



Control Strategy and ICH Q11

John Smith, Ph.D.

AAPS Open Forum: Control Strategy Guidelines

Chicago, IL

October 18, 2012

ICH Q11

Development and Manufacture of Drug Substance

- In Spring of 2008, the ICH Steering Committee agreed there was a need for Q11
 - It was understood that the concepts described in ICH guidances Q8(R2), Q9, and Q10 generally apply to Drug Substance as well as to Drug Product, but...
 - Processes for manufacture of Drug Substance are very different from Drug Product (e.g, purification)
 - Therefore, there was a perceived need for additional clarity on how the principles apply to Drug Substance, and a desire for examples

ICH Q11

Development and Manufacture of Drug Substance

- ICH Q11 rapporteur: Brian Withers (EFPIA)
- The ICH Q11 Expert Working Group (EWG) first met in Portland, OR in June 2008



ICH Q11

Development and Manufacture of Drug Substance

- EWG also met in
 - Brussels Nov 2008
 - Yokohama June 2009
 - St. Louis Nov 2009
 - Tallinn (Estonia) June 2010
 - Fukuoka (Japan) Nov 2010
- Step 2 document was published for public comment in Spring/Summer 2011
 - About 1300 comments from all three ICH regions

ICH Q11

Development and Manufacture of Drug Substance

- Road to Steps 4 & 5
 - Keith McDonald (EMA) became rapporteur
 - EWG met in Seville Nov 2011 to consider comments; reached agreement on a “pre-step 4” document
 - Finally reached step 4 (concurrence in all three ICH regions) in April-May 2012
- Current status
 - Published in EU (step 5)
 - Awaiting publication in USA and Japan

ICH Q11 Discusses More Than Just Control Strategy

- Manufacturing Process Development
- Description of Manufacturing Process and Process Controls
- Selection of Starting Materials and Source Materials
- Process Validation/Evaluation
- Submission of Manufacturing Process Development and Related Information In CTD Format
- Lifecycle Management

Control Strategy in ICH Q11

- A control strategy is a planned set of controls, derived from current product and process understanding, that assures process performance and product quality (ICH Q10)
 - Assures process performance, and
 - Assures “product” quality
 - “Product” here was interpreted as not necessarily meaning “drug product”; i.e., that for a drug substance manufacturing process, the drug substance is the “product”
 - Interpretation: each control strategy pertains to a manufacturing process and the “product” it creates

Control Strategy in ICH Q11

- Every drug substance manufacturing process, whether developed through a traditional or an enhanced approach (or some combination thereof), has an associated control strategy
- In other words:
 - The guidance does not acknowledge that there is any such thing as an “enhanced” or a “traditional” control strategy
 - A control strategy is just a control strategy, regardless of how it is developed

“Elements” of a Control Strategy

- A control strategy can include, but is not limited to, the following:
 - Controls on material attributes (e.g., of raw materials, starting materials, intermediates ...);
 - Controls implicit in the design of the manufacturing process (e.g., sequence of purification steps (Biotechnological/Biological drug substances), or order of addition of reagents (Chemical entities));
 - In-process controls (including in-process tests and process parameters);
 - Controls on drug substance (e.g., release testing).

Approaches to Developing a Control Strategy

- A control strategy can be developed through a combination of approaches
 - utilizing a traditional approach for some CQAs, steps, or unit operations, & more enhanced for others
- Traditional approach (to developing a manufacturing process and control strategy)
 - Set points and operating ranges are typically set narrowly based on the observed data to ensure consistency
 - More emphasis is placed on assessment of CQAs at the stage of the drug substance (i.e., end-product testing)
 - Limited flexibility in operating ranges to address variability

Approaches to Developing a Control Strategy

- Enhanced approach (to developing a manufacturing process and control strategy)
 - Better process and product understanding than the traditional approach, so sources of variability can be identified in a more systematic way
 - Allows for development of more meaningful and efficient parametric, attribute, and procedural controls
 - Could be developed through several iterations
 - Can provide for flexibility in operating ranges to address variability

Considerations in Developing a Control Strategy

- A control strategy should ensure that each drug substance CQA is within the appropriate range, limit, or distribution to assure drug substance quality
 - This is derived from the definition of “CQA”
 - Also based on assumption that the drug substance or drug product will always be of acceptable quality when all of the CQAs are met
 - Which is expected to be true if the material is well understood (i.e., all CQAs have been identified)
 - But how can one be sure all the CQAs have been identified?

Considerations in Developing a Control Strategy

- A control strategy should ensure that each drug substance CQA is within the appropriate range, limit, or distribution to assure drug substance quality
 - Does not say that the drug substance specification should ensure that each CQA is within the appropriate range, limit, or distribution
 - The drug substance specification is one part of a total control strategy and not all CQAs need to be included in the drug substance specification

Considerations in Developing a Control Strategy

- CQAs can be:
 - included on the specification and confirmed through testing the final drug substance, or
 - included on the specification and confirmed through upstream controls (e.g., as in Real Time Release Testing (RTRT)), or
 - not included on the specification but ensured through upstream controls
 - Examples of “upstream controls” include:
 - in-process testing
 - use of measurements of process parameters and/or in process material attributes that are predictive of a drug substance CQA

Considerations in Developing a Control Strategy

- Whether a traditional or enhanced process development approach is taken
 - Use of upstream controls should be based on an evaluation and understanding of the sources of variability of a CQA
 - Downstream factors that might impact the quality of the drug substance should be taken into consideration (e.g., temperature changes, oxidative conditions, light, ionic content, shear)

Considerations in Developing a Control Strategy

- A manufacturer can consider implementing controls for a specific CQA at single or multiple locations in the process
 - Depending on the risk associated with the CQA, and
 - Ability of individual controls to detect a potential problem
 - Example (for biotechnological/biological drug substances or sterilized chemical entities):
 - Ability to detect low levels of bacterial or viral contamination is inherently limited, so testing on the drug substance is considered inadequate
 - Additional controls (e.g., attribute and in-process controls) are₁₆ incorporated into the control strategy

Considerations in Developing a Control Strategy

- Quality of each raw material should be appropriate for its intended use
 - Quality of input materials can depend in part on where the material is used in the process
 - Raw materials used in operations near the end of the manufacturing process have a greater potential to introduce impurities into the drug substance than raw materials used upstream
 - Manufacturers should evaluate whether the quality of such materials should be more tightly controlled than similar materials used upstream.

Submission of Control Strategy Information

- ICH Q11 quotes ICH M4Q on recommendations regarding submission of the individual elements that make up the control strategy:
 - Description of Manufacturing Process and Process Controls (3.2.S.2.2)
 - Control of Materials (3.2.S.2.3)
 - Controls of Critical Steps and Intermediates (3.2.S.2.4)
 - Control of Drug Substance (3.2.S.4)
 - Container Closure System (3.2.S.6)

Submission of Information: Control Strategy Summary

- The information provided on the control strategy should include ..., when appropriate, a summary of the overall drug substance control strategy
 - Either a tabular format or in a diagrammatic format (to aid visualisation and understanding)
 - See Example 5
 - Ideally, the summary should explain how the individual elements of the control strategy work together to assure drug substance quality
 - I.e., the more complicated the control strategy, the more a summary would be useful and therefore “appropriate”

ICH Q11 and “Critical” Process Parameters

- What does ICH Q11 say about “critical” process parameters (with regard to the Control Strategy, or otherwise)?
 - Nothing
 - The term “critical process parameter” (either singular or plural) does not appear in ICH Q11
 - The word “critical” occurs only in reference to:
 - CQAs, and
 - ICH M4Q “Controls of Critical Steps and Intermediates”
- *The absence of the term is no accident*

Rationale for Not Discussing CPPs in ICH Q11

- CPP issues also pertain to drug product, whereas Q11 is only about drug substance
 - Discussing CPPs could be considered exceeding the bounds of the EWG's purview
- One of the most contentious issues regarding CPPs is how they relate to the reporting of postapproval changes
 - Postapproval changes were outside the scope of Q11

Conclusions

- Each drug substance manufacturing process has an associated Control Strategy
- The control strategy includes controls on input material attributes, controls on output material attributes, and controls on whatever happens in between
- The control strategy should ensure that all CQAs are within their appropriate limits, ranges, or distributions

Acknowledgements

FDA members of the ICH Q11 EWG

Patrick Swann

Chris Joneckis

Steve Wolfgang

Edwin Rivera-Martinez

Monica Caphart

John Smith

PhRMA members

Betsy Fritschel

Steve Mendivil

Tim Watson

Clive Meerholz

ICH Q11 EWG

Seville, Spain 2011

