
COVID-19: Master Protocols Evaluating Drugs and Biological Products for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)
Oncology Center of Excellence (OCE)**

**May 2021
Clinical/Medical**

COVID-19: Master Protocols Evaluating Drugs and Biological Products for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs>*

and/or

*Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Room 3128
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010; Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information-biologics/biologics-guidances>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)
Oncology Center of Excellence (OCE)**

**May 2021
Clinical/Medical**

Preface

Public Comment

This guidance is being issued to address the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) public health emergency. This guidance is being implemented without prior public comment because the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) has determined that prior public participation for this guidance is not feasible or appropriate (see section 701(h)(1)(C) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 371(h)(1)(C)) and 21 CFR 10.115(g)(2)). This guidance document is being implemented immediately, but it remains subject to comment in accordance with the Agency's good guidance practices.

Comments may be submitted at any time for Agency consideration. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. All comments should be identified with the docket number FDA-2021-D-0409 and complete title of the guidance in the request.

Additional Copies

Additional copies are available from the FDA web page titled "COVID-19-Related Guidance Documents for Industry, FDA Staff, and Other Stakeholders," available at <https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/mcm-issues/covid-19-related-guidance-documents-industry-fda-staff-and-other-stakeholders> and the FDA webpage titled "Search for FDA Guidance Documents," available at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>. You may also send an e-mail request to COVID19-productdevelopment@fda.hhs.gov to receive an additional copy of the guidance. Please include the Docket number FDA-2021-D-0409 and complete title of the guidance in the request.

Questions

For questions about this document, contact Eithu Lwin at 301-796-0728 or at Eithu.Lwin@fda.hhs.gov.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND.....	2
III.	DISCUSSION	4
	A. Guiding Principles.....	4
	B. Trial Design and Conduct Considerations	5
	C. Statistical Considerations.....	7
	D. Administrative and Procedural Recommendations	9

COVID-19: Master Protocols Evaluating Drugs and Biological Products for Treatment or Prevention Guidance for Industry¹

This guidance represents the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA office responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

FDA plays a critical role in protecting the United States from threats such as emerging infectious diseases, including the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. FDA is committed to providing timely guidance to support response efforts to this pandemic.

FDA is issuing this guidance to provide recommendations to sponsors of master protocols² evaluating drugs³ for the treatment or prevention of COVID-19.

Given this public health emergency, and as discussed in the Notice in the *Federal Register* of March 25, 2020, titled “Process for Making Available Guidance Documents Related to Coronavirus Disease 2019,” available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2020-03-25/pdf/2020-06222.pdf>, this guidance is being implemented without prior public comment because FDA has determined that prior public participation for this guidance is not feasible or appropriate (see section 701(h)(1)(C) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 371(h)(1)(C)) and 21 CFR 10.115(g)(2)). This guidance document is being implemented immediately, but it remains subject to comment in accordance with the Agency’s good guidance practices.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of New Drugs and the Office of Biostatistics in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, and the Oncology Center of Excellence at the Food and Drug Administration.

² For the purposes of this guidance, *master protocol sponsor* refers to the person or organization who takes responsibility for and initiates the master protocol. In many instances individual investigational drugs chosen for evaluation in the master protocol will also be evaluated under a separate investigational new drug application (IND). For the purposes of this guidance, a sponsor responsible for the investigation of an individual drug evaluated under the separate IND is referred to as the *individual investigational drug sponsor*. The master protocol sponsor and the individual investigational drug sponsor may or may not be the same entity.

³ For the purposes of this guidance, all references to *drugs* include both human drugs and biological products unless otherwise specified.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

This guidance is intended to remain in effect for the duration of the public health emergency related to COVID-19 declared by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), including any renewals made by the Secretary in accordance with section 319(a)(2) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247d(a)(2)). However, the recommendations and processes described in the guidance are expected to assist the Agency more broadly in its efforts to assist sponsors with the design of COVID-19 master protocols, including under circumstances outside of the COVID-19 public health emergency, and reflect the Agency's current thinking on this issue. Therefore, within 60 days following the termination of the public health emergency, FDA intends to revise and replace this guidance with any appropriate changes based on comments received on this guidance and the Agency's experience with implementation.

The contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the public in any way, unless specifically incorporated into a contract. This document is intended only to provide clarity to the public regarding existing requirements under the law. FDA guidance documents, including this guidance, should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word should in Agency guidance means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

II. BACKGROUND

There is currently an outbreak of respiratory disease caused by a novel coronavirus. The virus has been named "SARS-CoV-2" and the disease it causes has been named "Coronavirus Disease 2019" (COVID-19). On January 31, 2020, HHS issued a declaration of a public health emergency related to COVID-19, effective January 27, 2020, and mobilized the Operating Divisions of HHS.⁴ In addition, on March 13, 2020, there was a Presidential declaration of a national emergency in response to COVID-19.⁵

This guidance describes FDA's current recommendations to sponsors of master protocols evaluating drugs for the treatment or prevention of COVID-19.⁶ For the purposes of this

⁴ Secretary of Health and Human Services, Determination that a Public Health Emergency Exists, (originally issued Jan. 31, 2020, and subsequently renewed), *available at* <https://www.phe.gov/emergency/news/healthactions/phe/Pages/default.aspx>.

⁵ Proclamation on Declaring a National Emergency Concerning the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Outbreak (Mar. 13, 2020), *available at* <https://trumpwhitehouse.archives.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/>. On February 24, 2021, there was a Presidential Declaration continuing the national emergency concerning the COVID-19 pandemic beyond March 1, 2021. See Continuation of the National Emergency Concerning the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic (February 24, 2021), *available at* <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/02/26/2021-04173/continuation-of-the-national-emergency-concerning-the-coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-pandemic>.

⁶ Under 42 U.S.C. § 282(j), including its implementing regulations in 42 CFR part 11, certain applicable clinical trials must be registered at www.ClinicalTrials.gov. Within one year of the primary completion date, with certain exceptions for submission beyond one year, responsible parties must submit required summary results information to www.ClinicalTrials.gov. FDA encourages responsible parties to submit summary results information as soon as possible and ahead of required statutory and regulatory deadlines.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

guidance, a master protocol is defined as a protocol designed with multiple substudies, which may have different objectives and involve coordinated efforts to evaluate one or more investigational drugs in one or more disease subtypes within the overall trial structure.⁷ Types of master protocols include umbrella trials, platform trials, and basket trials.^{8, 9} This guidance primarily focuses on umbrella trials and platform trials, as these are of particular relevance to the current landscape of COVID-19 drug development. Umbrella trials evaluate multiple therapies simultaneously for a single disease. Platform trials evaluate multiple therapies for a single disease in a perpetual manner, with therapies entering or leaving the platform on the basis of a decision algorithm.

Well-designed and conducted master protocols can accelerate drug development by maximizing the amount of information obtained from the research effort. Compared with conducting separate stand-alone trials, conducting an umbrella or platform trial can increase data quality and efficiency through shared infrastructure and can reduce overall sample size through sharing of a control arm. These efficiencies are of particular importance in the setting of a public health emergency such as the current COVID-19 pandemic, where there is a critical need for the efficient development of therapies. FDA expects master protocols to continue to play an important role in addressing the public health needs created by the current COVID-19 pandemic, as well as in future pandemics that might occur.

This guidance primarily focuses on the design, conduct, and statistical considerations of master protocols intended to generate or contribute to substantial evidence of effectiveness and adequate characterization of safety of drugs for the treatment or prevention of COVID-19. However, the principles in the guidance may also apply to master protocols generating proof of concept or dose-ranging data for drugs to treat or prevent COVID-19. Additionally, this guidance provides administrative and procedural recommendations to sponsors of master protocols for COVID-19 drugs. This guidance is intended to complement other COVID-19 guidances.¹⁰

⁷ See also the draft guidance for industry *Master Protocols: Efficient Clinical Trial Design Strategies to Expedite Development of Oncology Drugs and Biologics* (September 2018). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

⁸ Woodcock J, LaVange LM, 2017, Master Protocols to Study Multiple Therapies, Multiple Diseases, or Both, *N Engl J Med*, 377(1):62–70.

⁹ Basket trials study a single targeted therapy in the context of multiple diseases or disease subtypes.

¹⁰ See the guidances for industry *COVID-19: Developing Drugs and Biological Products for Treatment or Prevention* (February 2021), *Emergency Use Authorization for Vaccines to Prevent COVID-19* (February 2021), *Development and Licensure of Vaccines to Prevent COVID-19* (June 2020), *Investigational COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma* (February 2021), and *Statistical Considerations for Clinical Trials During the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency* (June 2020) and the guidance for industry and investigators *COVID-19 Public Health Emergency: General Considerations for Pre-IND Meeting Requests for COVID-19 Related Drugs and Biological Products* (May 2020). We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Sponsors should also review the draft guidance for industry *Master Protocols: Efficient Clinical Trial Design Strategies to Expedite Development of Oncology Drugs and Biologics*.¹¹ While not specific to COVID-19, general principles from the oncology master protocol guidance may also inform considerations of master protocols for COVID-19 drugs.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Guiding Principles

All sponsors developing drugs to treat or prevent COVID-19 should consider the following guiding principles when determining whether to conduct a master protocol or a stand-alone trial:

- Both master protocols and stand-alone trials have strengths and limitations including the following:
 - As noted above, master protocols can accelerate drug development by maximizing the amount of information obtained and leveraging infrastructure to increase trial efficiency.
 - Potential limitations of master protocols include their complexity, which necessitates a high degree of up-front planning and coordination.
 - In contrast, a stand-alone trial may be easier to design and conduct; however, typically, fewer scientific questions can be addressed.
- Given the different types of data that need to be generated during drug development (e.g., proof of concept, dose-ranging, substantial evidence of effectiveness, safety data) a development program may include both master protocols and stand-alone trials.
 - For all trials in the development program, a sponsor should clearly identify objectives to allow for the selection of an appropriate trial design.
 - Sponsors should provide justification for the to-be-evaluated dose(s). This justification may require measurement of biological activity in applicable investigational products (e.g., neutralizing antibody titers in convalescent plasma).
 - In general, master protocols are not intended for first-in-human investigation.
- Sponsors considering master protocols for the development of COVID-19 drugs should engage FDA early in their planning to determine the appropriateness of such approaches.

¹¹ When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

B. Trial Design and Conduct Considerations

Master protocol sponsors evaluating drugs to treat or prevent COVID-19 should consider the following:

- A master protocol should include an appropriate randomized comparator arm. In the COVID-19 setting, a high potential exists for confounding when comparisons are made to participants¹² who were not concurrently randomized because of changes over time such as changes in the standard of care including background treatments and supportive care, circulating SARS-CoV-2, availability of health care resources, or the distribution of enrollment across trial sites and regions.
- Master protocol sponsors should seek concurrence from the Agency before implementing a change where a drug evaluated under the master protocol is incorporated into the trial as either background therapy or as part of the control arm. It is possible that a drug may be incorporated into the control arm but not as background therapy for all arms in situations where it would be inappropriate to add therapies together (e.g., similar mechanism of action).
- For master protocols evaluating multiple drugs, some participants may not be eligible to receive a particular drug for safety reasons (e.g., diminished renal or liver function). In these situations, protocols should be designed to prevent participants from being randomized to drugs they are not eligible to receive.
- Master protocol sponsors should make every effort to incorporate blinding into their trials. Sponsors should consider the following:
 - In a placebo-controlled trial where investigational drugs have multiple routes of administration or variable dosing schedules, blinding could be achieved through either of the following:
 - A multiple-dummy design (e.g., for a master protocol with three investigational arms a participant will receive three placebos or one investigational drug and two placebos, leading to complete blinding)

or

 - A distinct, blinded placebo control for each drug (e.g., for a master protocol with three investigational arms a participant will receive one substance, either the investigational drug randomized to or its matching placebo). In this case, the sponsor could randomize the participants to an intervention-specific subprotocol (among those they are eligible for) and then randomize them to either that investigational drug or its matched placebo. Statistical comparisons between an investigational drug and placebo can include participants who were eligible to be

¹² For the purposes of this guidance, *participant* refers to a person enrolled in a COVID-19 prevention or treatment trial.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

randomized to the drug but were randomized to placebo groups for other interventions.

- In trials where blinding is impractical, FDA strongly recommends an objective endpoint (e.g., all-cause mortality).
- In cases where drugs are intended to affect different aspects of the disease (e.g., anticoagulants, antivirals), there may be multiple intervention-specific endpoints. Master protocol sponsors should discuss endpoint selection with the Agency, given the potential for additional complexities in trial design, conduct, and analysis.
- Collection of safety data is important for novel drugs as well as repurposed drugs being evaluated for COVID-19, as the safety profile of repurposed drugs may differ in a new population. However, under certain circumstances and with the Agency’s concurrence, a selective approach to safety data collection for drugs with a well-characterized safety profile and low toxicity may be appropriate.¹³ The following should be considered when determining if selective safety data collection is appropriate:
 - The type of master protocol and drugs expected to be evaluated may impact the approach to safety data collection. For example, in a master protocol with a shared control arm and selective safety data collection, if collection of additional safety data (which are not being collected under the master protocol) is needed for a new drug in a subprotocol, the comparisons of that outcome could only utilize the subset of participants in the control group for whom the appropriate safety data were collected.
 - Master protocol sponsors should provide justification to support a selective safety data approach, taking into account the safety profile of the drugs expected to be evaluated as well as the role of the master protocol in adequately characterizing safety within the overall clinical development program.
 - Master protocol sponsors are reminded that selective safety data collection does not alter safety reporting requirements.¹⁴
 - In trials with a selective approach to safety collection, where the data collected differs between treatment arms, sponsors should address the impact of such differences in

¹³ See the guidances for industry *COVID-19: Developing Drugs and Biological Products for Treatment or Prevention* (February 2021) and *Determining the Extent of Safety Data Collection Needed in Late-Stage Premarket and Postapproval Clinical Investigations* (February 2016).

¹⁴ See 21 CFR 312.32 and 21 CFR 312.64(b). See also the guidance for industry and investigators *Safety Reporting Requirements for INDs and BA/BE Studies* (December 2012) and the draft guidance for industry *Safety Assessment for IND Safety Reporting* (December 2015). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

their risk-based monitoring plans.¹⁵ This is because of the increased potential for data collection errors when the data collected differs between treatment arms.

- Sponsors must provide adequate oversight to ensure protection of the rights, safety, and welfare of subjects enrolled in clinical trials and to ensure the integrity of the data submitted to FDA.¹⁶ The added complexity of master protocols may increase the potential for protocol deviations impacting the safety and welfare of subjects and the data integrity of these trials. Accordingly, sponsors should take measures (e.g., training at a site level) to help reduce the likelihood of clinically significant protocol deviations from occurring when designing, implementing, and monitoring their trials.¹⁷
- Before involving any subjects in a clinical investigation under a master protocol, the investigator must obtain the legally effective informed consent of the subject or the subject's legally authorized representative.¹⁸
- FDA recommends the use of a central institutional review board to review the master protocol.¹⁹
- The master protocol sponsor should appoint an independent, external data monitoring committee or other appropriate independent entity structured to assess safety and efficacy.²⁰

C. Statistical Considerations

Master protocol sponsors evaluating drugs to treat or prevent COVID-19 should consider the following:

- Some master protocols can incorporate proposals for complex adaptive²¹ or Bayesian designs. Given the added complexity of these designs and that simulations are often

¹⁵ See the guidance for industry *Oversight of Clinical Investigations — A Risk-Based Approach to Monitoring* (August 2013).

¹⁶ In general, 21 CFR part 312, subpart D (Responsibilities of Sponsors and Investigators).

¹⁷ See the guidance for industry *Determining the Extent of Safety Data Collection Needed in Late-Stage Premarket and Postapproval Clinical Investigations* (February 2016).

¹⁸ See 21 CFR 50.20. Per FDA regulations at 21 CFR 50.55, if a child is to be enrolled in a clinical investigation, the parent(s) or guardian must provide permission, with the assent of the child when appropriate.

¹⁹ See 21 CFR 56.114. Note that 56.114 does not reflect a legal requirement.

²⁰ Master protocol sponsors should review the guidance for industry *COVID-19: Developing Drugs and Biological Products for Treatment or Prevention* (see section III. A. 2.) and the guidance for clinical trial sponsors *Establishment and Operation of Clinical Trial Data Monitoring Committees* (March 2006) for additional information.

²¹ See the guidance for industry *Adaptive Designs for Clinical Trials of Drugs and Biologics* (November 2019).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

required for a comprehensive evaluation of the operating characteristics, FDA strongly encourages master protocol sponsors to discuss these plans early with the Agency.

- Master protocol sponsors should base statistical analyses for a given investigational drug on comparisons against only those control arm participants who were concurrently randomized. Sponsors considering alternative approaches should seek concurrence from the Agency.
- If some participants are eligible for only some treatment arms in the trial, master protocol sponsors should base statistical analyses for a given treatment arm on comparisons against only those control arm participants who were eligible for that treatment arm.
- If the overall randomization ratio to an investigational drug and its comparator changes during the trial, comparisons between the investigational drug and comparator group should account for time periods of different randomization ratios, such as by stratifying by the time period. The randomization ratio may change, for instance, if new investigational drugs are entered over time into trials with certain randomization schemes. For example, one randomization scheme could change the randomization ratio from 1:1:1 (control:Drug A:Drug B) to 2:1:1:1 (control:Drug A:Drug B:Drug C) when the third drug, Drug C, enters the trial.
- In umbrella or platform trials in the COVID-19 setting, sponsors do not need to perform multiplicity adjustments for the multiple comparisons of different investigational drugs to the comparator group to ensure control of the overall familywise type I error rate. However, sponsors should be aware of the possibility of multiple correlated erroneous findings, and it is critical to incorporate additional considerations (e.g., the clinical meaningfulness of the estimated effect; the quality of trial design and conduct; information from relevant external studies; results for other drugs studied under the master protocol, if available and relevant) beyond the p-value from a single comparison into the evaluation of the persuasiveness of evidence supporting the effectiveness of a drug.
- To reduce the risk of a poorly or highly performing control arm leading to multiple correlated erroneous findings in an umbrella or platform trial of multiple investigational drugs, sponsors can consider use of a randomization ratio other than equal allocation to have a greater proportion of participants in the control arm. Greater than proportionate randomization to the control arm may also increase overall power for a fixed total sample size.
- In some scenarios, dissemination of results for one investigational drug can lead to inadvertent dissemination of information about other drugs under ongoing evaluation in the trial, potentially affecting trial conduct and integrity. For example, in an event-driven trial, the fact that one drug has reached the target number of events for the final analysis could imply that other drugs still in the trial have had fewer events. Knowledge of accumulating data can affect the course and conduct of a trial, and the behavior of its sponsor, investigators, and participants, in ways that are difficult to predict and

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

impossible to adjust for. Therefore, it is paramount that the analysis and communication of results for one investigational drug not lead to inadvertent dissemination of information for other drugs.

- FDA does not require comparisons between investigational drugs in an umbrella or platform trial, but the comparisons may be useful for comparative effectiveness research and informing treatment guidelines. Master protocol sponsors planning on conducting these comparisons should prespecify them in the statistical analysis plan.

D. Administrative and Procedural Recommendations

Master protocol sponsors evaluating drugs to treat or prevent COVID-19 should consider the following:

- A sponsor considering the submission of a master protocol should request a pre-investigational new drug application (pre-IND) meeting to discuss the protocol and submission details. The cover letter for these meeting requests should clearly state “REQUEST FOR MEETING-MASTER PROTOCOL (Meeting Type).”
- The master protocol IND should include only the master protocol and the master protocol should be conducted under that IND only.
- Regarding cross-references between INDs, sponsors should consider the following:
 - The master protocol should not be incorporated into other INDs via cross-reference.
 - INDs for individual investigational drugs²² being evaluated in a master protocol can cross-reference limited elements of the master protocol IND (e.g., clinical data).
 - The master protocol IND can reference information in the INDs for the individual investigational drugs to be evaluated in the master protocol IND to support the safety of those individual investigational drugs, such as nonclinical study findings, drug product quality specifications, and clinical data.
 - To reference information in another sponsor’s IND, a letter from that sponsor authorizing such reference must be provided.²³
- A new investigational drug²⁴ proposed for evaluation in the master protocol should be submitted as a protocol amendment to the master protocol IND. All drugs to be evaluated

²² In many instances individual investigational drugs chosen for evaluation in the master protocol will also be evaluated under a separate IND. The master protocol sponsor and the individual investigational drug sponsor may or may not be the same entity. See also footnote 2.

²³ 21 CFR 312.23(b).

²⁴ For purposes of this guidance, those not-previously-developed drugs are referred to as new investigational drugs.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

in a master protocol are expected to have undergone previous clinical testing in humans and, therefore, to have a separate IND file. In rare cases where there may not be a separate IND for the drug (e.g., a drug developed solely outside of the United States), master protocol sponsors should consult FDA.

- FDA recommends the following procedures regarding protocol amendments:
 - The master protocol sponsor should clearly mark the cover letter for protocol amendments with “Protocol Amendment-MASTER PROTOCOL.”
 - Master protocol sponsors should submit protocol amendments that substantively affect the safety, quality, or scope of the master protocol at least 30 days before initiation of the changes. For example, to add a new individual investigational drug to the master protocol, the master protocol IND sponsor should submit the protocol amendment at least 30 days before initiation of that arm of the protocol.
 - A sponsor should notify the regulatory project manager at least 48 hours before submitting any protocol amendment that could substantively affect the safety, quality, or scope of the master protocol.
- FDA recommends that master protocol sponsors review figure D of the appendix in the draft guidance for industry *Master Protocols: Efficient Clinical Trial Design Strategies to Expedite Development of Oncology Drugs and Biologics*²⁵ for an example of the organization of an electronic common technical document submission for a master protocol.
- FDA recommends that the master protocol sponsor ensure the dissemination of information and advice from FDA to the individual investigational drug sponsor(s).
- The master protocol sponsor should establish a systematic approach that ensures the rapid communication of serious safety issues to clinical investigators and FDA under IND safety reporting regulations.²⁶ This should include a process for rapid implementation of protocol amendments to address serious safety issues.²⁷
- With regard to safety reporting, sponsors should be aware:
 - All clinical investigators are required to submit safety reports to the master protocol sponsor.²⁸

²⁵ When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

²⁶ See 21 CFR 312.32.

²⁷ See 21 CFR 312.30(b)(1) and 312.30(b)(2)(ii).

²⁸ 21 CFR 312.64(b)).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

- Master protocol sponsors are required to submit safety reports to FDA *and* all participating investigators when the sponsor determines that a serious adverse event is unexpected and there is a reasonable possibility that the drug caused the serious adverse event (i.e., there is evidence to suggest a causal relationship between the drug and the adverse event).²⁹
- FDA requests that the master protocol sponsor forward the initial safety report to the relevant individual investigational drug sponsor who is required to promptly review the information.³⁰
 - The individual investigational drug sponsor should review the report, add any relevant context or additional information, and submit a modified report to their active IND(s) for the investigational drug, if required,³¹ as a follow-up safety report³² that references the initial safety report submitted by the master protocol sponsor.

²⁹ 21 CFR 312.32(c)(1). Sponsors are also required under 21 CFR 312.55(b) to keep each participating investigator informed of any new observations discovered or reported to the sponsor on the drug, particularly with respect to adverse events and safe use.

³⁰ 21 CFR 312.32(b).

³¹ 21 CFR 312.32(c)(1).

³² 21 CFR 312.32(d).