

DATE: 8/5/2022

Case #: 639201

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Brandi M. Chane-Lee, Owner Davis City Pharmacy, Inc. P.O. Box 640 Weatherford, TX 76086

Dear Mrs. Chane-Lee:

From February 15, 2022 to February 18, 2022, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) investigators inspected your facility, Davis City Pharmacy, Inc., located at 111 Trinity Street, Weatherford, TX 76086. During the inspection, the investigators noted that drug products you produced failed to meet the conditions of section 503A of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA) [21 U.S.C. § 353a] for exemption from certain provisions of the FDCA. In addition, the investigators noted deficiencies in your practices for producing drug products, which put patients at risk.

FDA issued a Form FDA 483 to your firm on February 18, 2022. FDA acknowledges receipt of your facility's response, dated March 4, 2022. Based on this inspection, it appears that you produced drug products that violate the FDCA.

A. Compounded Drug Products Under the FDCA

Section 503A of the FDCA describes the conditions under which human drug products compounded by a licensed pharmacist in a State licensed pharmacy or a Federal facility, or a licensed physician, qualify for exemptions from three sections of the FDCA: compliance with current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) (section 501(a)(2)(B)); labeling with adequate directions for use (section 502(f)(1)); and FDA approval prior to marketing (section 505) [21 U.S.C. §§ 351(a)(2)(B), 352(f)(1) and 355(a)]. Receipt of valid prescriptions for individually-identified patients is one of the conditions for the exemptions under section 503A.

¹ We remind you that there are conditions other than those discussed in this letter that must be satisfied to qualify for the exemptions in section 503A of the FDCA.

Page 2 – Brandi M. Chane-Lee, Owner Davis City Pharmacy, Inc. 08/05/2022

B. Failure to Meet the Conditions of Section 503A

During the inspection, the FDA investigators noted that drug products produced by your firm failed to meet the conditions of section 503A. For example, the investigators noted that your firm did not receive valid prescriptions for individually-identified patients for a portion of the drug products you produced, including Benzo 20% / Lido 10% / Tetra 10%.

Therefore, you compounded drug products that do not meet the conditions of section 503A and are not eligible for the exemptions in that section, including the FDA approval requirement of section 505 of the FDCA, the requirement under section 502(f)(1) of the FDCA that labeling bear adequate directions for use, and the requirement of compliance with CGMP under section 501(a)(2)(B) of the FDCA. In the remainder of this letter, we refer to your drug products that do not qualify for exemptions under section 503A as the "ineligible drug products."

Specific violations are described below.

C. Violations of the FDCA

Adulterated Drug Products

The FDA investigators noted that drug products intended or expected to be sterile were prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions, whereby they may have become contaminated with filth or rendered injurious to health, causing your drug products to be adulterated under section 501(a)(2)(A) of the FDCA. For example, the investigators observed that your firm failed to provide adequate cleaning and containment methods during the production of hazardous or highly potent drug products.

It is a prohibited act under section 301(k) of the FDCA [21 U.S.C. § 331(k)] to do any act with respect to a drug, if such act is done while the drug is held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce and results in the drug being adulterated.

Misbranded Drug Products

The ineligible drug products you compounded are intended for conditions not amenable to self-diagnosis and treatment by individuals who are not medical practitioners; therefore, adequate directions for use cannot be written so that a layman can use these products safely for their intended uses. Consequently, their labeling fails to bear adequate directions for their intended uses.² Accordingly, these ineligible drug products are misbranded under section 502(f)(1) of the FDCA. It is a prohibited act under section 301(k) of the FDCA to do any act with respect to a drug, if such act is done while the

² Your ineligible drug products are not exempted from the requirements of section 502(f)(1) of the FDCA by regulations issued by the FDA (see, e.g., 21 CFR 201.115).

Page 3 – Brandi M. Chane-Lee, Owner Davis City Pharmacy, Inc. 08/05/2022

drug is held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce and results in the drug being misbranded.

D. Corrective Actions

We have reviewed your firm's response to the Form FDA 483.

Regarding your responses related to the insanitary conditions, we cannot fully evaluate the adequacy of the following corrective action described in your response because you did not include sufficient information or supporting documentation:

You committed to improvements intended to prevent cross-contamination of hazardous or highly potent products. However, your response does not provide a target date for the installation of the (b) (4) hood and lacked a description of interim control measures. In addition, the response failed to include supporting documentation that cleaning agents selected are capable of deactivating hormone residues from compounding surfaces and equipment.

Please be aware that section 501(a)(2)(A) of the FDCA concerning insanitary conditions applies regardless of whether drug products you compound meet the conditions of section 503A, including the condition on receipt of a prescription for an identified individual patient prior to compounding and distributing drug products.

In addition, regarding issues related to the conditions of section 503A of the FDCA, FDA acknowledges your written commitment, dated February 17, 2022, "to only provide compounded medications with a patient specific prescription."

Should you continue to compound and distribute drug products that do not meet the conditions of section 503A, the compounding and distribution of such drugs would be subject to the new drug approval requirement, the requirement to label drug products with adequate directions for use, and the drug CGMP regulations. Before doing so, you must comply with the requirements of section 505 and 502(f)(1) and fully implement corrections that meet the minimum requirements of the CGMP regulations.³

In addition to the issues discussed above, you should note that CGMP requires the implementation of quality oversight and controls over the manufacture of drugs, including the safety of raw materials, materials used in drug manufacturing, and finished drug products. See section 501 of the FDCA. If you choose to contract with a laboratory to perform some functions required by CGMP, it is essential that you select a qualified contractor and that you maintain sufficient oversight of the contractor's operations to ensure that it is fully CGMP compliant. Regardless of whether you rely on a contract

³ In this letter we do not address whether your proposed corrective actions would resolve the CGMP violations noted above.

Page 4 – Brandi M. Chane-Lee, Owner Davis City Pharmacy, Inc. 08/05/2022

facility, you are responsible for assuring that drugs you produce are neither adulterated nor misbranded. [See 21 CFR 210.1(b), 21 CFR 200.10(b)].

E. Conclusion

The violations cited in this letter are not intended to be an all-inclusive statement of violations at your facility. You are responsible for investigating and determining the causes of any violations and for preventing their recurrence or the occurrence of other violations. It is your responsibility to ensure that your firm complies with all requirements of federal law, including FDA regulations.

Within thirty (30) working days of receipt of this letter, please notify this office in writing of the specific steps that you have taken to address any violations. Please include an explanation of each step being taken to prevent the recurrence of violations, as well as copies of related documentation. This letter notifies you of our concerns and provides you an opportunity to address them. If you believe your products are not in violation of the FDCA, include your reasoning and any supporting information for our consideration. If you cannot completely address this matter within thirty (30) working days, state the reason for the delay and the time in which you will do so.

Your written notification should refer to case # 639201.

Please electronically submit your reply, on company letterhead, to Dayna I. Martinez, Compliance Officer, at ORAPHARM2_RESPONSES@fda.hhs.gov. In addition, please submit a signed copy of your response to dayna.martinez@fda.hhs.gov and/or ORAPHARM2ActingDCB@fda.hhs.gov.

If you have questions regarding the contents of this letter, you may contact Dayna I. Martínez via phone at 787-729-8608 or email at dayna.martinez@fda.hhs.gov.

Sincerely,

Shawn Larson -S Digitally signed by Shawn Larson -S Date: 2022.08.05 14:14:56 -05'00'

Shawn Larson Acting Director, Compliance Branch Office of Pharmaceutical Quality Operations, Division II