

1 **Animal Drug User Fee Act Reauthorization Performance**

2 **Goals and Procedures – Fiscal Years 2019 Through 2023**

3 The goals and procedures of the FDA Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) as agreed
4 to under the "Animal Drug User Fee Amendments of 2018" are summarized as follows:

5 **I. Definitions**

- 6 1. For the application/submission goals below, the term "review and act on" is
7 understood to mean the issuance of a complete action letter after the complete
8 review of an animal drug application, supplemental animal drug application, or
9 investigational animal drug submission which either (1) approves an animal drug
10 application or supplemental application or notifies a sponsor that an
11 investigational animal drug submission is complete or (2) sets forth in detail the
12 specific deficiencies in such animal drug application, supplemental animal drug
13 application, or investigational animal drug submission and, where appropriate, the
14 actions necessary to place such an application, supplemental application, or
15 submission in condition for approval. Within 30 days¹ of submission, FDA shall
16 refuse to file an animal drug application, supplemental animal drug application, or
17 their reactivation, which is determined to be insufficient on its face or otherwise
18 of unacceptable quality for review upon initial inspection as per 21 CFR 514.110.
19 Thus, the Agency will refuse to file an application containing numbers or types of
20 errors, or flaws in the development plan, sufficient to cause the quality of the
21 entire submission to be questioned to the extent that it cannot reasonably be
22 reviewed. Within 60 days of submission, FDA will refuse to review an
23 investigational animal drug submission which is determined to be insufficient on
24 its face or otherwise of unacceptable quality upon initial inspection using criteria
25 and procedures similar to those found in 21 CFR 514.110. A decision to refuse to
26 file an application or to refuse to review a submission as described above will
27 result in the application or submission not being entered into the cohort upon
28 which the relevant user fee goal is based. The Agency will keep a record of the
29 numbers and types of such refusals and include them in its annual performance
30 report.
- 31 2. A minor amendment is understood to mean information requested by FDA during
32 the review of the application or investigational submission. FDA may request
33 minor amendments to animal drug applications, supplemental animal drug
34 applications, and investigational animal drug submissions during its review of the
35 application or submission. At its discretion, the Agency may extend an internal
36 due date (but not a user fee goal) to allow for the complete review of an
37 application or submission for which a minor amendment is requested. If a
38 pending application is amended with significant changes, the amended application
39 may be considered resubmitted, thereby effectively resetting the clock to the date

¹ All references to "days" in this document are to calendar days, unless otherwise specified.

40 FDA received the amendment. The same policy applies for investigational animal
41 drug submissions.

- 42 3. The term “submission date” means the date the FDA Center for Veterinary
43 Medicine (CVM) Electronic Submission System (ESS) receives an application or
44 submission. Upon receipt of an application or submission, the CVM ESS creates
45 an electronic receipt that contains the date of receipt and is sent to the submitter.
- 46 4. The term “labeling supplement” is understood to mean certain applications as
47 described in 21 CFR 514.8(c)(2)(i)(A) and (D) that require approval of a
48 supplemental application prior to distribution of the drug made using the change.
- 49 5. The term “presubmission conference” (PSC) is understood to mean one or more
50 conferences between a potential applicant and FDA as described in 21 CFR 514.5
51 to reach a binding agreement establishing a submission or investigational
52 requirement.
- 53 6. The term “dosage characterization” is understood to mean a justification of the
54 dosage (dose or dose range, dosing frequency, and the dosing duration) and a
55 characterization of the critical aspects of the dose-response relationship related to
56 each intended use and associated conditions of use.

57 **II. Application/Submission Goals**

58 Beginning October 1, 2018, all applications and submissions under the Federal Food,
59 Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) section 512(b) and 571 must be created using
60 the eSubmitter tool and submitted to the Agency through CVM’s ESS.

61 **1. Original New Animal Drug Applications (NADAs)**

62 Review and act on 90 percent of original NADAs within 180 days after the
63 submission date.

64 An application is incomplete if it would require additional data or information to
65 enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review of the application and
66 reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the application.

67 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of reactivated applications:

- 68 i Within 180 days after the reactivated NADA submission date if the
69 Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies are
70 substantial;
- 71 ii Within 135 days after the reactivated NADA submission date if the
72 Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies are not
73 substantial; and the NADA reactivation must be submitted no more than
74 120 days after the Agency’s dated incomplete letter to qualify for the
75 shorter review time; and
- 76 iii Within 180 days after the reactivated NADA submission date if the
77 NADA reactivation is submitted after 120 days of the Agency’s dated

78 incomplete letter or new substantial information is provided in the
79 reactivated application.

80 The Agency will generally favor using the shorter reactivation timeframe of 135
81 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the incomplete letter the
82 appropriate timeframe for review of the reactivation. Sponsors wishing to discuss
83 the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior to reactivation of the
84 application. The shorter review time of 135 days for reactivated NADAs for
85 which the deficiencies are determined not to be substantial is not intended to
86 prevent the use of minor amendments during Agency review of an application.

87 **2. Administrative NADAs**

88
89 Review and act on 90 percent of administrative NADAs (NADAs filed after
90 all scientific decisions already have been made as part of the investigational
91 new animal drug process) within 60 days after the filing date.

92 **3. Non-manufacturing Supplemental Animal Drug Applications**

93 Review and act on 90 percent of non-manufacturing supplemental animal drug
94 applications (i.e. supplemental animal drug applications for which safety or
95 effectiveness data are required) within 180 days after the submission date.

96 A supplemental application is incomplete if it would require additional data or
97 information to enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review of the
98 supplement and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the supplement.

99 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of reactivated supplements:

- 100 i Within 180 days after the reactivated supplemental NADA submission
101 date if the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the
102 deficiencies are substantial;
- 103 ii Within 135 days after the reactivated supplemental NADA submission
104 date if the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the
105 deficiencies are not substantial; and the reactivation to the supplemental
106 application must be submitted no more than 120 days after the Agency's
107 dated incomplete letter to qualify for the shorter review time; and
- 108 iii Within 180 days after the reactivated supplemental NADA submission
109 date if the reactivation to the supplemental application is submitted after
110 120 days of the Agency's dated incomplete letter or new substantial
111 information is provided in the reactivated supplement.

112 The Agency will generally favor using the shorter reactivation timeframe of 135
113 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the incomplete letter the
114 appropriate timeframe for review of the reactivation. Sponsors wishing to discuss
115 the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior to the reactivation of the

116 supplement. The shorter review time of 135 days for reactivated supplements for
117 which the deficiencies are determined not to be substantial is not intended to
118 prevent the use of minor amendments during Agency review of a supplemental
119 application.
120

121 **4. Prior Approval Manufacturing Supplemental NADAs and Reactivations**

122 Review and act on 90 percent of Prior Approval manufacturing supplemental
123 NADAs within 120 days after the submission date. A Prior Approval
124 manufacturing supplemental NADA includes: one or more major manufacturing
125 changes as described in 21 CFR 514.8(b)(2)(ii) and in accordance with Guidance
126 for Industry 83 (Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Changes to an Approved
127 NADA or ANADA); and, changes submitted as “Supplement-Changes Being
128 Effected in 30 Days” that require prior approval according to 21 CFR
129 514.8(b)(3)(v)(A). If a Prior Approval supplement does not clearly identify any
130 major manufacturing changes, the Prior Approval supplement will be designated
131 by the Agency as a “Supplement-Changes Being Effected” with a 180 days
132 review goal (see “Supplement-Changes Being Effected Manufacturing
133 Supplemental NADAs and Reactivations” below).

134 A submission is incomplete if it requires additional data or information to enable
135 the Agency to complete a comprehensive review of the submission and reach a
136 decision on the issue(s) presented in the submission. If the Agency determines
137 that the deficiencies are not substantial for manufacturing supplements requiring
138 prior approval, the Agency will allow the manufacturing supplements to be
139 resubmitted as “Supplement-Changes Being Effected in 30 Days” as described in
140 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3) and the drug made with the change can be distributed 30 days
141 after the resubmission according to 21 CFR 514.8(b)(3)(iv). The Agency will
142 review and act on 90 percent of these reactivated manufacturing supplements
143 within 180 days after the resubmission date of a complete submission. If the
144 Agency determines that the deficiencies remain substantial or new substantial
145 information is provided, prior-approval is required according to 21 CFR
146 514.8(b)(3)(v)(A). The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of these
147 reactivated manufacturing supplements within 120 days after the resubmission
148 date of a complete submission.

149 **5. Supplements – Changes Being Effected Manufacturing Supplemental** 150 **NADAs and Reactivations**

151 Review and act on 90 percent of “Supplement- Changes Being Effected”
152 manufacturing supplemental NADAs and reactivations submitted according to 21
153 CFR 514.8(b)(3)(vi) and in accordance with Guidance for Industry 83 (Chemistry,
154 Manufacturing, and Controls Changes to an Approved NADA or ANADA),
155 including manufacturing changes not requiring prior approval according to 21
156 CFR 514.8(b)(3) within 180 days after the submission date.

157 **6. Investigational New Animal Drug (INAD) Study Submissions**

158 Review and act on 90 percent of INAD study submissions within 180 days after
159 the submission date.

160 An INAD study submission is incomplete if it would require additional data or
161 information to enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review of the
162 submission and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the submission.

163 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of resubmissions:

- 164 i Within 180 days after the resubmitted INAD study submission date if the
165 Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies are
166 substantial;
- 167 ii Within 60 days after the resubmitted INAD study submission date if the
168 Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies are not
169 substantial; and the resubmission must be submitted no more than 120
170 days after the Agency's dated incomplete letter to qualify for the shorter
171 review time; and
- 172 iii Within 180 days after the resubmitted INAD study submission date if the
173 resubmission is submitted after 120 days of the Agency's dated
174 incomplete letter or new substantial information is provided in the
175 resubmission.

176 The Agency will generally favor using the shorter resubmission timeframe of 60
177 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the incomplete letter the
178 appropriate timeframe for review of the resubmission. Sponsors wishing to
179 discuss the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior to resubmitting
180 the application. The shorter review time of 60 days for resubmissions for which
181 the deficiencies are determined not to be substantial is not intended to prevent the
182 use of minor amendments during Agency review of a submission.

183 Review and act on 90 percent of microbial food safety hazard characterization
184 submissions within 100 days after the submission date.

185 **7. INAD Protocols without Data Submissions**

186 Review and act on 90 percent of INAD submissions consisting of protocols
187 without data, that the Agency and the sponsor consider to be an essential part of
188 the basis for making the decision to approve or not approve an NADA or
189 supplemental NADA, within 50 days after the submission date.

190 An INAD protocol without data submission is incomplete if it would require
191 additional information to enable the Agency to complete a comprehensive review
192 of the protocol and reach a decision on the issue(s) presented in the protocol.

193 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of resubmitted INAD protocol
194 without data submissions:

- 195 i Within 50 days after the resubmission date if the Agency determines and
196 notifies the sponsor that the deficiencies are substantial;
- 197 ii Within 20 days after the resubmitted INAD protocol without data
198 submission date if the Agency determines and notifies the sponsor that the
199 deficiencies are not substantial; and the resubmission must be submitted
200 no more than 120 days after the Agency's dated non-concurrence letter to
201 qualify for the shorter review time; and
- 202 iii Within 50 days after the resubmission date if the resubmission is
203 submitted after 120 days of the Agency's dated non-concurrence letter or
204 new substantial information is provided in the resubmission.

205 The Agency will generally favor using the shorter resubmission timeframe of 20
206 days, where possible. The Agency will state in the non-concurrence letter the
207 appropriate timeframe for review of the resubmission. Sponsors wishing to
208 discuss the selected timeframe should contact the Agency prior to resubmission of
209 the protocol without data. The shorter review time of 20 days for resubmitted
210 INAD protocol without data submissions for which the deficiencies are
211 determined not to be substantial is not intended to prevent the use of minor
212 amendments during Agency review of a submission.

213 Sponsors are not required to submit study protocols for review. However, for
214 each protocol voluntarily submitted prior to the commencement of the study that
215 the Agency and the sponsor consider to be an essential part of the basis for
216 making the decision to approve or not approve an animal drug application or
217 supplemental animal drug application, the Agency will issue a complete action
218 letter providing comments resulting from a complete review of the protocol. The
219 complete action letter will be as detailed as possible considering the quality and
220 level of detail of the protocol submission; will include a succinct assessment of
221 the protocol; and will state whether the Agency agrees, disagrees, or lacks
222 sufficient information to reach a decision that the protocol design, execution
223 plans, and data analyses are adequate to achieve the objectives of the study.

224 If the Agency determines that a protocol is acceptable, this represents an
225 agreement that the data generated by the protocol can be used to support a safety
226 or effectiveness decision regarding the subject animal drug. The fundamental
227 agreement is that having agreed to the design, execution, or analyses proposed in
228 protocols reviewed under this process, the Agency will not later alter its
229 perspectives on the issues of design, execution, or analyses unless the Agency by
230 written order determines that a substantiated scientific requirement essential to the
231 assessment of the study appeared after the Agency's protocol assessment, or
232 public or animal health concerns unrecognized at the time of protocol assessment
233 under this process are evident.

234 The Agency will permit comparability protocols as described in 21 CFR
235 514.8(b)(2)(v) to be submitted as protocols without substantial data in an INAD
236 file. The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of INAD submissions
237 consisting of protocols without substantial data within 50 days after the
238 submission date of the protocol. For potentially more complex comparability
239 protocols, for example sterile process validation protocols, the sponsor should
240 discuss and have Agency concurrence regarding the appropriate filing strategy.

241 **8. Labeling Supplements**

242 Review and act on 90 percent of qualifying labeling supplements as described in
243 21 CFR 514.8(c)(2)(i)(A) and (D) within 60 days after the submission date.
244 Qualifying labeling supplements are defined as those for which the sponsor
245 provides and certifies a complete list of label changes made in the application and
246 that CVM can determine upon initial review do not decrease the safety of drug
247 use.

248 The Agency will review and act on 90 percent of non-qualifying labeling
249 supplements within 180 days after the submission date.

250 **III. Additional Performance Goals**

251 **Work Queue Review Procedures**

252 The Agency will review all submissions in accordance with procedures for
253 working within a queue. An application/submission that is not reviewed within
254 the applicable Application/Submission Goal time frame (noted above) will be
255 reviewed with the highest possible priority among those pending.

256 **Pre-Approval Foreign Inspections**

- 257 1. The Agency and regulated industry are committed to improving the review
258 and business processes that will facilitate the timely scheduling and
259 conducting of pre-approval inspections (PAIs). To improve the timeliness
260 and predictability of foreign PAIs, sponsors may voluntarily submit 1) at
261 the beginning of the calendar year, a list of foreign manufacturing
262 facilities that are specified in an animal drug application, supplemental
263 animal drug application, or investigational animal drug submission and
264 may be subject to foreign PAIs for the following fiscal year; and 2) a
265 notification 30 days prior to submitting an NADA, a supplemental NADA,
266 or INAD submission that informs the Agency that the
267 application/submission includes a foreign manufacturing facility. Should
268 any changes to the annual list occur after its submission to the Agency, the
269 sponsor may provide the updated information to the Agency.
- 270 2. The Agency will keep a record of the number of foreign PAIs conducted
271 for new animal drug applications, along with the average time for

272 completing the PAIs, and include this information in its annual
273 performance report. The time for completing the PAI is understood to
274 mean the time from the inspection scheduling request through notification
275 to the Center of inspectional findings.

276 **Foreign GMP Inspections**

277 The Agency commits to working to implement the US-EU GMP Inspection
278 Mutual Recognition Agreement starting in FY 2019 for establishments
279 manufacturing animal/veterinary drugs. The Agency will provide annual progress
280 updates to the industry.

281 **Supporting Information for Presubmission Conferences and INAD Protocols** 282 **without Data Submissions**

283 The Agency and the regulated industry agree that data and/or information which
284 uniquely describes the general attributes of the new animal drug (e.g. the known
285 characteristics of the drug that can impact safety, effectiveness and/or quality)
286 needs to be submitted early in the new animal drug development process in order
287 to enable the parties to reach agreement at a presubmission conference or to begin
288 review of a protocol. The intent of this provision is to avoid the submission of
289 data or information between the presubmission conference and the submission of
290 a protocol. Eligibility both for short justifications in protocols and for concurrent
291 supporting data and protocol review described below is predicated on the sponsor
292 submitting information early in the new animal drug development process.

293 The Agency will allow for the inclusion of these data and/or information in
294 presubmission conferences; however it would not preclude holding a
295 presubmission conference without such data.

296 The Agency will allow short justifications within INAD protocols without data
297 submissions that are limited in scope (e.g., no more than ten pages or no more
298 than two (peer-reviewed) journal articles).

299 The Agency will allow for the concurrent submission of supporting data (INAD H
300 submissions) and protocols (INAD E submissions) provided that the protocol is
301 not submitted until the supporting data has been in the Agency's queue for at least
302 50 days.

303 **Dosage Characterization**

304 The Agency and the regulated industry agree that dosage characterization is part
305 of the effectiveness technical section of an investigational new animal drug file.
306 In instances where data and/or information about the dosage is integral to the
307 review of a protocol, the Agency and the regulated industry agree that these data
308 and/or information should be submitted as supporting data (INAD H submission)

309 well in advance of the protocol submission. Such information may be needed to
310 ensure selection of optimal study time points and would be particularly important
311 for novel drugs and drugs with modified-release characteristics.

312 **Animal Drug Availability Act (ADAA) Combination Medicated Feeds**
313 **Applications**

314 Review and act on 90 percent of qualifying ADAA Combination Medicated Feeds
315 Applications within 60 days after the submission date. An ADAA combination
316 application will qualify for the 60 day review timeframe only if the following
317 criteria are met:

- 318 i. The regulatory requirements for an ADAA combination application have
319 been met as outlined in 21 CFR 514.4(c)(2)(ii)
- 320 ii. A presubmission conference has been conducted and either:
 - 321 a. No data are needed (i.e., no tissue residue non-interference study is
322 required) and this is documented in the memorandum of
323 conference for the presubmission conference; or
 - 324 b. A justification for not conducting a tissue residue non-interference
325 study has been submitted, reviewed and found acceptable under an
326 INAD, prior to the submission of the ADAA combination
327 application; or
 - 328 c. A tissue residue non-interference study has been submitted,
329 reviewed and found acceptable under an INAD, prior to the
330 submission of the ADAA combination application.
- 331 iii. No effectiveness or target animal safety data are required.
- 332 iv. No manufacturing data requirements- sponsor can address in meeting
333 assay non-interference, but data submission is not required.
- 334 v. All other information is referenced to previous drug experience reports.
- 335 vi. Sponsor makes submission and it includes: Bluebird labeling, Veterinary
336 Feed Directive (if applicable).
- 337 vii. Includes a request for categorical exclusion from the need to prepare an
338 environmental assessment (EA); i.e., no EA required.
- 339 viii. Reference to presubmission conference.
- 340 ix. Right of reference (if applicable) to NADA(s) not owned by the filing
341 sponsor of the ADAA combination application has been received by the
342 Agency.

343 Review and act on 90 percent of ADAA combination applications within 100
344 days for those applications initially accepted for the 60-day timeframe but
345 subsequently determined to need minor amendments.
346

347 If any of the above conditions cannot be met, the ADAA combination application
348 will be given a 180-day review timeframe and placed in the original NADA
349 application cohort.

350 **Categorical Exclusions**

351 Review and act on 90 percent of resubmissions of a previously completed
352 Environmental Impact technical section within 60 days after the resubmission
353 date where:

- 354 i. A Categorical Exclusion was issued; and
- 355 ii. All other technical sections have been submitted; and
- 356 iii. Information contained in the other technical sections reveals a change in
357 the conditions of use of the drug that may affect the previous
358 determination of categorical exclusion.

359 **Presubmission Conferences**

360 Conduct 90% of qualifying presubmission conferences within a 60-day timeframe
361 when all of the following conditions are met:

- 362 i. All background materials, including presentations, have been submitted,
363 and
- 364 ii. A complete agenda has been agreed upon by the Agency and the sponsor

365 A sponsor and the Agency can mutually agree to exclude a particular
366 presubmission conference from this performance goal. If a sponsor accepts a date
367 beyond the 60-day timeframe for their scheduling purposes or is unable to meet
368 with the Agency on Agency available dates, the submission will be excluded from
369 the presubmission conference cohort.

370 **Tissue Residue Method**

371 Commence 90% of tissue residue method demonstrations within 120 days of
372 completion of the “3-hour meeting” process or equivalent process milestone
373 where there is a single laboratory validation tissue residue method demonstration.

374 **IV. Workload Adjustment**

375 The workload adjustment will continue to be calculated per CVM Program Policy
376 and Procedures Manual 1243.3022, except that, for purposes of calculating the
377 workload adjustment, it has been agreed to reset the base years to FY 2014- FY
378 2018. There will be no workload adjustment for FY 2019. Workload adjustments
379 are one-time adjustments, and are calculated annually.