

AGENDA	
10:00 AM – 10:15 AM	Workshop welcome and opening remarks
Paul Kluetz – Medical Oncologist, FDA	
10:15 AM – 11:30 AM	Session 1: What is the issue? Exploring the realities of open-label trials in oncology and use of PROs
<p>Moderator: Erica Horodniceanu – Health Scientist, FDA</p> <p>Panelists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terri Armstrong – Outcomes Researcher, NCI • Martha Donoghue – Pediatric Hematologist/Oncologist, FDA • Wenora Johnson – Patient Advocate • Bryce Reeve – Professor, Duke University School of Medicine • Gita Thanarajasingam – Lymphoma Hematologist, Mayo Clinic <p>Key Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Why are open-label trial designs currently used in oncology drug development? Are they more prevalent in certain treatment settings?</i> 2. <i>Why is it important to include PROs in open-label trials and how have PROs been incorporated in this trial setting?</i> 3. <i>How might the impact of open-label bias differ depending on the PRO objective (e.g., tolerability, disease symptoms)?</i> 4. <i>Are there unique considerations for PRO core outcome measurement in open-label trials?</i> 	
11:30 AM – 11:45 AM	Break
11:45 AM – 1:00 PM	Session 2: What have we learned? Analysis and interpretation of PRO data from open-label cancer trials
<p>Moderator: Vishal Bhatnagar - Medical Oncologist, FDA</p> <p>Panelists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ethan Basch – Chief of Oncology, University of North Carolina • Selena Daniels – Social Science Analyst, FDA • Mallorie Fiero – Statistician, FDA • Jessica Roydhouse – Researcher, University of Tasmania • Patty Spears – Patient Advocate, University of North Carolina 	

AGENDA	
<p>Key Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Is it possible to use available data to determine if open-label bias has influenced PRO results (e.g., examine use of concomitant supportive medications)?</i> <i>What are the differences between descriptive and comparative PRO data analyses in open-label oncology trials and how should each be interpreted?</i> <i>What analytic challenges exist when a comparative treatment effect is being proposed in an open-label trial of an oncology drug?</i> <i>What are ways to mitigate the potential of open-label bias in oncology trials (e.g., avoidance of asymmetric missingness)?</i> <i>How can analysis of PROs from open-label trials inform improvements in routine clinical care?</i> 	
1:00 PM – 1:15 PM	Break
1:15 PM – 2:45 PM	Session 3: Where do we go from here? Efforts to advance PRO to inform tolerability regardless of blinding status in oncology
<p>Moderators: Vishal Bhatnagar - Medical Oncologist, FDA Erica Horodniceanu – Health Scientist, FDA Paul Kluetz – Medical Oncologist, FDA</p> <p>Panelists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yelak Biru – Patient Advocate, International Myeloma Foundation • Melanie Calvert – Professor, University of Birmingham • Angelo de Claro – Hematologist/Oncologist, FDA • Amylou Dueck – Biostatistician, Mayo Clinic • Devin Peipert – Assistant Professor, Northwestern University <p>Key Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>What are some specific examples of PRO data in open-label trials and what are best practices to communicate these data?</i> <i>Are there differences in how descriptive PRO data (similar to safety/tolerability) should be communicated versus comparative treatment effects from open-label trials?</i> <i>How should PROs be used to characterize tolerability in oncology trials moving forward?</i> <i>How can PRO data to inform tolerability be communicated outside of the drug label (e.g., Project Patient Voice)?</i> 	
2:45 PM – 3:00 PM	Workshop conclusion and adjourn
<p>Vishal Bhatnagar, Erica Horodniceanu, Paul Kluetz</p>	