

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)**  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) Meeting*  
April 27-29, 2021

**QUESTIONS**

---

---

**BLA 761034/S-018**

**TECENTRIQ (atezolizumab)**

**Applicant: Genentech, Inc.**

**INDICATION:** In combination with paclitaxel protein-bound for the treatment of adult patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) whose tumors express PD-L1 (PD-L1 stained tumor-infiltrating immune cells (IC) of any intensity covering  $\geq 1\%$  of the tumor area), as determined by an FDA-approved test.

---

---

Given the following:

- Accelerated approval based on small progression free survival (PFS) with non-significant overall survival (OS)
  - Benefit not verified in confirmatory trial in same disease setting
  - Possible detriment in OS in confirmatory trial
  - Alternative/ongoing trials are not in combination with nab-paclitaxel or in same disease setting
1. **VOTE:** Should the indication for the atezolizumab in combination with nab-paclitaxel for the treatment of adult patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic TNBC whose tumors are PDL-1+ be maintained on the market while additional trial(s) are conducted or completed?
    - a. If your answer is “yes”, please discuss after the vote, what ongoing or alternative trials may serve to confirm clinical benefit.

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)**  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) Meeting*  
April 27-29, 2021

**QUESTIONS (cont.)**

---

---

**BLA 125514/S-017**

**KEYTRUDA (pembrolizumab)**

**Applicant: Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.**

**INDICATION:** Treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma who are not eligible for cisplatin-containing chemotherapy.

---

---

Given the following:

- Benefit not verified in confirmatory trial in same disease setting
  - OS benefit and regular approval in 2<sup>nd</sup> line setting for pembrolizumab (KN-045)
  - Treatment landscape is changed with OS benefit from alternative checkpoint inhibitor in maintenance setting (avelumab)
  - Alternative/ongoing trials in different disease setting or population, or design does isolate the effect
1. **VOTE:** Should the indication for pembrolizumab for the first-line treatment of cisplatin-ineligible and carboplatin-ineligible patients with advanced/metastatic urothelial carcinoma be maintained pending conduct or completion of additional trial(s)?
    - a. If your answer is “yes”, please discuss after the vote, what trials may serve to confirm clinical benefit including KN-045.

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)**  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) Meeting*  
April 27-29, 2021

**QUESTIONS (cont.)**

---

---

**BLA 761034/S-001**

**TECENTRIQ (atezolizumab)**

**Applicant: Genentech, Inc.**

**INDICATION:** Treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma who are not eligible for cisplatin-containing chemotherapy.

---

---

Given the following:

- Benefit not yet verified in confirmatory trial in same disease setting
  - Benefit not verified in 2<sup>nd</sup> line metastatic setting and indication withdrawn
  - Adjuvant trial did not meet primary endpoint
  - Treatment landscape has changed with demonstrated OS benefit from alternative checkpoint inhibitor in maintenance setting
1. **VOTE:** Should the indication for atezolizumab for the first-line treatment of cisplatin-ineligible patients with advanced/metastatic urothelial carcinoma be maintained pending final OS results from IMvigor130?

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)**  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) Meeting*  
April 27-29, 2021

**QUESTIONS (cont.)**

---

---

**BLA 125514/S-024**

**KEYTRUDA (pembrolizumab)**

**Applicant: Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.**

**INDICATION:** Treatment of patients with recurrent locally advanced or metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma whose tumors express PD-L1 [Combined Positive Score (CPS)  $\geq 1$ ] as determined by an FDA-approved test, with disease progression on or after two or more prior lines of therapy including fluoropyrimidine- and platinum-containing chemotherapy and if appropriate, HER2/neu-targeted therapy.

---

---

Given the following:

- Low response rate in third-line setting [13% (11% in known microsatellite stable (MSS))]
  - Treatment landscape has changed with nivolumab approval in the first-line setting based on improvement in OS
  - Two trials with monotherapy comparisons in the first- and second-line settings did not confirm benefit
  - Ongoing trials will not assess the monotherapy effect
1. **VOTE:** Should the indication for the monotherapy use of pembrolizumab in PD-L1 CPS  $\geq 1$  gastric/GEJ adenocarcinoma (third-line or greater) be maintained pending conduct or completion of additional trials?
    - a. If your answer is “yes”, please discuss after the vote what ongoing or alternative trials may serve to confirm clinical benefit.

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)**  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) Meeting*  
April 27-29, 2021

**QUESTIONS (cont.)**

---

---

**BLA 125514/S-042**

**KEYTRUDA (pembrolizumab)**

**Applicant: Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp.**

**INDICATION:** Treatment of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) who have been previously treated with sorafenib.

---

---

Given the following:

- Low response rate of monotherapy in post-sorafenib setting
  - Treatment landscape changed with OS benefit of alternative checkpoint inhibitor (atezolizumab) in combination with bevacizumab in the first-line setting
  - Benefit not confirmed in the same (post-sorafenib) setting in KEYNOTE-240
1. **VOTE:** Should the indication for the monotherapy use of pembrolizumab in patients previously treated with sorafenib be maintained pending conduct or completion of additional trials?
    - a. If your answer is “yes”, please discuss after the vote what ongoing or alternative trials, including whether KEYNOTE-394 in the same setting, may serve to confirm clinical benefit.

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)**  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

*Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) Meeting*  
April 27-29, 2021

**QUESTIONS (cont.)**

---

---

**BLA 125554/S-041**

**OPDIVO (nivolumab)**

**Applicant: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company**

**INDICATION:** As a single agent for the treatment of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) who have been previously treated with sorafenib.

---

---

Given the following:

- Low response rate of monotherapy in post-sorafenib setting.
  - Treatment landscape changed with OS benefit of alternative checkpoint inhibitor (atezolizumab) in combination with bevacizumab in the first-line setting.
  - Negative monotherapy trial versus sorafenib in the first-line setting.
  - The combination indication for nivolumab and ipilimumab will be maintained. The response rate of combination therapy is higher than monotherapy.
1. **VOTE:** Should the indication for the monotherapy use of nivolumab in patients previously treated with sorafenib be maintained pending conduct or completion of additional trial(s)?
    - a. If your answer is “yes”, please discuss after the vote what ongoing or alternative trials may serve to confirm clinical benefit.