

SOPP 8217: Administrative Processing and Review Management Procedures for Investigational New Drug Applications

Version: 6

Effective Date: January 14, 2026

Table of Contents

I.	Purpose	1
II.	Scope.....	1
III.	Background.....	2
IV.	Definitions	2
V.	Policy	4
VI.	Responsibilities	9
VII.	Procedures.....	11
VIII.	Appendix	23
IX.	References	23
X.	History	26

I. Purpose

This Standard Operating Policy and Procedure (SOPP) serves as a guide for the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) staff to use for the administrative processing and review management procedures of Investigational New Drug Applications (INDs).

II. Scope

A. This SOPP applies to INDs received by CBER, including INDs for expanded access, except for individual emergency use requests. For policy and procedures regarding individual emergency use requests, see *Job Aid 851.07: Expanded Access INDs, Individual Emergency Use Requests*.

B. This SOPP does not address the specific content of scientific reviews.

III. Background

- A. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), Section 505 requires a drug or biologic to be approved for marketing by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) before it can be transported or distributed across state lines. An IND is a request from a sponsor to FDA for an exemption from this legal requirement. INDs are used for clinical studies to collect safety and efficacy information to support marketing applications for biologic and drug products or for medical research. INDs are also used under the expanded access provisions found in 21 CFR 312 Subpart I for treating patient(s) with an immediately life-threatening condition or serious disease or condition outside of clinical trials when no comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy options are available.
- B. In addition to federal laws, INDs are subject to regulations, including but not limited to, 21 CFR 312, 50, 54, 56, and 58. These regulations are intended to protect the rights, safety, and welfare of human subjects participating in clinical investigations; to ensure the quality and integrity of clinical trial data; and to facilitate the availability of new medical products to the public.
- C. This SOPP is a part of CBER's Managed Review Process (MRP). The goal of the MRP is to provide a quality and efficient process for all applications and submissions related to medical products regulated by CBER. The MRP includes the review process for the investigational phase which builds the foundation necessary to demonstrate safety, efficacy, and capability of consistent manufacture of drug or biological products. Timely and quality review of IND applications with appropriate feedback from and to sponsors enhances efficiencies via collaboration between CBER and sponsors throughout the drug development process, improves the quality of marketing applications, and allows more efficient review of marketing applications.

IV. Definitions

- A. **Authorizing Party** – A person or entity who permits in writing a person or entity (i.e., the authorized party) to reference the information in the authorizing party's submission (e.g., a Master File or IND).
- B. **Authorized Party** - Any person or entity who is permitted in writing by an authorizing party to reference authorizing party's information (for example, a Master File or IND) submitted to FDA.
- C. **Commercial IND** - An IND for an investigational drug or biological product intended to be eventually commercialized through the marketing application process.
- D. **Research/Non-Commercial IND** - An investigational product for research or treatment purposes that is not intended to support commercialization at a later

stage. If the sponsor initially submits a non-commercial/research IND, then submits either a phase 2 or phase 3 study protocol, the IND will then be considered a commercial IND unless the sponsor can justify that the phase 2 or phase 3 protocols are solely for research purposes and FDA agrees with the justification (Per Form FDA 1571 instructions).

- E. Clinical Investigation or Clinical Study or Clinical Trial** - An experiment in which a drug is administered, dispensed, or used involving one or more human subjects. For the purposes of this SOPP, an experiment is any use of a drug except for the use of a marketed drug in the course of medical practice (21 CFR 312.3(b)).
- F. Expanded Access IND** - A request to use an investigational medical product to diagnose, monitor, or treat a serious or immediately life-threatening disease or condition when there is no comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy to diagnose, monitor, or treat the disease or condition. There are three categories of expanded access INDs: individual patient, including individual non-emergency and individual emergency use, intermediate-size patient populations, and treatment use (21 CFR 312, Subpart I).
- G. Human Subject** - An individual who participates in a clinical investigation, either as a recipient of an investigational new drug or as a control. Subject may be a healthy human or a patient with a disease or condition (21 CFR 312.3(b)) (21 CFR 50.3(g)).
- H. IND Amendment** - Additional information submitted to an IND to clarify, revise, or modify previously submitted information.
- I. Independent Ethics Committee (IEC)** - A review panel that is responsible for ensuring the protection of the rights, safety, and well-being of human subjects involved in a clinical investigation and is adequately constituted to provide assurance of that protection. An institutional review board, as defined in 21 CFR 56.102(g), is one type of IEC. (21CFR 312.3(b))
- J. Institutional Review Board (IRB)** - Any board, committee, or other group formally designated by an institution to review, to approve the initiation of, and to conduct periodic review of, biomedical research involving human subjects. The primary purpose of such review is to assure the protection of the rights and welfare of the human subjects. The term has the same meaning as the phrase institutional review committee as used in section 520(g) of the FD&C Act (21 CFR 56.102(g)).
- K. Investigational New Drug** - A drug or biological product that is used in a clinical investigation. The term also includes a biological product that is used in vitro for diagnostic purposes (21 CFR 312.3(b)). The terms “investigational drug” and “investigational new drug” are deemed to be synonymous for purposes of this SOPP.

- L. Investigational New Drug Application (IND)** - A request from a sponsor to FDA seeking permission to use an unapproved drug or biological product for the purpose of clinical investigation or clinical treatment.
- M. Investigator** - An individual who conducts a clinical investigation (i.e., under whose immediate direction the drug is administered or dispensed to a subject). In the event that an investigation is conducted by a team of individuals, the investigator is the responsible leader of the team. "Subinvestigator" includes any other individual member of that team (21 CFR 312.3(b)).
- N. Letter of Authorization (LOA)** - A signed and dated letter from an authorizing party (e.g., a Master File holder or an IND sponsor) to a person or entity (authorized party) that permits them to reference a specified submission, or portion of a submission, and permits the FDA to review information in the specified submission (e.g., IND or MF) in support of the authorized party's regulatory submission.
- O. Sponsor** - A person who takes responsibility for and initiates a clinical investigation. The sponsor may be an individual or pharmaceutical company, governmental agency, academic institution, private organization, or other organization. The sponsor does not actually conduct the investigation unless the sponsor is a sponsor-investigator. A person other than an individual that uses one or more of its own employees to conduct an investigation that it has initiated is a sponsor, not a sponsor-investigator, and the employees are investigators (21 CFR 312.3(b)).
- P. Sponsor-Investigator** - An individual who initiates and conducts an investigation and under whose immediate direction the investigational drug is administered or dispensed. The term does not include any person other than an individual. The requirements applicable to a sponsor-investigator include both those applicable to an investigator and a sponsor (21 CFR 312.3(b)).

V. Policy

- A.** The procedures in this SOPP are not inclusive of all detailed procedures for INDs. This SOPP is to be used with applicable laws, regulations, guidances, SOPPs, job aids (JAs), references, checklists, and templates. IND review procedures include many specific topics. CBER staff will follow the specific requirements for a given topic in addition to the general requirements when managing INDs. The different sections of this SOPP are to be used seamlessly in conjunction with each other. For example, for an IND with a breakthrough therapy designation, reviewers will follow the procedures for such designation, and the general procedures for INDs.
- B.** Requirements for electronic submissions:

1. Under Section 745A(a) of the FD&C Act, sponsors of commercial INDs and all subsequent amendments are required to submit information electronically in the appropriate FDA required electronic Common Technical Document (eCTD) and submit standardized study data for certain applications, including INDs. Refer to the following guidances:
 - a. *Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format - Submissions Under Section 745A(a) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.*
 - b. *Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format - Certain Human Pharmaceutical Product Applications and Related Submissions using the eCTD Specifications.*
 - c. *Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format - Standardized Study Data.*
 - d. *Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format: IND Safety Reports.*
 2. IND sponsors should request a submission tracking number (STN) from CBER prior to an eCTD submission. Refer to *SOPP 8117: Issuing Tracking Numbers in Advance of Electronic Submissions in eCTD Format.*
 3. While non-commercial/research IND sponsors are exempt from the requirements for electronic submissions, they are encouraged to submit electronically using the eCTD format. For information regarding submission of eCTD exempt applications, refer to *SOPP 8110: Submission of Regulatory Applications - Exempt from eCTD Requirements* and *Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Alternate Electronic Format.*
 4. CBER accepts formal submissions via email for individual patient INDs under Expanded Access provisions of 21 CFR 312.310. Refer to *SOPP 8119: Use of Email for Regulatory Communications.*
- C. The content and format of any IND submission is expected to be complete and well-organized per 21 CFR 312. Further, per 21 CFR 312.23(a)(11), FDA can request any other relevant information needed for review of INDs.
1. The original IND submission must include information required per 21 CFR 312.23 and /or in 21 CFR 312 Subpart I for expanded access requests. Include all applicable FDA Forms as listed below. These forms can be located at <https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/reports-manuals-forms/forms>.
 - a. *Form FDA 1571: Investigational New Drug Application*

Note: For individual patient expanded access INDs, a licensed physician may use *Form FDA 3926: Individual Patient Expanded Access IND* instead of Form FDA 1571. Information about Form FDA 3926 can be found in *Guidance for Industry: Individual Patient Expanded Access Applications: Form FDA 3926*.

- b. *Form FDA 1572: Statement of Investigator. Refer to Information Sheet Guidance for Sponsors, Clinical Investigators, and IRBs: Frequently Asked Questions – Statement of Investigator (Form FDA 1572)*
- c. *Form FDA 3674: Certification of Compliance Under 42 U.S.C. § 282(j)(5)(B), with Requirements for Clinical Trials.gov Data Bank*

Note: *Form FDA 3454* and *Form FDA 3455* are not required to be submitted in INDs. However, IND sponsors are required to obtain clinical investigator financial information before allowing the investigators to participate in the study. (21 CFR 312.53). IND sponsors are also required to maintain and update records regarding clinical investigator's financial information. Refer to *Guidance: Financial Disclosure by Clinical Investigators*.

2. It is recommended that sponsors include the informed consent documents (ICD) in their IND submissions, because ICDs help to assess the safety and human subject's protection when evaluating whether a clinical study may proceed. If a sponsor does not submit an ICD as part of its IND submission, CBER can request ICDs from sponsors per 21 CFR 312.23(a)(11). ICDs must comply with the requirements found in 21 CFR 50. It must contain information to allow the subject to make an informed decision about participation in a clinical investigation covering all procedures as well as the information about the investigational product used in the clinical study. CBER's review of the ICDs does not substitute for the responsibility or authority of the IRB /IEC for ICDs.
3. A sponsor shall submit a separate IND for any clinical investigation involving an exception from informed consent under 21 CFR 50.24. (21 CFR 312.20(c))
4. IND amendments that contain different types of information that have mandated review timelines should be submitted separately. For example, an amendment requesting fast track designation should be submitted separately from an amendment for a pediatric study plan. Form FDA 1571 and the cover letter should clearly specify the type of information that is being submitted in the amendment.
5. A request from a sponsor to change the IND's status (e.g. request to withdraw an IND) is to be submitted as an amendment to the IND.

- D.** CBER staff are responsible for protecting confidential information, such as proprietary information, trade secrets, company confidential information, and patient privacy information.
1. CBER staff will follow policy and procedures in *SOPP 8119: Use of Email for Regulatory Communications* for secure email communication.
 2. CBER reviewers cannot send IND submissions back to the sponsor or to any external requester, even if the requester is the same person who submitted the information. Any external stakeholder seeking information submitted to CBER must submit a Freedom of Information (FOI) request to FDA. For FOI policy and procedures, refer to *SOPP 6408: Protecting Non-Public Information and the Freedom of Information Act*.
 3. A third party (i.e., a person or an entity other than the sponsor) who intends to submit information to FDA to be used to support an IND without disclosing their confidential/proprietary/trade information to the IND sponsor may submit a Master File (MF) which also includes a Letter of Authorization (LOA) to FDA. The LOA will permit FDA to review the information in the MF in support of the authorized party's regulatory submission.
 4. For an IND that references a MF, the MF holder must submit an LOA to the MF, authorizing the IND sponsor to reference the MF. The IND sponsor must submit a copy of the LOA in the referencing IND, even if the sponsor and the MF holder are the same. An LOA does not give an authorized party permission to view or access the MF. Separate internal review memos are prepared in most circumstances for the MF and the referencing IND to ensure that confidential and proprietary information contained in the MF and IND are not inadvertently disclosed to unauthorized parties. Refer to *SOPP 8301: Receipt and Processing of Master Files* and *SOPP 8301.1: Review and Administrative Procedures for Master Files* for pertinent information regarding MFs.
 5. The sponsor ordinarily is not required to resubmit information previously submitted but may incorporate the information by reference. A reference to information submitted to CBER by a person other than the sponsor is required to contain an LOA that authorizes the reference and that is signed by the person who submitted the information. (21 CFR 312.23(b)).
- D.** CBER staff will not terminate an inactive IND that has been inactive for 5 years or more if the inactive IND is already being cross-referenced.
- E.** Review staff will follow applicable laws, regulations, guidances, policies, procedures, and utilize available reviewer templates that have been approved specifically for assigned areas of responsibility.

- F. CBER review staff will adhere to the *Guidance for Industry and Review Staff: Best Practices for Communication Between IND Sponsors and FDA During Drug Development* for effective and timely interactive communication with sponsors.
- G. All communications, including telephone calls and other informal communications, are to be correctly characterized in the appropriate regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file in a timely fashion. All documents, e.g., review memos, are to be appropriately characterized in the regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file. All letters issued by CBER and included in the administrative file must use the most recently approved letter template (available on the Letter Templates site on CBER's Review Resources SharePoint hub). Defined dates used on CBER correspondence and entered into CBER regulatory systems are described in *JA 820.02: Dating of CBER Correspondence*.
- H. IND review timelines established by statute and/or regulation apply firmly to CBER's IND process. Certain types of IND submissions (e.g., an IND amendment for a new protocol under an active IND) do not have statutory or regulatory timelines but may have CBER internal targeted timelines. Refer to Appendix A of this SOPP and Regulatory Reference *R 851.03: Review Timelines and Routing Triage for INDs and IND-Related Submissions* for IND review timelines. Goal dates are in calendar days unless stated otherwise.
- I. Review Team Members must keep management up to date of any significant review issues.
- J. Unless patients are exposed to immediate and serious risk, CBER will attempt to discuss and satisfactorily resolve potential hold-related review issues with the sponsor **before** issuing a clinical hold order. Refer to *SOPP 8201: Administrative Processing of Clinical Holds for Investigational New Drug Applications* for detailed information regarding CBER's policies and procedures on clinical hold.
- K. CBER will manage INDs to ensure that IND statuses in the regulatory system are accurate and timely updated. CBER staff will use this SOPP in conjunction with *R 850.01: IRA Terminal Statuses and Communication Requirements*.
 - 1. CBER will manage INDs to ensure that INDs with terminal status are placed in the terminal status in a timely manner. INDs that are left in a non-terminal status when in fact they should be in a terminal status cause unnecessary administrative work and distort CBER's workload tracking and reporting.
 - 2. Terminal statuses include, but are not limited to, INDs that are exempted, withdrawn, terminated or transferred to another FDA Center. See *R 850.01: IRA Terminal Statuses and Communication Requirements* for definitions.
 - 3. The process of placing an IND in a terminal status may be initiated in response to a request from the sponsor, or/and by FDA as a result of failure

to submit required safety and periodic reports, an extended inactive state, a safety issue or other reasons stated in 21 CFR 312.44.

4. Prior to placing an IND in terminal status, the IND file should be updated with all relevant documentation including reviews and correspondence, i.e., all relevant documentation has been entered into the appropriate regulatory systems.
5. INDs placed in a terminal status are documented by an IND Status changing communication (e.g., letter, email, or telecon), when applicable, or by a memorandum to the file.
6. Submissions from sponsors are not expected to be received to an IND in a terminal status. No sponsor's submission will revise a terminal IND status, except for a request for reinstatement (in rare circumstances) of a terminated IND. INDs in terminal statuses may not be cross-referenced.
7. The IND status cannot be changed once placed in terminal status (except in rare circumstances when there is a request for reinstatement).
8. Sponsors may not reference an IND in terminal status.

VI. Responsibilities

- A. **Branch Chief** – ensures that the overall content of reviews is appropriate, all deadlines are met. Reviews and approves employee's review documents and other submission documents per CBER policies and procedures. Keeps Division Director informed of important issues related to IND reviews.
- B. **Discipline Reviewer** – performs review of assigned areas of the submission, participates in relevant meetings, provides letter ready comments for conveyance to the sponsor, assures their review has been documented; and enter reviews and any other documentation into the appropriate regulatory system. Identifies and informs RPM the need for inter-center and intra-center consults. Works closely with the RPM in executing these duties.
- C. **Division Director** – stays informed of important issues related to IND reviews; addresses specific issues brought to his or her attention through the discipline or division management chain; consults with the Office Director, the Associate Director for Review Management (ADRM), the Center Director, and other appropriate groups, as necessary.
- D. **Document Control Center (DCC)** – receives, digital images (if applicable), and processes non-eCTD submissions, including loading electronic applications into CBER's electronic repository (CER), and notifies office and Regulatory Information Branch (RIB) via the electronic load notification.

- E. Electronic Submission Program Manager (ESPM) / DCC** – responsible for the receipt, processing, and loading of regulatory submissions for reviewer access. Serves as primary contact to sponsors and stakeholders for guidance and support to resolve ingest validation, technical rejection, and submission access issues.
- F. Office of Regulatory Operations (ORO), Division of Informatics (DI), Regulatory Information Branch (RIB)** – issues pre-assigned IND numbers for electronic submissions; works with the RPM for IND submission characterization in the regulatory system; works with review offices for data quality; and generates IND review performance reports.
- G. Regulatory Information Specialist (RIS)** – provides administrative support for IND related matters.
- H. Regulatory Project Manager (RPM)** – responsible for the overall management of IND processing and review.
1. Serves as the primary point of contact with sponsors and stakeholders.
 2. Works closely with the discipline review team, ensures the review team is kept up to date on all aspects of IND review, brings scientific and regulatory issues to the attention of management, works with review team to facilitate and ensure resolution and consensus of review issues especially when issues cross disciplines.
 3. Serves as a resource for regulatory knowledge, FDA and Center policies, procedures, and business process documents such as checklists and templates.
 4. Schedules and manages internal and external review meetings, presents regulatory issues identified during the review and options for regulatory action or resolution.
 5. Drafts key regulatory decision communications, such as clinical hold letter consistent with FDA and CBER policies, procedures, and current templates.
 6. Captures review team communications and ensures that the appropriate regulatory systems are updated with the correct information, and files are administratively complete.
 7. Performs quality control checks. Ensures all sections of the IND have been assigned for review. Ensures regulatory and administrative actions including inter-center consults are completed on time and may identify when consults are needed. Notifies management when timelines are not met.

8. Contacts RIB for any questions regarding IND status, including characterization and data entry in regulatory systems.
- I. **Regulatory Project Management Supervisor (RPM Supervisor)** – supervises and manages RPMs for INDs, such as assignment, ensuring completion of RPM tasks, and problem-solving of RPM-related issues.
- J. **Team Lead** – if applicable, makes work assignments when delegated; leads the IND review and ensures resolution of scientific and regulatory issues in concert with management. Specific responsibilities include ensuring all sections of the IND have been assigned for review, and review decisions are scientifically sound and consistent with current law, regulations, policies and procedures; drafting key regulatory decision communications, such as clinical hold letter comments; bringing scientific issues to the attention of management and facilitating resolution and consensus. The team lead works closely with the RPM in executing these duties.

VII. Procedures

Notes:

Each step in the procedure section is chronologically listed where practicable. It is permissible and may be necessary to accomplish steps out of sequence, when appropriate.

Review assessment and documentation start when the IND application is received and continues throughout the life cycle of the IND.

A. Receipt, Processing, Routing and Assignment of Original IND

1. Receive, digitally image (if applicable), process, and load into the CER. Notify the appropriate review office and RIB through CER load notification. **[DCC, ESPM] Note:** The IND review clock starts when the application (including applications transferred from other FDA centers to CBER) has been logged by DCC with a CBER receipt date.
2. Monitor for load notifications and inform the appropriate RPM supervisor upon notification of IND receipt. **[RIS, RPM Supervisor]**
3. If an IND is incorrectly sent to CBER (i.e., the IND belongs to another FDA Center), follow *DCC Procedure Guide 2: Transfer of Investigational Related Applications (IRAs) to Another Center*. **[RPM Supervisor, RPM, RIB, DCC, ESPM]**
4. Assign an RPM. **[RPM Supervisor]**

5. Characterize the IND submission. Ensure that all data are entered, and all necessary fields are completed in the appropriate regulatory system. **[RIB, RPM]**
6. Conduct a preliminary review; ensure the elements required for Form FDA 1571 under 21 CFR 312.23(a)(1) are included in the submission, e.g., that the Form FDA 1571 is complete and signed. Ensure other required forms such as Form FDA 3674 are included in the submission and the forms are completed with required information. **[RPM]**
 - a. Contact the sponsor and request the missing information related to Form FDA 1571 and other required forms.
7. Ensure that the characterization of the IND is correct and complete by conducting a quality check in the appropriate systems. **[RPM]**
8. Identify other submissions mentioned or cross-referenced to the IND; (e.g., pre-IND or INTERACT meeting, cross-referenced INDs and/or MFs, or an LOA) and ensure the information is entered into the appropriate regulatory system. **[RIB, RPM]**
 - a. If there was a pre-IND submission, ensure it is closed once the IND is received in accordance with *SOPP 8114: Administrative Processing of Documents Received Prior to Submitting Investigational or Marketing Applications (Pre-Application)*.
 - b. Ensure that any referenced MFs are available to the appropriate reviewers as necessary. If MFs are located in another FDA Center, contact CBER's Product Jurisdiction Officer in the Office of the Center Director to facilitate gaining access to the files. *Refer to SOPP 8301: Receipt and Processing Master Files and SOPP 8301.1: Review and Administrative Procedures for Master Files* for information on routing MF for review.
 - c. Ensure that the MF holder has submitted an LOA to the MF, authorizing the IND sponsor to reference the MF and the IND sponsor has submitted a copy of the LOA in the referencing IND, even if the sponsor and the MF holder are the same. *Refer to SOPP 8301: Receipt and Processing Master Files and SOPP 8301.1: Review and Administrative Procedures for Master Files.*
 - d. Ensure that the cross-referenced INDs include an LOA from the respective referenced IND and are not in terminal status. If cross-referenced INDs are in terminal status, notify the sponsor that terminated INDs may not be cross-referenced, because the sponsor of a terminated IND has no obligation to submit reports or to update the file.

9. Notify appropriate supervisors of receipt of the application, request assignment or confirm review team members, including the following, as applicable: **[RPM]**
 - a. Primary Reviewer (product office)
 - b. Clinical Reviewer (product office)
 - c. Clinical Pharmacology Reviewer (product office)
 - d. Pharmacology/Toxicology Reviewer (product office)
 - e. Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls (CMC) Reviewer (product office)
 - f. Bioinformatics Reviewer (product office)
 - g. Biostatistics/Pharmacovigilance Reviewer (Office of Biostatistics and Pharmacovigilance (OBPV))
 - h. Digital Health Technology (DHT) Reviewer (OBPV)
 - i. Real World Evidence (RWE) Reviewer (OBPV)
 - j. Benefit-Risk Assessment Reviewer (OBPV)
 - k. Artificial Intelligence (AI) Reviewer (OBPV)
 - l. Labeling, Proprietary Name Reviewer (Product Office and Advertising and Promotional Labeling Branch (APLB), Division of Case Management (DCM), Office of Compliance and Biologics Quality (OCBQ))
 - m. Reviewer(s) from OCBQ:
 - i. Bioresearch Monitoring Program (BIMO) Representative
 - ii. Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality (DMPQ) Representative
 - n. Preclinical Pharmacology Reviewer
 - o. Consult Reviewer
10. Assign review team members; notify RPM. **[Supervisor]**
11. Ensure that all assigned review team members are entered into the appropriate regulatory system. Ensure the IND is correctly routed in the regulatory system to the review team and that any consult reviewer(s) have access to the submission. **[RPM]**

12. Send a notification, including review plan and review schedule, to all review team members and their supervisors, including consult reviewers and their supervisors, as appropriate. **[RPM]**
 - a. Identify all regulatory tracks/topics within the IND and their respective timelines that need to be met (e.g., request for designation of breakthrough therapy has a different timeline than the original IND submission). Ensure the review plan and review schedule meet the specific requirements and timelines for the specific regulatory topics. Refer to *Appendix A* and *R 851.03: Review Timelines and Routing Triage for INDs and IND-Related Submissions* for detailed review timelines.
13. Ensure an acknowledgement letter is issued, correctly characterized in the regulatory system, and uploaded into the administrative file. **[RPM, RIS]**

Note: 21 CFR 312.40 requires that FDA notify the sponsor in writing of the date when it receives an IND.

B. Review of Original INDs

1. Initiate review. Verify that the submission contains complete information needed for IND review. **[Review Team Members, RPM]**
2. Discuss with the sponsor, as early as possible and practical, issues that may be grounds for imposition of a clinical hold and attempt to satisfactorily resolve the matter with the sponsor. **[RPM, Appropriate Review Team Member(s)]**
 - a. As resources allow, CBER will aim to request additional information via email or telecon (e.g., for complex potential hold issues) no later than day 21 following receipt of IND).
 - b. Provide the sponsor with a requested response date. **Note:** CBER requests that sponsors respond by the requested response date to allow CBER time to review within 30 days.
 - c. Document the communications in the appropriate regulatory system and upload them into the administrative file.
3. If a referenced MF has a deficiency(ies) in support a referencing IND, notify the IND sponsor that the MF is insufficient to support their IND. Identify the general subject of the deficiency(ies) without disclosing confidential and/or proprietary information in the MF. Details of the MF deficiency(ies) are disclosed only to the MF holder.

- a. Refer to *SOPP 8301.1: Review and Administrative Procedures for Master Files* for sample recommended language informing the IND sponsor that the referenced MF is deficient.
 - i. Inform the IND sponsor that because of the confidential nature of FDA submissions, FDA cannot discuss the content of the referenced MF with the sponsor, but the deficiencies identified in the MF are being communicated by the FDA to the MF holder.
 - ii. Recommend that the sponsor communicate directly with the MF holder regarding how the deficiencies can be addressed.
 - iii. Inform the sponsor that when the MF holder has communicated to the sponsor that the deficiencies have been addressed (i.e., the MF's response to the deficiencies have been submitted to FDA in an amendment to their MF), the IND sponsor should respond to FDA with an IND amendment and include the signed /dated letter from the MF holder to the sponsor indicating that the MF holder has responded to FDA regarding the MF deficiency.
 - b. Inform the MF holder as soon as possible that MF is insufficient to support the IND. Discuss with the MF holder the deficiencies in the MF without disclosing confidential information in the referencing IND.
 - i. Inform the MF holder that because of the confidential nature of FDA submissions, FDA cannot discuss the content of the IND with the MF holder.
 - ii. Recommend that the MF holder communicate directly with the IND sponsor as soon as possible regarding how the deficiencies can be addressed.
 - iii. Inform the MF holder to submit a MF amendment to FDA that addresses the deficiencies, and to communicate with the sponsor by a signed/dated letter stating that the MF deficiencies have been addressed in a MF amendment to FDA
 - c. Prepare separate review memos for the MF and the referencing IND to ensure that confidential and proprietary information contained in the MF is not inadvertently disclosed to unauthorized parties.
4. Determine and verify the need for consults following the procedures and timelines in *SOPP 8001.5: Inter-Center Consultative Review Process*.
[Review Team Members, RPM]
- a. Make consult assignment(s) if needed in the appropriate regulatory system, notify consultant(s) of the assignment and due date. **[RPM]**

Note: Follow mandatory and internal timelines in SOPP 8001.5 when processing consults. There are target dates for completing the ICCR form and for identifying the consult center lead.

Note: Consulting among offices within CBER (i.e., intra-Center consult) is processed by emailing the appropriate person in the consulting office/division.

5. Schedule and conduct internal meetings/discussions as needed. **[RPM, Review team members, Team Lead, Branch Chief]**
6. Schedule, prepare, and conduct informal meetings/discussions with sponsors as needed. For example, per 21 CFR 312.42(c) reviewers will attempt to discuss and satisfactorily resolve potential clinical hold issues. **[RPM, Review Team Members, Team Lead, Branch Chief]**
7. Review IND and solicited amendments; provide responses as needed. **Note:** Be aware of other submissions that are cross-referenced to the IND, such as a pre-IND meeting. **[Review Team Members]**
 - a. Ensure review meets specific requirements and timelines for specific submission types / topics, as applicable. Refer to the respective SOPP, Appendix A of this SOPP, and *R 851.03: Review Timelines and Routing Triage for INDs and IND-Related Submissions*.
8. Determine if issues are identified that may justify imposing a clinical hold. **[Review Team Members]**
 - a. Follow the policy and procedures for clinical holds in *SOPP 8201: Administrative Processing of Clinical Holds for Investigational New Drug Applications*. **[RPM, Review Team Members, Team Lead, Branch Chief, Division Director]**

Note: An IND goes into effect 30 calendar days after FDA receives the IND, unless FDA notifies the sponsor that the clinical investigations described in the IND are subject to a clinical hold, or on earlier notification by FDA that the clinical investigations may begin (21 CFR 312.40(b)). However, an IND involving an exception from informed consent requirements for emergency research under 21 CFR 50.24 is not permitted to proceed without the prior written authorization from FDA. CBER will provide a written determination within 30 days after CBER receives the IND involving exception from informed consent requirements for emergency research (21 CFR 312.20(c)). See *SOPP 8209: Process for Review and Monitoring of Applications Involving Clinical Studies under Provisions of 21 CFR 50.24: Exception from Informed Consent Requirements for Emergency Research*.

9. When necessary, communicate with sponsors about issues not related to clinical hold. Ensure communications are correctly characterized in the regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file. **[RPM]**
10. Upload supervisory-concurred review memos into the administrative file. **[Review Team Members]**
11. After review completion, move reviewers and consultants external to the review office to the review history in the appropriate regulatory systems. Issue a new request for IND amendments, as necessary. **[RPM]**

C. IND Amendments

1. Receipt, Processing, Routing, and Assignment
 - a. Receive, digitally image (if applicable), process, and load IND amendments into the CER. **[ESPM, DCC]**
 - b. Notify the appropriate Office and RIB through the CER load notification. The IND amendment review clock, when applicable, starts when the IND application is logged into DCC system with a CBER receipt date for the IND amendment. **[ESPM, DCC]**
 - c. Monitor for notifications of receipt of IND amendments. **[RPM, RIB]**
 - d. Ensure that all data, including characteristic codes, the short summary, and all necessary fields are complete in the appropriate regulatory system. **[RIB, RPM]**
 - e. Route the amendment in the appropriate regulatory system to the relevant review team members. **[RPM]**
 - i. Inform DMPQ management via email and request reviewer assignment if DMPQ review is needed. **[RPM]**
 - f. If applicable, ensure that an acknowledgement letter is issued (refer to *R 851.03: Review Timelines and Routing Triage for INDs and IND Related Submissions*), is correctly characterized in the regulatory system, and uploaded into the administrative file. **[RPM]**
2. Review of IND Amendment
 - a. Initiate the review by ensuring the amendment contains the necessary elements and contents. **[Review Team Members, RPM]**
 - b. Establish and confirm a review plan and schedule as applicable, inform all review members of this schedule. **[RPM]**

- i. Ensure that the review plan and schedule meet the specific requirements and timelines for specific submission types/topics. For IND review timelines, refer to Appendix A of this SOPP and *R 851.03: Review Timelines and Routing Triage for INDs and IND-Related Submissions*.
- c. Determine if a consult is needed; enter the consult assignment in the appropriate regulatory system, and notify the consultant of the assignment and due date. **[RPM, Review Team Members]**
 - i. Refer to *SOPP 8001.5: Inter-Center Consultative Review Process* for ICCR procedures.

Note: Follow mandatory and internal timelines in *SOPP 8001.5* when processing consults. There are target dates for completing ICCR form and for identifying consult center lead.
 - ii. Consulting among offices within CBER is processed by emailing the appropriate person in the consulting office / division.
- d. Review IND amendments and the solicited information. Consider the status of the original IND while reviewing each amendment. Determine the impact of the amendment on the original IND and appropriate subsequent actions needed. Provide a response as needed. **[Review Team Members]**
 - i. Conduct a review of amendments, determine if clinical hold is needed. Refer to 21 CFR 312 and *SOPP 8201: Administrative Processing of Clinical Holds for Investigational New Drug Applications*, for procedures related to clinical hold, continued clinical hold, or removing clinical hold. **[RPM, Review Team Members, and Division Director]**
 - ii. Ensure that the review meets specific requirements and timelines for specific submission types/topics, if applicable. Refer to the respective SOPP, Appendix A of this SOPP, and *R 851.03: Review Timelines and Routing Triage for INDs and IND-Related Submissions*.
- e. Request additional information from the sponsor if necessary. Ensure the communications are correctly characterized in the regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file. **[Review Team Members, RPM]**
- f. If a referenced MF has deficiency(ies) in support of the IND, refer to the above steps in Procedure B-2-c of this SOPP for methods of communicating with IND sponsors regarding the deficiency(ies) in their referenced MFs.

- g. Schedule, prepare, and conduct meetings with IND sponsors as needed. For formal meetings, refer to the policy and procedures in *SOPP 8101.1: Regulatory Meetings with Sponsors and Applicants for Drugs and Biological Products* and *JA 840.01 Management and Review of Q-Submission Requests*. **[RIS, RPM, Review Team Members, Division Director]**
- h. Obtain supervisory concurrence when necessary. Upload the completed review memo into the administrative file, and enter it into the appropriate regulatory system; ensuring that the correct communication codes are used. **[Review Team Members]**
- i. After review completion, move reviewers and consults external to the review office to the review history in the appropriate regulatory systems. Issue a new request for IND amendments, as necessary. **[RPM]**

D. Managing Changes of IND Status

- 1. Clinical Hold and Removing of Clinical Hold (21 CFR 312.42)
 - a. Refer to *SOPP 8201: Administrative Processing of Clinical Hold for Investigational New Drug Applications*. **[RPM]**
 - b. Ensure the communication code and reason(s) for hold are correctly characterized in the regulatory system to reflect the correct IND status. **[RPM]**
- 2. Exemption of IND (21 CFR 312.2)
 - a. Review sponsor's request for exemption and determine if the IND or clinical study may be considered exempt, under the criteria set forth in 21 CFR 312.2. **[RPM, Review Team Members]**
 - i. An exemption request may be considered for the original IND or for a clinical study that is under an active IND.
 - ii. Refer to 21 CFR 312.2, and *Guidance for Clinical Investigators, Sponsors, and IRBs: Investigational New Drug Applications (INDs) — Determining Whether Human Research Studies Can Be Conducted Without an IND*.
 - b. Draft, route, review, sign, and send the notification letter to sponsor regarding the decision of whether the IND can be exempted. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members, Division Director]**
 - c. Ensure the letter is correctly characterized to update the status in the regulatory system and is uploaded into the administrative file. **[RPM]**

3. Inactivation of IND (21 CFR 312.45)

- a. Identify INDs that potentially meet the criteria for inactivation per 21 CFR 312.45 or receive sponsor's request for inactivation for INDs that meet the inactivation criteria under 21 CFR 312.45. If inactivation is requested by a sponsor, go directly to step d of this section. **[RIB, RPM]**

Note: Gene therapy and xeno-transplantation INDs may require long-term follow-up and, thus, may not be candidates for inactivation. The additional considerations are described in the *Guidance for Industry: Long Term Follow-Up After Administration of Human Gene Therapy Products*.

- b. Draft, route, review, sign, and send IND pre-inactivation notification to the sponsor if no subjects are entered into clinical studies for a period of 2 years or more under an IND, or if all investigations under an IND remain on clinical hold for 1 year or more, per 21 CFR 312.45. Ensure the letter is correctly characterized in the regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members]**
- c. Review sponsor's response to the pre-inactivation letter and determine if the IND should be inactivated within 30 days after receiving the sponsor's response. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members]**
- d. Draft, route, review, sign, and send the IND inactivation notification letter to the sponsor if the sponsor does not respond to the IND pre-inactivation letter within 30 calendar days of receipt of the letter, or the sponsor fails to justify within 30 days the reason(s) why the IND should continue to remain active, or if the inactivation request is made by the sponsor and the sponsor's request meets the inactivation criteria identified under 21 CFR 312.45. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members]**
- e. Ensure the inactivation notification letter is correctly characterized to update the status in the regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file. **[RPM]**

4. Reactivation of IND (21 CFR 312.45)

- a. Receive IND reactivation request and reactivation protocol amendment from sponsor.

Note: Per 21 CFR 312.45(d), a sponsor who intends to resume clinical investigation under an IND placed on inactive status shall submit a protocol amendment containing information required under 21 CFR 312.30, including the proposed general investigational plan and appropriate protocols. **[RPM]**

- b. Send an acknowledgement letter to the sponsor. Ensure the letter is correctly characterized in the regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file. **[RPM]**
 - c. For INDs that were not on clinical hold or partial hold prior to reactivation, review the IND reactivation request and protocol amendment **within 30 days of receipt** of such request, determine if the IND can be reactivated/allowed to proceed according to 21 CFR 312.45(d) and/or if the IND must be placed on clinical hold. **[RPM, Review Team Members]**
 - i. Refer to *SOPP 8201: Administrative Processing of Clinical Hold for Investigational New Drug Applications* for clinical hold procedures.
 - d. If the IND was on clinical hold or partial hold prior to the inactivation of the IND, the reactivation request will change the IND status back to clinical hold or partial hold, depending on the status of the IND prior to inactivation. Follow *SOPP 8201: Administrative Processing of Clinical Hold for Investigational New Drug Applications* for clinical hold procedures. **[RPM, Review Team Members]**
 - e. Communicate with sponsor as needed. Ensure communications are correctly characterized in the regulatory system and uploaded into the administrative file. Ensure the IND reflects the correct status. **[RPM]**
5. Termination of IND (21 CFR 312.44, 312.45, 312.33)
- a. Identify INDs that potentially meet criteria for termination, per criteria set in 21 CFR 312.44. **[RIB, RPM]**
 - i. Note: CBER staff will not terminate an inactive IND that has been inactive for 5 years or more if the inactive IND is being cross-referenced.
 - ii. Note: Once an IND is terminated, a new IND must be submitted if the product is subjected to clinical study again, except reinstated INDs (very rare exception).
 - iii. Note: Gene therapy and xeno-transplantation INDs may require long-term follow-up and, thus, may not be candidates for termination. The additional considerations prior to termination are described in the *Guidance for Industry: Long Term Follow-Up After Administration of Human Gene Therapy Products*.
 - b. If CBER concludes at any time that continuation of the investigation presents an immediate and substantial danger to the health of individuals, skip steps c and d regarding pretermination in this section, and proceed to the immediate termination steps below:

- i. Draft, route, review and finalize immediate termination letter to the sponsor. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members, Branch Chief, Division Director]**
- ii. Send the finalized immediate termination letter to the CBER Director for signature. **[RPM]**
- iii. Send the immediate termination letter to sponsor. **[RPM]**

Note: An IND immediately terminated under 21 CFR 312.45(d) is subject to reinstatement by the CBER Director on the basis of additional submissions that eliminate such danger. If an IND is immediately terminated under 21 CFR 312.45(d), the agency will afford the sponsor an opportunity for a regulatory hearing under 21 CFR 16 on the question of whether the IND should be reinstated.

- c. Draft, route, review, sign, and send an IND pre-termination notification to the sponsor about CBER's intent to place the IND on terminated status if the IND has remained on an inactive status for 5 years or more, per 21 CFR 312.45, or for termination based on deficiencies in the IND or in the conduct of an investigation under an IND, as set forth in 21 CFR 312.44. Upload the pre-termination notification letter to the administrative file. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members, Branch Chief, Division Director]**
 - i. Before issuing a pre-termination letter, ensure that there is no cross-referencing to the inactive IND. If the inactivated IND is still being cross-referenced, do not continue the pre-termination process. **[RIB, RPM]**
 - ii. If the sponsor responds to CBER's pre-termination letter within 30 days and disagrees with CBER's reason(s) for termination, but CBER does not accept the sponsor's explanation or correction submitted, inform the sponsor in writing of the reason for the nonacceptance and provide the sponsor with an opportunity for a regulatory hearing under 21 CFR 16 regarding whether the IND should be terminated. The sponsor's request for a regulatory hearing must be made within 10 days of sponsor's receipt of FDA's notification of nonacceptance.
- d. Review sponsor's response to the pre-termination letter and determine if the IND should be terminated within 30 days after receiving the sponsor's response. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members]**
- e. Draft, route, review, sign, and send the IND termination notification letter to the sponsor if the sponsor does not respond to the IND pre-termination letter in 30 calendar days or later after receipt of the letter, or the sponsor fails to justify within 30 days the reason(s) why the IND should not be terminated. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members]**

- f. Upload the termination notification letter into the administrative file. Ensure that all relevant documentation including written reviews and correspondence have been entered into the administrative file prior to placing the IND in terminal status. Ensure that the correct communication code is entered into the regulatory system to reflect the correct IND status. **[RPM]**
- 6. Withdrawal of IND (21 CFR 312.38)
 - a. Review sponsor's request for IND withdrawal and determine if the IND may be withdrawn. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members]**
 - i. Note: Once an IND is withdrawn, a new IND must be submitted if the product is again subject to clinical study.
 - ii. Note: Gene therapy and xeno-transplantation INDs may require long-term follow-up and, thus, may not be candidates for withdrawal. The additional considerations prior to withdrawal are described in the *Guidance for Industry: Long Term Follow-Up After Administration of Human Gene Therapy Products*.
 - b. If the IND may be withdrawn, then draft, route, review, sign, and send the IND withdrawal acknowledgement notification letter to the sponsor. **[RIB, RPM, Review Team Members]**
 - c. Upload the withdrawal acknowledgement notification letter to the administrative file. Ensure that the correct communication code is entered into the regulatory system to update the IND status. **[RPM]**

VIII. Appendix

A. Statutory Review Timelines for INDs and IND-Related Submissions

IX. References

A. References below are CBER internal:

- 1. Document Control Center Procedures
 - a. DCC Procedure Guide 2: Transfer of Investigational Related Applications (IRAs) to Another Center
- 2. Regulatory Job Aids (JAs)
 - a. JA 820.02: Dating of CBER Correspondence
 - b. JA 851.07: Expanded Access INDs, Individual Emergency Use Requests

- c. JA 840.01: Management and Review of Q-Submission Requests
 - 3. Regulatory References
 - a. R 810.04: Meeting Information
 - b. R 850.01: IRA Terminal Statuses and Communication Requirements
 - c. R 851.03: Review Timelines and Routing Triage for Investigational Drug Applications (INDs) and IND-Related Submissions
 - 4. Standard Operating Policies and Procedures (SOPPs)
 - a. SOPP 6408: Protecting Non-public Information and the Freedom of Information Act
 - b. SOPP 8001.5: Inter-Center Consultative Review Process
 - c. SOPP 8209: Process for Review and Monitoring of Applications Involving Clinical Studies under Provisions of 21 CFR 50.24: Exception from Informed Consent Requirements for Emergency Research
 - d. [SOPP 8301.1: Review and Administrative Procedures for Master Files](#)
- B. References below can be found on the Internet:**
- 1. Statutes and Regulations
 - a. [Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21](#)
 - 2. Guidance Documents
 - a. [Guidance for Clinical Investigators, Sponsors, and IRBs: Investigational New Drug Applications \(INDs\): Determining Whether Human Research Studies Can Be Conducted Without an IND](#)
 - b. [Guidance for Industry: Individual Patient Expanded Access Applications: Form FDA 3926](#)
 - c. [Guidance for Industry: Long Term Follow-Up After Administration of Human Gene Therapy Products.](#)
 - d. [Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Alternative Electronic Format](#)
 - e. [Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format — Certain Human Pharmaceutical Product Applications and Related Submissions Using the eCTD Specifications](#)

- f. [Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format: IND Safety Reports](#)
 - g. [Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions In Electronic Format — Standardized Study Data](#)
 - h. [Guidance for Industry: Providing Regulatory Submissions in Electronic Format — Submissions Under Section 745A\(a\) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act](#)
 - i. [Guidance for Industry: Qualified Infectious Disease Product Designation - Questions and Answers](#)
 - j. [Guidance for Industry and Review Staff: Best Practices for Communication Between IND Sponsors and FDA During Drug Development](#)
 - k. [Draft Guidance: Information Sheet Guidance for Sponsors, Clinical Investigators, and IRBs: Frequently Asked Questions Statement of Investigator \(Form FDA 1572\)](#)
- 3. Standard Operating Policy and Procedures
 - a. [SOPP 8110: Submission of Regulatory Applications – Exempt from eCTD Requirements](#)
 - b. [SOPP 8114: Administrative Processing of Documents Received Prior to Submitting Investigational or Marketing Applications \(Pre-Application\)](#)
 - c. [SOPP 8117: Issuing Tracking Numbers in Advance of Electronic Submissions in eCTD Format](#)
 - d. [SOPP 8119: Use of Email for Regulatory Communications](#)
 - e. [SOPP 8201: Administrative Processing of Clinical Holds for Investigational New Drug Applications](#)
 - f. [SOPP 8301: Receipt and Processing Master Files](#)
- 4. FDA Forms
 - a. [Form FDA 1571: Investigational New Drug Application \(IND\)](#)
 - b. [Form FDA 1572: Statement of Investigators](#)
 - c. [Form FDA 3454: Certification: Financial Interests and Arrangements of Clinical Investigators](#)

- d. [Form FDA 3455: Disclosure: Financial Interests and Arrangements of Clinical Investigators](#)
- e. [Form FDA 3674: Certification of Compliance, under 42 U.S.C. , 282\(j\)\(5\)\(B\), with Requirements of ClinicalTrials.gov](#)
- f. [Form FDA 3926: Individual Patient Expanded Access Investigational New Drug Application \(IND\)](#)

X. History

Written/ Revised	Approved By	Approval Date	Version Number	Comment
Xiaoqiu Tang	Sonday Kelly, MS, RAC, PMP Director, DROP/ORO	January 14, 2026	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added to policy section information regarding Master files • Clarified in policy: Status changes must be submitted as an amendment • Removed the word "resolvable" throughout procedures. • Added definitions, reference & steps for managing INDs referencing to MFs. • Added AI reviewer • Minor updates in procedures to clarify language regarding uploading documents into the regulatory system and administrative file

Written/ Revised	Approved By	Approval Date	Version Number	Comment
Xiaoqiu Tang	Sonday Kelly, MS, RAC, PMP Director, DROP/ORO	July 5, 2024	5	Update to allow cross-referencing to inactive INDs; Reminder added to load all docs. in the regulatory systems before setting IND to terminal status; Move policy items from R 850.01; Incorporate ESP and ESPM; Add reference to QIDP per FDORA; Add reference to IND safety reporting. Remove Forms 3454 and 3455 from IND submission requirement.
Rivers/Kelly/Tang/ Monser	Sonday Kelly, MS, RAC, PMP Director, DROP/ORO	December 15, 2023	4	Update to incorporate best practice for issuing IRs no later than day 21 for potential hold issues as resources allow and added additional reviewers to review team
Monser	N/A	February 27, 2023	3	Technical Update for 2023 CBER reorganization
Xiaoqiu Tang; Cherie Ward- Peralta	Darlene Martin, MS, PMP ORO/DROP Director (Acting)	September 28, 2022	2	Update for PDUFA VII
Xiaoqiu Tang and IND WG	Christopher Joneckis, PhD	February 10, 2022	1	First Issuance

SOPP 8217: Appendix A: Statutory Review Timeline for INDs and IND Related Submissions**Note:**

- Unless specified otherwise, due dates are in calendar days. If the due date is on a weekend or holiday, the review and communication are due on the previous Friday or the working day before the holiday.
- Review clock starts on Day 1, the date of receipt of the respective review item or correspondence from sponsor. For example, the 30 day review clock for determining clinical hold starts when the IND or amendment is received by CBER.
- Use this Appendix in conjunction with the applicable regulations, guidances, SOPPs, JAs, Regulatory References and other business process documents, as appropriate.

Acronyms:

- BPCA: Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act
- Cures Act: 21st Century Cures Act of 2016
- FDAAA: Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007
- FDAMA: The Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997
- FDARA: The FDA Reauthorization Act of 2017
- FDASIA: The Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act of 2012
- FDCA: The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
- FDORA: The Food and Drug Omnibus Reform Act of 2020
- PDUFA: Prescription Drug User Fee Amendments
- PSP: Pediatric Study Plan
- RMAT: Regenerative Medicine Advanced Therapy
- SPA: Special Protocol Assessment

Review Subject	Statutory Review Clock	Final Action or Response	Statutory Reference
Original IND	30 days	Hold or proceed telecon by day 30; Hold / May proceed (Advice) letter no later than 30 days after the initial notification telecon; Hold /May proceed letter no later than 30 days after	21 CFR 312.42

Review Subject	Statutory Review Clock	Final Action or Response	Statutory Reference
		receipt of complete response	
Treatment protocol submitted as IND amendment	30 days	Hold or proceed telecon by day 30; Hold / May proceed (Advice) letter no later than 30 days after the initial notification telecon; Hold/May proceed letter no later than 30 days after receipt of complete response	21 CFR 312.305(d)(2)(ii)
Breakthrough therapy designation	60 days	Grant or deny letter by day 60	FDCA Section 506, as amended by FDASIA
Fast track designation	60 days	Grant or deny letter by day 60	FDCA Section 506; as amended by FDAMA
RMAT designation	60 days	Grant or deny letter by day 60	FDCA Section 506(g), as amended by Cures Act
Qualified Infectious Disease Product (QIDP) Designation	60 days	Determine if the product is QIDP by day 60	FDCA Section 505 E; as amended by FDORA section 705
IND meeting	See R 810.04	See R 810.04	PDUFA Goals

Review Subject	Statutory Review Clock	Final Action or Response	Statutory Reference
IND Status: Sponsor's response to pre-inactivation notice	30 days	Inactivation or comment letter by day 30	21 CFR 312.45
IND Status: Sponsor's request for reactivation of IND	30 days	Reactivation or comment letter by day 30	21 CFR 312.45
IND Status: Sponsor's response to pre-termination notice	30 days	Termination or comment letter by day 30	21 CFR 312.44
PSP: Initial PSP or Amended PSP	90 days	Meet or issue written response by day 90	FDCA Section 505B(e); as amended by FDASIA section 506
PSP: Agreed initial PSP or Agreed amended PSP	30 days	Agreement or not agreement letter by day 30	FDCA Section 505B(e); as amended by FDASIA section 506
Proposed pediatric study request	120 days	Inadequate letter or written request by day 120	BPCA; FDARA implemented the 120-day review clock
Proprietary name review	180 days	Acceptability letter (including reasons if unacceptable) by day 180	PDUFA Goal; FDCA amended by FDAAA
Protocol involving exception from informed consent requirements for emergency research	30 days	Hold or proceed letter by day 30	21 CFR 50.24; 21 CFR 312.20; 21 CFR 312.30; 21 CFR 312.54

Review Subject	Statutory Review Clock	Final Action or Response	Statutory Reference
Protocol for human factor validation study for drug-device and biologic-device products	60 days	Written response by day 60	PDUFA Goals
SPA	45 days	SPA response letter by day 45	FDCA Section 505(b)(5)(B), starting with PDUFA II in 1997
User-related Risk Analysis for drug-device and biologic-device products	60 days	Written response by day 60	PDUFA Goals

[Return to Appendix](#)