

# MME calculations and Abuse liability considerations

#### Chad J. Reissig, Ph.D., Controlled Substance Staff (CSS)

#### Overview



- What is Addiction? How is it measured and defined?
- Addiction in a regulatory context
  - Abuse liability assessment:
    - Preclinical methodology: self-administration
    - Clinical abuse liability assessment
- Is there a role for abuse liability assessment in MMEs?

#### What is Addiction?



- NIDA: "Addiction is defined as a chronic, relapsing brain disease that is characterized by compulsive drug seeking and use, despite harmful consequences"
- **SAMHSA:** "Substance use disorders occur when the recurrent use of alcohol and/or drugs causes clinically significant impairment, including health problems, disability, and failure to meet major responsibilities at work, school, or home"
- Drug abuse can be defined as the intentional, non-therapeutic use of a drug product or substance, even once, to achieve a desired psychological or physiological effect
  - Repeated drug abuse may lead to addiction

### **Measuring Addiction**



- There are no biomarkers or laboratory-based assessments to diagnose or measure addiction
  - Clinical diagnoses and outcome measures of addiction are qualitative in nature, for example:
    - Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-V)
    - International Classification of Diseases (ICD)

### Addiction, Abuse Liability and MMEs

- Morphine milligram equivalent (MME) calculations do not take abuse liability considerations into account
  - This is appropriate due to the complexity of including abuse liability as part of a "composite" MME calculation and difficulties in defining addiction and abuse potential as a discrete phenomena
- However, a variety of scientific methodologies are utilized to evaluate and predict the abuse potential of drugs

### Abuse Liability Assessment

- FDA
- As described in the final guidance "Assessment of Abuse Potential of Drugs - Guidance for Industry," a variety of data are used to evaluate abuse potential including:
  - 1. Chemistry information
  - 2. Receptor-ligand binding studies and functional (e.g., second messenger) studies
  - 3. Pharmacokinetic studies
  - 4. Abuse–related studies in animals: e.g., general behavioral observations, drug discrimination, self-administration, and physical dependence studies
  - 5. Abuse-related studies in humans: human abuse potential (HAP) and physical dependence studies
  - 6. Abuse-related AEs from clinical studies
  - 7. Information related to overdose during clinical studies
  - 8. Assessment of the incidence of abuse during clinical studies

## FDA

#### Abuse Liability Assessment

- Assays that directly assess the reinforcing effects of drugs may be the most relevant to MMEs:
  - Abuse-related studies in animals: self-administration
  - Abuse-related studies in humans: human abuse potential (HAP) study

#### Abuse Liability Assessment – Self-Administration

- Self-administration is often considered the nonclinical "gold standard" abuse liability assessment
  - Using this technique, a laboratory animal has the opportunity to obtain, or self-administer drug
  - If the drug is self-administered, we track how often and how much

Abuse Liability Assessment – Self-Administration



#### Abuse Liability Assessment – Self Administration



#### Abuse Liability Assessment – Self-Administration

• Self-administration studies offer information about the range of doses of a drug that are reinforcing, however, they are limited in determining relative reinforcing effects of drugs (e.g., whether one drug has increased reinforcing effects compared to another)

### Clinical Abuse Liability Assessment: FDA Methodology

 Generally, human abuse liability assessments are considered face valid, and a highly relevant indication of abuse liability

 If human abuse potential studies and non-clinical studies do <u>not</u> show the presence of rewarding effects or abuse-related behaviors, widespread abuse of the drug is unlikely

#### Clinical Abuse Liability Assessment: Participant Recruitment and Selection



#### Study participants include individuals with prior experience using similar drugs

- This may increase the sensitivity of the study
  - Experienced drug users are often better qualified to describe and evaluate the subjective effects of drugs of abuse
  - Drug-naïve participants may find study drugs aversive
- Recruitment usually employs standard methodologies
  - Newspaper, magazine, and media advertisements
  - Snowball sampling and "refer-a-friend" recruiting incentives

### Clinical Abuse liability Assessment: Screening and Study Procedures

- After recruitment, participants undergo screening procedures to determine study eligibility, including a medical examination
  - Participants are generally healthy and significant medical conditions are excluded
- A "qualification" or "prescreening session" is usually employed
  - This involves administration of a placebo and an intermediate dose of the positive control to ensure participants reliably report "liking" and positive effects from the positive control

### Clinical Abuse Liability Assessment: Study Procedures

- Usually double-blind, double-dummy, within-subject design
- During study sessions, ratings of "drug liking" and other effects are assessed repeatedly after drug administration using a visual analog scale (VAS)
  - Peak ratings of "liking" are usually the primary outcome measure
  - Psychomotor measures (e.g., measures of hand-eye coordination, cognitive ability) may also be employed to gather information on the consequences of abuse of the new drug
- The abuse liability of the test drug is assessed by comparing its effects with those of placebo and the positive control

Clinical Abuse Liability Assessment: Examples of Outcome Measures

#### Do you like the drug effect?



Clinical Abuse liability assessment: dose selection



- Dose selection in abuse liability studies is justified
  - Doses typically include supra-therapeutic doses of the test drug
- Multiple doses of the new drug and positive control are assessed to determine location on the doseresponse curve



#### Clinical Abuse Liability Assessment: Methodology



#### Clinical Abuse Liability Assessment: Examples of Outcome Measures



Stoops et al., 2010. Psychopharmacology (2):193-203

FDA



- Peak ratings of "liking" often correlate well with PK parameters (e.g., Cmax)
- Generally, drugs with a faster rate of onset have an increased abuse potential

Source: Darwish M, Bond M, Ma Y, Tracewell W, Robertson P Jr, Webster LR. Pain Med. 2016 Jun 21

#### Clinical Abuse Liability Assessment: Examples of Outcome Measures

Oral Abuse Potential of Hydrocodone ER









- Preclinical self administration studies can offer us critical variables relevant to MMEs including:
  - 1. Whether a drug/opioid is reinforcing
  - 2. Potency and the range of doses that are reinforcing





- Clinical abuse potential studies offer the most face valid, comprehensive assessment of abuse potential
  - They can determine the reinforcing effects of a drug across a range of doses, relative to the therapeutic dose and a positive control
  - However, HAP studies are typically limited to a small number of comparators (e.g., two drugs)

#### Conclusions



- Self-administration and HAP procedures are standard abuse potential assessment assays that may be useful for MME calculations
- For MMEs, an ideal situation is identifying an opioid where the recreational/reinforcing effects occur at doses substantially higher than efficacious doses



