

**Vaccines and Related Biological Products
Advisory Committee Meeting
November 8, 2019**

Approaches to Assessing Effectiveness of Chikungunya Vaccines

**Sudhakar Agnihothram, Ph.D.
Office of Vaccines Research and Review
CBER/FDA/DHHS**

Overview

- Regulatory Framework for Endpoints to Assess Vaccine Effectiveness
- Clinical Disease Endpoint Efficacy Trials
- Approaches to Identify an Immune Marker Reasonably Likely to Predict Protection from Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) Infection and Disease
 - ❖ Sero-epidemiological studies
 - ❖ Non-human primate (NHP) studies
- Topics For VRBPAC Discussion

Vaccine Effectiveness Endpoints In the Context of Approval Pathways



- “Traditional” approval pathway
 - ❖ Clinical disease endpoint or a biomarker (e.g., immune response) scientifically established to predict protection against CHIKV infection and disease

- Other approval pathways (available for certain diseases/scenarios)
 - ❖ Accelerated approval: surrogate endpoint (e.g., immune marker) reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit (protection against disease)
 - ❖ “Animal Rule” approval: disease endpoint in relevant animal model(s) and clinical studies to select an effective dose/regimen in humans
 - ❖ Both pathways require post-approval confirmatory studies

- Discussion of the most appropriate approval pathway is beyond the scope of this VRBPAC

Clinical Disease Endpoint Efficacy Trials

- In the absence of a scientifically established immune marker that predicts protection against CHIKV infection and disease, “traditional” approval would require a clinical disease endpoint efficacy trial
 - ❖ Ideally, a randomized controlled, double blind, trial to demonstrate vaccine effectiveness against virologically-confirmed CHIKV infection and disease
- Feasibility of field efficacy trials
 - ❖ Increased scope and frequency of Chikungunya outbreaks with high attack rates may allow for field efficacy trials of Chikungunya vaccines
 - ❖ However, outbreaks are irregular and unpredictable, and therefore feasibility of such trials is uncertain
 - ❖ Considerations for feasibility of field efficacy trials also include ensuring adequate infrastructure and close monitoring for Chikungunya disease activity

**Approaches to Identify Immune
Markers Reasonably Likely to
Predict Protection Against CHIKV
Infection and Disease**

Sero-Epidemiological Studies

- Sero-epidemiological studies have been proposed as an approach to identify an immune marker reasonably likely to predict protection
- Prospective, sero-epidemiological studies in Chikungunya endemic regions could employ active surveillance and serologic/virologic testing methods to identify cases of CHIKV infection, with correlation of baseline antibody titers (e.g., CHIKV-neutralizing antibodies) at enrollment with infection and disease outcomes during the surveillance period
- Considerations for sero-epidemiologic studies include:
 - ❖ Reliability of surveillance and testing methods to identify clinical cases that reflect established features of Chikungunya disease and epidemiology
 - ❖ Subject recruitment methods to avoid potential selection bias
 - ❖ Proper validation of serologic assays to quantify antibody titers
 - ❖ Measured immune marker may correlate with, but not be responsible for, protection against Chikungunya disease

Cynomolgus Macaque Model of CHIKV Infection and Disease



- A NHP model of CHIKV infection and disease has been proposed to identify an immune marker reasonably likely to predict protection in humans
- A cynomolgus macaque model recapitulates several features of human Chikungunya disease including fever, rash, viremia (tissue dissemination), and abnormal blood chemistry
- Uncertainties regarding the relevance of this model to human disease include:
 - ❖ Differences in disease features during subacute and chronic phase observed between cynomolgus macaques and humans
 - ❖ A challenge dose of CHIKV representative of natural infection in humans (10^3 PFU) induces fever, which may be accompanied by rash, but no overt signs of arthritis
 - ❖ Higher challenge doses ($>10^7$ PFU) of CHIKV induce inflammation and effusion in joints and results in meningoencephalitis and death in cynomolgus macaques

Passive Transfer of Human Antibodies to Non-Human Primates (NHPs)



- Passive transfer of pooled human sera or purified IgG from vaccinees into NHPs prior to CHIKV challenge has been proposed to identify an immune marker reasonably likely to predict protection
- Uncertainties regarding the utility of passive-transfer studies in NHPs include:
 - ❖ Will an immunemarker derived using pooled human serum or purified IgGs (prepared from pooled serum) accurately predict protection from Chikungunya disease in humans?
 - ❖ Are there clinically meaningful differences in antibody quality that may influence protective capacity between a certain titer in a vaccinated human and the same titer resulting from dilution during passive transfer?
 - ❖ What would be the optimal timing for collecting post-vaccination human serum to be used in passive transfer studies?
 - ❖ Will other factors in human serum, besides antibodies (e.g., cytokines), contribute to protection against CHIKV infection and disease?

Topics for VRBPAC Discussion

1. **Discuss the following aspects of clinical studies to assess effectiveness of CHIK vaccines:**
 - Feasibility of randomized, controlled clinical disease endpoint efficacy trials
 - Role of sero-epidemiologic data in identifying an immune marker reasonably likely to predict vaccine effectiveness

Topics For VRBPAC Discussion

2. **Discuss the utility of the non-human primate (NHP) challenge model to assess effectiveness of CHIK vaccines, including:**
 - Effectiveness endpoints, such as viremia, arthritis-related endpoints or other essential endpoints
 - Role of passively transferred sera or purified IgG from vaccinated humans in identifying an immune marker reasonably likely to predict vaccine effectiveness
 - Whether additional information is needed to support the utility of the NHP challenge model



U.S. FOOD & DRUG
ADMINISTRATION

Thank you!