



# U.S. FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION

# Using Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning in the Development of Drug & Biological Products

## Discussion Paper and Request for Feedback



May 2023  
(Revised February 2025)

## Table of Contents

I. Background and Scope .....	1
II. Current and Potential Uses of AI/ML in Drug Development .....	3
A. Drug Discovery .....	3
1. Drug Target Identification, Selection, and Prioritization .....	4
2. Compound Screening and Design .....	4
B. Nonclinical Research .....	5
C. Clinical Research .....	5
1. Recruitment .....	6
2. Selection of Trial Participants .....	6
3. Dose/Dosing Regimen Optimization .....	7
4. Adherence .....	7
5. Retention .....	7
6. Site Selection .....	7
7. Clinical Trial Data Collection, Management, and Analysis .....	7
8. Clinical Endpoint Assessment .....	9
D. Postmarket Safety Surveillance .....	9
1. Case Processing .....	9
2. Case Evaluation .....	10
3. Case Submission .....	10
E. Advanced Pharmaceutical Manufacturing .....	10
1. Optimization of Process Design .....	11
2. Advanced Process Control .....	11
3. Smart Monitoring and Maintenance .....	12
4. Trend Monitoring .....	12
F. FDA Experience with AI/ML for Drug Development .....	12
III. Considerations for the Use of AI/ML in Drug Development .....	15
A. Overarching Standards and Practices for the Use of AI/ML .....	16
B. Discussion of Considerations and Practices for AI/ML in Drug Development .....	17
IV. Next Steps: Engagement and Collaboration .....	23
Glossary .....	25
References .....	28

## 1      I. Background and Scope

2

3      To fulfill its mission of protecting, promoting, and advancing public health, the Food and  
4      Drug Administration's (FDA's) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), in  
5      collaboration with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) and the  
6      Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), including the Digital Health Center  
7      of Excellence (DHCe), is publishing this document to facilitate a discussion with  
8      stakeholders on the use of **artificial intelligence (AI)**<sup>1</sup> and **machine learning (ML)**<sup>2</sup> in  
9      drug development,<sup>3,4</sup> including in the development of medical devices intended to be  
10     used with drugs, to help inform the regulatory landscape in this area.

11

12     FDA helps to ensure that drugs are safe and effective while facilitating innovations in  
13     their development. Recent, rapid technological innovations in data collection and  
14     generation tools, combined with robust information management and exchange systems  
15     and advanced computing abilities, may transform the way drugs are developed and  
16     used (ElZarrad, Lee, Purcell, & Steele, 2022). This evolving ecosystem presents  
17     unique opportunities and challenges, and FDA is committed to working across its  
18     medical product centers with partners domestically and internationally to ensure that the  
19     full potential of these innovations is realized for the benefit of the public.

20

21     Developers, manufacturers, regulators, academic groups, and other stakeholders are  
22     working to develop a shared understanding of where and how specific innovations, such  
23     as AI and ML, can best be used throughout the drug development process. FDA is  
24     publishing this discussion paper as part of a multifaceted approach to enhance mutual  
25     learning and to establish a dialogue with FDA stakeholders on this topic. AI can  
26     generally be described as a branch of computer science, statistics, and engineering that  
27     uses algorithms or models to perform tasks and exhibit behaviors such as learning,  
28     making decisions, and making predictions.<sup>5</sup> ML is considered a subset of AI that allows  
29     ML models to be developed by ML training algorithms through analysis of data, without  
30     models being explicitly programmed.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, there are a variety of ML methods  
31     and different types of algorithms that may be utilized in a given context. For purposes  
32     of this document, AI and ML will be referenced together as AI/ML, and references to

---

<sup>1</sup> Words and phrases in ***bold italics*** are defined in the Glossary.

<sup>2</sup> There are multiple definitions for AI and ML, and the Glossary includes several definitions from federal legislation and agencies.

<sup>3</sup> For purposes of this discussion paper, all references to *drug* or *drugs* include both human drugs and biological products.

<sup>4</sup> FDA is focusing this discussion paper on drug development. However, many of the AI/ML scientific and regulatory science principles outlined in this document may be applicable across all medical products, including in the development of medical devices intended to be used with drugs (including, but not limited to, combination products, companion devices, and complementary devices). Some medical devices intended to be used with drugs are intended for use only in clinical investigations; others are intended to be marketed for use outside of clinical investigations. Examples include medical devices that help identify side effects of drugs as well as medical devices that assist in drug dosing.

<sup>5</sup> See IMDRF/IMD WG/N67 Machine Learning-enabled Medical Devices: Key Terms and Definitions, final document, May 6, 2022. <https://www.imdrf.org/documents/machine-learning-enabled-medical-devices-key-terms-and-definitions>

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.*

33 drug development and the drug development process include a wide scope of activities  
34 and phases, including manufacturing and postmarket drug safety monitoring, among  
35 others.<sup>7,8</sup>

36

37 This discussion paper, which considers the application of AI/ML in the broad context of  
38 the drug development process, is not FDA guidance or policy and does not endorse a  
39 specific AI/ML use or approach in drug development. Rather, this discussion paper is  
40 an initial communication with stakeholders, including academic groups, researchers,  
41 and technology developers, that is intended to promote mutual learning and discussion.  
42 It is particularly beneficial for those new to drug development and human subjects  
43 research, to recognize some of the initial thinking and considerations involved with  
44 utilizing these technologies, including having familiarity with FDA's current activities,  
45 initiatives, practices, and potentially applicable regulations. FDA is soliciting feedback  
46 on the opportunities and challenges with utilizing AI/ML in the development of drugs, as  
47 well as in the development of medical devices intended to be used with drugs. This  
48 feedback will provide an additional resource to help inform the regulatory landscape in  
49 this area.

50

51 In this discussion paper, three main topics are discussed:

52

- 53 • **Landscape of current and potential uses of AI/ML:** FDA recognizes the  
54 potential for AI/ML to enhance drug development in many ways, including to help  
55 bring safe and effective drugs to patients faster; provide broader access to drugs  
56 and thereby improve health; increase the quality of manufacturing; enhance drug  
57 safety; and develop novel drugs and drug classes, as well as personalized  
58 treatment approaches. Section II provides examples of the use of AI/ML to  
59 highlight the potential impact of AI/ML across the drug development process and  
60 includes a brief description of FDA's experience with AI/ML in drug development.  
61 The list of examples in this section is not comprehensive of all AI/ML uses, and it  
62 includes uses where FDA oversight may or may not be applicable. The purpose  
63 of this section is to promote shared learning and to identify areas where future  
64 regulatory clarity may be helpful.
- 65 • **Considerations for the use of AI/ML:** FDA is also aware of the potential  
66 concerns and risks with emerging innovations such as AI/ML and will share initial  
67 considerations and solicit feedback on how to help ensure the responsible  
68 utilization of AI/ML in drug development. Section III briefly describes several key  
69 efforts to develop general principles, standards, and practices for the use of  
70 AI/ML across diverse applications and then explores the principles and  
71 considerations that may be particularly applicable when using AI/ML for drug  
72 development activities. FDA understands that AI/ML use in drug development is

---

<sup>7</sup> See The Drug Development Process, January 2018. <https://www.fda.gov/patients/learn-about-drug-and-device-approvals/drug-development-process>

<sup>8</sup> In this discussion paper, the topic of clinical investigations focuses on the drug development process, however, many other activities and phases included as part of the drug development process may also be part of the development process for other medical products; see footnote 4.

74 diverse, and careful assessments that consider the specific **context of use** are  
75 needed. Taking a risk-based approach to evaluate and manage the use of AI/ML  
76 can help facilitate innovations and protect public health.

77

- 78 • **Next steps and stakeholder engagement:** FDA is interested in mutual  
79 opportunities to learn and engage with all stakeholders to establish a shared  
80 understanding of AI/ML systems and their rapidly evolving potential uses and  
81 considerations in drug development. As part of this ongoing effort, FDA  
82 welcomes feedback on this discussion paper and any AI/ML-related issues  
83 pertaining to drug development. Specifically, to initiate a broader dialogue with  
84 stakeholders, Section III includes several key questions to which interested  
85 parties can provide perspectives and Section IV outlines opportunities for future  
86 engagement.

87

## 88 **II. Current and Potential Uses of AI/ML in the Drug Development Process**

89

90 This section provides a high-level overview of the diverse and evolving uses of AI/ML  
91 being employed throughout the drug development process. These examples are not  
92 comprehensive of all AI/ML uses and include uses where FDA oversight may or may  
93 not be applicable.<sup>9</sup> Additionally, while some of the uses of AI/ML described in this  
94 section may also have utility in clinical practice, this paper is focused on uses of AI/ML  
95 in the drug development process. The purpose of this section is to promote shared  
96 learning and to identify areas where future FDA regulatory clarity may be beneficial.

97

98 Although the overall drug development process is an iterative continuum of activities  
99 and not strictly linear in nature, for simplicity, this section utilizes different phases of  
100 drug development to highlight several uses of AI/ML, ranging from drug discovery and  
101 clinical research to postmarket safety surveillance and advanced pharmaceutical  
102 manufacturing. The section also includes references to how AI/ML is being applied to  
103 **real-world data (RWD)** and data from **digital health technologies (DHTs)** in support  
104 of drug development. Some of the general challenges and considerations with utilizing  
105 AI/ML in different drug development use cases are discussed in **Section III**.

106

### 107 **A. Drug Discovery**

108

109 Early drug discovery is one of the areas with significant interest and activity in utilizing  
110 AI/ML. Included below is a brief discussion of the current and potential uses of AI/ML  
111 for drug target identification, selection, and prioritization, as well as compound  
112 screening and drug design in drug discovery.

---

113

<sup>9</sup> The examples listed were not necessarily submitted to FDA for review or approval and are not meant to suggest an endorsement of any specific approach. The FDA does not endorse any particular use of AI/ML.

114 1. Drug Target Identification, Selection, and Prioritization

115  
116 The early stages of drug development generally rely on the initial identification of a  
117 suitable biological target for drug candidates. As a starting point, the process of  
118 identifying biological targets and elucidating disease relationships can utilize AI/ML to  
119 analyze and synthesize significant amounts of information from existing scientific  
120 research, publications, and other data sources. The growth of available genomic,  
121 transcriptomic, proteomic, and other data sources from healthy persons and those with  
122 a specific disease of interest provide a significant opportunity to inform biological target  
123 selection. These datasets are often complex and originate from disparate sources,  
124 which can be well-suited for the utilization of AI/ML approaches (Fumagalli et al., 2023).  
125 Building from existing validated data, AI/ML can be applied to mine and analyze these  
126 large multi-omics and other datasets to provide information on the potential structure  
127 and function of biological targets to predict their role in a disease pathway (Vamathevan  
128 et al., 2019; Weissler et al., 2021). While early target identification and prioritization is a  
129 critical step where AI/ML could help improve the efficiency and effectiveness of drug  
130 development, it is important to validate the role of the biological target in the disease of  
131 interest through subsequent studies (Fumagalli et al., 2023).

132  
133 2. Compound Screening and Design

134  
135 The discovery of potential drug candidates that modify the function of the identified  
136 biological targets of interest generally involves significant *in silico* or experimental  
137 screening of compound libraries, followed by subsequent refinement of a compound's  
138 specificity and selectivity for the biological target. In the area of compound screening,  
139 potential AI/ML uses include predicting the chemical properties and bioactivity of  
140 compounds and predicting efficacy and potential adverse events based on the  
141 compound's specificity and affinity for a target (Chan, Shan, Dahoun, Vogel, & Yuan,  
142 2019; Schneider et al., 2020).

143  
144 AI/ML approaches used to further elucidate drug-target interactions could also help  
145 provide predictions about classes of drugs potentially interacting with the same targets  
146 or having a similar mechanism of action, which may help predict the toxicity of a  
147 molecule based on specific known features. This strategy can help guide drug  
148 repurposing efforts that could utilize previously characterized compounds. Drug  
149 repurposing efforts utilizing AI/ML can also potentially benefit from the increased  
150 availability of suitable RWD from a variety of sources (e.g., electronic health records  
151 (EHRs), registries, and DHTs) to identify previously unknown effects of drugs on  
152 disease pathways (Z. Liu et al., 2022).

153  
154 Finally, AI/ML could accelerate advances in *de novo* drug design (Mouchlis et al., 2021).  
155 For example, AI/ML may be applied to help predict the 3D structure of target proteins,  
156 informing chemical synthesis and the potential effect of a drug candidate on the target,  
157 including predicting affinity and potential toxicity (Chan et al., 2019; Jumper et al., 2021;  
158 Vamathevan et al., 2019). It is worth noting that one must be cautious with the use of  
159 AI/ML in 3-D structure prediction, as many proteins that are developed for

160 pharmaceutical applications are codon optimized (with many synonymous mutations  
161 incorporated), the impact of which on protein structure is still an area of active research  
162 (Fumagalli et al., 2023; Jumper et al., 2021).

163

## 164 **B. Nonclinical Research**

165

166 Nonclinical research refers to *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies and is designed to further  
167 advance potential therapeutics towards clinical research in humans. Nonclinical  
168 studies, in support of new drug development, can be conducted at all phases of  
169 development: prior to clinical studies, in parallel with clinical development, and even in  
170 postmarketing environments. Data from pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and  
171 toxicologic studies conducted in animals; exploratory *in vitro* and *in vivo* mechanistic  
172 studies conducted in animal models; organ-on-chip and multi-organ chip systems; and  
173 cell assay platforms may be leveraged using AI/ML (e.g., computational modeling and  
174 simulation techniques) for evaluating toxicity, exploring mechanistic models, and  
175 developing *in vivo* predictive models (Bulitta et al., 2019; Harrison & Gibaldi, 1977; Hsu  
176 et al., 2014; Mager, Woo, & Jusko, 2009; Shroff et al., 2022).

177

178 Pharmacokinetics (PK) describes the time course of drug absorption, distribution,  
179 metabolism, and excretion. Pharmacodynamics (PD) explores the body's biological  
180 response to drugs. When PK and PD are integrated in a model, the model can describe  
181 how the drug effect will change with time when a certain dose or dosing regimen is  
182 used. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) modeling has been used in drug  
183 development for decades and can be applied at both the nonclinical and clinical stages  
184 (Daryaei & Tonge, 2019). Along with the advances in computational tools and  
185 technology and the availability of modeling platforms, use of physiologically-based  
186 pharmacokinetic (PBPK) and physiologically-based PK/PD (PBPK-PD) modeling is also  
187 increasing (Sager, Yu, Ragueneau-Majlessi, & Isoherranen, 2015). There are current  
188 efforts to explore the use of more novel AI/ML algorithms (e.g., artificial **neural network**  
189 models and tree-based models) for PK/PD modeling. For example, a **recurrent neural**  
190 **network**, an ML algorithm commonly used for analyzing time series data, may be used  
191 to complement traditional PK/PD models in the area of highly complex PK/PD data  
192 analysis, and possibly lead to improved **accuracy** for nonclinical and clinical  
193 applications (Liu et al., 2021).

194

## 195 **C. Clinical Research**

196

197 Clinical research typically involves a series of phases of clinical trials in increasing  
198 numbers of human subjects to assess the safety and effectiveness of a drug. One of  
199 the most significant applications of AI/ML in drug development is in efforts to streamline  
200 and advance clinical research. For example, AI/ML is being utilized to analyze vast  
201 amounts of data from both interventional studies (also referred to as clinical trials) and  
202 non-interventional studies (also referred to as observational studies) to make inferences  
203 regarding the safety and effectiveness of a drug. Additionally, AI/ML has the potential to  
204 inform the design and efficiency of non-traditional trials such as **decentralized clinical**  
205 **trials**, and trials incorporating the use of RWD extracted from EHRs, medical claims, or

206 other data sources. AI/ML may also have a role in analyzing and interpreting data  
207 collected from DHTs used in clinical studies. Finally, AI/ML could also be used to  
208 improve the conduct of clinical trials and augment operational efficiency. The following  
209 subsections will highlight some of the uses and potential uses of AI/ML during the  
210 design and conduct of clinical research.

211

## 212 1. Recruitment

213

214 AI/ML is increasingly being developed and used to connect individuals to trials for  
215 investigational treatments from which participants may benefit. Specifically, AI/ML is  
216 being used to mine vast amounts of data, such as data from clinical trial databases, trial  
217 announcements, social media, medical literature, registries, and structured and  
218 unstructured data in EHRs, which can be used to match individuals to trials (Harrer,  
219 Shah, Antony, & Hu, 2019). While these algorithms are trained on high volumes of  
220 patient data and enrollment criteria from past trials, it is important to ensure adequate  
221 representation of populations that are likely to use the drug. In the future, these  
222 technologies, if properly validated, may continue to play an increasing role in matching  
223 individuals with investigational treatments.

224

## 225 2. Selection and Stratification of Trial Participants

226

227 Enrichment strategies can aid participant selection in clinical investigations designed to  
228 demonstrate the effectiveness of drug and biological products.<sup>10</sup> AI/ML has been  
229 explored and used as part of a clinical investigation in the prediction of an individual  
230 participant's clinical outcome based on baseline characteristics (e.g., demographic  
231 information, clinical data, vital signs, labs, medical imaging data, and genomic data)  
232 (Aerts et al., 2016; Athreya et al., 2019; Dercle et al., 2020; Harrer et al., 2019;  
233 Kawakami et al., 2019). Such predictive models can be used to enrich clinical trials  
234 (e.g., identifying high-risk participants or participants more likely to respond to the  
235 treatment). When these types of AI/ML algorithms are used for patient evaluation and  
236 selection before randomization, it may be possible to reduce variability and increase  
237 study power (Y. Wang, Carter, Li, & Huang, 2022).

238

239 In addition to utilization in enrichment strategies, such predictive models can also be  
240 used for participant stratification, for example, if an AI/ML model could predict the  
241 probability of a serious adverse event before an investigational treatment is  
242 administered. Based on their predicted risk for these serious adverse events,  
243 participants can be stratified into different groups and then monitored accordingly (or  
244 excluded depending on predicted severity of the adverse event).

245

---

<sup>10</sup> See the guidance for industry *Enrichment Strategies for Clinical Trials to Support Determination of Effectiveness of Human Drugs and Biological Products* (March 2019).

<https://www.fda.gov/media/121320/download>

246 3. Dose/Dosing Regimen Optimization

247  
248 AI/ML can be used to characterize and predict PK profiles after drug administration. It  
249 can also be used to study the relationship between drug exposure and response, taking  
250 into consideration confounding factors. These kinds of models can be used to optimize  
251 the dose/dosing regimen selection for a study (Liu et al., 2021; Lu, Deng, Zhang, Liu, &  
252 Guan, 2021). This could potentially include aiding in dose optimization in special  
253 populations where there may be limited data (e.g., rare disease studies, pediatric and  
254 pregnant populations).

255  
256 4. Adherence

257  
258 AI/ML can be used to monitor and improve adherence during a clinical trial through  
259 tools, such as smartphone alerts and reminders, eTracking of medication (e.g., smart  
260 pillboxes and tools for visual confirmation) (Mason et al., 2022), and eTracking of  
261 missed clinical visits, which trigger non-adherence alerts. Examples of AI/ML used in  
262 clinical research to improve medication adherence include applications using digital  
263 biomarkers, such as facial and vocal expressivity, to monitor adherence remotely.

264  
265 5. Retention

266  
267 AI/ML has the potential to improve the participants' access to relevant trial information  
268 by enabling tools, such as AI chatbots, voice assistance, and intelligent search. AI/ML  
269 can also be used to reduce the burden for participants by using passive data collection  
270 techniques and by extracting more information from available data generated during  
271 clinical practice or by study activities (Weissler et al., 2021). Additionally, data from  
272 DHTs and other systems can be used to develop patient profiles to potentially predict  
273 dropouts and adverse events to ensure participant retention.

274  
275 6. Site Selection

276  
277 Trial operational conduct could also be optimized by utilizing AI/ML to help identify  
278 which sites have the greatest potential for a successful trial and to aid sites in identifying  
279 process gaps. For example, algorithms can be used to evaluate site performance and  
280 to help determine which sites may have a higher risk of running behind schedule based  
281 on data from other trials at that site.

282  
283 7. Clinical Trial Data Collection, Management, and Analysis

284  
285 a. Data Collection

286  
287 DHTs, such as wireless and smartphone-connected products, wearables, implantables,  
288 and ingestibles, are increasingly being used in clinical trials to collect objective,

289 quantifiable, longitudinal, and continuous physiological data.<sup>11</sup> In addition, many of  
290 these DHTs enable the use of AI/ML, either as embedded algorithms within the DHT or  
291 employed upon the data generated after the data are collected from the DHT, and have  
292 been used to predict the status of a chronic disease and its response to treatment  
293 (Stehlik et al., 2020) or to identify novel characteristics of an underlying condition  
294 (Avram et al., 2020). AI/ML can be utilized to analyze the large and diverse data  
295 generated from the continuous monitoring of persons using these technologies. This  
296 could include using AI/ML to aid in the evaluation of multimodal data and composite  
297 measures that may combine individual measures collected through multiple DHTs  
298 (Cohoon & Bhavnani, 2020).

299

300     b. Data Management

301

302 AI/ML can be used for a range of data cleaning and curation purposes, including  
303 duplicate participant detection and imputation of missing data values (Zhang, Yan, Gao,  
304 Malin, & Chen, 2020), as well as the ability to harmonize **controlled terminology**  
305 across drug development programs. Use of AI/ML could also significantly enhance data  
306 integration efforts by using supervised and unsupervised learning to help integrate data  
307 submitted in various formats and perform data quality assessments. Additionally, AI/ML  
308 can be used for data curation via masking and de-identification of personal identifiable  
309 information, metadata creation, and search and retrieval of stored data. These  
310 applications can potentially increase data accuracy and improve the speed at which  
311 data are prepared for analyses.

312

313     c. Data Analysis

314

315 AI/ML has been used to analyze high volumes of diverse and complex RWD extracted  
316 from EHRs, medical claims, and disease registries, among other sources. Additionally,  
317 the use of AI/ML in predictive modeling and counterfactual simulation to inform clinical  
318 trial designs is being actively explored. For example, *in silico* clinical trials utilize  
319 computational modeling and simulation to evaluate drug candidates using a virtual  
320 cohort of simulated participants with realistic variability of traits representing the desired  
321 participant population (Pappalardo, Russo, Tshinanu, & Viceconti, 2019). AI/ML could  
322 be employed in these situations to aid in evaluating a vast number of counterfactual  
323 simulations and to predict trial outcomes before human trials.

324

325 At an even more personalized level, AI/ML can also be used in the context of digital  
326 twins of patients, an emerging method that could potentially be used in clinical research.  
327 To create digital twins of patients, AI/ML can be utilized to build *in silico* representations  
328 or replicas of an individual that can dynamically reflect molecular and physiological  
329 status over time (European Medicines Agency, 2022; Laubenbacher, Sluka, & Glazier,  
330 2021; Schuler et al., 2021). In comparison to a participant in a clinical trial that received  
331 an investigational treatment, the digital twin could potentially provide a comprehensive,

---

<sup>11</sup> See the draft guidance for industry, investigators, and other stakeholders *Digital Health Technologies for Remote Data Acquisition in Clinical Investigations* (December 2021). When final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic. <https://www.fda.gov/media/155022/download>

332 longitudinal, and computationally generated clinical record that describes what may  
333 have happened to that specific participant if they had received a placebo.

334

## 335 8. Clinical Endpoint Assessment

336

337 Clinical **endpoint** assessment is a key part of evaluating safety and efficacy of medical  
338 interventions in clinical trials. AI/ML-enabled algorithms could detect clusters of signs  
339 and symptoms to identify a potential safety signal, as well as help detect cases with  
340 safety issues in real time (Pierce et al., 2017; Routray et al., 2020). AI/ML could be  
341 used to assist in the assessment of outcomes captured from diverse sources (e.g.,  
342 DHTs, social media) during a clinical trial, including those consisting of large amounts of  
343 data for which manual review may be impractical.

344

## 345 D. Postmarketing Safety Surveillance

346

347 For purposes of this paper, pharmacovigilance (PV) refers to the science and activities  
348 related to the detection, assessment, understanding, and prevention of adverse events  
349 or any other drug-related problems (including medication errors and product quality  
350 issues).<sup>12</sup> Postmarketing safety surveillance, or PV activities in the post-approval  
351 period, includes postmarketing safety reporting of adverse events associated with use  
352 of human drug and biological products. An individual case safety report (ICSR) is used,  
353 as applicable, for the postmarketing reporting of adverse events to FDA and serves as  
354 an important data source of potential drug safety issues for postmarket safety  
355 surveillance. The clinical information in ICSRs can include suspect product or products,  
356 and temporal information related to use of the product and occurrence of the adverse  
357 event(s) in the patient's medical history, clinical course, and outcome. Complete and  
358 accurate reporting of ICSRs is critical to the understanding of a drug's safety profile.  
359 For reasons including increases in ICSR volume, AI/ML applications are being explored  
360 to help process and evaluate ICSR submissions within regulatory agencies (Ball & Dal  
361 Pan, 2022; Bate & Hobbiger, 2021).

362

### 363 1. Case Processing

364

365 There are potential opportunities to use AI/ML for automation during ICSR processing.  
366 The number and complexity of data sources of adverse events for ICSRs have  
367 increased, including from spontaneous reports, clinical trials, EHRs, social media,  
368 phone calls, emails, literature, patient registries, claims data, and post-approval safety  
369 studies (Beninger, 2020). The use of AI/ML to detect information from source  
370 documents could help identify adverse events for ICSR submission. For instance, the  
371 use of AI/ML to detect and evaluate drug event associations from literature and to  
372 screen social media for adverse events has been explored (Comfort, Dorrell, Meireis, &

---

<sup>12</sup> See the guidance for industry *Good Pharmacovigilance Practices and Pharmacoepidemiologic Assessment* (March 2005). Accessed September 30, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/media/71546/download>  
See also, Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) Pharmacovigilance definition. Accessed September 29, 2022. <https://cioms.ch/pharmacovigilance/>

373 Fine, 2018; Negi, Pavuri, Patel, & Jain, 2019; S. V. Wang et al., 2017; W. Wang et al.,  
374 2011).

375  
376 After an adverse event is identified from a data source, AI/ML could be used for case  
377 validity, case prioritization, duplicate check, coding, and quality control. The use of  
378 AI/ML can help identify whether a case is a valid case, which includes determining  
379 whether a case contains the minimum reporting requirements, such as an identifiable  
380 patient, suspect drug or biological product, adverse event(s), and identifiable reporter  
381 (Abatemarco et al., 2018; Schmider et al., 2019). During case intake, to assist in the  
382 prioritization of cases, AI/ML has been used to classify adverse events by expectedness  
383 (whether an adverse event is known and in the product labeling) (Abatemarco et al.,  
384 2018; Routray et al., 2020). Automated duplicate checks using AI/ML are being  
385 conducted to identify whether the case is a true duplicate, a follow up version of a prior  
386 case, or a new case (Kassekert 2022). Another area in which AI/ML has been applied  
387 is the coding of adverse events described in ICSRs to structured medical dictionary  
388 terms and for quality control purposes (Ghosh 2020).

## 389 390 2. Case Evaluation

391  
392 Adverse event cases undergo clinical assessment. Case evaluation includes assessing  
393 the possibility of a causal relationship between the drug and adverse event, as well as  
394 assessing the outcome of the case. An AI model was developed based on relevant  
395 features used in causality assessments; it was trained, validated, and tested to classify  
396 cases by the probability of a causal relationship between the drug and adverse event  
397 (Comfort et al., 2018). AI/ML has also been applied to determine seriousness of the  
398 outcome of ICSRs (Routray, et al., 2020), which not only supports case evaluation, but  
399 also the timeliness of individual case submissions that require expedited reporting.

## 400 401 3. Case Submission

402  
403 Generally, the final step after case processing is the submission of ICSRs. AI/ML  
404 algorithms have been used to automate reporting rules for submission of ICSRs to FDA.  
405 The reporting of ICSRs is required on an individual basis, as well as in aggregate  
406 (Ghosh et al., 2020). The aggregate reporting of adverse events generally involves the  
407 compilation of safety data for a product that is submitted at regular time intervals as  
408 specified. AI/ML can be used to develop aggregate reports that include multiple  
409 adverse events for particular products that occur within a time period for reporting  
410 purposes (Lewis & McCallum, 2020).

## 411 412 E. Advanced Pharmaceutical Manufacturing<sup>13</sup>

413  
414 A critical aspect of drug development includes the methods, facilities, and controls used  
415 in manufacturing, processing, packing, and holding of a drug to help ensure that the

---

<sup>13</sup> The examples in this section are based on the review of general published information that projects or forecasts how AI/ML may be currently used in the pharmaceutical manufacturing space. In the continued

416 drug meets the requirements of safety and effectiveness, has the identity and strength it  
417 is represented to possess, and meets quality and purity characteristics. Advanced  
418 analytics leveraging AI/ML in the pharmaceutical manufacturing industry offers many  
419 possibilities, including, but not limited to, enhancing process control, increasing  
420 equipment reliability and throughput, monitoring early warnings or signals that the  
421 manufacturing process is not in a state of control, detecting recurring problem clusters,  
422 and preventing batch losses. The use of AI/ML to support pharmaceutical  
423 manufacturing can be deployed together with other advanced manufacturing  
424 technologies (e.g., process analytical technology, continuous manufacturing) to achieve  
425 the desired benefits. AI/ML is an enabler for the implementation of Industry 4.0, a term  
426 that refers to the fourth industrial revolution that brings together rapidly evolving  
427 technologies, and could result in a well-controlled, hyper-connected, digitized  
428 ecosystem and pharmaceutical value chain for the manufacturer (Arden et al., 2021).  
429 AI/ML could also be used to improve the reliability of the manufacturing supply chain  
430 through forecasting product demand, analyzing production schedules, estimating and  
431 mitigating the impact of potential disruptions, and optimizing inventory. Use of AI/ML-  
432 based approaches in pharmaceutical manufacturing can be broadly grouped into the  
433 areas outlined below that cover the entire drug manufacturing life cycle, from design to  
434 commercial manufacturing.

435

### 436 1. Optimization of Process Design

437

438 Digital twins can also be used in process design optimization. In this context, a digital  
439 twin of a process is a digital replica of the physical process used to better understand,  
440 analyze, predict, and optimize process performance. The digital twin could be  
441 especially beneficial for analyzing manufacturing processes characterized by a limited  
442 amount of development data, where AI/ML models could potentially leverage prior  
443 knowledge of the product and process (e.g., from previous studies, development  
444 programs, and scientific literature) to more quickly identify the optimal processing  
445 parameters, thus reducing design time and waste.

446

### 447 2. Advanced Process Control

448

449 Process controls have been implemented in pharmaceutical manufacturing for several  
450 decades. Traditional process controls maintain input process parameters at set points,  
451 but are not capable of simultaneously changing multiple input parameters to maintain  
452 the output parameters at desired levels to optimize the process. On the other hand,  
453 advanced process control (APC) allows dynamic control of the process to achieve a  
454 desired output (Huang et al., 2021). AI/ML techniques such as neural networks, with  
455 real-time process data as inputs, can be used to implement APC. These methods can

---

spirit of FDA's recent engagement through the Quality Metrics Feedback Program and CDER's Emerging Technology Program, FDA has been able to solicit valuable feedback demonstrated by industry interactions on several AI/ML use cases in the pharmaceutical manufacturing space, such as optimal risk-based supply chain modeling, business forecasting, process optimization, application of natural language processing (NLP) algorithms for complaints reduction, use of predictive analytics for non-conformance (NC) reduction, and corrective and preventive action (CAPA) effectiveness.

456 also be used to develop process controls that can predict whether a process is  
457 performing under a state of control by using AI/ML tools in combination with real-time  
458 sensor data, including, in conjunction with smart monitoring of production lines, to  
459 improve existing manufacturing line efficiency and output. In the near term, APC  
460 approaches that combine physics and chemistry knowledge with AI/ML techniques are  
461 expected to be increasingly adopted and have already been reported by several  
462 pharmaceutical manufacturers (National Academies of Sciences, 2021). In these APC  
463 applications, high quality model inputs inform process understanding and, model  
464 structure. These robust inputs, when combined with data-driven modeling, allow  
465 derivation of model parameters. These models leverage data required for model  
466 development while improving model robustness.

467

### 468 3. Smart Monitoring and Maintenance

469

470 Manufacturing processes can be automated and monitored in real time, leading to more  
471 efficient inventory management with shorter lead times and increased production  
472 output, without impacting product quality. AI/ML methods can be used to monitor  
473 equipment and detect deviations from normal performance that can trigger maintenance  
474 activities, thus reducing process downtime. Another example is the use of computer  
475 vision-based quality control that uses images (e.g., images of packaging, labels, or  
476 glass vials) that are analyzed by AI/ML-based software to detect deviations and to  
477 ensure images match the requirements of a given quality attribute of a product.

478 Augmenting human visual inspection of drug products and packaging with such AI/ML-  
479 based methods can improve the accuracy and efficiency of visual inspection controls.

480

### 481 4. Trend Monitoring

482

483 AI/ML can be used in many ways to make manufacturing more effective and efficient  
484 with faster output, less waste, more informed decision-making, and enhanced quality  
485 control. Current practice for the analysis of deviations in the process is primarily done  
486 by quality personnel and relevant subject matter experts. AI/ML could be utilized to  
487 assist in examination of deviation reports that mostly contain large volumes of data or  
488 text to analyze manufacturing-related deviation trends, cluster problem areas, and  
489 prioritize areas for proactive continual improvement. This offers the advantage of  
490 expediting the process of identifying root causes, as solely manual review of deviation  
491 trends can be very time-consuming. AI/ML methods integrated with process  
492 performance (Ppk) and process capability (Cpk) metrics can be used to proactively  
493 monitor manufacturing operations for trends and out-of-control events, and predict  
494 thresholds for triggering CAPA effectiveness evaluations.

495

## 496 F. FDA Experience with AI/ML for Drug Development

497

498 FDA recognizes the increased use of AI/ML throughout the drug development life cycle  
499 and its potential to accelerate the development of safe and effective drugs. AI/ML is  
500 increasingly integrated in areas where FDA is actively engaged, including clinical trial  
design, DHTs, and RWD analytics. Over the last few years, FDA has seen a rapid

502 growth in the number of submissions that reference AI/ML. Submissions across drug  
503 and biological product applications that include AI/ML have increased over the last few  
504 years to more than 100 submissions in 2021 (Q. Liu et al., 2022). These submissions  
505 cut across a range of therapeutic areas, and the uses of AI/ML within the submissions  
506 cover the many different areas of the drug development process highlighted in this  
507 section, from drug discovery and clinical trial enrichment to endpoint assessment and  
508 postmarket safety surveillance. Inclusion of AI/ML in the clinical development/research  
509 phase represents the most common stage for AI/ML uses in submissions.

510  
511 One of the ways FDA has been supporting the development of innovative and robust  
512 AI/ML is through the establishment of the CDER AI Steering Committee (AISC), which  
513 coordinates efforts around AI/ML uses across therapeutic development. Leveraging its  
514 commitment to advancing innovative approaches and promoting collaborative efforts  
515 across the Agency, CDRH, including the DHCe, have provided consults for drug  
516 submissions that involve AI/ML, and are developing a framework for AI/ML-based  
517 devices, including predetermined change control plans for devices incorporating  
518 AI/ML,<sup>14</sup> as well as a foundation for Good Machine Learning Practices for medical  
519 device development.<sup>15</sup> In addition, FDA has organized various workshops<sup>16,17</sup> and held  
520 a Patient Engagement Advisory Committee (PEAC) meeting on DHT and AI/ML-related  
521 topics<sup>18</sup> and has fostered regulatory science research, including on robustness, user-  
522 centered transparency, and bias identification and management, through external  
523 academic and clinical partnerships to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of emerging  
524 AI/ML products.<sup>19</sup>

525  
526 Additionally, CDER has developed the Innovative Science and Technology Approaches  
527 for New Drugs (ISTAND) Pilot Program, which is designed to expand **drug**  
528 **development tool** (DDT) types included in the DDT qualification programs, including  
529 tools that leverage DHTs. Applications of AI/ML may represent novel DDTs or could be  
530 used to aid in the interpretation and analysis of traditional DDTs (such as **biomarkers**  
531 or **clinical outcome assessments**), potentially speeding novel therapeutics to patients

---

<sup>14</sup> Proposed Regulatory Framework for Modifications to Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Based Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) – Discussion Paper and Request for Feedback, April 2019. <https://www.fda.gov/files/medical%20devices/published/US-FDA-Artificial-Intelligence-and-Machine-Learning-Discussion-Paper.pdf>

<sup>15</sup> Good Machine Learning Practice for Medical Device Development: Guiding Principles, October 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/good-machine-learning-practice-medical-device-development-guiding-principles>

<sup>16</sup> See the Virtual Public Workshop – Transparency of Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning-enabled Medical Devices, October 14, 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/workshops-conferences-medical-devices/virtual-public-workshop-transparency-artificial-intelligence-machine-learning-enabled-medical-devices>

<sup>17</sup> See the Public Workshop – Evolving Role of Artificial Intelligence in Radiological Imaging, February 25–26, 2020. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/workshops-conferences-medical-devices/public-workshop-evolving-role-artificial-intelligence-radiological-imaging-02252020-02262020>

<sup>18</sup> See the Patient Engagement Advisory Committee Meeting Announcement, October 22, 2020. <https://www.fda.gov/advisory-committees/advisory-committee-calendar/october-22-2020-patient-engagement-advisory-committee-meeting-announcement-10222020-10222020>

<sup>19</sup> See CERSI research projects, October 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/science-research/advancing-regulatory-science/cersi-research-projects>

532 by enhancing the evidence available for decision-making.<sup>20</sup> In the area of model-  
533 informed drug development (MIDD), FDA's CDER and CBER have established a MIDD  
534 Pilot Program to facilitate the development and application of exposure-based,  
535 biological, and statistical models derived from nonclinical and clinical data sources.<sup>21</sup> In  
536 the context of MIDD, AI/ML could be employed to help improve clinical trial simulations,  
537 optimize dose selection or estimations, or enhance predictive or mechanistic safety  
538 evaluations.

539  
540 In the area of postmarket safety surveillance, the FDA's Sentinel Initiative, including  
541 CDER's Sentinel System,<sup>22</sup> CBER's Biologics Effectiveness and Safety (BEST)  
542 system,<sup>23</sup> and CDRH's National Evaluation System for health Technology (NEST)<sup>24</sup>  
543 efforts, are exploring AI/ML approaches to improve existing systems. The FDA outlined  
544 its goals for using linked claims and EHR data supported by advanced analytics in the  
545 5-year Sentinel System strategic plan.<sup>25</sup> The Sentinel System Innovation Center has  
546 outlined a four-pronged approach to implement this plan by incorporating emerging data  
547 science innovations and EHR data for medical product safety surveillance: (1) data  
548 infrastructure, (2) feature engineering, (3) causal inference, and (4) detection analytics  
549 (Desai et al., 2021). Examples of AI/ML applications in this approach include **natural**  
550 **language processing (NLP)** and automated feature extraction from unstructured EHR  
551 clinical notes for computable phenotyping and improved confounding adjustment from  
552 EHR-based variables using advanced statistical and ML approaches, such as  
553 algorithms created to enhance performance or "Super Learner" and targeted maximum  
554 likelihood estimation (Naimi & Balzer, 2018).

555  
556 CBER's BEST system is designed to provide better data sources, methods, tools,  
557 expertise, and infrastructure to conduct surveillance and epidemiological studies.<sup>26</sup> Part  
558 of this program is an effort to use AI/ML methods to analyze EHRs to predict or better  
559 understand adverse events associated with the use of biological products and other  
560 products that CBER regulates. This work may also enhance FDA's understanding of  
561 the use of AI/ML methods for generating real-world evidence about product efficacy.

562  
563 CDER is also exploring the application of AI to enhance the evaluation of ICSRs  
564 submitted to the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) (Ball & Dal Pan,  
565 2022). The Information Visualization Platform (InfoViP) was developed with AI/ML to

---

<sup>20</sup> See the guidance for industry and FDA staff *Qualification Process for Drug Development Tools* (November 2020). <https://www.fda.gov/media/133511/download>

<sup>21</sup> See the Model-Informed Drug Development Paired Meeting Program, October 2022.

<https://www.fda.gov/drugs/development-resources/model-informed-drug-development-pilot-program>

<sup>22</sup> See FDA's Sentinel Initiative, December 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/safety/fdas-sentinel-initiative>

<sup>23</sup> See the CBER Biologics Effectiveness and Safety (BEST) System, March 2022.

<https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/cber-biologics-effectiveness-and-safety-best-system>

<sup>24</sup> See the National Evaluation System for health Technology (NEST), October 2019.

<https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/cdrh-reports/national-evaluation-system-health-technology-nest>

<sup>25</sup> See the FDA Sentinel System Five-Year Strategy, January 2019.

<https://www.fda.gov/media/120333/download>

<sup>26</sup> See the CBER BEST System, March 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/cber-biologics-effectiveness-and-safety-best-system>

566 detect duplicate ICSRs, classify ICSRs by level of information quality, and derive  
567 visualization of the timeline of clinical events to aid in analysis of reported adverse  
568 events (Kreimeyer et al., 2022; Kreimeyer et al., 2021; Spiker et al., 2020). AI/ML  
569 methods have been investigated to automate the identification of adverse events in drug  
570 product labeling to support safety reviewers in the triaging of ICSRs to facilitate the  
571 identification of unknown or unexpected safety issues (Bayer et al., 2021; Ly et al.,  
572 2018). Another AI-based tool that focuses on drug product labeling and is currently in  
573 use is the Computerized Labeling Assessment Tool (CLAT), which serves to automate  
574 the review of label and labeling (e.g., prescribing information, carton and container  
575 labeling). NLP and ML are also being explored to classify free-text narratives in FAERS  
576 ICSRs into structured medical dictionary medication error terminologies to support the  
577 human review of coding quality. Additionally, through the FDA Quality Metrics Reporting  
578 Program,<sup>27</sup> CDER's Emerging Technology Program, and CBER's Advanced  
579 Technologies Team (CATT) Program,<sup>28</sup> FDA has been able to engage industry and  
580 gain valuable feedback on AI/ML use cases in pharmaceutical manufacturing.  
581

582 The FDA also utilizes mechanisms such as a Broad Agency Announcement to solicit  
583 extramural proposals that address emerging regulatory science priorities, including  
584 leveraging external expertise and infrastructure to provide insight on the methods used  
585 to integrate and evaluate AI/ML in drug development.  
586

### 587 **III. Considerations for the Use of AI/ML in Drug Development**

588

589 As shown in **Section II**, AI/ML has been applied to a broad range of drug development  
590 activities and continues to evolve. The use of AI/ML has the potential to accelerate the  
591 drug development process and make clinical trials safer and more efficient. However, it  
592 is important to assess whether the use of AI/ML introduces specific risks and harms.  
593 For example, AI/ML algorithms have the potential to amplify errors and preexisting  
594 biases present in underlying data sources and, when the findings are extrapolated  
595 outside of the testing environment, raise concerns related to generalizability and ethical  
596 considerations. Additionally, an AI/ML system may exhibit limited explainability due to  
597 its underlying complexity or may not be fully transparent for proprietary reasons. These  
598 concerns have resulted in a focus on developing standards for trustworthy AI that  
599 address specific characteristics in areas such as explainability, reliability, privacy,  
600 safety, security, and bias mitigation. This section begins with an overview of  
601 considerations and good practices for the general application of AI/ML and ends with  
602 questions to solicit feedback from stakeholders on these considerations and to further  
603 identify potential good practices in the context of drug development. This will aid FDA in  
604 further identifying opportunities and challenges with utilizing AI/ML throughout the drug  
605 development process.  
606

---

<sup>27</sup> See the Quality Metrics for Drug Manufacturing, October 2022.

<https://www.fda.gov/drugs/pharmaceutical-quality-resources/quality-metrics-drug-manufacturing>

<sup>28</sup> See the CBER Advanced Technologies Team (CATT) Program, June 27, 2019.

<https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/industry-biologics/cber-advanced-technologies-team-catt>

607 **A. Overarching Standards and Practices for the Use of AI/ML**

608  
609 There has been an increased commitment by the Federal Government and the  
610 international community to facilitate AI innovation and adoption, which includes  
611 promoting trustworthy and ethical AI (*Exec. Order No. 13859, Maintaining American*  
612 *Leadership in Artificial Intelligence*, February 11, 2019; *Exec. Order No. 13960,*  
613 *Promoting the Use of Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence in the Federal Government*,  
614 December 3, 2020; Lander & Nelson, October 22, 2021; *Notice of Request for*  
615 *Information on Public and Private Sector Uses of Biometric Technologies*, October 8,  
616 2021; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2019; Vought, 2020).  
617 As a result, efforts for the development of cross-sector and sector-specific standards to  
618 facilitate the technological advancement of AI have rapidly increased in both domestic  
619 and international forums. For example, in August 2019, the National Institute for  
620 Standards and Technology (NIST) released “U.S. Leadership in AI: A Plan for Federal  
621 Engagement in Developing Technical Standards and Related Tools” to help ensure the  
622 use of technical standards and to advance innovation, trust, and confidence in the use  
623 of AI (National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2019). The plan identified  
624 several areas of focus for AI standards development, including data and knowledge,  
625 performance testing and reporting methodology, risk management, and trustworthiness,  
626 among others. Other standards organizations, such as the International Organization  
627 for Standardization (ISO), the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE),  
628 and the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC), are also developing relevant  
629 AI/ML standards and work products addressing fundamental issues of data quality,  
630 explainability, and performance, in addition to examining applications that are specific to  
631 certain industries. The Verification and Validation (V&V 40) risk-informed credibility  
632 assessment framework was initially developed by the American Society of Mechanical  
633 Engineers (ASME) for the assessment of credibility of computational models used for  
634 medical devices (American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2018) and was later  
635 adopted into model-informed drug development<sup>29</sup> (Kuemmel et al., 2020; Viceconti et  
636 al., 2021). As AI/ML is also used for computational models, the V&V 40 framework  
637 potentially serves to inform whether the AI/ML model is credible for use in drug  
638 development.<sup>30</sup> The V&V 40 Standard, which is not specific to AI/ML and does not  
639 specify activities or define criteria required to establish model credibility for a particular  
640 context of use or application, has been adapted for medical devices and for model-  
641 informed drug development.<sup>31,32</sup>

---

<sup>29</sup> Promoting Innovation in Medical Product Assessment: A Risk-based Framework for Evaluating Computational Models for Regulatory Decision-Making, October 2020. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/news-events-human-drugs/promoting-innovation-medical-product-assessment-risk-based-framework-evaluating-computational-models>

<sup>30</sup> A V&V 70 Subcommittee has been established for Verification and Validation of Machine Learning.

<sup>31</sup> See the draft guidance for industry and FDA staff *Assessing the Credibility of Computational Modelling Simulation in Medical Device Submissions* (December 2021). When final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic. <https://www.fda.gov/media/154985/download>

<sup>32</sup> Promoting Innovation in Medical Product Assessment: A Risk-based Framework for Evaluating Computational Models for Regulatory Decision-Making, October 2020. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/news-events-human-drugs/promoting-innovation-medical-product-assessment-risk-based-framework-evaluating-computational-models>

642  
643 In addition to the V&V 40 Standard for evaluating the predictive capability of  
644 computational models for medical devices, FDA, Health Canada, and the United  
645 Kingdom's Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) jointly  
646 published 10 guiding principles to inform the development of Good Machine Learning  
647 Practices (GMLP) for medical devices that use AI/ML.<sup>33</sup> The guiding principles include  
648 adopting a total product life cycle approach in which multidisciplinary expertise is  
649 leveraged throughout product development, with an in-depth understanding of how the  
650 model is integrated into the clinical workflow. The principles also emphasize the  
651 importance of adequate representation within the clinical study population to manage  
652 bias, improve generalizability, and provide sufficient transparency with clear and  
653 essential information, such as the product's intended use and indications, the data used  
654 to test and train the model, and known limitations. Finally, these GMLP highlight the  
655 importance of monitoring deployed models for performance while managing the risk of  
656 model retraining. FDA's CDRH had previously discussed the role of GMLP for medical  
657 devices, and in 2019 issued a proposed framework for modifications to AI/ML-based  
658 SaMD. The framework proposed a predetermined change control plan mechanism—  
659 whereby a sponsor can proactively specify intended modifications to device software  
660 incorporating AI/ML and the methods that will be used to ensure their safety and  
661 effectiveness—thereby laying the foundation for AI/ML-enabled devices with improved  
662 capacity for adaptation.<sup>34</sup>

663  
664 Although the standards and practices described in this section were not tailored  
665 specifically for drug development, the utility and applicability of these standards to drug  
666 development and the development of medical devices intended to be used with drugs,  
667 will be explored to ensure alignment and consistency.

668  
669 **B. Discussion of Considerations and Practices for AI/ML in Drug Development**  
670

671 Informed by the diverse applications of AI/ML in drug development (see **Section II**),  
672 FDA is considering approaches to provide regulatory clarity around the use of AI/ML in  
673 drug development, supported by an expanding body of knowledge and a clear  
674 appreciation of the opportunities and challenges with utilizing AI/ML in drug  
675 development. While certain standards and practices outlined in **Section III.A** can  
676 potentially be adapted to address the use of AI/ML in the context of drug development,  
677 the use of AI/ML in drug development may raise specific challenges that could highlight  
678 additional considerations. As noted above, this document is not FDA guidance or policy  
679 and does not endorse any specific approaches for the use of AI/ML in drug  
680 development. However, the feedback and future discussions with stakeholders can  
681 help inform future regulatory activities.

---

<sup>33</sup> Good Machine Learning Practice for Medical Device Development: Guiding Principles, October 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/good-machine-learning-practice-medical-device-development-guiding-principles>

<sup>34</sup> Proposed Regulatory Framework for Modifications to Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Based Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) – Discussion Paper and Request for Feedback, April 2019. <https://www.fda.gov/files/medical%20devices/published/US-FDA-Artificial-Intelligence-and-Machine-Learning-Discussion-Paper.pdf>

682  
683 Adapting the overarching principles of the General Accountability Office AI  
684 accountability framework<sup>35</sup> below, FDA's CDER, CBER, CDRH, including DHCe, aim  
685 to initiate a discussion with stakeholders and solicit feedback on three key areas in the  
686 context of AI/ML in drug development:  
687  
688 (1) human-led governance, accountability, and transparency;  
689  
690 (2) quality, reliability, and representativeness of data; and  
691  
692 (3) model development, performance, monitoring, and validation.  
693  
694 In each of these areas, a risk-based approach could include measures commensurate  
695 with the level of risk posed by the specific context of use for AI/ML.  
696

### **(1) Human-led governance, accountability, and transparency**

Human-led AI/ML governance can help ensure adherence to legal and ethical values, where accountability and transparency are essential for the development of trustworthy AI. Such governance and clear accountability may extend across the spectrum of planning, development, use, modification, and discontinuation (as applicable) of AI/ML in the drug development process.

As part of governance, a risk management plan that considers the context of use may be applied to identify and mitigate risks. This approach can help guide the level of documentation, transparency, and explainability, with tracking and recording of key steps and decisions, including the rationale for any deviations and procedures that enable vigilant oversight and auditing. Transparency and documentation can provide critical insight on the initial planning, development, function, and any modifications of the AI/ML in the specific context of use, while explainability can provide accompanying evidence or reason for the outputs.

#### **Questions:**

- In what specific use cases or applications of AI/ML in drug development are there the greatest need for additional regulatory clarity?
- What does transparency mean in the use of AI/ML in drug development (for example, transparency could be considered as the degree to which appropriate information about the AI/ML model—including its use, development,

---

<sup>35</sup> See Artificial Intelligence: An Accountability Framework for Federal Agencies and Other Entities (June 2021). <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-21-519sp.pdf>

performance, and, when available, logic—is clearly communicated to regulators and/or other stakeholders)?<sup>36</sup>

- In your experience, what are the main barriers and facilitators of transparency with AI/ML used during the drug development process (and in what context)?
- What are some of the good practices utilized by stakeholders for providing risk-based, meaningful human involvement when AI/ML is being utilized in drug development?
- What processes are in place to enhance and enable traceability and auditability?
- How are pre-specification activities managed, and changes captured and monitored, to ensure the safe and effective use of AI/ML in drug development?

## **(2) Quality, reliability, and representativeness of data**

AI/ML is particularly sensitive to the attributes or characteristics of the data used for training, testing, and validation. Although not unique to AI/ML, missing data, bias, and data drift are typically important considerations. Ensuring data quality, reliability, and that the data are fit for use (i.e., relevant for the specific intended use and population) can be critical. Potential data-related issues to consider include:

**Bias:** AI/ML can potentially amplify preexisting biases that exist in the underlying input data. NIST published a document characterizing three categories of bias (human, systemic, and statistical/computational) and “how they may occur in the commission, design, development, and deployment of AI technologies that can be used to generate predictions, recommendations, or decisions (e.g., algorithmic decision systems), and how AI systems may create societal harms.”<sup>37</sup>

**Integrity:** The completeness, consistency, and **accuracy** of data.<sup>38</sup>

**Privacy and security:** The protection and privacy of data, linked to data classifications and the technical features of the system.

**Provenance:** Record trail that accounts for the origin of a piece of data (in a database, document, or repository) together with an explanation of how and why it got to the present place.<sup>39</sup> Provenance describes “the metadata, or extra

---

<sup>36</sup> Adapted from ISO/IEC JTC1/SC42 DIS 25059 (draft).

<https://www.iso.org/standard/80655.html?browse=tc>

<sup>37</sup> NIST Special Publication 1270, March 2022. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.1270>

<sup>38</sup> For additional considerations related to data integrity see the guidance for industry *Data Integrity and Compliance with Drug CGMP* (December 2018). <https://www.fda.gov/media/119267/download>

<sup>39</sup> Encyclopedia of Database Systems, definition of data provenance.

[https://link.springer.com/referenceworkentry/10.1007%2F978-0-387-39940-9\\_1305](https://link.springer.com/referenceworkentry/10.1007%2F978-0-387-39940-9_1305)

information about data, that can help answer questions such as who created the data and when.”<sup>40</sup>

**Relevance:** Adequate data are available and are appropriate for the intended use.

**Replicability:** Obtaining consistent results across studies aimed at answering the same question, each of which has obtained its own data.<sup>41</sup> It is important to clarify data access early in the process.

**Reproducibility:** Obtaining consistent results using the same input data, computational steps, methods and code, and conditions of analysis<sup>42</sup> (while not confirming validity, the transparency required to demonstrate reproducibility permits evaluation of the validity of design and operational decisions (S. V. Wang et al., 2017)).

**Representativeness:** Confidence that a sample from which evidence is generated is sufficiently similar to the intended population. In the context of patient experience data, representativeness includes the extent to which the elicited experiences, perspectives, needs, and priorities of the sample are sufficiently similar to those of the intended patient population.<sup>43</sup>

### **Questions:**

- What additional data considerations exist for AI/ML in the drug development process?
- What practices are developers, manufacturers, and other stakeholders currently utilizing to help assure the integrity of AI/ML or to address issues, such as bias, missing data, and other data quality considerations, for the use of AI/ML in drug development?
- What are some of the key practices utilized by stakeholders to help ensure data privacy and security?
- What are some of the key practices utilized by stakeholders to help address issues of reproducibility and replicability?
- What processes are developers using for bias identification and management?

---

<sup>40</sup> 21st Century Cures Act: Interoperability, Information Blocking, and the ONC Health IT Certification Program (March 2019). <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/03/04/2019-02224/21st-century-cures-act-interoperability-information-blocking-and-the-onc-health-it-certification>

<sup>41</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>42</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2019, Reproducibility and Replicability in Science. <https://doi.org/10.17226/25303>

<sup>43</sup> See discussion document for Patient-focused Drug Development Public Workshop *Collecting Comprehensive and Representative Input*, December 2017. <https://www.fda.gov/media/109179/download>

### **(3) Model development, performance, monitoring, and validation**

The use of the model may be important to consider in evaluating AI/ML model development and performance, including through practices of pre-specification steps and clear documentation of criteria for developing and assessing models. It may also be important to consider the model risk and credibility; model risk drives the selection of credibility goals and activities.<sup>44</sup> Model risk is determined by two factors, which are shaped by the **context of use**: model influence (the weight of the model in the totality of evidence for a specific decision) and decision consequence (the potential consequences of a wrong decision).

In balancing performance and explainability, it may be important to consider the complexity of the AI/ML model. In situations where complex models (e.g., artificial neural network models) are determined to have similar performance, there may be overall advantages to selecting the more traditional and parsimonious (i.e., fewer parameters) model.

It may also be important to monitor and document monitoring efforts of the AI/ML model to ensure it is reliable, relevant, and consistent over time. This includes documentation of the results of monitoring and any corrective action taken to ensure that the AI/ML produces intended results. Subsequent assessments (e.g., postmarket safety monitoring, surveillance) can provide valuable feedback on processes and real-world model performance. Real-world model performance includes applications that may be supported by collection and monitoring of RWD (e.g., electronic health records, product and disease registries). Potential re-training based on real-world performance could provide important insights to model performance, and following such re-training, it may be important to monitor and document the AI/ML model to appropriately manage risks.

Data considerations also include providing the details of the training dataset utilized to develop the AI/ML model, along with the performance, when employing independent, external testing data to support verification and validation (“external validity”). It is generally important for data of sufficient quality for the particular context of use to be representative of the population where the AI/ML method will be utilized. It is important to help ensure AI/ML models are validated to produce results that are credible for the model’s use. Credibility activities include verification of the software code and calculations, validation of the model, and evaluation of the applicability of

---

<sup>44</sup> Credibility refers to trust in the predictive capability of a computational model for a particular context of use (Kuemmel et al., 2020). This includes steps to document performance and approaches to measure uncertainty at the component level (e.g., model and non-level components, including metrics and assessing performance and outcome of each component) and system level (e.g., methods for assessment, performance metrics, and outcomes), where feasible. Demonstration of credibility often includes a risk-based approach, where uses presenting the highest risk generally require the greatest standard of evidence, with a gradient of evidence needed based on the associated risk (i.e., informing early-stage drug development for non-serious medical condition versus evaluating drug safety and effectiveness for critical medical condition).

validation assessments to the context of use. These activities include considerations of measuring the level of uncertainty of the model predictions. Upon completion of credibility activities, an assessment can be made to determine whether the model is sufficiently credible for its use and whether the model may be acceptable for a given regulatory purpose.

**Questions:**

- What are some examples of current tools, processes, approaches, and best practices being used by stakeholders for:
  - Documenting the development and performance of AI/ML models that can be applied in the context of drug development (e.g., CONSORT-AI (Liu et al., 2020) and SPIRIT-AI (Cruz Rivera et al., 2020))?
  - Selecting model types and algorithms for a given context of use?
  - Determining when to use specific approaches for validating models and measuring performance in a given context of use (e.g., selecting relevant success criteria and performance measures)?
  - Evaluating transparency and explainability and increasing model transparency?
  - Addressing issues of accuracy and explainability (e.g., scenarios where models may provide increased accuracy, while having limitations in explainability)?
  - Selecting open-source AI software for AI/ML model development? What are considerations when using open-source AI software?
  - The use of RWD performance in monitoring AI/ML?
- What practices and documentation are being used to inform and record data source selection and inclusion or exclusion criteria?
- In what context of use are stakeholders addressing explainability, and how have you balanced considerations of performance and explainability?
- What approaches are being used to document the assessment of uncertainty in model predictions, and how is uncertainty being communicated? What methods and standards should be developed to help support the assessment of uncertainty?

697  
698 As outlined above, many of the overarching principles and standards related to the  
699 characteristics of trustworthy AI can help inform considerations or key practice areas for

700 the application of AI/ML in the context of drug development. In addition to meeting  
701 current requirements to support regulatory decision-making regarding a drug's safety  
702 and effectiveness, the use of AI/ML in drug development raises challenges related to  
703 human-led AI/ML governance, accountability, and transparency; data considerations;  
704 and model development, performance, monitoring, and validation. Transparency and  
705 documentation across the entire product life cycle can help build trust in the use of  
706 AI/ML. In this regard, it may be important to consider pre-specification and  
707 documentation of the purpose or question of interest, context of use, risk, and  
708 development of AI/ML. While not unique to the use of AI/ML in drug development, there  
709 are also a broad range of data quality, relevance, and reliability-related considerations.  
710 Related to the area of model development, performance, monitoring, and validation, the  
711 V&V 40 risk-informed credibility assessment framework may be a helpful guide when  
712 considering the specific use for AI/ML. In general, use of a risk-based approach may  
713 guide the level of evidence and record keeping needed for the verification and validation  
714 of AI/ML models for a specific context of use. Engagement with the FDA early in the  
715 process can also help inform and address these considerations.

#### 717 **IV. Next Steps: Engagement and Collaboration**

719 The release of this initial discussion paper is part of a broader effort to communicate  
720 with a range of stakeholders and to explore the relevant considerations for the use of  
721 AI/ML in the development of human drugs and biological products. Coupled with this  
722 document, FDA has included a series of questions for feedback, and a workshop with  
723 stakeholders is planned to provide an opportunity for further engagement. The FDA will  
724 also provide several other mechanisms to engage with stakeholders, sponsors, and  
725 developers on this topic, and these can be utilized to address questions before  
726 conducting a study that utilizes AI/ML. In addition to formal meetings where these  
727 methods can be discussed, the Critical Path Innovation Meetings (CPIM),<sup>45</sup> ISTAND  
728 Pilot Program,<sup>46</sup> Emerging Technology Program,<sup>47</sup> and Real-World Evidence Program<sup>48</sup>  
729 meetings are examples of additional avenues for communicating and discussing a  
730 relevant AI/ML methodology or technology and improving efficiency and quality in drug  
731 development. Additionally, communication and engagement with patients and the  
732 public regarding considerations for AI/ML in drug development is critical to ensure  
733 patient-centered approaches and policies.

734 Building on this discussion paper, FDA will continue to solicit feedback and engage a  
735 broad group of stakeholders to further discuss considerations for utilizing AI/ML  
736 throughout the drug development life cycle. These discussions and future

---

<sup>45</sup> See CPIM, November 11, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/new-drugs-fda-cders-new-molecular-entities-and-new-therapeutic-biological-products/critical-path-innovation-meetings-cpim>

<sup>46</sup> See the ISTAND Pilot Program, February 10, 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-development-tool-ddt-qualification-programs/innovative-science-and-technology-approaches-new-drugs-istand-pilot-program>

<sup>47</sup> See Emerging Technology Program, February 22, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/center-drug-evaluation-and-research-cder/emerging-technology-program>

<sup>48</sup> See Framework for FDA's Real World Evidence Program, April 14, 2020.  
<https://fda.gov/media/120060/download>

738 collaborations with stakeholders may provide a foundation for a future framework or  
739 guidance.

## Glossary

**Accuracy:** The level of agreement between the measured value and the true value of the clinical event or characteristic.

**Artificial Intelligence (AI):** A branch of computer science, statistics, and engineering that uses algorithms or models to perform tasks and exhibit behaviors such as learning, making decisions, and making predictions.<sup>49</sup>

**Biomarker:** A defined characteristic that is measured as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or biological responses to an exposure or intervention, including therapeutic interventions. Biomarkers may include molecular, histologic, radiographic, or physiologic characteristics. A biomarker is not a measure of how an individual feels, functions, or survives.<sup>50</sup>

**Clinical Outcome Assessment (COA):** A measure that describes or reflects how a patient feels, functions, or survives. There are four types of COAs: patient-reported outcome, observer-reported outcome, clinician-reported outcome, and performance outcome.<sup>51</sup>

**Context of Use:** A statement that fully and clearly describes the way AI/ML is to be used and the drug development-related purpose of the use.<sup>52</sup>

**Controlled Terminology:** A finite set of values (e.g., codes, text, numeric) that represent the only allowed values for a data item. Generally, controlled terminology standards specify the key concepts that are represented as definitions, preferred terms, synonyms, and code systems.<sup>53</sup>

**Decentralized Clinical Trial:** A clinical investigation where some or all of the trial-related activities occur at a location separate from the investigator's location.<sup>54</sup>

**Digital Health Technology (DHT):** A system that uses computing platforms, connectivity, software, and/or sensors for health care and related uses. These technologies span a wide range of uses, from applications in general wellness to applications as a medical device. They include technologies intended for use as a

<sup>49</sup> See IMDRF/AIMD WG/N67 Machine Learning-enabled Medical Devices: Key Terms and Definitions, final document, May 6, 2022. <https://www.imdrf.org/documents/machine-learning-enabled-medical-devices-key-terms-and-definitions>

<sup>50</sup> See BEST (Biomarkers, EndpointS, and other Tools) Resource Glossary, 2016. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338448>

<sup>51</sup> See Clinical Outcome Assessment (COA), December 2020. <https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/clinical-outcome-assessment-coa-frequently-asked-questions>

<sup>52</sup> CDISC Glossary, 2022. <https://evs.nci.nih.gov/ftp1/CDISC/Glossary/CDISC%20Glossary.html>

<sup>53</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>54</sup> See the draft guidance for industry, investigators, and other stakeholders *Digital Health Technologies for Remote Data Acquisition in Clinical Investigations* (December 2021). When final, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic. <https://www.fda.gov/media/155022/download>

775 medical product, in a medical product, or as an adjunct to other medical products  
776 (devices, drugs, and biologics). They may also be used to develop or study medical  
777 products. Data captured by DHTs can often be transmitted directly to investigators,  
778 sponsors, and/or other authorized parties, with the capability to maintain blinding or  
779 masking when appropriate. The ability to transmit data remotely increases opportunities  
780 for patients to participate in clinical investigations at locations remote from the  
781 investigator's site.<sup>55</sup>.

782  
783 **Digital Twins:** An integrated multi-physics, multiscale, probabilistic simulation of a  
784 complex system that uses the best available data, sensors, and models to mirror the  
785 behavior of its corresponding twin. A fully developed digital twin consists of a physical  
786 component (e.g., unit operations), a virtual component, and automated data  
787 communications between the two. The development and application of digital twins are  
788 now being extended to manufacturing and complex products to assess sensitivities of  
789 material attributes and process parameters, reliability of control strategies, and  
790 effectiveness of mitigation plans for potential disturbances.<sup>56</sup>

791  
792 **Drug Development Tool (DDT):** A biomarker, COA, or any other method, material, or  
793 measure determined to aid drug development and regulatory review. Animal models  
794 developed to be used for product development under the Animal Rule<sup>57</sup> have been  
795 determined by FDA to be DDTs under section 507 of the FD&C Act.<sup>58</sup>

796  
797 **Endpoint:** A precisely defined variable intended to reflect an outcome of interest that is  
798 statistically analyzed to address a particular research question. A precise definition of  
799 an endpoint typically specifies the type of assessments made, the timing of those  
800 assessments, the assessment tools used, and possibly other details, as applicable,  
801 such as how multiple assessments within an individual are to be combined.<sup>59</sup>

802  
803 **Machine Learning (ML):** A subset of AI that allows ML models to be developed by ML  
804 training algorithms through analysis of data, without being explicitly programmed.<sup>60</sup>

805  
806 **Natural Language Processing (NLP):** The branch of computer science, specifically  
807 the branch of AI, concerned with giving computers the ability to understand text and  
808 spoken words in much the same way human beings can.<sup>61</sup>

---

<sup>55</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>56</sup> See Modeling & Simulation at FDA, November 16, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/science-research/about-science-research-fda/modeling-simulation-fda>

<sup>57</sup> See Animal Rule Approvals, June 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/nda-and-bla-approvals/animal-rule-approvals>

<sup>58</sup> See the guidance for industry and FDA staff *Qualification Process for Drug Development Tools* (November 2020). <https://www.fda.gov/media/133511/download>

<sup>59</sup> See BEST (Biomarkers, EndpointS, and other Tools) Resource Glossary, 2016. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338448>

<sup>60</sup> See IMDRF/AIMD WG/N67 Machine Learning-enabled Medical Devices: Key Terms and Definitions, final document, May 6, 2022. <https://www.imdrf.org/documents/machine-learning-enabled-medical-devices-key-terms-and-definitions>

<sup>61</sup> "What is natural language processing?" Accessed September 8, 2022.

<https://www.ibm.com/cloud/learn/natural-language-processing#toc-what-is-na-jLju4DjE>

809

810 **Neural Network:** A commonly used form of AI/ML that is used for categorization  
811 applications and has been loosely likened to the way that neurons in the brain process  
812 signals. Neural networks typically consist of at least three layers of neurons: input layer  
813 (which receives information), hidden layer (responsible for extracting patterns and  
814 conducting the internal processing), and output layer (produces and presents the final  
815 network output).<sup>62</sup>

816

817 **Real-World Data (RWD):** The data relating to patient health status and/or the delivery  
818 of health care routinely collected from a variety of sources. Examples of RWD include  
819 data derived from electronic health records (EHRs); medical claims and billing data;  
820 data from product and disease registries; patient-generated data, including from in-  
821 home-use settings; and data gathered from other sources that can inform on health  
822 status, such as mobile devices.<sup>63</sup>

823

824 **Real-World Evidence (RWE):** The clinical evidence about the usage and potential  
825 benefits or risks of a medical product derived from analysis of RWD. RWD sources  
826 (e.g., registries, collections of EHRs, administrative and medical claims databases) can  
827 be used for data collection and, in certain cases, to develop analysis infrastructure to  
828 support many types of study designs to develop RWE, including, but not limited to,  
829 randomized trials (e.g., large simple trials, pragmatic clinical trials) and observational  
830 studies (prospective or retrospective).<sup>64</sup>

831

832 **Recurrent Neural Network:** A type of artificial neural network that uses sequential  
833 data or time series data to exhibit temporal dynamic behavior. These algorithms are  
834 commonly used for ordinal or temporal problems, such as language translation, NLP,  
835 speech recognition, and image captioning.<sup>65</sup>

---

62 See the Executive Summary for the Patient Engagement Advisory Committee Meeting: Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Medical Devices, October 22, 2020.

<https://www.fda.gov/media/142998/download>

63 See the draft guidance for industry, investigators, and other stakeholders Real-World Data: Assessing Electronic Health Records and Medical Claims Data to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Drug and Biological Products (September 2021). <https://www.fda.gov/media/152503/download>

64 Ibid.

65 Adapted from <https://www.ibm.com/cloud/learn/recurrent-neural-networks>

## References

836

837

838 Abatemarco, D., Perera, S., Bao, S. H., Desai, S., Assuncao, B., Tetarenko, N., . . . Mingle, E.  
839 (2018). Training Augmented Intelligent Capabilities for Pharmacovigilance: Applying  
840 Deep-learning Approaches to Individual Case Safety Report Processing. *Pharmaceut  
841 Med*, 32(6), 391-401. doi:10.1007/s40290-018-0251-9

842 Aerts, H. J. W. L., Grossmann, P., Tan, Y., Oxnard, G. R., Rizvi, N., Schwartz, L. H., & Zhao, B.  
843 (2016). Defining a Radiomic Response Phenotype: A Pilot Study using targeted therapy  
844 in NSCLC. *Sci Rep*, 6, 33860. doi:10.1038/srep33860

845 American Society of Mechanical Engineers. (2018). Assessing Credibility of Computational  
846 Modeling through Verification and Validation: Application to Medical Devices. In.

847 Arden, N. S., Fisher, A. C., Tyner, K., Yu, L. X., Lee, S. L., & Kopcha, M. (2021). Industry 4.0 for  
848 pharmaceutical manufacturing: Preparing for the smart factories of the future. *Int J  
849 Pharm*, 602, 120554. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.120554

850 Athreya, A. P., Neavin, D., Carrillo-Roa, T., Skime, M., Biernacka, J., Frye, M. A., . . . Bobo, W.  
851 V. (2019). Pharmacogenomics-Driven Prediction of Antidepressant Treatment  
852 Outcomes: A Machine-Learning Approach With Multi-trial Replication. *Clin Pharmacol  
853 Ther*, 106(4), 855-865. doi:10.1002/cpt.1482

854 Avram, R., Olgin, J. E., Kuhar, P., Hughes, J. W., Marcus, G. M., Pletcher, M. J., . . . Tison, G.  
855 H. (2020). A digital biomarker of diabetes from smartphone-based vascular signals. *Nat  
856 Med*, 26(10), 1576-1582. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1010-5

857 Ball, R., & Dal Pan, G. (2022). "Artificial Intelligence" for Pharmacovigilance: Ready for Prime  
858 Time? *Drug Saf*, 45(5), 429-438. doi:10.1007/s40264-022-01157-4

859 Bate, A., & Hobbiger, S. F. (2021). Artificial Intelligence, Real-World Automation and the Safety  
860 of Medicines. *Drug Saf*, 44(2), 125-132. doi:10.1007/s40264-020-01001-7

861 Bayer, S., Clark, C., Dang, O., Aberdeen, J., Brajovic, S., Swank, K., . . . Ball, R. (2021). ADE  
862 Eval: An Evaluation of Text Processing Systems for Adverse Event Extraction from Drug  
863 Labels for Pharmacovigilance. *Drug Saf*, 44(1), 83-94. doi:10.1007/s40264-020-00996-3

864 Beninger, P. (2020). Signal Management in Pharmacovigilance: A Review of Activities and  
865 Case Studies. *Clin Ther*, 42(6), 1110-1129. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.03.018

866 Bulitta, J. B., Hope, W. W., Eakin, A. E., Guina, T., Tam, V. H., Louie, A., . . . Hoover, J. L.  
867 (2019). Generating Robust and Informative Nonclinical In Vitro and In Vivo Bacterial  
868 Infection Model Efficacy Data To Support Translation to Humans. *Antimicrob Agents  
869 Chemother*, 63(5), e02307-02318. doi:10.1128/AAC.02307-18

870 Chan, H. C. S., Shan, H., Dahoun, T., Vogel, H., & Yuan, S. (2019). Advancing Drug Discovery  
871 via Artificial Intelligence. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, 40(8), 592-604.  
doi:10.1016/j.tips.2019.06.004

872 Cohoon, T. J., & Bhavnani, S. P. (2020). Toward precision health: applying artificial intelligence  
873 analytics to digital health biometric datasets. *Per Med*, 17(4), 307-316. doi:10.2217/pme-  
875 2019-0113

876 Comfort, S., Dorrell, D., Meireis, S., & Fine, J. (2018). MOdified NARanjo Causality Scale for  
877 ICSRs (MONARCSi): A Decision Support Tool for Safety Scientists. *Drug Saf*, 41(11),  
878 1073-1085. doi:10.1007/s40264-018-0690-y

879 Cruz Rivera, S., Liu, X., Chan, A. W., Denniston, A. K., Calvert, M. J., & and the SPIRIT-AI and  
880 CONSORT-AI Working Group; SPIRIT-AI and CONSORT-AI Steering Group; SPIRIT-AI  
881 and CONSORT-AI Consensus Group. (2020). Guidelines for clinical trial protocols for  
882 interventions involving artificial intelligence: the SPIRIT-AI extension. *Nat Med*, 26(9),  
883 1351-1363. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1037-7

884 Daryaei, F., & Tonge, P. J. (2019). Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic models that incorporate  
885 drug-target binding kinetics. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*, 50, 120-127.  
doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.03.008

887 Dercle, L., Fronheiser, M., Lu, L., Du, S., Hayes, W., Leung, D. K., . . . Zhao, B. (2020).  
888 Identification of non-small cell lung cancer sensitive to systemic cancer therapies using  
889 radiomics. *Clin Cancer Res*, 26(9), 2151-2162. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-2942

890 Desai, R. J., Matheny, M. E., Johnson, K., Marsolo, K., Curtis, L. H., Nelson, J. C., . . .  
891 Schneeweiss, S. (2021). Broadening the reach of the FDA Sentinel system: A roadmap  
892 for integrating electronic health record data in a causal analysis framework. *NPJ Digit  
893 Med*, 4(1), 170. doi:10.1038/s41746-021-00542-0

894 ElZarrad, M. K., Lee, A. Y., Purcell, R., & Steele, S. J. (2022). Advancing an agile regulatory  
895 ecosystem to respond to the rapid development of innovative technologies. *Clin Transl  
896 Sci*, 15(5), 1332–1339. doi:10.1111/cts.13267

897 European Medicines Agency. (2022). *DRAFT Qualification opinion for Prognostic Covariate  
898 Adjustment (PROCOVA™)*. European Medicines Agency Retrieved from  
899 [https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/other/draft-qualification-opinion-prognostic-covariate-adjustment-procovatm\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/other/draft-qualification-opinion-prognostic-covariate-adjustment-procovatm_en.pdf)

900 901 Exec. Order No. 13859, *Maintaining American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence*. (2019-  
902 02544). (February 11, 2019). 84 Fed. Reg. 3967 Retrieved from  
903 <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/02/14/2019-02544/maintaining-american-leadership-in-artificial-intelligence>

904 905 Exec. Order No. 13960, *Promoting the Use of Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence in the Federal  
906 Government*. (2020-27065). (December 3, 2020). 85 Fed. Reg. 78939 Retrieved from  
907 <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/12/08/2020-27065/promoting-the-use-of-trustworthy-artificial-intelligence-in-the-federal-government>

908 909 Fumagalli, S. E., Padhiar, N. H., Meyer, D., Katneni, U., Bar, H., DiCuccio, M., . . . Kimchi-  
910 Sarfaty, C. (2023). Analysis of 3.5 million SARS-CoV-2 sequences reveals unique  
911 mutational trends with consistent nucleotide and codon frequencies. *Virol J*, 20(1), 31.  
912 doi:10.1186/s12985-023-01982-8

913 914 Ghosh, R., Kempf, D., Pufko, A., Barrios Martinez, L. F., Davis, C. M., & Sethi, S. (2020).  
Automation opportunities in pharmacovigilance: An industry survey. *Pharmaceut Med*,  
915 34(1), 7-18. doi:10.1007/s40290-019-00320-0

916 917 Harrer, S., Shah, P., Antony, B., & Hu, J. (2019). Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Trial Design.  
*Trends Pharmacol Sci*, 40(8), 577-591. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2019.05.005

918 919 Harrison, L. I., & Gibaldi, M. (1977). Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for digoxin  
disposition in dogs and its preliminary application to humans. *J Pharm Sci*, 66(12), 1679-  
920 1683. doi:10.1002/jps.2600661206

921 922 Hsu, V., de, L. T. V., M., Zhao, P., Zhang, L., Zheng, J. H., Nordmark, A., . . . Huang, S. M.  
(2014). Towards quantitation of the effects of renal impairment and probenecid inhibition  
923 on kidney uptake and efflux transporters, using physiologically based pharmacokinetic  
924 modelling and simulations. *Clin Pharmacokinet*, 53(3), 283-293. doi:10.1007/s40262-  
925 013-0117-y

926 927 Huang, J., O'Connor, T., Ahmed, K., Chatterjee, S., Garvin, C., Ghosh, K., . . . Warman, M.  
(2021). AIChE PD2M Advanced Process Control workshop-moving APC forward in the  
928 pharmaceutical industry. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing and Processing*, 3(1),  
929 e10071. doi:10.1002/amp.2.10071

930 931 Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., . . . Hassabis, D.  
(2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*, 596(7873),  
932 583-589. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

933 934 Kawakami, E., Tabata, J., Yanaihara, N., Ishikawa, T., Koseki, K., Iida, Y., . . . Okamoto, A.  
(2019). Application of atificial intelligence for preoperative diagnostic and prognostic  
935 prediction in epithelial ovarian cancer based on blood biomarkers. *Clin Cancer Res*,  
936 25(10), 3006-3015. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-3378

937 Kreimeyer, K., Dang, O., Spiker, J., Gish, P., Weintraub, J., Wu, E., . . . Botsis, T. (2022).  
938 Increased Confidence in Deduplication of Drug Safety Reports with Natural Language  
939 Processing of Narratives at the US Food and Drug Administration. *Frontiers in Drug  
940 Safety and Regulation*, 2. doi:10.3389/fdsfr.2022.918897

941 Kreimeyer, K., Dang, O., Spiker, J., Munoz, M. A., Rosner, G., Ball, R., & Botsis, T. (2021).  
942 Feature engineering and machine learning for causality assessment in  
943 pharmacovigilance: Lessons learned from application to the FDA Adverse Event  
944 Reporting System. *Comput Biol Med*, 135, 104517.  
945 doi:10.1016/j.compbioimed.2021.104517

946 Kuemmel, C., Yang, Y., Zhang, X., Florian, J., Zhu, H., Tegenge, M., . . . Zineh, I. (2020).  
947 Consideration of a credibility assessment framework in model-informed drug  
948 development: Potential application to physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modeling  
949 and simulation. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol*, 9(1), 21-28.  
950 doi:10.1002/psp4.12479

951 Lander, E., & Nelson, A. (October 22, 2021). *ICYMI: WIRED (Opinion): Americans Need a Bill of  
952 Rights for an AI-Powered World*. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/ostp/news-updates/2021/10/22/icymi-wired-opinion-americans-need-a-bill-of-rights-for-an-ai-powered-world/>

955 Laubenbacher, R., Sluka, J. P., & Glazier, J. A. (2021). Using digital twins in viral infection.  
956 *Science*, 371(6534), 1105-1106. doi:10.1126/science.abf3370

957 Lewis, D. J., & McCallum, J. F. (2020). Utilizing advanced technologies to augment  
958 pharmacovigilance systems: Challenges and opportunities. *Ther Innov Regul Sci*, 54(4),  
959 888-899. doi:10.1007/s43441-019-00023-3

960 Liu, Q., Huang, R., Hsieh, J., Zhu, H., Tiwari, M., Liu, G., . . . Huang, S. M. (2022). Landscape  
961 analysis of the application of artificial intelligence and machine learning in regulatory  
962 submissions for drug development from 2016 to 2021. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*.  
963 doi:10.1002/cpt.2668

964 Liu, X., Cruz Rivera, S., Moher, D., Calvert, M. J., Denniston, A. K., & the SPIRIT-AI and  
965 CONSORT-AI Working Group. (2020). Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for  
966 interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI extension. *Nat Med*,  
967 26(9), 1364-1374. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1034-x

968 Liu, X., Liu, C., Huang, R., Zhu, H., Liu, Q., Mitra, S., & Wang, Y. (2021). Long short-term  
969 memory recurrent neural network for pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling. *Int J  
970 Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 59(2), 138-146. doi:10.5414/CP203800

971 Liu, Z., Chen, X., Carter, W., Moruf, A., Komatsu, T. E., Pahwa, S., . . . W., T. (2022). AI-  
972 powered drug repurposing for developing COVID-19 treatments. In *Reference Module in  
973 Biomedical Sciences*: Elsevier.

974 Lu, J., Deng, K., Zhang, X., Liu, G., & Guan, Y. (2021). Neural-ODE for pharmacokinetics  
975 modeling and its advantage to alternative machine learning models in predicting new  
976 dosing regimens. *iScience*, 24(7), 102804. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2021.102804

977 Ly, T., Pamer, C., Dang, O., Brajovic, S., Haider, S., Botsis, T., . . . Ball, R. (2018). Evaluation of  
978 Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems to annotate drug product labeling with  
979 MedDRA terminology. *J Biomed Inform*, 83, 73-86. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2018.05.019

980 Mager, D. E., Woo, S., & Jusko, W. J. (2009). Scaling pharmacodynamics from in vitro and  
981 preclinical animal studies to humans. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*, 24(1), 16-24.  
982 doi:10.2133/dmpk.24.16

983 Mason, M., Cho, Y., Rayo, J., Gong, Y., Harris, M., & Jiang, Y. (2022). Technologies for  
984 medication adherence monitoring and technology assessment criteria: Narrative review.  
985 *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*, 10(3), e35157. doi:10.2196/35157

986 Mouchlis, V. D., Afantitis, A., Serra, A., Fratello, M., Papadiamantis, A. G., Aidinis, V., . . .  
987 Melagraki, G. (2021). Advances in de Novo Drug Design: From Conventional to Machine  
988 Learning Methods. *Int J Mol Sci*, 22(4). doi:10.3390/ijms22041676

989 National Academies of Sciences, E., and Medicine. (2021). *Innovations in Pharmaceutical  
990 Manufacturing on the Horizon: Technical Challenges, Regulatory Issues, and  
991 Recommendations*. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.17226/26009>.

992 National Institute of Standards and Technology. (2019). *U.S. Leadership in AI: A Plan for  
993 Federal Engagement in Developing Technical Standards and Related Tools*. Retrieved  
994 from  
995 [https://www.nist.gov/system/files/documents/2019/08/10/ai\\_standards\\_fedengagement\\_plan\\_9aug2019.pdf](https://www.nist.gov/system/files/documents/2019/08/10/ai_standards_fedengagement_plan_9aug2019.pdf)

996 Negi, K., Pavuri, A., Patel, L., & Jain, C. (2019). A novel method for drug-adverse event  
997 extraction using machine learning. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, 17, Article 100190.  
998 doi:10.1016/j.imu.2019.100190

999 1000 *Notice of Request for Information on Public and Private Sector Uses of Biometric Technologies*.  
1001 (2021-21975). (October 8, 2021). 86 Fed. Reg. 56300 Retrieved from  
1002 <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/10/08/2021-21975/notice-of-request-for-information-fri-on-public-and-private-sector-uses-of-biometric-technologies>

1003 1004 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). *Recommendation of the  
1005 Council on Artificial Intelligence*. Retrieved from  
1006 <https://legalinstruments.oecd.org/en/instruments/OECD-LEGAL-0449>

1007 Pappalardo, F., Russo, G., Tshinanu, F. M., & Viceconti, M. (2019). In silico clinical trials:  
1008 concepts and early adoptions. *Brief Bioinform*, 20(5), 1699-1708.  
1009 doi:10.1093/bib/bby043

1010 Pierce, C. E., Bouri, K., Pamer, C., Proestel, S., Rodriguez, H. W., Van Le, H., . . . Dasgupta, N.  
1011 (2017). Evaluation of Facebook and Twitter monitoring to detect safety signals for  
1012 medical products: An analysis of recent FDA safety alerts. *Drug Saf*, 40(4), 317-331.  
1013 doi:10.1007/s40264-016-0491-0

1014 Routray, R., Tetarenko, N., Abu-Assal, C., Mockute, R., Assuncao, B., Chen, H., . . . Mingle, E.  
1015 (2020). Application of augmented intelligence for pharmacovigilance case seriousness  
1016 determination. *Drug Saf*, 43(1), 57-66. doi:10.1007/s40264-019-00869-4

1017 Sager, J. E., Yu, J., Ragueneau-Majlessi, I., & Isoherranen, N. (2015). Physiologically based  
1018 pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling and simulation approaches: A systematic review of  
1019 published models, applications, and model verification. *Drug Metab Dispos*, 43(11),  
1020 1823-1837. doi:10.1124/dmd.115.065920

1021 Schmider, J., Kumar, K., LaForest, C., Swankoski, B., Naim, K., & Caubel, P. M. (2019).  
1022 Innovation in pharmacovigilance: Use of artificial intelligence in adverse event case  
1023 processing. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 105(4), 954-961. doi:10.1002/cpt.1255

1024 Schneider, P., Walters, W. P., Plowright, A. T., Sieroka, N., Listgarten, J., Goodnow, R. A., . . .  
1025 Schneider, G. (2020). Rethinking drug design in the artificial intelligence era. *Nat Rev  
1026 Drug Discov*, 19(5), 353-364. doi:10.1038/s41573-019-0050-3

1027 Schuler, A., Walsh, D., Hall, D., Walsh, J., Fisher, C., & for the Critical Path for Alzheimer's, D.,  
1028 the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative and the Alzheimer's Disease  
1029 Cooperative Study. (2021). Increasing the efficiency of randomized trial estimates via  
1030 linear adjustment for a prognostic score. *Int J Biostat*. doi:10.1515/ijb-2021-0072

1031 Shroff, T., Aina, K., Maass, C., Cipriano, M., Lambrecht, J., Tacke, F., . . . Loskill, P. (2022).  
1032 Studying metabolism with multi-organ chips: new tools for disease modelling,  
1033 pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Open Biol*, 12(3), 210333.  
1034 doi:10.1098/rsob.210333

1035 Spiker, J., Kreimeyer, K., Dang, O., Boxwell, D., Chan, V., Cheng, C., . . . Botsis, T. (2020).  
1036 Information visualization platform for postmarket surveillance decision support. *Drug Saf*,  
1037 43(9), 905-915. doi:10.1007/s40264-020-00945-0

1038 Stehlik, J., Schmalfuss, C., Bozkurt, B., Nativi-Nicolau, J., Wohlfahrt, P., Wegerich, S., . . .  
1039 Pham, M. (2020). Continuous Wearable Monitoring Analytics Predict Heart Failure  
1040 Hospitalization: The LINK-HF Multicenter Study. *Circ Heart Fail*, 13(3), e006513.  
1041 doi:10.1161/circheartfailure.119.006513

1042 Vamathevan, J., Clark, D., Czodrowski, P., Dunham, I., Ferran, E., Lee, G., . . . Zhao, S. (2019).  
1043 Applications of machine learning in drug discovery and development. *Nat Rev Drug*  
1044 *Discov*, 18(6), 463-477. doi:10.1038/s41573-019-0024-5

1045 Viceconti, M., Pappalardo, F., Rodriguez, B., Horner, M., Bischoff, J., & Musuamba Tshinanu, F.  
1046 (2021). In silico trials: Verification, validation and uncertainty quantification of predictive  
1047 models used in the regulatory evaluation of biomedical products. *Methods*, 185, 120-  
1048 127. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2020.01.011

1049 Vought, R. T. (2020). *Guidance for Regulation of Artificial Intelligence Applications*. Retrieved  
1050 from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/M-21-06.pdf>

1051 Wang, S. V., Schneeweiss, S., Berger, M. L., Brown, J., de Vries, F., Douglas, I., . . . the joint  
1052 ISPE-ISPOR Special Task Force on Real World Evidence in Health Care Decision  
1053 Making. (2017). Reporting to improve reproducibility and facilitate validity assessment for  
1054 healthcare database studies V1.0. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*, 26(9), 1018-1032.  
1055 doi:10.1002/pds.4295

1056 Wang, W., Haerian, K., Salmasian, H., Harpaz, R., Chase, H., & Friedman, C. (2011). A drug-  
1057 adverse event extraction algorithm to support pharmacovigilance knowledge mining from  
1058 PubMed citations. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc*, 2011, 1464-1470. Retrieved from  
1059 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22195210>

1060 Wang, Y., Carter, B. Z., Li, Z., & Huang, X. (2022). Application of machine learning methods in  
1061 clinical trials for precision medicine. *JAMIA Open*, 5(1), ooab107.  
1062 doi:10.1093/jamiaopen/ooab107

1063 Weissler, E. H., Naumann, T., Andersson, T., Ranganath, R., Elemento, O., Luo, Y., . . .  
1064 Ghassemi, M. (2021). The role of machine learning in clinical research: transforming the  
1065 future of evidence generation. *Trials*, 22(1), 537. doi:10.1186/s13063-021-05489-x

1066 Zhang, X., Yan, C., Gao, C., Malin, B. A., & Chen, Y. (2020). Predicting Missing Values in  
1067 Medical Data via XGBoost Regression. *J Healthc Inform Res*, 4(4), 383-394.  
1068 doi:10.1007/s41666-020-00077-1

1069

1070