statement should appear on the principal display panel for a period of 6 months.  
743

#### 744 **I. Dosing Devices**

745  
746 Dosing devices included with a drug product should be appropriate for the dosages to be  
747 measured.<sup>33</sup> The dosing device should deliver an oral solution in a volumetric unit of measure,

---

<sup>33</sup> See the FDA guidance for industry *Dosage Delivery Devices for Orally Ingested OTC Liquid Drug Products* addresses issues concerning dosing devices for OTC liquid drug products (available at <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>).

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

748 preferably milliliters (mL), consistent with recommended dosing. For example, sponsors should  
749 not create an oral syringe that is calibrated in milligrams rather than milliliters.

750

#### 751 **J. Product Samples**

752

753 Each product sample unit must bear a label that clearly denotes its status as a drug sample (e.g.,  
754 “sample,” “not for sale,” “professional courtesy package” (21 CFR 203.38(c)). At a minimum,  
755 product sample labels must also include the proprietary and nonproprietary names; product  
756 strength; lot number; and the name of the manufacturer, packer, or distributor of the drug (21  
757 CFR 201.10(i)). We also strongly encourage including the expiration date and NDC number.  
758 Professional samples packaged as packs or kits should not be labeled with terms such as *starter*,  
759 *starter samples*, and *patient starter pack*.<sup>34</sup> In addition, the sponsor should consider providing a  
760 blank open space on the label so the provider of the drug sample can write or affix a label with  
761 the patient name and specific instructions for use.

762

#### 762 **K. Package Type**

763

764 Including the **package type** on container labels and carton labeling (e.g., single-dose and  
765 pharmacy bulk packages) is important in situations where it is unclear how the medication  
766 should be safely handled and used simply by viewing the container. For example, vials  
767 containing a specific quantity of a drug product intended to be used as a single dose on a single  
768 patient should include the term single dose on the vial to differentiate them from multiple-dose  
769 vials and alert the user to the appropriate use of the product.

770

771 In addition, when the product appears to be in a child-resistant container (e.g., unit-dose blister)  
772 but the container is NOT in fact child-resistant, it is important to include a statement on the label  
773 indicating the package is not child-resistant. For example, “This package is not child-resistant.  
774 If dispensed for outpatient use, a child-resistant container should be used.”

775

776 USP General Chapter <659> *Packaging and Storage Requirements* includes package-type terms  
777 and definitions.

778

#### 778 **L. Quick Response Code**

779

780 A Quick Response Code (QR code) is a type of matrix bar code (or two-dimensional bar code)  
781 that can be read by a mobile phone. QR codes may provide various bits of information (e.g.,  
782 Internet address, phone numbers). FDA has not developed a formal position on the use of QR  
783 Codes. If a manufacturer uses a QR code, we recommend that it appear on the side or back panel  
784 of the container label or carton labeling, away from the bar code and in a size that does not  
785 compete with, distract from the presentation of other required or recommended information on  
786 the label.

---

<sup>34</sup> See the final rule “Prescription Drug Marketing Act of 1987; Prescription Drug Amendments of 1992; Policies, Requirements, and Administrative Policies” (December 3, 1999, 64 FR 67720 at 67742), available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-1999-12-03/pdf/99-30954.pdf>.



*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830

**GLOSSARY**

**Container Closure System:** A *container closure system* refers to the sum of packaging components that together contain and protect the dosage form. This includes primary packaging components and secondary packaging components, if the latter are intended to provide additional protection to the drug product. A *packaging system* is equivalent to a container closure system.

**Corporate Trade Dress:** As used in this guidance *corporate trade dress* means the manner in which a company packages, wraps, and labels, a drug or biologic product including the use of color schemes, sizes, designs, shapes, and placements of words or graphics on a container label and/or carton labeling.

**End user:** *End users* include, but are not limited to, the patient, patient’s caregiver, the prescribing physician, nurse, pharmacist, pharmacy technician, and other individuals who are involved in routine procurement, stocking, storage, and administration of medications (e.g., medication technicians).

**Established Name:** Section 502(e)(3) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 352) states that “the term ‘established name,’ with respect to a drug or ingredient thereof, means (A) the applicable official name designated pursuant to section 508 [(21U.S.C. 358)], or (B) if there is no such name and such drug, or such ingredient, is an article recognized in an **official compendium** [see definition below], then the official title thereof in such compendium, or (C) if neither clause (A) or clause (B) of this subparagraph applies, then the common or usual name, if any of such drug or such ingredient, except that where clause (B) of this subparagraph applies to an article recognized in the United States Pharmacopeia and in the Homeopathic Pharmacopeia under different official titles, the official title used in the United States Pharmacopeia shall apply unless it is labeled and offered for sale as a homeopathic drug, in which case the official title used in the Homeopathic Pharmacopeia shall apply.”

**Label:** As defined in section 201(k) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 321(k)), the term *label* means a display of written, printed, or graphic matter upon the immediate container of any article, or is easily legible through the outside container or wrapper.

**Labeling:** As defined in section 201(m) of the FD&C Act, the term *labeling* means “all labels and other written, printed, or graphic matter (1) upon any article or any of its containers or wrappers, or (2) accompanying such article.”

**Legibility:** *Legibility* is concerned with how easy it is to distinguish individual letters. Legibility is dependent on the typeface design.

**Official Drug Product:** USP General Notices, Section 2.20, Official Articles defines an *official product* as a drug product, dietary supplement, compounded preparation, or finished device for which a monograph is provided.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft – Not for Implementation*

831 **Official Compendium:** Defined in section 201(j) of the Act as “the official United States  
832 Pharmacopoeia, official Homoeopathic Pharmacopoeia of the United States, official National  
833 Formulary, or any supplement to any of them.”  
834

835 **Package Type:** For the purposes of this guidance, the *package type* is a description of the  
836 container-closure system that drug substances and final drug dosage forms are contained in.  
837

838 **Packaging:** A *package* or *market package* refers to the container closure system and labeling,  
839 associated components (e.g., dosing cups, droppers, spoons), and external packaging (e.g.,  
840 cartons or shrink wrap). A market package is the article provided to a pharmacist or retail  
841 customer upon purchase and does not include packaging used solely for the purpose of shipping  
842 such articles.  
843

844 **Principal Display Panel:** The term *principal display panel* refers to the part of a label that is  
845 most likely to be displayed, presented, shown, or examined under customary conditions of  
846 display on the pharmacy or retail shelf.  
847

848 **Prodrugs:** *Prodrugs* are products that are converted to another active moiety once ingested.  
849

850 **Proper name:** For biological products, the *proper name* means the name designated in the  
851 license for use upon each package of the product (21 CFR 600.3(k)).  
852

853 **Proprietary Name:** The exclusive name of a drug substance or drug product owned by a  
854 company under trademark law regardless of registration status with the Patent and Trademark  
855 Office.  
856

857 **Readability:** *Readability* refers to the ease with which a reader can scan over paragraphs of  
858 type. Readability is dependent on the manipulation or handling of the type. A highly legible  
859 typeface can be made unreadable by poor typographic design. Factors that affect readability  
860 include: line lengths, point size, leading, typeface selection, spacing, type alignment, and  
861 background.  
862

863 **Tall Man Lettering:** *Tall man lettering* involves highlighting the dissimilar letters in two names  
864 to aid in distinguishing between the two.<sup>35</sup>  
865

---

<sup>35</sup> Institute of Safe Medication Practices, [http://www.ismp.org/faq.asp#Question\\_8](http://www.ismp.org/faq.asp#Question_8).