
Pediatric Drug Development Under the Pediatric Research Equity Act and the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act: Scientific Considerations Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Division of Pediatric and Maternal Health at 301-796-2200 or (CBER) the Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development at 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**May 2023
Administrative/Procedural**

Revision 1

Pediatric Drug Development Under the Pediatric Research Equity Act and the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act: Scientific Considerations Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs>*

and/or

*Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Room 3128
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010; Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information-biologics/biologics-guidances>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**May 2023
Administrative/Procedural**

Revision 1

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY CONTEXT.....	3
A.	PREA.....	3
1.	<i>Pediatric Assessments: Section 505B(a)(1)(A) of the FD&C Act.....</i>	<i>3</i>
2.	<i>Molecularly Targeted Pediatric Cancer Investigation: Section 505B(a)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act.</i>	<i>5</i>
B.	BPCA.....	5
C.	The Pediatric Review Committee.....	6
IV.	SCIENTIFIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR PEDIATRIC DRUG DEVELOPMENT..	7
A.	Considerations Regarding Data in Pediatric Patients	8
1.	<i>Formulation Development</i>	<i>8</i>
2.	<i>Nonclinical Information.....</i>	<i>9</i>
3.	<i>Clinical Pharmacology.....</i>	<i>11</i>
4.	<i>Safety Information.....</i>	<i>12</i>
B.	Pediatric Extrapolation	12
C.	Timing of Pediatric Studies.....	13
D.	Drug Development for the Neonatal Population.....	15

1 **Pediatric Drug Development Under the Pediatric Research**
2 **Equity Act and the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act:**
3 **Scientific Considerations**
4 **Guidance for Industry¹**
5
6
7

8
9 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug
10 Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not
11 binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the
12 applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible
13 for this guidance as listed on the title page.
14

15
16
17
18 **I. INTRODUCTION**
19

20 The purpose of this guidance is to assist industry in developing data and obtaining information
21 needed to support approval of drug products in pediatric populations.² This guidance addresses
22 selected clinical, scientific, and ethical issues regarding the development of drugs for pediatric
23 use when such drugs are subject to the Pediatric Research Equity Act (PREA)³ and/or the Best
24 Pharmaceuticals for Children Act (BPCA).⁴ In 2010, the Biologics Price Competition and
25 Innovation Act of 2009 extended provisions of the BPCA to biological products.⁵
26

27 This guidance does not address the clinical development of drugs that are not subject to either
28 PREA or the BPCA. This guidance, along with the draft guidance for industry *Pediatric Drug*
29 *Development: Regulatory Considerations — Complying With the Pediatric Research Equity Act*

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Pediatrics and Maternal Health in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research in cooperation with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

² For the purposes of this guidance, unless otherwise specified, references to *drugs* or *drug products* include drugs approved under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 355) and biological products licensed under section 351 of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act (42 U.S.C. 262) that are regulated as drugs.

³ Public Law 108-155 (2003), codified at section 505B of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c). Although section 505B has been amended since the passage of PREA, by convention, that section of the FD&C Act is often referred to by the acronym for the Act that created it, PREA. We adopt that convention in this guidance.

⁴ Public Law 107-109 (2002), codified at section 505A of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355a). Although section 505A has been amended since the passage of the BPCA, by convention, that section of the FD&C Act is often referred to by the acronym for the Act that created it, the BPCA. We adopt that convention in this guidance..

⁵ See section 351(m) of the PHS Act (42 U.S.C. 262(m)).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

30 *and Qualifying for Pediatric Exclusivity Under the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act* (May
31 2023) (draft Regulatory Considerations guidance),⁶ revises and replaces the draft guidance for
32 industry *How to Comply With the Pediatric Research Equity Act*.⁷ This guidance also addresses
33 certain additional topics that FDA has not previously addressed in guidance.

34
35 This guidance only addresses briefly the Food and Drug Administration Reauthorization Act of
36 2017's (FDARA's) amendments to PREA⁸ relating to requirements that sponsors of certain adult
37 oncology drugs with molecular targets that are determined to be substantially relevant to the
38 growth or progression of a pediatric cancer submit reports on molecularly targeted pediatric
39 cancer investigations.⁹

40
41 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
42 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only
43 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
44 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
45 not required.

46
47

II. BACKGROUND

48
49

50 Many diseases that occur in adults also occur in children, and children are often treated with the
51 same drugs as adults. The effects of many drugs may vary considerably for adults and children
52 or for different pediatric subgroups. Labeling for many drugs marketed in the United States
53 lacks information on the safe and effective use in all or certain pediatric age groups. Indeed,
54 labeling for many of the drugs that are widely used in pediatric patients specify that safety and
55 effectiveness in pediatric patients have not been established.

56

57 The absence of adequate pediatric use information in labeling poses significant risks for children.
58 Inadequate pediatric dosing information in labeling may lead to inappropriate dosing that can
59 increase the risk of adverse reactions and/or lead to ineffective treatments. The lack of pediatric
60 safety data may lead to inappropriate use in pediatric patients and expose such patients to the
61 potential risk of age-specific adverse reactions that are unexpected based on data gathered from
62 the use of the drug in adults. The absence of adequate pediatric labeling also may deny pediatric

⁶ When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

⁷ This guidance also addresses certain topics previously addressed in the guidance for industry *Qualifying for Pediatric Exclusivity Under Section 505A of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act*. That guidance was withdrawn August 7, 2013 (78 FR 48175).

⁸ See section 505B of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c).

⁹ For additional information on FDA's implementation of these amendments to section 505B of the FD&C Act, see the guidance for industry *FDARA Implementation Guidance for Pediatric Studies of Molecularly Targeted Oncology Drugs: Amendments to Sec. 505B of the FD&C Act* (May 2021). We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

63 patients therapeutic advances because physicians choose to prescribe drugs that are potentially
64 less effective in pediatric populations due to the lack of information regarding use. Failure to
65 develop an age-appropriate pediatric formulation of a drug may also deny pediatric patients
66 access to important new therapies or may result in pediatric patients taking extemporaneous
67 formulations where bioavailability may be poor or inconsistent.

68
69

70 III. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY CONTEXT

71

72 This section contains a brief summary of PREA and the BPCA and a description of the Pediatric
73 Review Committee (PeRC). A more complete discussion of the requirements under PREA and
74 the BPCA, as well as recommendations regarding how to comply with them, is available in the
75 draft Regulatory Considerations guidance.¹⁰

76

77 A. PREA

78

79 1. Pediatric Assessments: Section 505B(a)(1)(A) of the Federal Food, Drug, and 80 Cosmetic Act

81

82 PREA requires that any applications (or supplements to an application)¹¹ falling within the
83 requirements of section 505B(a)(1) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic (FD&C) Act for a
84 new active ingredient, new indication, new dosage form, new dosing regimen, or new route of
85 administration must either include a pediatric assessment¹² or reports on the molecularly targeted
86 pediatric cancer investigation (as appropriate),¹³ or a request for waiver and/or deferral of the
87 pediatric assessments or reports on the molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigation.¹⁴
88 There are certain exceptions; for example, PREA requirements generally do not apply to a drug
89 for an indication for which orphan designation has been granted.¹⁵

90

¹⁰ When finalized, the draft Regulatory Considerations guidance will represent FDA’s current thinking on the topics therein.

¹¹ See section 505B(a)(1)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(1)(A)).

¹² See section 505B(a)(2) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(2)).

¹³ See section 505B(a)(3) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(3)). For additional information, see the guidance for industry *FDARA Implementation Guidance for Pediatric Studies of Molecularly Targeted Oncology Drugs: Amendments to Sec. 505B of the FD&C Act*.

¹⁴ See sections 505B(a)(1), 505B(a)(4), and 505B(a)(5) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(1); 21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(4); and 21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(5)).

¹⁵ See section 505B(k)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(k)(1)). Under section 505B(k)(2) of the FD&C Act, this “orphan exemption” does not apply to products that trigger PREA under section 505B(a)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act. For additional information, see the draft Regulatory Considerations guidance. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

91 The FD&C Act requires sponsors planning to submit applications subject to PREA to submit an
92 initial Pediatric Study Plan (iPSP);¹⁶ these are typically submitted during the investigational
93 phase of development, which helps to ensure that sponsors thoroughly consider a pediatric
94 clinical development program earlier in their overall clinical development program. Section
95 505B(b) of the FD&C Act also requires holders of approved new drug applications and biologics
96 license applications for marketed drugs to conduct pediatric assessments under certain
97 circumstances.¹⁷ Drugs developed for use only in pediatric populations may be subject to the
98 pediatric assessment requirements of PREA.¹⁸ The appropriate pediatric age ranges to be studied
99 may vary, depending on, for example, the pharmacology of the drug, the incidence and the
100 manifestations of the disease in various age groups, and the ability to measure the response to
101 therapy.

102
103 Under PREA, a pediatric assessment must contain data, gathered using appropriate formulations
104 for each age group for which the assessment is required, that are adequate to assess the safety
105 and effectiveness of the drug for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations,
106 and that support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the drug is
107 safe and effective.¹⁹ If the course of the disease and the effects of the drug are sufficiently
108 similar in adults and pediatric patients, FDA may determine that pediatric effectiveness can be
109 extrapolated from adequate and well-controlled studies in adult subjects.²⁰

110
111 In general, PREA requirements do not apply to a drug for an indication for which orphan
112 designation has been granted.²¹ Thus, submission of pediatric assessments is not required for an
113 application to market a drug for an indication for which orphan designation has been granted.²²

114
115 For more detailed information regarding waivers or deferrals of the requirement to submit
116 pediatric assessments, see the draft Regulatory Considerations guidance.²³

117

¹⁶ See section 505B(e) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(e)).

¹⁷ See section 505B(b) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(b)).

¹⁸ See section 505B(a)(1)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(1)(A)).

¹⁹ See section 505B(a)(2)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(2)(A)).

²⁰ See section 505B(a)(2)(B) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(2)(B)).

²¹ See section 505B(k) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(k)). We note that section 505B(k)(1) of the FD&C Act states, “Unless the Secretary requires otherwise by regulation...this section does not apply to any drug or biological product for an indication for which orphan designation has been granted under section 526.” The Secretary has delegated this authority to FDA on these issues, and, as of the date of publication of this guidance, FDA has not issued any such regulations. We also note that under section 505B(k)(2) of the FD&C Act, the orphan exemption does not apply to drugs that trigger PREA under section 505B(a)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act.

²² See section 505B(k)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(k)(1)).

²³ When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

118 2. *Molecularly Targeted Pediatric Cancer Investigation: Section 505B(a)(1)(B) of*
119 *the FD&C Act*
120

121 Pursuant to section 505B(a)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act, a person who submits an original
122 application on or after August 18, 2020, for a new active ingredient, where the drug that is the
123 subject of the application is intended for the treatment of an adult cancer and directed at a
124 molecular target that FDA determines to be substantially relevant to the growth or progression of
125 a pediatric cancer, must submit reports on the molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigation,
126 unless submission of the reports is waived or deferred.²⁴
127

128 A molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigation “shall be designed to yield clinically
129 meaningful pediatric study data, gathered using appropriate formulations for each age group for
130 which the study is required, regarding dosing, safety, and preliminary efficacy to inform
131 potential pediatric labeling.”²⁵ For a drug that is the subject of a molecularly targeted pediatric
132 cancer investigation, if the course of the disease and the effects of the drug are sufficiently
133 similar in adult and pediatric patients, FDA may determine that pediatric effectiveness can be
134 extrapolated from adequate and well-controlled studies in adult subjects.²⁶
135

136 PREA requirements generally do not apply to a drug for an indication for which orphan
137 designation has been granted; however, this *orphan exemption* does not apply to drugs that
138 trigger PREA under section 505B(a)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act.²⁷ Accordingly, for such drugs
139 meeting the criteria in section 505B(a)(1)(B), the requirement to submit reports on the
140 molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigation applies even if the drug is for an adult
141 indication for which orphan designation has been granted.²⁸
142

B. BPCA

143
144
145 The BPCA provides for additional marketing exclusivity if FDA issues a written request (WR),
146 the applicant agrees to the request, the applicant completes the requested studies using
147 appropriate formulations for each age group for which the studies were requested and within the
148 requested time frame, and the reports of the studies are submitted and accepted by FDA.²⁹ When
149 accepting or rejecting the reports, FDA determines whether the studies fairly respond to the WR,
150 have been conducted in accordance with commonly accepted scientific principles and protocols,
151 and have been reported in accordance with filing requirements.³⁰

²⁴ Sections 505B(a)(1)(B), 505B(a)(3), 505B(a)(4), and 505B(a)(5) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(1)(B), 21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(3), 21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(4), and 21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(5)).

²⁵ Section 505B(a)(3)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(3)(A)).

²⁶ Section 505B(a)(2)(B) and 505B(a)(3)(B) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(2)(B) and 355c(a)(3)(B)).

²⁷ See section 505B(k) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(k)).

²⁸ See section 505B(k)(2) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(k)(2)).

²⁹ See sections 505A(b)(1) and 505A(c)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355a(b)(1) and 21 U.S.C. 355a(c)(1)).

³⁰ See section 505A(d)(4) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355a(d)(4)).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

152
153 FDA may issue a WR for pediatric studies either in response to a proposed pediatric study
154 request (PPSR) *or* at the initiative of FDA. A PPSR describes the studies the applicant proposes
155 to conduct. The procedures for qualifying for exclusivity and the protections to which that
156 exclusivity attaches are described in more detail in the draft Regulatory Considerations
157 guidance.³¹

158
159 It is important to note the distinction between the scope of the studies requested under the BPCA
160 and those required under PREA. FDA’s authority to issue a WR extends to the use of an active
161 moiety for indications that may produce health benefits in the pediatric population, regardless of
162 whether the indications have been previously approved in adults.³² Under PREA, pediatric
163 assessments are required only for those indications included in the pending (or approved)
164 application.³³ To qualify for pediatric exclusivity, the pediatric studies conducted to satisfy the
165 requirements of PREA must be the subject of a WR and satisfy all other requirements for
166 pediatric exclusivity under the BPCA.³⁴ As discussed in the draft regulatory considerations
167 guidance, FDA does not expect to issue WRs solely for studies or planned studies that are
168 required under PREA. For more detailed information regarding WRs for pediatric studies and
169 PPSRs, see the draft Regulatory Considerations guidance.³⁵

170

C. The PeRC

171

172
173 FDA’s internal pediatric review committee (i.e., the PeRC) is consulted on all iPSPs, waivers,
174 deferrals, assessments, deferral extensions, and WRs.³⁶ The PeRC is also provided all responses
175 to PPSRs, not just WRs that result from those requests.³⁷ Members of this committee include
176 employees of FDA with expertise in pediatrics (including representation from the Office of
177 Pediatric Therapeutics), neonatology, biopharmacology (i.e., pharmacology/toxicology),

³¹ When final, the draft Regulatory Considerations guidance will represent FDA’s current thinking on the topics therein.

³² See sections 505A(a), 505A(b)(1), 505A(c)(1), and 505A(d)(1)(B) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355a(a), 21 U.S.C. 355a(b)(1), 21 U.S.C. 355a(c)(1), and 21 U.S.C. 355a(d)(1)(B)).

³³ See sections 505B(a)(1)(A) and 505B(a)(2) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(1)(A) and 21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(2)). Note, however, that molecularly targeted pediatric cancer investigations are based on molecular mechanism of action rather than clinical indication. See sections 505B(a)(1)(B) and 505B(a)(3) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(1)(B) and 21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(3)). For additional information see the guidance for industry *FDARA Implementation Guidance for Pediatric Studies of Molecularly Targeted Oncology Drugs: Amendments to Sec. 505B of the FD&C Act*.

³⁴ See sections 505A(b)(1), 505A(c)(1), 505A(d), and 505A(h) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355a(b)(1), 21 U.S.C. 355a(c)(1), 21 U.S.C. 355a(d), and 21 U.S.C. 355a(h)).

³⁵ When final, the draft Regulatory Considerations guidance will represent FDA’s current thinking on the topics therein.

³⁶ See sections 505C, 505B(f)(1), and 505A(f)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355d, 21 U.S.C. 355c(f)(1), and 21 U.S.C. 355a(f)(1)).

³⁷ See section 505A(f)(7) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355a(f)(7)).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

178 statistics, chemistry, legal issues, pediatric ethics, the appropriate expertise pertaining to the
179 pediatric drug under review, and other individuals as needed.³⁸ As a general matter, members of
180 the relevant drug review division provide background information to the PeRC and are present
181 during the discussion of the application to provide insight on their reviews and to answer
182 questions.

183

184

185 IV. SCIENTIFIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR PEDIATRIC DRUG DEVELOPMENT³⁹

186

187 In general, principles that guide pediatric drug development do not differ greatly from the
188 principles that apply to drugs developed for adults. Before initiating a development program in
189 pediatric populations, applicants should consider the condition(s) to be investigated, the drug
190 under study, and the pediatric subpopulation(s) for whom such a drug would represent a
191 meaningful therapeutic option.

192

193 The information needed to approve a drug for pediatric use includes data from nonclinical
194 studies, and clinical dosing, safety, and effectiveness information.⁴⁰ Applicants should consider
195 whether efficacy could be partially or fully extrapolated from studies in adults or other pediatric
196 populations (see section IV.B., Pediatric Extrapolation). Finally, applicants should determine
197 whether existing data from adult human studies or animal disease models can be used to support
198 both the safety and preliminary effectiveness of the drug sufficiently to initiate pediatric studies.
199 If such information does not exist, applicants should plan and initiate necessary studies to obtain
200 this information.

201

202 The intent of the pediatric study plan (PSP), as required under PREA,⁴¹ is to identify needed
203 pediatric studies early in drug development and begin planning for these studies. An iPSP must
204 be submitted to FDA before submission of the required assessments or investigation, and no later
205 than either 60 calendar days after the date of the end-of-phase 2 meeting or such other time as
206 agreed upon between FDA and the applicant.⁴² However, FDA encourages applicants to consult
207 with FDA or submit iPSPs to FDA earlier in development than is required by section 505B(e) of
208 the FD&C Act, when appropriate. More information on the timing of iPSPs, the contents of an

³⁸ See section 505C of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355d).

³⁹ This section generally does not pertain to biological products licensed under section 351(k) of the PHS Act (42 U.S.C. 262(k)). For more information regarding biosimilar products and PREA, see the guidance for industry *Questions and Answers on Biosimilar Development and the BPCI Act* (September 2021) and the draft guidance for industry *New and Revised Draft Q&As on Biosimilar Development and the BPCI Act (Revision 3)* (September 2021). The draft guidance is available on the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

⁴⁰ For purposes of section 505A of the FD&C Act, the term *pediatric studies* or *studies* is defined as at least one clinical investigation (that, at the Secretary's discretion, may include pharmacokinetic studies) in pediatric age groups (including neonates in appropriate cases) in which a drug is anticipated to be used, and, at the discretion of the Secretary, may include preclinical studies (21 U.S.C. 355a(a)).

⁴¹ See section 505B(e) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(e)).

⁴² See section 505B(e)(2)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(e)(2)(A)).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

209 iPSP, the process for reaching agreement with FDA, and the process for amending an agreed
210 iPSP can be found in guidance for industry *Pediatric Study Plans: Content of and Process for*
211 *Submitting Initial Pediatric Study Plans and Amended Initial Pediatric Study Plans* (July 2020).
212

A. Considerations Regarding Data in Pediatric Populations

214
215 The following considerations may be relevant to the overall development strategy of a pediatric
216 development program. Considerations applicable to both PREA and the BPCA have been
217 incorporated.
218

1. Formulation Development

219
220
221 PREA requires pediatric assessments to be conducted “using appropriate formulations for each
222 age group for which the assessment is required.”⁴³ PREA also requires that a molecularly
223 targeted pediatric cancer investigation gather data “using appropriate formulations for each age
224 group for which the study is required.”⁴⁴ A marketing application that includes a pediatric
225 indication may need a new dosage form (e.g., a liquid rather than a tablet), addition of a new
226 strength (e.g., tablet containing a lower dose), or several different dosage forms (e.g., drops,
227 orally disintegrating tablets, pellets). The intent of PREA is to require sponsors to develop and
228 study formulations that allow for use in all relevant pediatric populations, in situations where the
229 existing dosage form or strength may be unsuitable for use in all or part of the relevant pediatric
230 populations.
231

232 When developing formulations for pediatric populations, applicants should consider the
233 following, where applicable.
234

- 235 • With respect to age appropriateness of the dosage form:
236
 - 237 — The proposed dosage form in relation to the targeted age group(s). If adult dosage
238 form is to be used, the volume and size of the dosage form.
239
 - 240 — The proposed drug product composition for the pediatric formulation(s) planned to be
241 used in pediatric studies and how the planned formulations will be dosed (e.g., for an
242 oral dosage form, whether it will be swallowed, chewed, and/or mixed in soft foods
243 and/or liquids).
244
 - 245 — For orally administered dosage forms, the palatability of the dosage form.
246
 - 247 — If a device is to be used for administration, the type of device and how it will be used
248 by pediatric populations in all age groups studied (e.g., dropper, syringe, measuring
249 cup, inhalers).
250
- 251 • With respect to toxicity (safety):

⁴³ See section 505B(a)(2)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(2)(A)).

⁴⁴ See section 505B(a)(3)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(3)(A)).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293

- The use of excipients, including certain dyes, alcohols, flavoring agents, or preservatives, considering any potential interactions.

- The use of a delivery device (e.g., dropper, syringe, measuring cup) copackaged with the formulation. Applicants should consider how the device will be calibrated for accuracy during the proposed in-use period. Applicants should plan studies to assess the presence of leachable/extractable components that may be toxic to younger children or neonates.

- With respect to stability of the drug product:
 - The compatibility and stability assessments of the drug substance with the proposed excipients under storage conditions during marketing and in-use conditions.

 - The stability of the formulation, as well as maintenance of intended drug dissolution/release characteristics when drug products (e.g., disintegrating tablet, sprinkles, pellets, films, liquids) are given in aqueous solutions, juice, human (breast) milk, formula, and soft foods such as apple sauce.

- With respect to characterizing oral drug products' dissolution/release characteristics in media simulating gastric fluids and environment:
 - The performing of dissolution/release testing using standard dissolution media as well as media mimicking in vivo gastric fluids and environment (such as media containing milk for neonate and infant studies).

Early consultation with FDA is strongly recommended when embarking on pediatric formulation development.

2. Nonclinical Information

Nonclinical data, specific to the pediatric population, may be needed to support the initiation of clinical studies in different pediatric age groups, in addition to traditional toxicology studies and/or data from adult clinical development programs. In certain cases, data from clinical studies in adult subjects, supported by nonclinical studies in adult animals, have been used to support the initiation of studies in pediatric populations. However, such studies may not always assess possible drug effects on developmental processes that occur at specific ages in pediatric populations. Developmental processes in pediatric populations may also differentially affect drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, compared to these parameters in adult therapeutic use. Juvenile animal studies may assist in identifying postnatal developmental toxicities that are not assessed in reproductive toxicity testing and that may not be safely

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

294 evaluated in pediatric clinical studies. The adequacy of nonclinical data to support initiation of
295 clinical studies in different pediatric age groups should be discussed with FDA.⁴⁵

296
297 If human safety data and previous animal studies are insufficient to inform adequately the likely
298 safety profile of the drug in the intended pediatric age group, or if the drug's mechanism of
299 action or its pharmacokinetic (PK) profile suggests that it may affect growth or developmental
300 processes, juvenile animal studies should be considered to address these concerns. This section
301 contains a brief summary of considerations for nonclinical information to support pediatric drug
302 development. Additional discussion of juvenile animal studies is available in other guidance.⁴⁶

303
304 When appropriately designed, juvenile animal studies (in vitro and in vivo) can provide a hazard
305 assessment that specifically addresses identified safety concerns in immature, developing organ
306 systems; for example:

- 307
- 308 • Specific toxicity studies in juvenile animals can include an assessment of exposure
309 (toxicokinetics) to address specific developmental endpoints (e.g., neurotoxicity,
310 immunotoxicity, effects on growth or sexual maturation, nephrotoxicity) at particular
311 developmental phases consistent with the intended clinical use
 - 312
313 • Studies in specific animal disease models may be useful when studies in healthy juvenile
314 animals may not adequately inform the safety in pediatric populations (e.g., inborn errors
315 of metabolism)
- 316

317 Many investigational cellular and gene therapy drug products are intended to treat severely
318 debilitating illnesses that exist predominantly in children (e.g., neurodegenerative diseases,
319 metabolic disorders, lysosomal storage disorders). These drug products are usually administered
320 via an invasive route (e.g., intracranial, intraspinal, subretinal, intravenous), can distribute to
321 nontarget tissues, and can remain in the body for prolonged periods. Therefore, safety data, as
322 well as data regarding the prospect of direct clinical benefit, to support an appropriate starting
323 dose is sometimes obtained from nonclinical studies. Many of these studies are conducted in
324 animal disease models that are juveniles or young adults. Additional information on cellular and
325 gene therapy drug products can be found in other guidance.⁴⁷

326

⁴⁵ We support the principles of the 3Rs (reduce/refine/replace) for animal use in testing when feasible. The FDA encourages sponsors to consult with review divisions when considering a nonanimal testing method believed to be suitable, adequate, validated, and feasible. The FDA will consider if the alternative method could be assessed for equivalency to an animal test method.

⁴⁶ Additional information can be found in the guidance for industry *Nonclinical Safety Evaluation of Pediatric Drug Products* (February 2006) and the International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) guidance for industry *M3(R2) Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials and Marketing Authorization for Pharmaceuticals* (January 2010).

⁴⁷ For example, see the guidance for industry *Preclinical Assessment of Investigational Cellular and Gene Therapy Products* (November 2013).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

327 3. *Clinical Pharmacology*⁴⁸

328

329 Well-designed early phase dosing studies are critical to a successful pediatric development
330 program. Inadequate dosing studies in the past have contributed to failed efficacy studies and
331 have delayed or prevented approval in pediatric age groups. Modeling and simulation, when
332 appropriate, should be used to inform dose selection and/or trial design. Confirmatory PK
333 studies may be critical, particularly in younger children, in whom dosing is not necessarily
334 predictable based on the experience in adult or adolescents.

335

336 Depending on the approach, PK and/or pharmacodynamic (PD) studies may be needed to
337 establish dosing recommendations when extrapolating efficacy from adult to pediatric
338 populations, between different pediatric age groups, or between formulations.

339

340 Regarding PK studies, applicants should consider the following:

341

342 • The sample size for PK studies should be justified, taking into account the expected
343 variability in PK parameters for that age group.

344

345 • Matching exposure using pharmacokinetics relies on a reasonable assumption that
346 exposure-response is similar in adults and the pediatric age group being studied;
347 therefore, it is most appropriate when pediatric extrapolation is being used.

348

349 • A dedicated PK study may not be needed in every age group. For example, prior
350 experience with dosing in adolescents has demonstrated that knowledge of adult dosing
351 and appropriate dose scaling may be sufficient for some drugs, with adequate
352 justification.⁴⁹

353

354 • Minimizing blood sampling may be possible using sparse PK sampling techniques and
355 population pharmacokinetics, when such studies are properly designed.

356

357 • There is limited information regarding the effect of maturational changes on
358 pharmacogenetic effects in neonates and infants. Consideration should be given to the
359 potential for differences of pharmacogenetic effects in this age group from observed
360 effects in older children and adults.⁵⁰

361

362 • When administration of the investigational drug presents more than a minor increase over
363 minimal risk, applicants should also consider combining PK studies with safety or

⁴⁸ Additional information can be found in the draft guidance for industry *General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations for Pediatric Studies of Drugs, Including Biological Products* (September 2022). When final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic.

⁴⁹ See Momper JD, Mulugeta Y, Green DJ, et al., 2013, Adolescent Dosing and Labeling Since the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007, *JAMA Pediatr*, 167(10):926–932.

⁵⁰ Additional information can be found in the guidance for industry *General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations for Neonatal Studies for Drugs and Biological Products* (July 2022).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

364 efficacy studies that provide the prospect of direct clinical benefit to the enrolled children
365 (see section IV.C., Timing of Pediatric Studies).⁵¹

366
367 PD studies are particularly important when drug concentrations cannot be measured in a
368 pertinent bodily fluid (e.g., plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, urine). In all cases, however, applicants
369 should consider the following:

- 370
- 371 • PD differences between adult and pediatric populations (e.g., influence of maturation of
372 receptors and/or organ systems), especially as related to drug dosing and study endpoints
373
 - 374 • The use of bridging studies from one population to another (e.g., from adult and older
375 pediatric age groups to younger children) including, where appropriate, the use of PD
376 modeling
377
 - 378 • The potential for changes in PD response between age groups in the pediatric population
379

380 4. *Safety Information*

381
382 Safety information from adult human studies and animal models may provide preliminary
383 information regarding the expected safety profile of a drug in pediatric populations, but safety
384 information from administration of the drug to children is almost always needed to establish
385 safety in the pediatric populations. Adverse effects of a drug in pediatric populations may not be
386 predictable based on the adult experience, particularly adverse effects related to behavior,
387 cognition, or growth. Nonetheless, pediatric safety information that is available from different
388 formulations of a drug, or from other closely related drugs within the same class, as appropriate,
389 should be reviewed. In addition, because some effects may be measurable only in children of a
390 certain age or maturity level, long-term follow-up studies, particularly for drugs tested in
391 neonates, infants or young children, may be needed to assess the effects of the drug.⁵² If
392 appropriate, potential risks of the drug should be assessed by age group. If there is an approved
393 risk evaluation and mitigation strategy for a drug that is already marketed for use in the adult
394 population, sponsors should assess what steps should be taken to mitigate risk in the pediatric
395 population. Pharmacovigilance programs developed to assess known or potential safety signals
396 in adult subjects should also include pediatric subjects, when appropriate.

397 398 **B. Pediatric Extrapolation**

399
400 To obtain approval of a new drug application, manufacturers must provide substantial evidence

⁵¹ See 21 CFR 50.52 and 50.53. Additional information can be found in the draft guidance for industry, sponsors, and institutional review boards *Ethical Considerations for Clinical Investigations of Medical Products Involving Children* (September 2022). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

⁵² Additional information can be found in the draft guidance for industry *Considerations for Long-Term Clinical Neurodevelopmental Safety Studies in Neonatal Product Development* (February 2023). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

401 of effectiveness.⁵³ FDA’s thinking regarding the quantity and quality of evidence that may be
402 necessary to support effectiveness is described in other guidance.⁵⁴

403
404 FDA recognizes that in some cases conducting clinical studies in pediatric populations may be
405 challenging, and study approaches commonly used to generate data in adult populations may not
406 be feasible. Pediatric ethical issues, vulnerabilities particular to the study population, limited
407 number of children available for participation in a study, difficulty in developing age-
408 appropriate endpoints, limited availability of validated patient-reported outcome measurements,
409 the potential need to use surrogate endpoints, the potential need for alternative study designs,
410 and other factors may pose unique challenges in the development of protocols for clinical
411 studies in pediatric populations.

412
413 One approach that can increase the efficiency of pediatric drug development, where appropriate,
414 is the use of pediatric extrapolation. The use of pediatric extrapolation in drug development is
415 discussed in other guidance.⁵⁵

C. Timing of Pediatric Studies

416
417
418
419 From a scientific and ethical perspective, the timing of pediatric trials is grounded in 21 CFR
420 part 50, subpart D, Additional Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations.⁵⁶ FDA-
421 regulated clinical investigations that involve children as subjects generally may be initiated
422 when, among other things, sufficient data from animal disease models and/or adult human
423 subjects are available to support either (1) a sufficiently low risk of the intervention or procedure

⁵³ See section 505(d) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355(d)). We note that under section 351 of the PHS Act, licenses for biological products have been issued only upon a showing that the products are “safe, pure, and potent.” Potency has long been interpreted to include effectiveness (21 CFR 600.3(s)). FDA has also generally considered *substantial evidence* of effectiveness to be necessary to support licensure of a biological product under section 351 of the PHS Act. For additional information, see the draft guidance for industry *Demonstrating Substantial Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products* (December 2019). When final, this guidance will represent FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

⁵⁴ Additional information can be found in the guidance for industry *Providing Clinical Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products* (May 1998).

⁵⁵ Additional information can be found in the draft ICH guidance for industry *E11A Pediatric Extrapolation* (April 2022). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

⁵⁶ See the draft guidance for industry, sponsors, and institutional review boards *Ethical Considerations for Clinical Investigations of Medical Products Involving Children*. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

424 absent any prospect of direct benefit;⁵⁷ or (2) a sufficient prospect of direct benefit to justify the
425 risks.⁵⁸

426
427 Applicants should consider initiation of pediatric studies during the adult development program
428 if sufficient data on the safety and potential benefit of a drug already exist (e.g., from a
429 previously approved indication, or a different formulation). For many drugs with little previous
430 human exposure, the initial phase of pediatric development (i.e., phase 1) might begin after the
431 adult phase 2 and/or 3 studies have established evidence of a sufficient prospect of direct benefit
432 to justify exposing pediatric populations to the risks of the drug. Dosing, safety, and
433 effectiveness studies may then be conducted in pediatric populations concurrently with the
434 effectiveness trial in adult subjects.⁵⁹

435
436 Pediatric studies of drugs for life-threatening diseases for which approved treatment is not
437 available also should be considered earlier in development than might occur for less serious
438 diseases.⁶⁰ For example, pediatric studies of some oncology drugs have begun as early as adult
439 phase 1 or phase 2 studies, after initial safety data in adult subjects were available. Even when
440 no approved therapies are available, initiation of pediatric studies still generally requires, among
441 other things, either a sufficiently low risk of the intervention or procedure or that the prospect of
442 direct benefit to the enrolled pediatric populations is sufficient to justify the risks.⁶¹ Absent
443 sufficient adult human data, the nonclinical evidence of benefit and risk from an appropriate in
444 vitro and/or animal model may be particularly important. FDA encourages applicants to discuss
445 with the Agency the plan for the development of such drugs as early as possible (e.g., pre-
446 investigational new drug application, end-of-phase 1 meetings).

447
448 FDA recognizes that in certain cases, scientific and ethical considerations dictate that pediatric
449 studies should not begin until after adequate safety and efficacy data are available in adult
450 subjects; for example, where a drug has not shown any advantage over other approved drugs in
451 the class, the therapeutic gain is likely to be low, and the risks of exposing pediatric subjects to
452 the new drug may not be justified until the drug's safety profile is better established in adult
453 subjects. In these cases, the applicant can request a deferral of required pediatric studies (see
454 section III. A., PREA). However, if substantial pediatric use may be anticipated after the drug is
455 approved for use in adults, early initiation of pediatric studies should be considered.

⁵⁷ See 21 CFR 50.53 (regarding clinical investigations involving greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit to individual subjects, but likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the subject's disorder or condition). Additionally, approval by an institutional review board of studies presenting no greater than minimal risk to children and not offering a prospect of direct benefit also may be considered under 21 CFR 50.51; however, FDA generally views the administration of an FDA-regulated drug as presenting more than minimal risk.

⁵⁸ See 21 CFR 50.52.

⁵⁹ For discussion on the timing of pediatric studies relative to adult studies in the context of antiretroviral drugs for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), see the guidance for industry *Pediatric HIV Infection: Drug Product Development for Treatment* (March 2019).

⁶⁰ Additional information can be found in the guidance for industry *Expedited Programs for Serious Conditions — Drugs and Biologics* (May 2014).

⁶¹ See 21 part 50, subpart D.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495

D. Drug Development for the Neonatal Population⁶²

FDA also recognizes that clinical development of drugs for use in the neonatal population poses additional challenges. The ability to apply information about the safety and effectiveness of drugs from older pediatric populations and adult subjects to neonates is extremely limited. Neonatal physiology may differ considerably from that of older populations. Moreover, some disorders occur only in neonates and other disorders that affect older populations may not be apparent in the neonatal period.

In addition, the neonatal period (generally considered to include birth through 27 days corrected gestational age) represents an extremely brief window for study enrollment. Furthermore, although there may be reluctance to enroll children from all pediatric populations, parents may be especially reluctant to expose newborns to investigational therapies and invasive tests. The relationship among some surrogate endpoints (e.g., need for supplemental oxygen at 30 days) and longer term outcomes that are meaningful to patients (e.g., improvement in neurodevelopmental outcome) have not been established. Clinical or laboratory tests that are routine in older children may not be standardized or validated in neonates, making the results of such studies difficult to interpret. Necessary limits on the volume of blood that may be withdrawn make testing more difficult. Data may be lacking to fully elucidate the short- and long-term risks of a drug because clinical studies in neonates that are not specifically conducted to provide substantial evidence of efficacy and safety of a drug often have small sample sizes and are of limited duration. Finally, neonatal clinical trials face substantial hurdles from within the practice of neonatology, including the use of unproven therapies that often become standard of care in the absence of data establishing dosing, safety, and effectiveness.

As a result of increasing attention to the lack of high-quality data for the use of drugs in neonates, several provisions in the BPCA and PREA address clinical development in neonates. For example, if FDA issues a WR that does *not* include a request to study a drug in neonates, the BPCA requires that FDA “include a statement describing the rationale for not requesting studies in neonates.”⁶³ In addition, when pediatric assessments are required under PREA, applicants are required to submit assessments that are adequate to assess safety and effectiveness for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric age groups, which may include neonates.⁶⁴

When drugs may be appropriate for use in the neonatal population, special consideration should be given to the timing of neonatal clinical studies relative to studies in older populations. The failure to study neonates before approval in older children and/or adults has meant that drugs are often used in neonates without adequate information about dosing, safety, or effectiveness. Unless previous animal or human data exist to suggest that use of a drug should not be studied in the neonatal population because of safety concerns, FDA recommends that applicants consider

⁶² Additional information can be found in the guidance for industry *General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations for Neonatal Studies for Drugs and Biological Products*.

⁶³ See section 505A(d)(1)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355a(d)(1)(A)).

⁶⁴ See section 505B(a)(2)(A) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 355c(a)(2)(A)).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

496 dosing, safety, and efficacy studies, as appropriate, of any drug that may be used in the neonatal
497 population. In general, such studies should be undertaken at the same time as studies in older
498 pediatric populations, unless efficacy or safety data from older pediatric populations are
499 necessary before initiation of studies in the neonatal population. Uncertainties regarding
500 potential developmental or neurocognitive effects of a drug on the neonatal population generally
501 are not adequate justification for failing to study such effects concurrently with other pediatric
502 populations. Long-term safety studies in drugs developed for neonates may be needed to assess
503 these effects.⁶⁵
504

⁶⁵ Additional information can be found in the draft guidance for industry *Considerations for Long-Term Clinical Neurodevelopmental Safety Studies in Neonatal Product Development*.