GENERALLY RECOGNIZED AS SAFE NOTIFICATION

**Bacillus clausii 088AE (MCC 0538)**

Submitted September 2020

Advanced Enzyme Technologies Ltd.

5th Floor, ‘A’ wing, Sun Magnetica,
L.I.C. Service Road, Louiswadi,
Thane (W) – 400 064, INDIA
List of Abbreviations

% Percentage
µg Microgram
µm Micrometer
ACLAME A Classification of Mobile Genetic Elements
ADI Acceptable Daily Intake
BLAST Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
bp Base Pairs
BSL-1 Biosafety Level 1
bw Body Weight
℃ Degrees Celsius
CARD Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database
CFR Code of Federal Regulations
CFU Colony forming unit
cGMP Current Good Manufacturing Practice
CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
CRISPR Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats
CytK Cytotoxin K
d Day
dNA Deoxyribonucleic acid
E. coli Escherichia coli
EDI Estimated Daily Intake
EFSA European Food Safety Authority
FALCPA Food Allergen Labelling and Consumer Protection Act
FDA U.S. Food and Drug Administration
FSSAI Food Safety and Standards Authority of India
FSSR Food Safety and Standards Regulations
g Gram
GI Gastrointestinal
GRAS Generally Recognized As Safe
GRN GRAS Notice
h Hour
HACCP Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points
HBL Haemolysin BL
kg Kilogram
LD₅₀ Median lethal dose
mg Milligram
MIC Minimum inhibitory concentration
mL Milliliter
n Number
NA Not Applicable
NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information
NCMR National Centre for Microbial Resources
NIH National Institutes of Health
NLT Not less than
NMT Not more than
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
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Part 1. 21 CFR 170.225: Signed Statements and Certification

1.1 Exemption Claim for *Bacillus clausii* 088AE

Advanced Enzyme Technologies Ltd. (herein after “Advanced Enzymes”) submits this Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) notice in accordance with 21 CFR part 170, subpart E. Advanced Enzymes has concluded that *Bacillus clausii* 088AE is GRAS by scientific procedures in accordance with both 21 CFR 170.30 (a) and (b) and is thereby exempt from pre-market approval requirements of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act.

Name and Address of Notifier

Name: Advanced Enzyme Technologies Ltd.
Address: 5th Floor, ‘A’ wing, Sun Magnetica LIC Service Road, Louiswadi
Postal code and City: Thane (W) 400604
State: Maharashtra
Country: India
Tel. no: +91 22 41703200
Fax no: +91 22 25835159
E-mail: info@advancedenzymes.com

Person responsible for the dossier

Name: Dr. Anil Kumar Gupta,
Address: Advanced Enzyme Technologies Ltd. 5th Floor, ‘A’ wing, Sun Magnetica LIC Service Road, Louiswadi
Postal code and City: Thane (W) 400604
State: Maharashtra
Country: India
Tel. no: +91 22 25830284
E-mail: anil@advancedenzymes.com

Agent who is authorized to act on behalf of the Notifier:

Kevin O. Gillies
Kevin O. Gillies Consulting Services, LLC
info@kogilliesconsultingservices.com

1.2 Name of Notified Microorganism

‘*Bacillus clausii* strain 088AE’. ‘088AE’ is the designation of the proprietary *Bacillus clausii* strain of Advanced Enzymes. The strain is deposited at National Centre for Microbial Resources (NCMR), India, under strain designation MCC 0538.

The product *Bacillus clausii* 088AE (MCC0538) is a spore preparation. Commercial preparations are known as SEBclausii, BioSEB CII.
In this GRAS notice, the *Bacillus clausii* strain 088AE is referred to as ‘*Bacillus clausii 088AE’; ‘*B. clausii* 088AE’ or *B. clausii* 088 AE (MCC0538).

1.3 **Intended Conditions of Use**

*Bacillus clausii* 088AE is intended to be used in the following food categories:

- Baked goods and baking mixes
- Breakfast cereals
- Beverages and beverage bases
- Coffee and tea
- Milk and milk products
- Dairy product analogs
- Fruit juices
- Condiments and relishes
- Confections and frostings
- Frozen dairy desserts and mixes
- Fruit and water ices
- Drinking water
- Sports drinks
- Gelatins
- Jams and jellies
- Puddings and fillings
- Alcoholic beverages
- Grain products and pastas
- Hard candy
- Soft candy
- Chewing gum
- Extracts
- Flavorings
- Herbs
- Seeds
- Spices
- Seasonings
- Blends
- Nuts and nut products
- Plant protein products
- Processed fruits
- Processed vegetables
- Vegetable juices
- Snack foods
- Soups and soup mixes
- Sugar and sweet sauces
- Toppings
- Syrups

Based upon the estimated number of servings of food consumed per day, i.e. 18.2, in the US and the highest intended addition level of *B. clausii* 088AE per serving of 2 x 10⁹ cfu, the estimated daily intake (EDI) of the strain is 3.6 x 10¹⁰ cfu/day. (This EDI would be reached only if all target foods contained *B. clausii* at the maximum addition level and only if the targeted foods were the only foods consumed.)

*B. clausii* 088AE is not intended for use in foods that are targeted toward infants, such as infant formulas or foods formulated for infants, nor in meat and poultry products that come under USDA jurisdiction.

1.4 **Statutory Basis for GRAS Status**

Advanced Enzymes has determined that the intended use of *Bacillus clausii* 088AE is GRAS through scientific procedures in accordance with 21 CFR §170.30(a) and (b).

1.5 **Premarket Exempt Status**

Advanced Enzymes has determined that the intended use of *Bacillus clausii* 088AE is GRAS, therefore the use of the notified substance is exempt from pre-market approval requirements of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

1.6 **Data Availability**

Advanced Enzymes agrees to make the data and information that are the basis for the determination of GRAS status available to FDA upon request. Such data and information may be sent by Advanced Enzymes to FDA either in electronic format or on paper, or reviewed during customary business hours at 4880 Murrieta Street, Chino, CA 91710.

1.7 **FOIA Statement**

None of the data and information in this GRAS notice is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552.
1.8 Certification
To the best of our knowledge, this GRAS notice is a complete, representative, and balanced submission that includes unfavorable information, as well as favorable information, known to Advanced Enzymes and pertinent to the evaluation of the safety and GRAS status of the intended use of *Bacillus clausii* 088AE.

1.9 FSIS Statement
Not applicable.

1.10 Signature of Responsible Party or Agent
Kevin O. Gillies
Kevin O. Gillies Consulting Services, LLC
info@kogilliesconsultingservices.com
September 9, 2020
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2.1  Identity/ Identification

The substance of this GRAS notification is a preparation of *B. clausii* 088AE spores. The diluents used in the manufacturing of *B. clausii* 088AE are approved as either food additives or GRAS substances.

2.1.1  SCIENTIFIC NAME, TAXONOMY AND OTHER NAMES

Name of the food ingredient: *Bacillus clausii* 088AE

Synonyms: *Bacillus clausii* strain 088AE / *Bacillus clausii* (strain 088AE)/ *B. clausii* 088AE/ *B. clausii* 088AE (MCC0538)

Taxonomy:

Kingdom: Bacteria
Phylum: Firmicutes (Gram positive spore forming bacteria)
Class: Bacilli
Order: Bacillales
Family: Bacillaceae
Genus: Bacillus
Species: clausii

2.1.2  DESCRIPTION/SOURCE INFORMATION AND GENOTYPIC, PHENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ORGANISM

*B. clausii* 088AE is a nonpathogenic, non-toxicogenic naturally encapsulated spore-forming bacterium. *B. clausii* 088AE preparation is a light brown to brown coloured powder having total viable count not less than 1.5 x 10⁹ cfu/g. *Bacillus clausii* 088AE is deposited at National Centre for Microbial Resources (NCMR) India with deposit number MCC 0538.

2.1.2.1  Genotypic Characterization

Genotypic characterization of *B. clausii* 088AE was carried out following 16S rRNA analysis and genomic sequencing. The *B. clausii* 088AE genome is sequenced for genome-based safety assessment. Whole-genome information was deposited in NCBI/GenBank database under the accession number CP031128. The parameters described below were assessed to establish the safety of *B. clausii* 088AE.

a) 16S rRNA

*B. clausii* 088AE was identified following 16S rRNA genes as phylogenetic markers. *B. clausii* 088AE can be clearly distinguished from the closely related species using 16S rRNA sequence
analysis. The 16S rRNA sequence showed 100% homology of *B. clausii* 088AE to *Bacillus clausii*.

**b) Genomic Sequencing**

Hybrid assembly was performed using MaSurCA Hybrid Assembler (Aleksey *et al.*, 2013) between Illumina reads and nanopore reads. *Bacillus clausii* DSM 8716 strain was used as a reference. The final genome assembly was 4,598,457 bp in size with 44.74% G+C content. Gene prediction was done for assembled genome using NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) (Tatusova *et al.*, 2016). The whole-genome project was deposited in NCBI/GenBank database under the accession number CP031128.

The assembled genome of *B. clausii* strain 088AE was compared with other bacterial genomes present in RefSeq genome database using NCBI-BLASTN (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). *Bacillus clausii* (taxid:79880) was chosen as the reference organism for NCBI-BLASTN. The BLASTN results indicated ~99% sequence homology between the de-novo assembled genome with the genome of the reference strain *B. clausii* DSM 8716, further confirming the identity of *B. clausii* 088AE.

c) **Determination of mol G+C%**

The genomic DNA G+C content, defined as the proportion of guanines and cytosines within the overall number of nucleotides in the genome, is one of the features in taxonomic descriptions of micro-organisms (Meier-Kolthoff *et al.*, 2014). The mol % G+C content, based on the whole genome sequence of 4,598,457 bp, is 44.74%, which is in agreement to a value of 44.65 mol % G+C reported by Upadrasta *et al.* 2016 for *B. clausii*.

d) **Safety assessment in relation to antibiotic resistance genes**

A homology search between assembled genome of *B. clausii* strain 088AE and antibiotic resistance genes/proteins was performed using the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) (Jia *et al.*, 2017). In this case, BLASTX was used with the criteria (similarity >30%, coverage >70% and e-value < 1e-02) for the identification of significant hits. Critically important antimicrobials (CIAs) or highly important antimicrobials as per WHO (2016) and EFSA (2012) were screened in the data which was analyzed post homology alignment of the assembled genome of strain 088AE and CARD. Full coding genes for clinically relevant antibiotic resistance genes identified on the genome were: beta-lactamase (DUT88_01930), bleomycin resistance gene (DUT88_21580), vancomycin resistance gene (DUT88_07250), erm34 gene (DUT88_06975) which shows resistance to both erythromycin and clindamycin. These genes are inherent to the species and hence referred to as intrinsic resistance as no mobile elements were identified in the vicinity of these genes (Lakshmi *et al.* 2017). The percentage identity of three critical genes, viz. aminoglycoside o-nucleotidyl transferase (aadD2), aminoglycoside 6-adenylyltransferase ANT (6) and erm34 gene carried out by BLASTP using NCBI database, showed 100% identity to the respective protein from *B. clausii* DSM 8716.

The absence of mobile elements in the flanking regions of the above mentioned antibiotic resistance genes determined using ISFinder web-based software (Siguier *et al.*, 2006) and using ACLAME database (Leplae *et al.*, 2009), indicates high stability of the region. None of the genes coding for or contributing to resistance to antimicrobials relevant to their use in humans and
animals had mobile elements in its flanking region, which indicates, these genes are intrinsic and not transferable to any other organism. Thus, the strain *B. clausii* strain 088AE, does not pose any safety concerns with respect to the transmission of antibiotic resistance genes.

To support the genotypic analysis of antibiotic resistance genes, phenotypic analysis was carried out as per CLSI guidelines for its sensitivity/resistance against nine antibiotics, viz., ampicillin, vancomycin, gentamicin, kanamycin, streptomycin, erythromycin, clindamycin, tetracycline and chloramphenicol. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) breakpoint values reported for *B. clausii* strain 088AE were below or equal to the break point as described by EFSA (2012) for all the antibiotics except for clindamycin and erythromycin. Clindamycin and erythromycin resistance was due to the presence of erm34 gene (DUT88_06975) which confers resistance to both erythromycin and clindamycin through methylation of their ribosomal target site (Weisblum, 1995). (Refer to section 2.1.3). Further, the GC content of the erm34 gene is similar to the GC content of *B. clausii* genome, i.e. around 44%, suggesting that gene is structurally related to the total genome, and likely not “foreign DNA or horizontally transferred”, and is intrinsic to the species as it is chromosomally encoded (Lakshmi et al, 2017).

e) Analyses of risk associated with virulence factor genes

Virulence factor genes/proteins sequences were downloaded from Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) (Chen *et al.*, 2004). The total number of sequences in the core database was 3072. A homology search between the assembled genome of *B. clausii* strain 088AE and virulence factor proteins was performed using BLASTX (similarity >30%, coverage >70% and e-value < 1e-02) to identify significant hits. A total of 706 virulence factor proteins were found to have significant homology with the assembled genome. According to the UniProt Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) database, these genes were non-classical virulence factor genes and their determinants were related to inorganic ion transport and metabolism; coenzyme transport and metabolism; lipid transport and metabolism, secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism; nucleotide transport and metabolism (170); defense mechanisms (118); cell motility; intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport (116); lipid transport and metabolism; secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism; general function prediction only (42); signal transduction mechanisms; transcription (125); amino acid transport and metabolism; signal transduction mechanisms (34); Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones (19); carbohydrate transport and metabolism; cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis (28); cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning (5); energy production and conversion (1); cell motility; signal transduction mechanisms (4); cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis; translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis (30); replication, recombination and repair (3); cell motility; posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones; intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport (2); cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis; intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport (1). Though multiple putative virulent factor genes were identified through the VFDB, they are likely not harmful since a majority of them were related to the transport

1 https://www.uniprot.org/citations/10592175; https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.33
mechanism. Most of the genes identified were related to extracellular structure which could be correlated to the adhesion property.

To further confirm non-virulence of the strain 088AE, *in vitro* cytotoxicity testing against Vero cells was carried out as recommended by EFSA (2018) for *Bacillus* species that are not recommended on the QPS list. The fluorescence values, indicators of cell leakage and cytotoxicity, reported for samples from *B. clausii* strain 088AE were less than 20% of the positive control fluorescence indicating that the strain was not cytotoxic. (Refer to section 2.1.4).

**f) Identification of biogenic amine producing genes**

Protein sequences of the biogenic amine producing genes (amino acid decarboxylase) were downloaded from the Uniprot database. BLASTX was performed between the assembled genome and biogenic amine producing protein sequences. Only one amino acid decarboxylase, i.e. aspartate 1-decarboxylase decarboxylase (DUT88_15095) was identified, which is known to produce beta–alanine from –aspartate. Unlike other products of amino acid decarboxylase, beta-alanine supplementation is known for its ergogenic effect on high intensity exercise performance in humans (Hobson *et al*, 2012). Hence, *B. clausii* strain 088AE does not possess biogenic amine producing genes of concern.

**g) Identification of mobile elements in assembled genome**

Mobile elements are DNA sequences that can move around the genome by changing their number of copies or simply by changing their location, often affecting the activity of nearby genes. These mobile elements are ubiquitous in bacteria and do not present safety risk factors in and of themselves. There is a perceived risk that the presence of such mobile elements may facilitate the horizontal transfer of genes, e.g. antibiotic resistance, from one bacterium to another. In the current study, mobile elements were predicted from the assembled genome by using ISfinder software web-based software (Siguier *et al*, 2006) and ACLAME database (version 0.4). A total of 337 insertion sites (IS element regions) were identified in the assembled genome. All the nucleotide sequences, which include plasmids, viruses and prophages, are downloaded from the ACLAME database (Leplae *et al*, 2009) (version 0.4). A homology search (BLASTN) was performed between the nucleotide sequences downloaded (1,25,190) from the above-mentioned database and the assembled genome. There were 186 regions in the assembled genome that had significant hits (coverage >=50% and e-value <=1e-05) against the mobile-element nucleotide sequences downloaded from the ACLAME database (Leplae *et al*, 2009). No region of concern, i.e. antibiotic resistance genes, virulence factor genes, and biogenic amine producing genes, were reported in the vicinity of the predicted mobile elements in the assembled genome thus ensuring the stability of the genome related to these potential risk factors.

**h) Analyses of toxin genes**

Gene mining was performed to find genes related to toxins known to be produced in the *Bacillus* genus, such as diarrheal enterotoxin bceT, haemolytic enterotoxin operon (hbl genes – hblA, hblC, hblD), non-haemolytic enterotoxin operon (nhe ABC genes – nheA, nheB, nheC),
cytotoxin K (cytK), enterotoxin FM (entFM) and emetic toxin cereulide (cesB). None of the above-mentioned toxin producing genes were identified in the genome, suggesting that of *B. clausii* strain 088AE does not produce these toxins and is safe for human consumption. The results obtained for the strain 088AE were on the same lines as for *B. clausii* UBBC07 when screened for the above-mentioned genes by Lakshmi et al, 2017.

**i) Identification of CRISPR associated regions in assembled genome**

Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) sequences were screened in the assembled genome of *B. clausii* strain 088AE using CRISPRC as Finder (Couvin et al, 2018). CRISPRs are direct repeats found in the DNA of many bacteria (~40% of sequenced bacterial genomes). These CRISPRs are in range of 23-47 bp in length. Each of these repeats are separated by spacers of similar length. These spacers are unique in each of the genomes. These spacers indicate the non-coding region of genomic sequences between the genes. Eleven CRISPRs were identified from the assembled genome of *B. clausii* strain 088AE. The presence of a CRISPR system indicates an advantage in promoting genome stability by acting as a barrier to entry of foreign DNA elements.

**Conclusion**

The *de novo* assembled genome of *B. clausii* strain 088AE generated without gaps resulted in a single scaffold. Full coding sequences conferring resistance to antibiotics such as beta-lactamase, bleomycin, vancomycin, erythromycin and clindamycin are present in the genome of *B. clausii* 088AE. These genes are inherent to the species, chromosomally-located and non-transferable as no mobile elements were found in the vicinity of these genes.

Multiple putative virulent factor genes, identified through the VFDB, have been analyzed and found not to be harmful as majority of them are related to transport mechanisms and to extracellular structures. *B. clausii* strain 088AE genome does not contain of any biogenic amine producing genes of concern. Absence of various enterotoxin and emetic toxin genes known to be present in some *Bacillus* species further ensures the non-toxigenic profile of the strain. There are no mobile elements identified with respect to the loci which have significant homology against antibiotic resistance genes, virulence factor genes, biogenic amine producing genes or enterotoxin genes. The presence of a CRISPR sequence in the assembled genome indicates an advantage in promoting genome stability by acting as a barrier to the entry of foreign DNA elements. The presence of anchoring related proteins increases their colonization and eventually reduce pathogenic adherence (Li *et al.* 2018).

In conclusion, *B. clausii* strain 088AE does not contain any sequences/genes in the genome that are health-risk associated, thus confirming the safety of the strain through the genome-based approach.

**2.1.2.2 Phenotypic and Biochemical characterization**

The *B. clausii* strain 088AE is a Gram-positive, aerobic, alkalophilic, motile, rod shaped bacterium. Cell size ranges from 0.5 µm to 0.7 µm in width and 2.0 µm to 4.0 µm in length. Cells may grow in chains of 12-20µm. After 2 days of incubation on Nutrient Agar at 37°C, colonies are white to off-white and filamentous with filamentous margins having flat surfaces.
Spores were ellipsoidal which lie paracentrally-to-sub-terminally in sporangia which may be slightly swollen (Logan and Vos 2015).

Biochemical studies were carried out following *Bergey's Manual of Systematics of Archaea and Bacteria* for *Bacillus clausii*. *B. clausii* 088AE was positive for catalase, oxidase, gelatinase, protease (casein), amylase, and nitrate reductase enzymes. The strain was negative for indol, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer and citrate, urease, haemolysis and lecithinase. In the TSI test, the strain showed no gas production, including hydrogen sulphide, and the butt and slant turned yellow, indicating acid production. *B. clausii* was able to ferment D-glucose, sucrose, lactose, maltose, starch, dextrin, glycerol, mannitol, xylose, rhamnose, D-fructose, D-galactose, inulin, D-mannose, D-sorbitol, D-trehalose, and D-arabinose.

The results of biochemical tests of *B. clausii* 088AE were comparable to the reference strain of *Bacillus clausii* ATCC 700160 as presented below in Table 1. These analyses further confirm the identity of the strain *B. clausii* 088AE.
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### Table 1. Results of Morphological and Biochemical Tests (Harley and Prescott 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Bacillus clausii 088AE</th>
<th>Bacillus clausii ATCC 700160</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colony Characteristics</td>
<td>Colonies white and filamentous with filamentous margins</td>
<td>Colonies white and filamentous with filamentous margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram Staining</td>
<td>Gram positive</td>
<td>Gram positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell Morphology</td>
<td>Cells motile, rod shaped</td>
<td>Cells motile, rod shaped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Cells 0.5 µm - 0.7 µm in width and 2.0 µm - 4.0 µm in length</td>
<td>Cells 0.5 µm - 0.7 µm in width and 2.0 µm - 4.0 µm in length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrangement</td>
<td>Single cells or in short chains</td>
<td>Single cells or in short chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase Test</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidase Test</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate Reduction Test</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endospore stain</td>
<td>Spores ellipsoidal; lie paracentrally to subterminally in sporangia which may be slightly swollen</td>
<td>Spores ellipsoidal; lie paracentrally to subterminally in sporangia which may be slightly swollen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole Test</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Red Test</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voges-Proskauer Test</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate Utilization Test</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urease Test</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple Sugar Iron (H₂S) Test</td>
<td>No production of hydrogen sulfide, Acidic Slant and Butt</td>
<td>No production of hydrogen sulfide, Acidic Slant and Butt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin hydrolysis Test</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casein hydrolysis Test</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch hydrolysis Test</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemolysis test</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecithinase production</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sugar Fermentation Tests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Glucose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Fructose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Galactose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Mannose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Arabinose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inulin</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Sorbitol</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Trehalose</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
<td>Acid produced, No gas produced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.3 \textbf{ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE (SUSCEPTIBILITY)}

Three batches of the \textit{B. clausii} 088AE strain were assessed for antibiotic susceptibility. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC: the lowest concentration of antibiotic that inhibits bacterial growth) of different antibiotics on \textit{B. clausii} 088AE was evaluated following broth dilution assay method (CLSI, 2016). Results were interpreted as “Sensitive (S) / Resistant (R)” by comparing the MIC values with the breakpoint MIC value of each antibiotic following EFSA (2012) and CLSI (2012b) guidelines. Antibiotics tested included clindamycin, chloramphenicol, ampicillin, gentamicin, erythromycin, kanamycin, vancomycin, streptomycin and tetracycline using the broth dilution assay. The results are provided in Table 2.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Antibiotic & \textit{Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213} & & \textit{Bacillus clausii 088AE} & \\
 & MIC range$^1$ (µg/ml) & MIC (µg/ml) & Interpretation & MIC breakpoint$^4$ (µg/ml) & MIC (µg/ml) & Interpretation \\
\hline
Clindamycin & 0.06 – 0.25 & 0.25 & S$^3$ & 4 & ≥8 & R \\
Chloramphenicol & 2 – 16 & 4 & S & 8 & 8 & S \\
Ampicillin & 0.5 – 2 & 2 & S & NR$^5$ & NR & NR \\
Gentamicin & 0.12 – 1 & 0.5 & S & 4 & ≤ 0.06 & S \\
Tetracycline & 0.12 – 1 & 0.5 & S & 8 & 1 & S \\
Streptomycin & NA$^2$ & NA & NA & 8 & 8 & S \\
Kanamycin & 1 – 4 & 2 & S & 8 & 2 & S \\
Vancomycin & 0.5 – 2 & 2 & S & 4 & 1 & S \\
Erythromycin & 0.25 - 1 & 0.5 & S & 4 & ≥8 & R \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Antibiotic Susceptibility of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE}
\end{table}

1. Source: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 2016
2. NA = not available in CLSI (2012)
3. S = susceptible
5. NR = not required (EFSA, 2012)
6. R = resistant

The minimum inhibitory concentration (µg/ml) of chloramphenicol, ampicillin, gentamicin, kanamycin, vancomycin, streptomycin and tetracycline were within the recommended breakpoints (EFSA 2012). \textit{B. clausii} 088AE strain showed resistance against clindamycin and erythromycin. Clindamycin and erythromycin resistance, as described in the section 2.1.2.1, were due to the presence of erm34 gene on chromosome, (DUT88_06975), (Weisblum, 1995). No mobile elements were identified in the vicinity of the erm34 gene. The antibiotic resistance is inherent to the species (intrinsic resistance) and poses no risk of horizontal transfer. Laskshmi et al. (2017) reported clindamycin and erythromycin resistance in the strain \textit{Bacillus clausii} UBBC07 and concluded that the resistance is due to chromosomal erm34 gene and is not horizontally transferable. Abbrescia et al. (2014) also reported clindamycin and erythromycin resistance in \textit{B. clausii} strains.

The antibiotic sensitivity profile of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE was also determined by the disk diffusion method. The antibiogram profile was compared with the reference strain, \textit{B. clausii} DSM 8716 (\textit{B. clausii} ATCC 700160). Both strains showed sensitivity to 31 antibiotics, including amoxycillin–clavulanic acid, cefaclor, cefoxitin, ceftizoxime, ceftriaxone, amikacin, cefazolin, cefprozil, doxycycline, gentamicin, imipenem, kanamycin, lomefloxacin, nafcillin, nalidixic acid, neomycin, nitrofurantoin, norfloxacine, streptomycin, tobramycin, azithromycin,
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chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, rifampicin, moxifloxacin, minocycline, meropenem, vancomycin, levofloxacin and tetracycline. Both strains were found resistant to aztreonam, cefepime, cefixime, cefotaxime, clindamycin, oxacillin, metronidazole, erythromycin and cefuroxime at the given concentrations. The test strain, B. clausii 088AE, was resistant to ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 µg) whereas, the reference strain, B. clausii DSM 8716 was sensitive. The antibiotic resistance profile of B. clausii DSM 8716 reported in this study is similar to the finding reported by Abbrescia et al. (2014).

The antibiotic sensitivity profile of various Bacillus strains, such as Bacillus coagulans and Bacillus subtilis is described in different GRAS Notices (GRNs).

GRN 597 describes antibiotic sensitivity of Bacillus coagulans strain SNZ 1969. The strain was susceptible to numerous antibiotics while resistance was noted for cefuroxime, metronidazole ceftaclor, cefoxitin, colistin, novobiocin, and metronidazole.

Using the disc diffusion method, Sudha et al. (2010) reported that Bacillus coagulans Unique IS2 was sensitive to cefaclor, cephoxitin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, kanamycin, nalidixic acid, polymixin B, rifampicin, trimethoprim, and novobiocin; it displayed intermediate sensitivity to clindamycin, doxycycline, erythromycin, penicillin, and tetracycline; and resistance to bacitracin, colistin, methicillin, metronidazole, and streptomycin (GRN 526). Antibiotic susceptibility of Bacillus coagulans SANK 70285 spores preparation (GRN 691) was assessed using both the disc diffusion and the micro-dilution methods (Sakuma, 2016). B. coagulans SANK 70285 was found to be sensitive to streptomycin, gentamicin, bacitracin, novobiocin, polymixin, cefaclor, ciprofloxin, rifampicin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, erythromycin, kanamycin, colistin, nalidixic acid, clindamycin, cefoxitin, doxycycline, and penicillin. Antibiotics tested with the microdilution method were oxacillin, ampicillin, cefazolin, cefmetazole, flomoxef, imipenem, gentamicin, arbekacin, minocycline, cefoxitin, erythromycin, clindamycin, vancomycin, teicoplanin, linezolid, fosfomycin, sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, and levofloxacin. With the exception of flomoxef and linezolid, bacterial growth was inhibited with the lowest concentration of each tested antibiotics.

GRN 660 describes antibiotic sensitivity/resistance of Bacillus coagulans GBI-30, 6086. The strain was reported susceptible to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, erythromycin, gentamycin, linezolid, neomycin, rifampicin, tetracycline, trimethoprim, vancomycin and virginiamycin, and resistant to kanamycin and streptomycin.

Bacillus subtilis DE111 (GRN 831) was assessed for antibiotic susceptibility following the zone of inhibition and minimal inhibitory concentration micro-dilution assays. The antibiotic susceptibility test (AST) results indicate that Bacillus subtilis DE111 was sensitive to gentamicin, kanamycin, neomycin, streptomycin, amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid, cefaclor, cephalothin, imipenem, ciprofloxacin, fosfomycin, erythromycin, clindamycin, quinupristin/dalfopristin, chloramphenicol, rifampicin, Sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, tetracycline, trimethoprim, vancomycin. Mixed results were reported, i.e. sensitive and resistance for ampicillin, penicillin, ceftriaxone and cefotaxime. Using the micro-dilution assay, B. subtilis DE111 was reported sensitive to all the aforementioned antibiotics (GRN 831).
It may be concluded that it is not unusual for *Bacillus* species that are safe and suitable for use in food to have a mixture of sensitivity and resistance to various antibiotics. The resistance to antibiotics reported for *Bacillus clausii* 088AE has been investigated and the genes responsible have been reported to be chromosomally encoded and unlikely to be transferrable.

### 2.1.4 VIRULENCE ACTIVITY

Members of genus *Bacillus*, other than *Bacillus cereus* group species, have been reported to produce enterotoxins and emetic toxins. From et al. (2005) screened 333 *Bacillus* strains; eight strains belonging to *B. subtilis*, *B. mojavensis*, *B. pumilus* and *B. fusiformis* were found to produce cytotoxic and emetic toxins. The production of the *B. cereus*-like diarrhoeal enterotoxins by some strains of other *Bacillus* species was described in the SCAN opinion (EC, 2000). The current view is that the very few reports of *B. cereus*–like enterotoxins occurring in other species of *Bacillus* are likely to have resulted from a misidentification of the strain involved (From et al., 2005). For other *Bacillus* species, concerns appear to be associated to the production of surfactin like-lipopeptides, although the relation between the presence of these compounds and/or other toxic factors and the risk of illness in human has not yet been established. In the absence of animal models shown to be able to distinguish hazardous from non-hazardous strains, the EFSA relies on the use of *in vitro* cell-based methods to detect evidence of a cytotoxic effect (EFSA 2014). A test for cytotoxicity using Vero cells was performed to demonstrate that *B. clausii* 088AE is not toxigenic (EFSA 2014).

The Vero cell test is based on the principle that the DNA intercalating agent propidium iodide will stain DNA of cells having leaky cell membranes, thereby enhancing the resulting intracellular fluorescent signal. Positive control contained Triton x 100 treated cells with leaky cell membranes (100% fluorescence). The DNA of intact cells would not show any uptake of propidium iodide, resulting in basal level, negligible fluorescence. The study showed that the sample of *B. clausii* 088AE did not elicit cytotoxicity on Vero cells (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Article</th>
<th>Fluorescence Units in Live Cells</th>
<th>% Fluorescence with respect to positive control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive control</td>
<td>129.85</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. clausii</em> 088AE – 10 µl</td>
<td>16.37</td>
<td>12.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. clausii</em> 088AE – 50 µl</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>11.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. clausii</em> 088AE – 100 µl</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>14.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fluorescence values for sample of *B. clausii* 088AE were less than 20% of the positive control fluorescence, indicating that the sample did not have any cytotoxic effect on Vero cells *in vitro* at 10-100 µl sample volume for the 2 hours incubation period.
2.1.5 **ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY**


2.1.6 **ACID AND BILE SALT TOLERANCE**

The spore preparation of *B. clausii* 088AE was tested for its ability to survive under different simulated gastrointestinal conditions through an *in vitro* study. After 24 hours of exposure, *B. clausii* 088AE was stable in simulated saliva (92.3%), simulated intestinal fluid (100%) and simulated colonic fluid (97.22%). The preparation was fully stable in fasting-state simulated gastric juice (100%) and Fed-State Simulated Gastric Juice (97.11%) up to the stomach transit time (90 minutes). Ghelardi *et al.* (2015) also reported stability of *B. clausii* during transit time in human gastrointestinal tract.

The *in vitro* study concluded that *B. clausii* 088AE was stable and maintained its survivability under different simulated gastrointestinal conditions.

2.1.7 **ENTEROTOXINS**

*B. clausii* 088AE was screened for enterotoxin production by Duopath® Cereus Enterotoxins test kit (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and D-cultural technique).

*B. clausii* 088AE was examined for absence of enterotoxins (hemolysin, hbl; nonhemolytic, nhe; cytotoxin, cytK) and emetic toxin (cereulide, ces) producing genes. The absence of PCR products for the toxin related genes in *B. clausii* strain 088AE indicates the absence of the above-mentioned toxin producing genes in *B. clausii* strain 088AE.

*B. clausii* 088AE was concluded to be negative for non-hemolytic enterotoxins and emetic toxin.

**Conclusion**

*B. clausii* 088AE strain has been thoroughly analyzed for risk associated factors following genome-based analyses and phenotypic /biochemical studies. Various studies/analyses conducted on this strain showed no safety concern and concluded that the strain is safe for human consumption.
2.2 Manufacturing Process

2.2.1 OVERVIEW

*B. clausii* 088AE is produced as spores by batch and fed-batch type fermentation. Fermentation is in accordance with current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) and the principles of Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP). The manufacturing facility is ISO 9001:2015, ISO 22000 and GMP certified.

*B. clausii* 088AE is produced by fermentation. Fermentation is a well-known process that occurs in food production and has been used for the cultivation of microorganisms for decades. Liquid state or submerged fermentation is used to produce the *B. clausii* 088AE. The typical fermentation batch size ranges from 100 L to 50,000 L, preferably 14,000 to 20,000 L.

The key steps for production of *B. clausii* 088AE are fermentation, recovery, formulation, and packaging. The process is illustrated in Figure 1.

2.2.2 FERMENTATION

2.2.2.1 Raw materials

All materials used in the fermentation process (inoculum, seed, and main fermentation) are food-grade substances approved for this use. None of the top eight allergens (FALCPA, 2004) are used as a material in fermentation.

2.2.2.2 Inoculum (Seed)

A suspension of a pure culture of *B. clausii* 088AE is aseptically transferred to an inoculum flask containing fermentation medium.

The culture is grown in the flask under optimum conditions in order to obtain a sufficient amount of biomass, which can subsequently be used as inoculum for the seed fermentation.

2.2.2.3 Seed Fermentation

The inoculum is aseptically transferred to the seed fermenter containing seed fermentation medium. When a sufficient amount of biomass has developed (typically up to 15-20 hours), the content of the seed fermenter is used for inoculation of the main fermentation.

2.2.2.4 Main Fermentation

During the main fermentation, the growth (cell-mass) of *B. clausii* 088AE takes place and the vegetative cells later converted to spores during late growth/stationary phase.

The fermentation in the main fermenter is operated as a batch and fed-batch fermentation. First, the content of the seed fermenter is aseptically transferred to the main fermenter containing fermentation medium. The fermentation process is continued for a predetermined time or until laboratory test data show that the desired biomass production has been obtained or that the rate of biomass production has decreased below a predetermined production rate. When the desired spore count is reached, the fermentation is complete.

2.2.3 RECOVERY

The purpose of the recovery process is to separate the *B. clausii* 088AE spores from the fermentation media, concentrate the spores, and prepare dried powdered biomass.
The vegetative cells of *B. clausii* 088AE are converted to spores at the end of fermentation and are suspended in the fermentation media. During recovery, spores are separated from fermentation medium.

The steps of recovery include:
- Primary separation of spores (biomass) from the soluble media components
- Washing of concentrated spores (biomass)
- Spray drying

### 2.2.3.1 Primary Separation

The fermentation broth is passed through a high-speed centrifuge to separate the spores (biomass) from the soluble media components along with water. The spore biomass is collected as a thick slurry and subjected to further processing. Temperature and pH are controlled during this step.

### 2.2.3.2 Washing

Sterilized and demineralized water is added to the collected biomass slurry. Slurry is again passed through high-speed centrifuge and the washed biomass is collected. Temperature and pH are controlled during this step.

### 2.2.3.3 Spray Drying

The concentrated biomass suspension is spray-dried in presence of approved food-grade stabilizers (e.g. maltodextrin) to obtain the unformulated concentrate.

### 2.2.4 FORMULATION AND PACKAGING

*B. clausii* 088AE is sold as a powder preparation of different spore counts, depending on the final intended application.

For the manufacturing of the dry spore preparation, the spray-dried unformulated concentrate (not less than $1.5 \times 10^{11}$ cfu/g) is further formulated with approved food grade formulating agents such as maltodextrin and adjusted to a declared spore count.

The *B. clausii* 088AE preparation is tested by Quality Control for all quality related aspects and released by Quality Assurance. The final product is packed in suitable food packaging material before storage. Warehousing and transportation are performed according to specified conditions mentioned on the accordant product label for final preparations.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of laboratory seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of seed vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of production medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermentation running cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvesting of batch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray drying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packing, labeling, &amp; storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requisition &amp; receipt of material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blending of material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unloading &amp; sifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packing &amp; labeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA Release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispatch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Manufacturing Process for *B. clausii 088AE*
2.3 Product Specifications and Compositional Variability

2.3.1 PRODUCT SPECIFICATIONS

Specifications for *B. clausii* 088AE preparation have been established by Advanced Enzymes and are summarized in Table 4. All methods have been validated for this purpose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product specification</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Advanced Enzymes</th>
<th>Reference Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total viable count/ Assay (CFU/g)</td>
<td>Not less than 150 billion viable spore counts / g</td>
<td>SAP-QAD-Micro-039, internal method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance/ Description</td>
<td>Light brown to brown colored powder</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy/ Identity</td>
<td>Rod shaped cells containing round or oval shaped endospore either terminally or subterminally</td>
<td>Internal method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture/ Loss on Drying</td>
<td>Not more than 7.0%</td>
<td>AOAC 926.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieve test</td>
<td>100% through 40 mesh</td>
<td>Internal method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Not more than 2.0 ppm</td>
<td>AOAC 984.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Not more than 1.0 ppm</td>
<td>AOAC 984.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Not more than 3.0 ppm</td>
<td>AOAC 984.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Not more than 0.5 ppm</td>
<td>EPA 7471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total yeast &amp; mold count</td>
<td>Not more than 100 cfu/g</td>
<td>Harmonized method (IP,BP,EP and USP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total coliform</td>
<td>Not more than 100 cfu/g</td>
<td>FDA Bacteriological Analytical Manual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>Absent in 10 g</td>
<td>Harmonized Pharmacopoeial method (EP, BP, USP, and IP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella</em> spp.</td>
<td>Absent in 10 g</td>
<td>Harmonized Pharmacopoeial method (BP, USP and IP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Absent in 1 g</td>
<td>Harmonized method (IP,BP,EP and USP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococci</em> spp.</td>
<td>Absent in 1 g</td>
<td>Harmonized method (IP,BP,EP and USP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>Absent in 25 g</td>
<td>Internal method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.2 **COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS**

Three (3) non-consecutive production batches of *B. clausii* 088AE were analyzed and the results compared with food-grade specifications as presented in Table 4. As shown in Table 5, all tested batches were in compliance, demonstrating that the process is capable of producing product meeting the established specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Batch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. clausii</em> viable spore count</td>
<td>Not less than 150 billion viable spore counts/g</td>
<td>159 billion viable spore count /g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Light brown to brown colored powder</td>
<td>Light brown colored powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy/Identity</td>
<td>Rod shaped cells containing round or oval shaped endospore either terminally or subterminally</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieve test</td>
<td>100% pass through 40 mesh.</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture/Loss on drying (%)</td>
<td>Not more than 7.0%</td>
<td>6.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Metal Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Not more than 2.0 ppm</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Not more than 1.0 ppm</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Not more than 3.0 ppm</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Not more than 0.5 ppm</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total yeast &amp; mold count</td>
<td>Not more than 100 cfu/g</td>
<td>Less than 10 cfu/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Coliform</td>
<td>Not more than 100 cfu/g</td>
<td>Less than 10 cfu/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>Absent in 10g</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella</em> spp.</td>
<td>Absent in 10g</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>Absent in 1g</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococci</em> spp.</td>
<td>Absent in 1g</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>Absent in 25g</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Shelf-Life Stability

The stability testing (shelf life) of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE was assessed in a real-time stability study, in which the samples were stored in an environmental chamber at 25°C ± 2°C & 60% RH ± 5%. In an accelerated stability study, samples were stored in an environmental chamber at accelerated storage conditions (40°C ± 2°C & 75% RH ± 5%) for a period of six months.

In the accelerated stability studies (40°C ± 2°C / 75% ± 5% RH) for six months, the activity drop of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE was less than 15%. The real time stability studies (25°C ± 2°C / 60% ± 5%) showed less than 5% loss of viable count in 12 months study duration. Based on these findings and in accordance with ICH guideline Q1E\textsuperscript{2}, the proposed shelf life of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE is 2 years under real-time storage conditions, when stored in simulated market packing, e.g. double polybag bag in HDPE drum (powder).
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\textsuperscript{2} European Medicines Agency, August 2003 CPMP/ICH/420/02; last accessed September 15, 2020
Part 3: 21 CFR 170.235: Intended Use and Dietary Exposure

*B. clausii* 088AE is intended for addition at a level not exceeding $2 \times 10^9$ cfu/serving to a wide variety of foods. The food categories, as defined in 21 CFR §170.3(n) to which *B. clausii* 088AE is to be added, are listed below:

1. Baked goods and baking mixes, including all ready-to-eat and ready-to-bake products, flours and mixes, requiring preparation before serving.
2. Beverages, alcoholic, including malt beverages, wines, distilled liquors, and cocktail mix.
3. Beverages and beverage bases, nonalcoholic, including only special or spiced teas, soft drinks, coffee substitutes, and fruit and vegetable flavored gelatin drinks, drinking water, sport drinks.
4. Breakfast cereals, including ready-to-eat and instant and regular hot cereals.
5. Cheeses, including curd and whey cheeses, cream, natural, grating, processed, spread, dip, and miscellaneous cheeses.
6. Chewing gum, including all forms.
7. Coffee and tea, including regular, decaffeinated, and instant types.
8. Condiments and relishes, including plain seasoning sauces and spreads, olives, pickles, and relishes, but not spices or herbs.
9. Confections and frostings, including candy and flavored frostings, marshmallows, baking chocolate, and brown, lump, rock, maple, powdered, and raw sugars.
10. Dairy product analogs, including nondairy milk, frozen or liquid creamers, coffee whiteners, toppings, and other nondairy products.
12. Fats and oils, including margarine, dressings for salads, butter, salad oils, shortenings and cooking oils.
16. Fresh fruit juices, including only raw fruits, citrus, melons, and berries, and home prepared "ades" and punches made therefrom.
20. Frozen dairy desserts and mixes, including ice cream, ice milks, sherbets, and other frozen dairy desserts and specialties.
21. Fruit and water ices, including all frozen fruit and water ices.
22. Gelatins, puddings, and fillings, including flavored gelatin desserts, puddings, custards, parfaits, pie fillings, and gelatin base salads.
23. Grain products and pastas, including macaroni and noodle products, rice dishes, and frozen multicourse meals, without meat or vegetables.
25. Hard candy and cough drops, including all hard type candies.
26. Herbs, seeds, spices, seasonings, blends, extracts, and flavorings, including all natural and artificial spices, blends, and flavors.
28. Jams and jellies, commercial, including only commercially processed jams, jellies, fruit butters, preserves, and sweet spreads.
30. Milk, whole and skim, including only whole, low-fat, and skim fluid milks.
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(31) Milk products, including flavored milks and milk drinks, dry milks, toppings, snack dips, spreads, weight control milk beverages, and other milk origin products.

The intended addition of *B. clausii* 088AE and the food categories to which it will be added are identical to those stated for *Bacillus coagulans* as described in GRN 000399, 000526, 000597, 000691, to which FDA had no objection. *B. clausii* 088AE is intended to be added as a food ingredient in multiple food categories safely between $0.1 \times 10^9$ to $2 \times 10^9$ cfu/serving.

The intended usage of *B. clausii* 088 AE in food categories is similar to *Bacillus clausii* SNZ 1971 that Sanzyme Biologics reports to be self-affirmed GRAS. *Bacillus clausii* SNZ 1971 is described as a food ingredient in bakery (biscuits, pastries, cookies, brownies, crackers), cereal bars, dairy products (yogurt, cottage cheese, hard cheeses, and milk drinks and substitute products) and vegetable and fruit juices.

The No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) of *B. clausii* 088AE in Sprague Dawley rats, following oral administration for 90 days was 1000 mg/kg/day (Annex B-2, B-3). This dose corresponds to $1.6 \times 10^{11}$ cfu/kg/day (as the strength of *B. clausii* 088AE provided for toxicity study was $1.6 \times 10^{11}$ cfu/g of bacterial preparation) and $1.1 \times 10^{13}$ cfu/day for healthy adult male person of 70 kg body weight. Therefore, the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) concluded from the NOAEL dose of 90-day toxicity study of *B. clausii* 088AE (adjusted with a 100x safety factor) is $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ cfu/person/day.

According to the USDA Nutrition Insights, a publication of the USDA Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion (October 2000), males aged 51 or older consume the greatest servings of food/day which is about 18.2 servings of food/day from the following categories: grains, fruits, vegetables, milk, meat and others (fats, oils, sweets). Based upon the greatest estimate of servings of food consumed per day in the US (18.2) and the highest possible additional level of *B. clausii* 088AE per serving ($2 \times 10^9$ cfu/serving), the maximum estimated daily intake (EDI) is $3.6 \times 10^{10}$ cfu/day, which is less than the ADI derived from the NOAEL from the 90-day chronic oral toxicity study i.e. $1.1 \times 10^{11}$ cfu/per day.

As described above, the EDI value of *B. clausii* 088AE is highly exaggerated as it is unlikely that all products in the food categories that are listed above will contain *B. clausii* 088AE and unlikely that consumers will choose all of their food intake only from foods containing *B. clausii* 088AE.

In summary, even when the highly conservative EDI for *B. clausii* 088AE was used to compare with the ADI developed from the observed NOAL, the consumption of *B. clausii* 088AE was found to be well below the ADI and unlikely to present a risk to consumers.

---

4. ADI = NOAEL (1.1 x 10^{13} cfu/day) / 100x safety factor = 1.1 x 10^{11} cfu/day
5. Maximum Estimated Daily Intake of $3.6 \times 10^{10}$ CFUs per day of *B. clausii* is calculated as follows; $2.0 \times 10^9$ CFUs/serving (highest possible additional level of *B. clausii*) x 18.2 servings/day (greatest estimated serving of food).
There are no self-limiting levels of use of *Bacillus clausii* spores from *B. clausii* 088AE in food applications.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]
Part 5: 21 CFR 170.245: Experience Based on Common Use in Food before 1958

While *Bacillus clausii* has a safe history of use in food, the statutory basis for our conclusion of GRAS status in this notice is scientific procedures, as described in Pariza *et al.* 2015, rather than common use in food prior to 1958.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]
Part 6: 21 CFR 170.250: Narrative

6.1  History of Consumption of *Bacillus clausii*

Bacteria of the *Bacillus* genus are among the most widespread microorganisms in the nature. Being ubiquitous in soil, air and water, they find their way easily into food products (Beleneva, 2008; Garbeva et al., 2003). The *Bacillus* counts in wheat, grain and whole meal, are reported to be $10^6$ CFU/g (Sorokulova, 2013). Beleneva (2008) reported isolation of 15 different species of *Bacillus* from invertebrates and Sea of Japan.

*Bacillus* species particularly the strains of *B. subtilis*, *B. subtilis* var. *natto*, *B. clausii*, *B. licheniformis*, and *B. coagulans* etc. are widely employed in the development of quality functional foods globally (Elshaghabe et al., 2017). For example, Natto (Japan), Gari (Africa) Tapai Ubi (Malaysia), Douchi (China), Rabadi (India, Pakistan), Soibum (India), Ugba (Nigeria) are among the popular functional foods harboring the blend of *Bacillus* spp. (Elshaghabe et al., 2017). Ahaotu et al., 2013 reported presence of *B. clausii* in Ugba, a Nigerian traditional food, which is produced from the alkaline fermentation of seeds of the African oil bean tree. *B. clausii* strains have been isolated from numbers of traditional ethnic foods in India like Beetroot pickles, Toddy (Kerala, India ethnic alcoholic drink), Arishtam (Ayurvedic alcoholic drink) and Wine (Pal, 2013). *B. clausii* fermented whey is developed as functional dairy product, (Rochín-Medina et al., 2018). In the aquaculture industry, *B. clausii* strain is used as functional feed preparation for Guppy fish (Poeciliareticulata) (Lakshmi et al., 2017).

Strains of *B. clausii* are commercially explored for use in functional foods. *Bacillus clausii* SNZ1971 (self-affirmed GRAS, https://www.sanzymebiologics.com/blog/bacillus-clausii-snz-1971/) is intended to be used as food ingredient for consumers in food categories, like bakery (biscuits, pastries, cookies, brownies, crackers), cereal bars, dairy products (yogurt, cottage cheese, hard cheeses, and milk drinks and substitute products) and vegetable and fruit juices (Sanzyme Biologics, 2020).

In Italy, *B. clausii* has been widely used since 1960 as a bacterial therapy for viral diarrhea in children and for alleviating antibiotic related side effects (Jayanthi and Ratna Sudha, 2015). Another report suggests consumption of *B. clausii* by human beings since 1975 (Sensei et al. 2001). Enterogermina*, a two billion per five mL of *B. clausii* spore preparation (Sanofi-Aventis) is extensively studied across various populations of different geographical region. It was registered in 1958 in Europe and has had an over-the-counter medicinal status since 1999 (Green et al., 1999; Cutting 2011, Lee et al. 2019).
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6.2 Regulatory History of *Bacillus clausii*

*Bacillus clausii* strains have long been known to be safely consumed by the general human population. Reports suggest consumption of *B. clausii* by human beings for decades (Ghelardi et al., 2015; Sensei et al. 2001). In Italy, *B. clausii* has been used since 1960 as a bacterial therapy for viral diarrhea in children and for alleviating antibiotic related side effects (Jayanthi and RatnaSudha, 2015). Enterogermina, a 2 x $10^9$ cfu/per five mL of *B. clausii* spore preparation (Sanofi-Aventis) is extensively studied across various populations of different geographical region. It is registered in 1958 in Europe and has an over-the-counter medicinal status since 1999.

---

* https://www.enterogermina.in/product
(Green et al., 1999). In Europe, strains of *B. clausii* are commercially available in products like Neoferm BS 10 (CNCM MA23/3V and CNCM MA66/4M) (Scientific opinion, EC, 2003); Enterogermina® (*Bacillus clausii* strains O/C, N/R, SIN, T), MegaSporeBiotic (*B. clausii* SC-109), EnteroBacina (Bion Corporation, Elshaghabe et al., 2017).

European Food Safety Authority has granted *B. clausii* Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) status since 2008 (EFSA, 2016) and has renewed its status annually since then. Further *B. clausii* does not appear on the list of pathogens in Annex III of Directive 2000/54/EC, as it is globally regarded as a safe microorganism.

The American Type Culture Collection has classified different strains of *B. clausii* as Biosafety Level 1 (BSL-1), indicating that it is well-characterized agent which is not known to cause disease in healthy humans.

Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI), has included *B. clausii* in the list of permitted components in food and health supplements (FSSR, 2018).

Sanzyme Biologic’s *Bacillus clausii* SNZ 1971 has self-affirmed GRAS (generally recognized as safe) status and it is intended for use as a food ingredient for consumers in the following food categories: bakery (biscuits, pastries, cookies, brownies, crackers), cereal bars, dairy products (yogurt, cottage cheese, hard cheeses, and milk drinks and substitute products) and vegetable and fruit juices.®

### 6.3 Safety of *Bacillus clausii*—Oral Toxicity and Genotoxicity Studies

The safety of *B. clausii* 088AE and other strains have been evaluated in acute, subacute, sub-chronic, and chronic studies of oral toxicity and genetic toxicity assays.

#### 6.3.1. STUDIES OF BACILLUS CLAUSII 088AE

*B. clausii* 088AE, the notified strain, has been investigated in a series of toxicity studies complying with OECD Guidelines and conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) as published by the OECD (ENV/MC/CHEM(98)17) (Annex A, B-1).

**Acute oral toxicity test (OECD 423, 2001):** Using the step-wise method, 2 groups of n=3 female Sprague Dawley rats aged 9-10 weeks and weighing 163.28-178.47 g were dosed via gavage with 300 mg spore preparation 4.77 x 10¹⁰ cfu/kg bw and observed for 14 days. No indications of toxicity were reported. Two (2) similar groups of n=3 female Sprague Dawley rats were gavaged with 2000 mg/kg bw of the spore preparation, providing 3.18 x 10¹¹ cfu/kg bw. Again, no indications of toxicity were reported (Annex A).

**Repeated-dose 90-day oral toxicity test (OECD 408, 2018):** Four groups of 10 male and 10 female Sprague Dawley rats, 6-7 weeks old and weighing 170-173 g (males, mean = 171.2 g) and 149-153 g (females, mean = 150.1 g) were assigned to receive daily gavage of doses of 0, 250, 500, and 1000 mg spore preparation/kg bw (providing 0, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.59 x10¹¹ cfu/kg bw) for 90 days. Groups of 5 rats/sex receiving 0 or 1000 mg spore preparation/kg bw/day were assigned to 28-day recovery groups. Rats were examined daily for signs of toxicity, morbidity, and mortality. They were subjected to detailed clinical examinations at day 0 and weekly

---
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thereafter during the treatment and recovery period. Ophthalmic examinations were performed on the control and high-dose rats at beginning and end of dosing. At week 13, all animals were assessed for sensory reactivity, grip strength, and motor activity. Feed consumption and body weight were recorded weekly. Blood and urine samples were taken at the end of dosing and after recovery. All animals were subjected to necropsy and weights of kidneys, liver, adrenals, testes, epididymis, uterus, thymus, spleen, brain, ovaries, and heart were recorded. Histological evaluations were performed on all tissues from control and high-dose rats (Annex B-1).

There were no mortality and no clinical abnormalities in rats treated at any dose. Ophthalmological examination revealed no abnormalities, nor did the neurotoxic assessment. There was no effect on feed intake or body weight gain, hematological or biochemical parameters. In males, statistically significant decrease in the absolute weight of thymus (G4), lungs (G3, G4); increase in absolute and relative weight of epididymis (G4); decrease in relative weight of lungs (G4) were noted. In females, a statistically significant decrease in absolute and relative weight of ovaries (G2), kidney (G2, G4) and brain (G2) was noted. However, there were no gross pathological changes noted in any of the organs that attained statistical significance. No treatment related gross pathological lesions were observed at all the tested doses.

The no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE spore preparation in the Sprague Dawley rat, following oral administration for 90 days, was the highest dose tested, 1000 mg/kg bw/day providing 1.59 x10^{11} spores/kg bw/day (Annex B-2, B-3). This dose corresponds to 1.1 x 10^{13} cfu/day for healthy adult male person of 70 kg body weight. Therefore, the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) concluded from the NOAEL dose of 90-day toxicity study of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE (adjusted with a 100x safety factor) is 1.1 x 10^{11} cfu/person/day.

Based upon the greatest estimate of servings of food consumed per day in the US (18.2) and the highest possible additional level of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE per serving (2 x 10^{9} cfu/serving), the maximum Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) is 3.6 x 10^{10} cfu/day, which is significantly less than the ADI derived from the NOAEL from the 90-day chronic oral toxicity study i.e. 1.1 x 10^{11} cfu/per day.

As described above, the EDI value of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE is highly exaggerated as it is unlikely that all products in the food categories that are listed above will contain \textit{B. clausii} 088AE and unlikely that consumers will choose all of their food intake only from foods containing \textit{B. clausii} 088AE.

In summary, even when the highly conservative EDI for \textit{B. clausii} 088AE was employed to compare with the ADI developed from the NOAEL (90 day study), the estimated consumption of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE was found to be significantly below the calculated ADI, thus unlikely to present a risk to consumers.

\textbf{Bacterial reverse mutation test—Ames assay (OECD 471,1997):} The test was conducted using \textit{Salmonella typhimurium} tester strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and \textit{Escherichia coli} WP2 uvrA (pKM101) in the presence and absence of S9 metabolic activation. The test was conducted in triplicate at concentrations of 0.05, 0.16, 0.5, 1.6 and 5 µL/plate. No significant increase in the number of histidine revertant colonies was reported, and it is concluded that, under the conditions of this study, \textit{B. clausii} 088AE spore preparation is non-mutagenic (Annex C).

\textbf{In vitro mammalian chromosomal aberration test in human lymphocytes (OECD 473, 2016):} Cultures of human peripheral blood lymphocytes were exposed to \textit{B. clausii} 088AE spore preparation at concentrations of 0, 0.125, 0.25 and 0.5 mg/mL in the presence and absence of metabolic activation for 3 or 24 hours. No significant concentration related increase was reported
in the incidence of structural chromosome aberrations at any tested concentration, and it was concluded that *B. clausii* 088AE is non-clastogenic in the presence and absence of microsomal enzymes (Annex D).

**In vivo micronucleus test in mice (OECD 474, 2016):** Four groups of 5 male mice were gavaged with *B. clausii* 088AE spore preparation at doses of 0, 500, 1000, and 2000 mg/kg bw on two consecutive days, after which bone marrow was aspirated and examined microscopically. A total of 4000 polychromatic erythrocytes per mouse were examined for the presence of micronucleated cells. No evidence of toxicity was reported in treated mice or in their bone marrow with no increase in the incidence of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes. Based on the results obtained, it was concluded that *B. clausii* 088AE is non-mutagenic under the conditions tested (Annex E).

### 6.3.2. STUDIES OF OTHER STRAINS OF BACILLUS CLAUSII

The safety of *B. clausii* UBBC07 in animal models was reported by Lakshmi *et al.* 2017. In the acute toxicity study, the oral LD$_{50}$ for *B. clausii* UBBC07 was found to be $>5000$ mg/kg (630 billion cfu/kg) body weight. In the subacute toxicity study, no mortality was reported and all rats appeared normal, without showing any signs or symptoms of abnormality at doses up to 1000 mg/kg/day ($1.3 \times 10^{11}$ cfu/kg/day) by the oral route of administration for 28 days. No significant effect on general health, body weight, food consumption, hematological or clinical chemistry profile or urine parameters was found. Relative organ weight and histological observations of vital organs in all treated group were unaffected. The NOAEL (No Observed Adverse Effect Level) for *B. clausii* UBBC07 was found to be 1000 (1.3 x $10^{11}$ cfu) mg/kg body weight/day in subacute toxicity study (Lakshmi *et al.* 2017). The results are consistent with the results presented herein for *B. clausii* 088AE.

### 6.4 Safety of *Bacillus clausii*—Human Studies

Several researchers carried out studies with different *Bacillus clausii* strains on human subjects, including children and adults, and evaluated the safety aspects. These studies are summarized in Table 6. In all studies with dosages ranging from 2-6 x $10^{9}$ cfu/day, *Bacillus clausii* was reported to be effective and well tolerated.
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Table 6. Human Studies of *Bacillus clausii*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Design and Objective</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Strain and Dosage</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Safety-Related Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Adults               | Phase II, randomized, multiple arm trial of *B. clausii* strain UBBC-07 for treatment of acute diarrhea | 27 patients (average age of 35.44±8.08 years) with acute diarrhea         | *B. clausii* strain UBBC-07  
*Dose: one capsule containing 2×10⁹ cfu) two times a day* | 10 days  | Safety was evaluated by assessing the incidence and type of adverse effects such as increase in blood pressure and pulse rate, physical examination and clinical laboratory tests, i.e. complete blood count, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase, serum creatinine, and stool examination and microscopy, on day 1 and day 10. No significant changes in safety parameters were observed during treatment. |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Design and Objective</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Strain and Dosage</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Safety-Related Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nista et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial to study the effect of <em>B. clausii</em> therapy to reduce the side-effects of <em>H. pylori</em> treatment</td>
<td>120 Patients: 60 patients (male/female 33/27, mean age 46.2 ± 12.83) 60 patients (male/female 25/35, mean age 43.1 ± 13.36)</td>
<td>Enterogermina containing <em>B. clausii</em> spores  Dose: 1 vial containing 2×10⁹ spores, three time a day</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>Side-effects were studied for 4 weeks  The side-effects were assessed using a validated questionnaire and were recorded for 4 weeks from the start of therapy. The study showed lower incidence of self-reported side-effects and better tolerability to multiple antibiotic treatment during and after a standard seven-day anti-<em>H. pylori</em> regimen when compared with placebo.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infants & Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Design and Objective</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Strain and Dosage</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Safety-Related Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marseglia G. L. et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Randomized, single-blind, multi-centre, two arm parallel-group trial of <em>B. clausii</em> spores in the prevention of recurrent respiratory infections</td>
<td>80 Children (39 males and 41 females, mean age 4.3 ± 1.5 years) with recurrent respiratory infections</td>
<td>Enterogermina-Preparation of <em>B. clausii</em> spores  Dose: One vial of <em>B. clausii</em> (2 billion spores per 5 ml) two times a day</td>
<td>90 Days</td>
<td>Safety and tolerability of the probiotic were evaluated on the basis of the number and type of adverse events recorded according to...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Design and Objective</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Strain and Dosage</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Safety-Related Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the principles of good clinical practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None of the children were withdrawn from the study because of adverse events and very few mild adverse events occurred (3 diarrhoea episodes). Indeed, such events were either related to the underlying disease (RI) or not considered treatment-related.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The tolerability profile exhibited in the <em>B. clausii</em> group was similar to that of the control group. The proportion of patients who experienced adverse events was similar in the two groups both during the treatment phase and the follow-up. <em>B. clausii</em> is concluded as safe and well tolerated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6. Human Studies of *Bacillus clausii*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Design and Objective</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Strain and Dosage</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Safety-Related Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>de Castro, J et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Open-label, multicenter, observational study of <em>B. clausii</em> in the treatment of acute community-acquired diarrhea among Filipino children</td>
<td>3178 patients of acute community-acquired diarrhea lasting for less than 48 hours (median age of 2 years) Age Range: 1 month and 6 years of age</td>
<td>Ercefiora® (Sanofi, Philippines) containing <em>Bacillus clausii</em> in the following four bacterial stains: O/C, SIN, N/R, and T. Dose: One to two vials of <em>Bacillus clausii</em>, each 5-mL vial containing an aqueous suspension for oral administration of 2 billion spores</td>
<td>5 to 7 days</td>
<td>Therapy with <em>Bacillus clausii</em> was well-tolerated, and the adverse event rate was very low (0.09%). All reported adverse events, which included vomiting, erythematous rashes and stool color change, were mild to moderate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiran M et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Phase IV clinical study of <em>B. clausii</em> in the treatment of diarrhea</td>
<td>259 patients of diarrhea were recruited for the study out of which 215 patients completed trial and 44 patients were lost to follow-up. Age Group: Patients of either sex having age more than 1 year and less than 12 years</td>
<td>Suspension of <em>Bacillus clausii</em> (2 billion spores per 5 ml) Dose: 2 vials per day containing 2 billion spores per 5 ml, in the interval of 12 hours</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Side effects were evaluated using a list of questions and were recorded for 4 weeks from the start of therapy. The incidences of diarrhea, epigastric pain and nausea in patients treated with <em>B. clausii</em> were significantly lower compared to placebo group. Intensity of diarrhea and nausea in patients treated with <em>B. clausii</em> was significantly lower</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>Study Design and Objective</th>
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<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>compared to placebo group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.5 Decision Tree
Pariza et al. 2015, proposed a ‘decision tree’ process to determine the safety of microorganisms for human and animal consumption. The decision tree is a step-wise approach addressing various aspects of safety including identity, history of safe use, genomic and phenotypic safety evaluation. The decision tree process considers scenario as substantially increased exposure to a culture that has an established record of safety in a more limited application; a new strain without a history of safe use that was isolated from a food or feed; or a new strain isolated from a non-food or non-feed source. It is modeled on previous decision trees that are used worldwide to evaluate the safety of microbial enzymes for use in human food or animal feed (Pariza and Cook, 2010; Pariza and Johnson, 2001; Pariza and Foster, 1983). The safety of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE has been established using the Pariza et al. 2015 decision tree and the scientific procedures for determining safety of microbial cultures to be consumed by Humans or Animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has the strain been characterized for the purpose of assigning an unambiguous genus and species name using currently accepted methodology?</td>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the strain genome been sequenced?</td>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the strain genome free of genetic elements encoding virulence factors and/or toxins associated with pathogenicity?</td>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the strain genome free of functional and transferable antibiotic resistance gene DNA?</td>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the strain produce antimicrobial substances?</td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the strain been genetically modified using rDNA techniques?</td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the strain isolated from a food that has a history of safe consumption for which the species, to which the strain belongs, is a substantial and characterizing component (not simply an 'incidental isolate')?</td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the strain induce undesirable physiological effects in appropriately designed safety evaluation studies?</td>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: The strain is “deemed to be safe for use in the manufacture of food, probiotics, and dietary supplements for human consumption” (Pariza et al., 2015).

6.6 Safety Assessment and GRAS Determination
This section presents an assessment that demonstrates that the intended use of \textit{B. clausii} 088AE spore preparation is safe and is GRAS based on scientific procedures.
This safety assessment and GRAS determination entails two steps. In the first step, the safety of the intended use of *B. clausii* 088AE is demonstrated. Safety is established by demonstrating a reasonable certainty that the exposure of consumers to *B. clausii* 088AE under its intended conditions of use is not harmful. In the second step, the intended use of *B. clausii* 088AE is determined to be GRAS by demonstrating that the safety of this spore preparation under its intended conditions of use is based on publicly available and accepted information and is generally recognized as safe by qualified scientific experts.

The regulatory framework for establishing whether the intended use of a substance (or microorganism) is GRAS, in accordance with Section 201(s) of the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act, is set forth under 21 CFR §170.30. This regulation states that general recognition of safety may be based on the view of experts qualified by scientific training and experience to evaluate the safety of substances directly or indirectly added to food. A GRAS determination may be made either: 1) through scientific procedures under §170.30(b); or 2) through experience based on common use in food, in the case of a substance used in food prior to January 1, 1958, under §170.30(c). This GRAS determination employs scientific procedures established under §170.30(b) as described by Pariza *et al.* 2015.

A scientific procedure for GRAS determination requires the same quantity and quality of scientific evidence as is needed to obtain approval of the substance as a food additive. In addition to requiring scientific evidence of safety, a GRAS determination also requires that this scientific evidence of safety be generally known and accepted among qualified scientific experts. This “common knowledge” element of a GRAS determination consists of two components:

1. Data and information relied upon to establish the scientific element of safety must be generally available; and
2. There must be a basis to conclude that there is a consensus among qualified experts about the safety of the substance for its intended use.

The criteria outlined above for a scientific-procedures GRAS determination and the scientific procedures recommended by Pariza *et al.* 2015 are applied below in an analysis of whether the intended use of *B. clausii* 088AE spore preparation is safe and GRAS for the intended uses.

### 6.6.1 EVIDENCE OF SAFETY

The food ingredient *B. clausii* 088AE has been studied in detail to establish its safety for human consumption. Studies included a polyphasic approach for strain identification; genome analyses to evaluate the concerns of antibiotic resistance, virulence factors, biogenic amines, various toxins; safety of production process; toxicological studies in animals including acute oral toxicity and 90 days repeated dose oral toxicity. Safety concerns with the antibiotic resistance to clindamycin and erythromycin were also investigated. Antibiotic sensitivity/resistance of various *Bacillus* strains are described in GRN 526,597,660,691,831, demonstrating both intrinsic antibiotic resistance and sensitivity to an array of antibiotics in common use. The antibiotic resistance is a strain-
dependent phenomenon and intrinsic and non-transferable antibiotic resistance is not a safety concern.

Identification of a microorganism is of paramount importance in determining its safety. *B. clausii* 088AE was analyzed for 16S rRNA gene sequence, mol G +C % and phenotypic and biochemical characteristics to establish its identity. Phenotypic and biochemical characteristics of *B. clausii* 088AE were also compared and found similar to a reference strain *B. clausii* ATCC 700160. These studies unambiguously confirm the identity of the strain as *Bacillus clausii*.

*B. clausii* 088AE showed resistance to clindamycin and erythromycin. Genome analysis confirmed that the genes responsible for the antibiotic resistance are not flanked by mobile elements and the resistance is intrinsic and non-transferable horizontally.

A homology search between the assembled genome of *B. clausii* 088AE and virulence factor genes/proteins was performed using BLASTX. The analyses showed no safety concern with respect to virulence factors genes. Further, to confirm the non-virulence of the strain, an in vitro cytotoxicity test against Vero cells was conducted. *B. clausii* 088AE did not show any cytotoxicity. Genome analyses showed absence of genes related to diarrheal enterotoxin bceT, haemolytic enterotoxin operon (hbl genes – hblA, hblC, hblD), non-haemolytic enterotoxin operon (nhe ABC genes – nheA, nheB, nheC), cytotoxin K (cytK), enterotoxin FM (entFM) and emetic toxin cereulide (cesB), suggesting the strain does not produce these toxins.

A BLASTX analysis was performed between the assembled genome and biogenic amine producing proteins. *B. clausii* 088AE does not contain any biogenic amine-producing gene of concern. Laboratory studies confirmed that the strain does not produce biogenic amines. None of the regions of concern, i.e., antibiotic resistance genes, virulence factor genes, and biogenic amine producing genes were reported in the vicinity of the predicted mobile elements in the assembled genome thus ensuring the stability of the genome and constant safe use of the strain. Eleven CRISPRs were identified from the assembled genome of *B. clausii* strain 088AE. The presence of a CRISPR system indicates an advantage in promoting genome stability by acting as a barrier to entry of foreign DNA elements.

No indications of toxicity were reported in 14-day acute and 90-day oral toxicity studies or in genotoxicity assays in strain 088AE or other strains of *B. clausii*. No adverse effects were reported when the spores of various *B. clausii* strains were administered to humans in controlled clinical trials. Finally, *B. clausii* vegetative cells and spore forms have a safe history of use in foods, dietary supplements and registered drugs. Therefore, the weight of the scientific evidence clearly supports the safety of the intended use of *B. clausii* 088AE spore preparation for human consumption.

### 6.6.2 CONCLUSION OF THE EXPERT PANEL

The expert panel, qualified by their training and experience, has unanimously concluded that Advanced Enzymes’ *B. clausii* 088AE spores, manufactured consistent with cGMP
and meeting food grade specifications, is Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) based on scientific procedures for addition to baked goods and baking mixes, breakfast cereals, beverages and beverage bases, coffee and tea; milk and milk products, dairy product analogs, fruit juices, condiments and relishes, confections and frostings, frozen dairy desserts and mixes, fruit and water ices, drinking water, sports drinks, gelatins, jams and jellies, puddings and fillings alcoholic beverages grain products and pastas, hard candy, soft candy, chewing gum, extracts, and flavorings, herbs, seeds, spices, seasonings, blends, nuts and nut products, plant protein products, processed fruits, processed vegetables and vegetable juices, snack foods, soups and soup mixes, sugar and sweet sauces, toppings, and syrups, at a maximum level of $2 \times 10^9$ colony forming units (cfu)/serving.

Further, it is the opinion of the expert panel that other qualified and competent scientists reviewing the same publicly available information would reach the same conclusions (Annex F).
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