

# Biosimilar User Fee Act (BsUFA) Reauthorization

## FDA and Industry Steering Committee Meeting | Meeting Summary

April 27<sup>th</sup>, 2021 | 2:00pm-4:00pm

Virtual Format

### PURPOSE

To revisit proposals related to information technology, supplements and labeling for product safety updates, meeting management, and finance and staffing enhancements.

### PARTICIPANTS

#### FDA

Josh Barton	CDER
Leslie Bryant	OC
Alonza Cruse	ORA
Emily Ewing	CDER
Alison Falb	CDER
Laurie Graham	CDER
Leila Hann	CDER
Andrew Kish	CDER
Steve Kozlowski	CDER
Paul Phillips	CDER
Carol Rehkopf	CBER
Chris Sese	CDER
Mary Ann Slack	CDER
Peter Stein	CDER
Kim Taylor	CDER
Mary Thanh Hai	CDER
Sarah Yim	CDER

#### Industry

Hillel Cohen	AAM (Sandoz)
David Gaugh	AAM
Cory Wohlbach	AAM (Teva)
Linda Bowen	BIO (Seagen)
Leah Christl	BIO (Amgen)
Camelia Thompson	BIO
Ryan Fournier	Biosimilars Forum (Wiley)
Trevor LaSalvia	Biosimilars Forum (Wiley)
Erika Satterwhite	Biosimilars Forum (Viatris)
Nathalie Yanze	Biosimilars Forum (Coherus)
David Ceryak	PhRMA (Eli Lilly)
Ryan Kaat	PhRMA
Laura McKinley	PhRMA (Pfizer)
Lucy Vereshchagina	PhRMA

### Information Technology

FDA reviewed their responses to Industry’s proposals on a data and technology modernization strategy and monitoring and modernizing the Electronic Submissions Gateway. FDA and Industry discussed resources associated with these proposals. FDA reviewed their proposal to leverage cloud technology to progress regulatory digital transformation. FDA explained their proposal for a demonstration project to support cloud innovation specific to biosimilar applications. FDA and Industry discussed the intent and potential topic of the demonstration project and resources for the overall proposal. Industry agreed to consider the demonstration project and the resource estimates for all proposals.

### **Supplements and Labeling for Product Safety Updates**

FDA presented an updated proposal on supplement categories and timelines. FDA and Industry discussed terminology and agreed to reconsider use of specific terms. Industry inquired about the scope of the proposal. FDA confirmed that manufacturing supplements are out of scope and agreed to consider further the scope of the proposal. FDA noted that their supplement proposal is contingent on resources. Industry agreed to consider FDA's proposed supplement categories and timelines. Industry did not agree to FDA's response to Industry's proposal regarding product safety labeling updates and committed to preparing a counterproposal.

### **Meeting Management**

FDA presented their responses to Industry's meeting management proposals. FDA and Industry discussed proposed modifications relating to BIA meetings. Industry inquired about the scheduling timeline and the process for determining meeting format for a proposed new BPD meeting type. Industry also inquired about FDA's proposed timeline relating to a modified Type 4 meeting process, and FDA explained the complexities associated with these meetings. FDA indicated general alignment with Industry on clarifying FDA feedback and comments and updating respective guidance. FDA noted that all the proposals are dependent on appropriate resources.

### **Finance and Staffing Enhancements**

FDA presented an overview of the previously presented proposals relating to finance and staffing enhancements. FDA and Industry discussed outstanding clarifying questions about the proposals. FDA also provided resource estimates for proposals regarding resource capacity planning and hiring and retention. Industry agreed to consider the proposals and resources.

The goals for the next meeting on May 4<sup>th</sup> will be to revisit supplements and labeling for product safety updates, meeting management, guidance development, and best practices during application review.

There were no other substantive proposals, significant controversies, or differences of opinion discussed at this meeting.