

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Evaluation of Thermal Effects of Medical Devices that Produce Tissue Heating and/or Cooling

Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This draft guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Document issued on March 15, 2024.

You should submit comments and suggestions regarding this draft document within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff, Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061, (HFA-305), Rockville, MD 20852-1740. Identify all comments with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions about this document, contact OHT4: Office of Surgical and Infection Control Devices/DHT4A: Division of General Surgery Devices at 301-796-6970.

When final, this guidance will supersede “Guidance Document for the Preparation of Premarket Notification [510(k)] Applications for Heating and Cooling Devices,” issued on July 26, 1995.



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

Preface

Additional Copies

Additional copies are available from the Internet. You may also send an email request to CDRH-Guidance@fda.hhs.gov to receive a copy of the guidance. Please include the document number GUI00022002 and complete title of the guidance in the request.

DRAFT

Table of Contents

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | Introduction..... | 1 |
| II. | Scope..... | 2 |
| III. | Evaluation of Thermal Effects..... | 2 |
| A. | Verification of Device Parameters..... | 3 |
| B. | Experimental Assessment of Tissue Effects and Thermal Energy Spread..... | 3 |
| (1) | Experimental Models..... | 3 |
| (2) | Tissue Testing Methodology..... | 6 |
| (3) | Reporting Results..... | 10 |
| C. | Computational Evaluation of Tissue Effects and Thermal Energy Spread..... | 10 |
| (1) | Estimating Thermally Affected Tissue Region..... | 11 |
| (2) | Estimating Thermal Energy Spread..... | 12 |
| D. | Clinical Evaluation of Tissue Effects and Thermal Energy Spread..... | 13 |
| IV. | Labeling..... | 13 |

Evaluation of Thermal Effects of Medical Devices that Produce Tissue Heating and/or Cooling

Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff or Office responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. Introduction

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing this draft guidance document to describe relevant information that should be provided in a premarket submission (i.e., premarket approval (PMA) applications, humanitarian device exemption (HDE) applications, premarket notification (510(k)) submissions, investigational device exemption (IDE) applications and De Novo requests) to support the evaluation of thermal effects of medical devices that produce local, regional, and/or systemic changes in tissue temperature (i.e., heating and/or cooling) due to their use. The recommendations in this guidance reflect current review practices and are intended to promote consistency and facilitate efficient review of thermal effects data for these devices. Where available, device-specific guidance documents and/or standards may include additional technical recommendations on thermal effects evaluation that should be considered.

For the current edition of the FDA-recognized consensus standard(s) referenced in this document, see the [FDA Recognized Consensus Standards Database](#). If submitting a Declaration of Conformity to a recognized standard, we recommend you include the appropriate supporting documentation. For more information regarding use of consensus standards in regulatory submissions, refer to the FDA guidance titled “[Appropriate Use of Voluntary Consensus Standards in Premarket Submissions for Medical Devices](#).”

In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

36 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
37 not required.
38

39 **II. Scope**

40 The scope of this guidance is limited to evaluating thermal effects of medical devices that
41 produce tissue temperature change (i.e., heating and/or cooling) as an intended or unintended
42 consequence of device use. Examples of such devices include, but are not limited to, devices that
43 deliver radiofrequency, microwave, light, or other forms of electromagnetic energy, devices that
44 deliver ultrasound, electroporation devices, devices that produce temperature change (e.g.,
45 hyperthermia, high temperature ablation, hypothermia, cryoablation) by contact, and devices
46 where electrical components (e.g., batteries, generators, chargers, leads, electrode contacts) can
47 potentially heat surrounding tissue during use.
48

49 **III. Evaluation of Thermal Effects**

50 When a change in tissue temperature happens because of device-induced heating and/or cooling,
51 regardless of whether it is an intended or unintended consequence of device use, there is a
52 potential for adverse health consequences, such as tissue damage and/or a negative impact on
53 physiological functions, to occur. Assessing thermal effects is an important aspect of the FDA’s
54 review of premarket submissions for these devices.
55

56 An evaluation of thermal effects should include verification of device parameters and an
57 assessment of tissue effects (e.g., thermal damage, tissue appearance, tissue/organ function) and
58 related spread of thermal energy. An assessment of tissue effects and thermal energy spread may
59 be performed experimentally (i.e., using phantoms, *ex vivo* animal tissue models, and/or *in vivo*
60 animal testing), computationally, and/or clinically.
61

62 More information on how such evaluations should be conducted and presented in premarket
63 submissions are detailed in the sections below. When developing a strategy for the thermal
64 effects evaluation of your device, we encourage you to engage with the Agency through the Q-
65 Submission Program to obtain early feedback on your approach and study design. For additional
66 information regarding the Q-Submission Program, refer to FDA guidance “[Requests for
67 Feedback and Meetings for Medical Device Submissions: The Q-Submission Program](#).” We
68 recommend you submit a Pre-Submission (a type of Q-Submission) if you have specific
69 questions on aspects of your study design, such as the following:

- 70 • The use of phantoms, *ex vivo* tissue, or *in vivo* animal models.
- 71 • Selection of appropriate model parameters for your intended clinical application.
- 72 • Questions related to induced spatial temperature distribution over time (i.e.,
73 “temperature-time history”) needed to support to a claimed tissue effect.
- 74 • Design of clinical studies, when needed, to support the device’s indications for use.
- 75 • Questions regarding scenarios where the recommendations below may not apply.
76

77 **A. Verification of Device Parameters**

78 FDA recommends that you provide bench testing data to verify that the device meets labeled
79 parameters (including all energy-related parameters) for the full range of device specifications.
80 Testing should have pre-defined acceptance criteria relevant to the conditions of use. If the
81 testing results in deviations from the acceptance criteria, you should provide a scientific rationale
82 to support device safety and effectiveness for the specific use conditions. This verification testing
83 is important to demonstrate that the data generated on tissue effects and thermal energy spread
84 are appropriately representative of the final finished device's performance. Therefore, we
85 recommend that verification of device parameters be conducted prior to conducting the
86 assessment of tissue effects and thermal energy spread.
87

88 **B. Experimental Assessment of Tissue Effects and Thermal**
89 **Energy Spread**

90 **(1) Experimental Models**

91 Experimental assessment of tissue effects and thermal energy spread can be conducted using
92 phantoms, *ex vivo* animal tissue models, and/or *in vivo* animal testing, as applicable to the
93 intended use. The suitability of each method for a given device depends on the magnitude and
94 distribution of the heating and/or cooling, as well as the availability of appropriate experimental
95 models. For example, tissue temperature changes may be local (confined to a small volume of
96 tissue or a portion of a specific anatomical structure), regional (affecting the majority or all of a
97 given anatomical structure and potentially one or more immediately surrounding structures), or
98 systemic (affecting multiple diffuse anatomical structures or all body). While it may be feasible
99 to develop a suitable phantom model or *ex vivo* animal tissue model for devices with local tissue
100 temperature changes, it may be more challenging to do so for devices with regional or systemic
101 effects, where the impact of blood flow on the development of tissue effects would need to be
102 accounted for.
103

104 Each experimental model is discussed in more detail in the following sections.
105

106 **a. Phantom Testing**

107 Phantoms are often suitable for characterizing tissue effects and thermal energy spread for
108 devices that raise local tissue temperature minimally (e.g., a maximum, absolute, local
109 temperature change of ≤ 6 °C resulting in the expected absolute *in vivo* temperature of ≤ 43 °C)
110 for a short duration and for which local-, regional-, and systemic-level effects related to such
111 temperature change are well characterized in the literature. The use of phantoms may also be
112 appropriate for the assessment of certain cryotherapy devices and certain diagnostic devices.
113

114 For certain cryotherapy devices, based on the risk of the intended use, when the goal of thermal
115 evaluation is to demonstrate the formation of comparable ice-ball dimensions between devices
116 that have comparable parameters, gel phantoms and/or water bath phantoms of appropriate
117 tissue-mimicking properties and comparable initial and boundary conditions may be appropriate.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

118 When device parameters (e.g., probe dimensions, coolant temperature, target cooling
119 temperature, application time, number of cycles) and/or intended tissue are significantly different
120 between the compared devices, additional *ex vivo* tissue testing, *in vivo* animal testing, and/or
121 clinical studies may be needed.

122
123 Phantom testing verifying energy output and accompanying rationale or results from
124 appropriately validated computational models (refer to Section III.C. below) may also be
125 appropriate for the characterization of diagnostic devices (e.g., MRI systems, ultrasound imaging
126 systems) that may raise local, regional, and/or systemic tissue temperature minimally as an
127 unintended consequence of device use, since well-established relationships between power
128 output (or specific absorption rate (SAR)) and safe use to ensure patient safety can be also
129 leveraged. In such cases, phantom testing may be used to demonstrate that the output energy
130 limitations of the device are adequate to ensure that the energy deposition does not raise
131 concerns about adverse thermal effects consistent with product specific guidances and FDA
132 recognized standards.¹

133
134 When using a phantom, the phantom used should consist of geometry, dimensions, and material
135 properties (e.g., thermal, mechanical, electromagnetic, acoustic, optical) that are representative
136 of the *in vivo* conditions of use for the intended clinical application(s). The phantom should be
137 exposed to initial and boundary temperature conditions relevant to the intended clinical
138 application(s) and the full range of device parameters. As part of your premarket submission, you
139 should provide the following:

- 140 i. a scientific rationale to justify how your phantom model is adequately representative of
141 the tissues relevant to your device’s specific indications for use (i.e., the target and
142 surrounding tissues);
- 143 ii. discussion on how the results generated by the phantom translate to the *in vivo*
144 environment; and
- 145 iii. discussion on how your measured thermal energy spread helps your device achieve its
146 intended use without adversely affecting patient safety.

147
148 Phantom testing may not be suitable when an established phantom with the relevant properties is
149 not available, *in vivo* translation of the test results is not clear, and/or when associated tissue
150 effects are not well characterized in the literature. In these cases, *ex vivo* tissue testing, *in vivo*
151 animal testing, and/or clinical studies may be needed.

152

b. *Ex Vivo* Animal Tissue Testing

154 *Ex vivo* animal tissues are often suitable to characterize tissue effects and thermal energy spread
155 for devices that raise local tissue temperature over 43 °C for a short duration and for which local-
156 , regional-, and systemic-level effects related to such temperature change are well characterized

¹ See FDA’s guidances, “[Marketing Clearance of Diagnostic Ultrasound Systems and Transducers](#),” “[Submission of Premarket Notifications for Magnetic Resonance Diagnostic Devices](#),” and IEC 60601-2-33 Edition 4.0. *Medical electrical equipment - Part 2-33: Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of magnetic resonance equipment for medical diagnosis*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

157 in the literature. *Ex vivo* animal tissue testing are often suitable for use for certain cryotherapy
158 devices when testing in phantoms is insufficient.

159
160 We recommend that the *ex vivo* animal tissue model consist of geometry, dimensions, and
161 properties (e.g., thermal, mechanical, electromagnetic, acoustic, optical) that are representative
162 of the *in vivo* conditions of use for the intended clinical application(s). The *ex vivo* animal tissue
163 model should be exposed to suitable initial and boundary conditions and the full range of device
164 parameters. As part of your premarket submission, you should provide a scientific rationale to
165 justify how your *ex vivo* animal tissue model is adequately representative of the tissues relevant
166 to your device’s specific indications for use. Your rationale should include a discussion on the
167 following:

- 168
- 169 i. why the geometry, dimensions, initial conditions, and boundary conditions of your
170 tissue sample are clinically relevant and support an accurate assessment of tissue
171 effects and thermal energy spread;
 - 172 ii. a comparison of the properties of your tissue sample and your tissue of interest and an
173 explanation of why any differences would not adversely affect your assessment of
174 tissue effects and thermal energy spread; and
 - 175 iii. how your measured tissue effects and thermal energy spread helps your device
176 achieve its intended use without adversely affecting patient safety.
- 177

178 *Ex vivo* animal tissue testing may not be sufficient when *ex vivo* evaluations indicate that the
179 dimensions of the thermal energy spread and/or thermally affected tissue region (the size (i.e.,
180 length, width, depth, and volume) of tissue with damage and/or adverse effects resulting from
181 device use) are likely to approach or exceed the distance to critical tissue structures from the
182 application site and/or when the effect of such spread is not well characterized in the literature.
183 In such cases, additional *in vivo* animal testing and/or clinical studies may be needed.

184
185 Additional recommendations on tissue testing methodology for thermal effects evaluations are
186 provided in Section III.B.(2), below.

187

188 **c. *In Vivo* Animal Tissue Testing**

189 *In vivo* testing in clinically relevant animal models² may be needed to characterize tissue effects
190 and thermal energy spread when:

- 191 • testing in phantoms and *ex vivo* tissue is expected or found to be insufficient;
- 192 • devices raise local tissue temperature for a prolonged duration;
- 193 • devices raise regional or systemic tissue temperature;
- 194 • local-, regional-, and/or systemic-level effects related to the device-induced temperature
195 change are not well characterized in the literature; or

² FDA supports the principles of the “3Rs” to replace, reduce, and/or refine animal use in testing, when feasible. We encourage sponsors to consult with the Agency if they wish to use a non-animal testing method that they believe is suitable, adequate, validated, and feasible. We will consider if a proposed alternative method could be assessed for equivalency to an animal test method.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

- 196 • new scientifically relevant information related to tissue effects and/or its impact on
197 patient safety becomes available.

198
199 The *in vivo* animal model should consist of geometry, dimensions, and properties (e.g., thermal,
200 physiological, mechanical, electromagnetic, acoustic, optical) that are representative of the *in*
201 *vivo* conditions of use for the intended clinical application(s). The *in vivo* animal model should
202 be exposed to suitable initial and boundary conditions and the full range of device parameters.
203 As part of your premarket submission, you should provide a scientific rationale to justify how
204 your *in vivo* animal model is adequately representative of the tissues relevant to your device’s
205 specific indications for use. Your rationale should include a discussion on the following:

- 206
207 i. why the geometry, dimensions, blood flow, initial conditions, and boundary
208 conditions in your *in vivo* animal model are clinically relevant and support an
209 accurate assessment of tissue effects and thermal energy spread;
- 210 ii. a comparison of the properties of your *in vivo* tissue and your tissue of interest and an
211 explanation of why any differences would not adversely affect your assessment of
212 tissue effects and thermal energy spread;
- 213 iii. how your measured tissue effects and thermal energy spread helps your device
214 achieve its intended use without adversely affecting patient safety; and
- 215 iv. why use of anesthetics is not likely to adversely affect your assessment of tissue
216 effects and thermal energy spread.

217
218 For information on FDA’s recommendations for animal studies intended to evaluate medical
219 devices, see FDA’s guidance titled “[General Considerations for Animal Studies Intended to](#)
220 [Evaluate Medical Devices](#).” Additional recommendations on tissue testing methodology for
221 thermal effects evaluations are provided in Section III.B.(2), below.
222

223 (2) Tissue Testing Methodology

224 a. Selection of Tissues

225 *Ex vivo* tissue testing and *in vivo* animal testing should be performed in tissues relevant to the
226 specific indications for use to provide the most clinically applicable thermal data. In some cases,
227 other tissue type(s) with comparable tissue properties can be considered for testing if
228 accompanied by adequate scientific rationale. To support a general soft tissue indication of
229 producing thermal damage, testing should include at least three tissue types. We recommend
230 testing liver, kidney, and muscle tissue to cover a range of soft tissue densities, but other tissue
231 types may be appropriate based on the indicated treatment location and sufficient justification.
232

233 The tissue under test should allow the flow of energy such that the development of tissue effects
234 is not impeded by test conditions. For example, when measuring thermal damage in *ex vivo*
235 tissue, if the tissue sample is too small or too thin, then the tissue would not be large enough for
236 the thermal damage to fully develop. Also, when the *ex vivo* tissue is kept at baseline temperature
237 using a water bath, but the flow rate in the water bath is set too high, then the flow may impede
238 the development of thermal damage by removing the energy reaching the tissue boundaries faster

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

239 than what is typically anticipated *in vivo*. Likewise, while assessing thermal damage *in vivo*
240 using animal models, care should be taken to make sure that the tissue under test is
241 representative of the clinical conditions of use (e.g., there should not be structures, such as, but
242 not limited to, major blood vessels, nearby that are otherwise absent in clinical conditions and
243 may impede the development of thermal damage).
244

245 **b. Test Methods**

246 Tissue effects are a function of spatial temperature distribution over time (i.e., “temperature-time
247 history”), which involves temperature change (i.e., heating or cooling) as well as returning of the
248 temperature to baseline value. Therefore, testing should be performed such that the tissue is
249 exposed to the minimum, average, and worst-case temperature-time history. The tissue should be
250 maintained at the baseline temperature relevant for the indications for use (e.g., core body
251 temperature for internal tissues) prior to application of the device. After application of the
252 device, the tissue should be allowed to return to the baseline temperature as it would under
253 clinical conditions of use and energy flow in order for the tissue effects to fully develop. Some
254 tissue effects may take hours to days, or longer in some cases, to fully evolve after the thermal
255 exposure. For example, assessment of electroporation-induced lesions should take into account
256 that the full extent of tissue damage may not be evident immediately after the electroporation
257 procedure, and visible fibrotic lesions may take days or weeks to form. For questions regarding
258 specific time points to study a tissue effect, we recommend you submit a Pre-Submission to
259 obtain early feedback.³
260

261 Tissue testing should be performed, at a minimum, in triplicate. If the device treatment may be
262 applied using a range of power settings, then testing should at least be performed in triplicate at
263 the minimum, default, and maximum energy and power settings to demonstrate thermal safety
264 for the full range of device specifications.
265

266 For devices that include temperature sensing as a device function, you should provide
267 temperature measurements in your submission to demonstrate that this feature works as intended.
268 Although the methods may vary based on the device design, your testing should demonstrate that
269 the temperature sensing under simulated conditions meets your device performance requirements
270 for the full range of device settings. For more on thermometry, refer to Section III.B.(2)c.
271

272 For aesthetic devices intended to create fractional effects (i.e., the device induces discrete zones
273 of damage with healthy tissue in between and the damage heals within 14 days), the complex
274 interplay of the various parameters of the fractional devices makes it difficult to validate this
275 effect. Therefore, when evaluating a subject device against a comparator device with similar, but
276 not identical, technical parameters, testing in appropriate *ex vivo* tissue can be conducted to show
277 comparable or smaller thermal damage and comparable or larger healthy tissue in between two
278 nearby damage zones for the worst-case energy and power specifications of the devices. When
279 the technical parameters of the subject device are significantly different from the technical

³ For more information, see [“Requests for Feedback and Meetings for Medical Device Submissions: The Q-Submission Program.”](#)

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

280 parameters of a comparator device, we recommend you provide histological data from use of the
281 device in tissues of interest in humans or an appropriate animal model to support fractional
282 effects claims. For devices that are intended to create fractional effects on the skin, porcine
283 abdomen skin has been shown to be an appropriate animal model of the human skin. *In vivo*
284 treatments and evaluations should occur in at least 3 different subjects/animals, should utilize
285 treatment parameters representing the full range of device specifications (e.g., lowest, medium,
286 and highest power), and should be performed at various time points (i.e., immediately post-
287 treatment, within 3 days after treatment, and then 10-14 days after treatment) to show initial
288 damage and healing. Comparison of thermal damage should include comparison of the
289 dimensions (e.g., depth and diameter) of the vaporized tissue (ablation zone) as well as the
290 dimensions of the damaged tissue around the vaporized tissue (thermal damage zone). *In vivo*
291 testing should also identify the time to complete healing based on the histological analysis. If you
292 use less than 3 subjects/animals, you should provide a scientific rationale to support why such
293 evaluation allows you to adequately characterize your device for your intended population.

294
295 For some electroporation devices, unmeasurable (i.e., could not be measured using traditional
296 temperature probes due to the response time or dimensions of the probes), but significant,
297 heating may occur due to the induction of excessive power density distribution. Therefore, we
298 recommend that you provide a measurement of the size (length, width, and depth) of the affected
299 tissue region using appropriate animal models, tissue types, and histology to demonstrate thermal
300 safety for the full range of device specifications. Additionally, electroporation-based ablation has
301 been associated with induction of cardiac arrhythmias.⁴ If you believe that your device induces
302 electroporation effects (reversible or irreversible), we recommend submitting a Pre-Submission
303 to request feedback on your study design, to ensure it is capable of adequately addressing all
304 potential tissue effects.⁵

305
306 For electrosurgical devices used in general surgery, refer to FDA guidance “[Premarket
307 Notification \(510\(k\)\) Submissions for Electrosurgical Devices for General Surgery](#)” for device-
308 specific recommendations on assessing thermal effects using *ex vivo* animal tissue.

310 **c. Assessing Thermally Affected Tissue Region**

311 For *ex vivo* tissue testing and *in vivo* animal testing, you should provide an assessment of the
312 thermally affected tissue region and related thermal energy spread. The thermally affected tissue
313 region is the size (i.e., length, width, depth, and volume) of tissue with damage and/or adverse
314 effects resulting from device use. The related thermal energy spread is determined by measuring
315 the temperature-time history, or the spatial temperature distribution over time. Recommended
316 measurement methods for thermally affected tissue region and thermal energy spread are
317 discussed in more detail below.

318

⁴ A Deodhar, T Dickfeld, G W. Single, W C Hamilton, Jr., R H. Thornton, C T. Sofocleous, M Maybody, M Gónen, B Rubinsky, and S B. Solomon, “Irreversible Electroporation Near the Heart: Ventricular Arrhythmias Can Be Prevented With ECG Synchronization” *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2011 Mar; 196(3): W330–W335.

⁵ For more information, see “[Requests for Feedback and Meetings for Medical Device Submissions: The Q-Submission Program.](#)”

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

319 **Measuring Thermally Affected Tissue Region**

320 *i. Using Histological Methods*

321 We recommend assessing the thermally affected tissue region using histological stains.
322 Your choice of stain(s) should be appropriate to fully assess the extent of the thermally
323 affected tissue region and margins (i.e., depth, thickness, width, and volume). In some
324 cases when the extent of affected tissue is likely to approach or exceed distance to critical
325 structures, histological evaluations performed using a single stain such as Hematoxylin-
326 Eosin (H&E) may not be sufficient, and additional stains, such as viability stains, may be
327 needed to appropriately characterize the full extent of the thermally affected tissue
328 region. You should provide data and/or a scientific rationale to show that your choice of
329 stain(s) enables accurate quantification of the full extent of the thermally affected tissue
330 region.

331

332 *ii. Using Change in Properties Based Methods*

333 Alternatively, you can assess thermally affected tissue region by measuring changes in
334 tissue properties (e.g., electrical properties such as electrical impedance, mechanical
335 properties such as elastic modulus, optical properties such as birefringence loss,
336 properties related to magnetic resonance imaging such as relaxation times T1 and T2,
337 properties related to computed tomography such as Hounsfield Unit, perfusion loss, or
338 collagen shrinkage). Your choice of method should be capable of fully assessing the
339 extent of the thermally affected tissue region and margins (i.e., length, width, depth, and
340 volume). You should provide data and/or a scientific rationale to show that your choice
341 of method(s) enables accurate quantification of the full extent of the thermally affected
342 tissue region.

343

344 **Measuring Thermal Energy Spread**

345 *i. Using Probe Based Thermometry*

346 The temperature-time history should be measured using temperature probes that are
347 calibrated and that have appropriate accuracy, precision, and response time to be able to
348 measure the temperatures in the intended environment of use (e.g., radiofrequency
349 environment, laser environment). You should provide data and/or a scientific rationale
350 regarding the appropriateness of the temperature probes in measuring the full range of
351 temperatures that could be produced due to the device (i.e., minimum to maximum) with
352 sufficient accuracy, precision, and spatial and temporal resolution in the intended
353 environment of use.

354

355 *ii. Using Image Based Thermometry*

356 The temperature-time history can alternatively be measured using imaging (e.g.,
357 magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound imaging, computed tomography, infrared
358 imaging) that are calibrated and have appropriate accuracy, precision, and response time
359 to be able to measure the temperatures for the purpose of the measurement. You should
360 provide data and a scientific rationale, alongside the complete set of imaging parameters,
361 regarding the appropriateness of the method in measuring the full range of temperatures

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

362 that could be produced due to the device (i.e., minimum to maximum) with sufficient
363 accuracy, precision, and spatial and temporal resolution. Since image-based thermometry
364 methods measure temperature in a ‘voxel’ (i.e., a three-dimensional volume) or ‘pixel’
365 (i.e., a two-dimensional area), the effect of the spatial and temporal temperature gradients
366 on the accuracy of the thermometry method should be provided.
367

368 **(3) Reporting Results**

369 You should provide the complete experimental protocol and final report as part of the premarket
370 submission. We recommend providing dimensions of the thermally affected tissue region and
371 graphs of temperature vs. time at relevant locations, as applicable. Your results should include
372 discussion of why such results, when translated *in vivo*, help your device achieve its intended use
373 without adversely affecting patient safety.
374

375 If the device treatment was applied using a range of power settings, we recommend providing the
376 results in a chart and/or graph that indicates the dimensions of the thermally affected tissue
377 region and related temperature-time history in relation to the power setting, and duration of
378 activation for relevant tissue types.
379

380 **C. Computational Evaluation of Tissue Effects and Thermal** 381 **Energy Spread**

382 Tissue effects and thermal energy spread can alternatively be evaluated using computational
383 methods. Evaluation should be performed in computational models of tissues relevant to the
384 specific indications for use to provide the most clinically applicable thermal data. In some cases,
385 computational models of other tissue type(s) with comparable thermo-physiological properties
386 could be considered for evaluation if accompanied by adequate scientific rationale.
387 Computational models should be validated to predict tissue effects and thermal energy spread in
388 your intended tissue of interest for the full range of spatio-temporal temperature distribution. See
389 FDA guidance “[Assessing the Credibility of Computational Modeling and Simulation in Medical](#)
390 [Device Submissions](#)” for detailed recommendations on conducting a model credibility
391 assessment, which includes validation.
392

393 As noted earlier in this guidance, tissue effects are a function of spatial temperature distribution
394 over time (i.e., “temperature-time history”). Therefore, computations should be performed such
395 that the tissue is exposed to relevant (minimum, average, and worst-case) temperature-time
396 history. Imposed computational initial and boundary conditions (e.g., ambient conditions) should
397 mimic clinically relevant conditions of use. You should provide the details and rationale for how
398 the control volume (i.e., computational tissue volume) was developed, such as imaging method,
399 imaging parameters, tissue segmentation, types of tissue, tissue geometry, dimensions,
400 properties, and initial and boundary conditions. Once the tissue temperature is altered due to the
401 application of the device, the tissue should be allowed to return to the baseline temperature as it
402 would under clinical conditions of use before assessing the thermally affected tissue region and
403 thermal energy spread. You should provide evidence (e.g., data from relevant scientific

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

404 literature, direct measurements) to support that the simulated spatio-temporal temperature
405 distribution is comparable to the temperature distribution expected in clinical conditions of use.
406

407 Tissue effects may be estimated using temperature thresholds or thermal dose thresholds
408 validated for the tissues of interest. We recommend you provide the estimation for the size (i.e.,
409 length, width, depth, and volume) of the thermally affected tissue region and related temperature
410 distribution in space and time (i.e., temperature-time history) depicting the spread of thermal
411 energy for the full range of device settings. For additional information on estimating the
412 thermally affected tissue region and related spread of thermal energy, refer to Section III.C.(1)
413 and Section III.C.(2), below.
414

415 We recommend you report details of the implementation of the computational models (e.g.,
416 governing equations, initial condition, boundary condition, assumptions, discretization,
417 numerical convergence, verification, validation) per the FDA guidance titled “[Reporting of
418 Computational Modeling Studies in Medical Device Submissions.](#)”
419

420 We recommend providing dimensions of the thermally affected tissue region and graphs of
421 temperature vs. time at sufficient locations in the tissue of interest to show that the dimensions of
422 the thermally affected tissue region were computed in response to the temperature-time history
423 relevant to the clinical conditions of use and to depict related thermal energy spread. If the
424 device treatment was applied using a range of power settings, we recommend providing the
425 results in a chart and/or graph that indicates the dimensions (i.e., length, width, depth, and
426 volume) of the thermally affected tissue region and related temperature-time history in relation to
427 the power setting and duration of activation for different tissue types.
428

(1) Estimating Thermally Affected Tissue Region

a. Using Temperature Thresholds

431 The thermally affected tissue region can be assessed using temperature thresholds. You should
432 provide data (e.g., histology) and/or scientific rationale to show that the chosen temperature
433 threshold(s) is sufficient to accurately quantify the full extent of the thermally affected tissue
434 region for the full range of temperature-time histories that could be produced due to your device
435 in the tissue of interest.
436

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

437 **b. Using Thermal Dose Models**

438 Tissue effects can alternatively be assessed using thermal dose models (e.g., Cumulative
439 Equivalent Minutes at 43 °C (CEM43),⁶ Arrhenius equation-based models,^{7,8,9} or other weighted
440 temperature-time integral based models¹⁰) with thresholds relevant to the target and surrounding
441 tissue. You should provide data (e.g., histology) and scientific rationale, alongside your choice of
442 the model, model assumptions, model parameters, and thresholds, to show that the model and
443 threshold(s) are applicable to your chosen clinically relevant end point and sufficient to
444 accurately quantify the full extent of the thermally affected tissue region.

445
446 Temperature-time data, needed to compute the dimensions of the thermally affected tissue region
447 can be obtained experimentally, as elaborated in Section III.B.(2)c. above, or computationally, as
448 noted in Section III.C.(2) below.

450 **(2) Estimating Thermal Energy Spread**

451 **a. Using Bioheat Transfer Models**

452 The temperature-time history can be obtained by solving first principles based or empirical/semi-
453 empirical bioheat transfer models^{11,12,13} that are validated to accurately predict spatio-temporal
454 temperature distribution for your indications for use and tissue of interest in humans and/or
455 clinically relevant animal model(s). You should provide validation data¹⁴ and/or rationale
456 regarding the appropriateness of your bioheat transfer model in predicting temperatures with
457 sufficient spatial and temporal resolution.

459 **b. Using Empirical Thermal Models**

460 The temperature-time history can also be obtained using empirical thermal models that are
461 appropriately validated to accurately predict spatio-temporal temperatures for your indications

⁶ Sapareto SA, Hopwood LE, Dewey WC, Raju MR, Gray JW, “Effects of Hyperthermia on Survival and Progression of Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells,” *Cancer Research* 1978, Vol. 38, pp. 393-400.

⁷ J. Pearce and S. Thomsen, *Rate Process Analysis of Thermal Damage*, Plenum Press, New York (1995).

⁸ F.C. Henriques and A.R. Moritz, “Studies of Thermal Injury I. The Conduction of Heat to and through Skin and the Temperatures Attained Therein: A Theoretical and Experimental Investigation,” *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 23, (no. 4), pp. 531-549, 1947.

⁹ A.R. Moritz and F.C. Henriques, “Studies in Thermal Injury II: The Relative Importance of Time and Surface Temperature in the Causation of Cutaneous Burns,” *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 23, (no. 5), pp. 695-720, 1947.

¹⁰ A.R. Moritz, “Studies of Thermal Injury III. The Pathology and Pathogenesis of Cutaneous Burns: An Experimental Study,” *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 23, (no. 6), pp. 915-934, 1947.

¹¹ Shrivastava D, Vaughan JT, “A Generic Bioheat Transfer Model for a Perfused Tissue”, 2009, *J. of Biomechanical Engineering*, Vol 131, 074506-1:074506-5.

¹² H. Arkin, L. X. Xu and K. R. Holmes, “Recent developments in modeling heat transfer in blood perfused tissues,” in *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 97-107, Feb. 1994, doi: 10.1109/10.284920.

¹³ Caleb K. Charny, *Mathematical Models of Bioheat Transfer*, Editor(s): Young I. Cho, *Advances in Heat Transfer*, Elsevier, Volume 22, 1992, Pages 19-155, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2717\(08\)70344-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2717(08)70344-7).

¹⁴ See FDA guidances “[Assessing the Credibility of Computational Modeling and Simulation in Medical Device Submissions](#),” and “[Reporting of Computational Modeling Studies in Medical Device Submissions](#).”

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

462 for use and tissue of interest in humans and/or relevant animal model(s). You should provide
463 validation data and/or scientific rationale regarding the appropriateness of your thermal model in
464 predicting temperatures with sufficient spatial and temporal resolution.
465

466 **D. Clinical Evaluation of Tissue Effects and Thermal Energy**
467 **Spread**

468 Clinical data may be needed when results from non-clinical and animal thermal effects
469 evaluation studies are found insufficient to support the safety and effectiveness of the device
470 when used as intended. Clinical studies should be designed to test the full range of device
471 parameters and proposed conditions of use in the intended patient population. The study design
472 should include safety measures to provide reasonable assurance of safety of subjects undergoing
473 the study. The results should include a complete account of the thermally affected tissue regions
474 including, but not limited to, thermal injury to vital structures, skin burns (if applicable), as well
475 as the corresponding temperature-time history depicting the thermal energy spread, including
476 real-time temperature monitoring of target and non-target critical organs.
477

478 **IV. Labeling**

479 In addition to labeling requirements and recommendations from any relevant regulations or
480 statute, and device-specific guidances, we recommend that you include a chart and/or graph in
481 your labeling that provides the dimensions (i.e., length, width, depth, and volume) of the
482 thermally affected tissue region in relation to the power setting, and duration of activation for
483 different tissue types. This information is important to inform users of the expected damage and
484 to facilitate safe use.