











































1 in Committee discussions in general. However, he's not  
2 authorized to participate in the Committee voting  
3 process.

4 Dr. Paula Annunziato of Merck will serve as  
5 the industry representative to this Committee.  
6 Industry representatives are not appointed as special  
7 government employees and serve only as non-voting  
8 members of the Committee. Industry representatives act  
9 on behalf of all related industry and bring general  
10 industry perspective to the Committee. An industry  
11 representative on this Committee is not screened, does  
12 not participate in any closed sessions if held, and  
13 does not have voting privileges.

14 Dr. Jay Portnoy is serving as the acting  
15 consumer representative for this Committee. Consumer  
16 representatives are appointed as special government  
17 employees and are screened and cleared prior to their  
18 participation in the meeting. They are voting members  
19 of the Committee.

20 Disclosure of conflict of interest for guest  
21 speakers follow applicable federal laws, regulation,











1 currently in.

2           And at that time, the influenza A strains that  
3 were recommended were an A/Guangdong-  
4 Maonan/SWL1536/2019(H1N1)pandemic-like virus for egg-  
5 based vaccines and an A/Hawaii/70/2019pdm09-like virus  
6 for cell and recombinant vaccines. The Committee also  
7 made recommendations for the H3N2 strain, an A/Hong  
8 Kong/2671/2019-like virus for egg-based vaccines and a  
9 A/Hong Kong/45/2019(H3N2)-like virus for cell and  
10 recombinant vaccines. The Committee recommended a  
11 B/Washington/02/2019-like virus for the B component of  
12 trivalent and quadrivalent vaccines. This is a  
13 B/Victoria lineage virus. And the Committee finally  
14 recommended an influenza B for quadrivalent vaccines  
15 containing the above three vaccines, and this was a  
16 B/Phuket/3073/2013-like virus from the Yamagata strain.

17           Now, last week the WHO met and made  
18 recommendations for next winter's Northern Hemisphere  
19 influenza season and the vaccines that would be made  
20 for that season. Now, the WHO recommendation I'll  
21 remind people -- this is a consultation that includes

1 all of the WHO collaborating centers, of which CDC is  
2 one. It includes the WHO central regulatory labs of  
3 which CBER is one. But these recommendations are just  
4 that. They're recommendations, and each country must  
5 recommend the vaccine composition for the vaccines that  
6 are licensed in that country. And that is what the  
7 purpose of the VRBPAC discussion today is, for the U.S.  
8 licensed vaccine.

9           But last week these were the recommendations  
10 that the WHO made for next year's Northern Hemisphere  
11 season. For influenza A, they recommended an  
12 A/Victoria/2570/2019pdm09-like virus for egg-based  
13 vaccines and an A/Wisconsin/588/2019pdm09-like virus  
14 for cell- and recombinant-based vaccines. The  
15 recommendation for the H3N2 component was an  
16 A/Cambodia/e0826360/2020(H3N2)-like virus, and the  
17 Committee recommended an influenza  
18 B/Washington/02/2019-like virus as the B component for  
19 trivalent and all quadrivalent vaccines. This is a  
20 B/Victoria lineage virus. And finally, for  
21 quadrivalent vaccines containing the above three

1 viruses, the Committee recommended a  
2 B/Phuket/3073/2013-like virus. So this is what the WHO  
3 recommended last week.

4           So the Committee discussion today, the VRBPAC  
5 will discuss which influenza strains should be  
6 recommended for the antigenic composition of the 2021-  
7 2022 influenza virus season vaccine in the U.S. Now,  
8 we'll have several options to consider as the  
9 discussion proceeds for influenza, and as usual, we  
10 will start with what the WHO recommended and then go  
11 from there. And after you hear all the data that went  
12 into that, the Committee will discuss and make  
13 recommendations.

14           But some of our options will be to recommend  
15 the A/Victoria and the A/Wisconsin strains for egg- and  
16 cell-based vaccines respectively that the WHO  
17 recommended or possibly recommend an alternative H1N1  
18 candidate vaccine virus. Options for influenza H3  
19 would be to accept the WHO recommendation of the  
20 A/Cambodia strain or make other alternative H3N2  
21 candidate vaccine virus recommendations. For influenza

1 B, the options would be to consider the B/Washington  
2 strain or recommend an alternative candidate vaccine  
3 strain from the B/Victoria lineage or possibly a  
4 vaccine virus from the B/Yamagata lineage. And  
5 finally, for the fourth strain in quadrivalent  
6 vaccines, we could start with an option of recommending  
7 the B/Phuket strain that's the Yamagata lineage or  
8 alternative B/Yamagata lineage or even a vaccine virus  
9 from the B/Victoria lineage.

10           So the voting questions, we tried to simplify  
11 these as much as possible. We'd like to start with  
12 four voting questions, one for each strain, and I've  
13 listed them here. You'll see them a little bit later.  
14 But for the influenza A strains, we'll lump the  
15 recommendations for the egg- and the cell-based  
16 together, starting with what the WHO has recommended.  
17 And this would be for the influenza A H1N1 component of  
18 the 2021-2022 influenza virus vaccines in the U.S.  
19 Does the Committee recommend -- and these would be the  
20 A/Victoria/2570/2019 virus for egg-based vaccines, an  
21 A/Wisconsin/588/2019pdm-like virus for cell- or

1 recombinant-based vaccines.

2           Again, the voting question for the influenza  
3 H3N2 component would be would the Committee recommend  
4 the A/Cambodia/e0826360/2020-like virus? Third  
5 question would be for the influenza B component of  
6 trivalent and quadrivalent vaccines in the U.S., does  
7 the Committee recommend the inclusion of the  
8 B/Washington/02/2019-like virus? And finally, the  
9 fourth question would be for quadrivalent vaccines.  
10 Does the Committee recommend the inclusion of the  
11 B/Phuket/3073/2013-like virus from the Yamagata lineage  
12 as a second influenza B strain in the vaccine?

13           That should be it for the introduction. I can  
14 take questions, or we can -- I'll turn it back to you,  
15 Dr. El Sahly.

16           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Weir, for  
17 the introduction. Before we kick off the meeting with  
18 additional data presentation, if any of the Committee  
19 members has a question to Dr. Weir pertaining to (audio  
20 skip) raise your hand. And I see Dr. Cody Meissner  
21 asking a question. Dr. Meissner, please unmute

1 yourself and turn on your camera if possible.

2           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Thank you and thank you,  
3 Dr. Weir, for that presentation. So I see that it's  
4 only for influenza A H1N1 that has both a cell-based  
5 strain and an egg-based strain. And I assume that  
6 means that for the other three -- for the other A and  
7 the other two Bs they grow equally well in egg-based  
8 vaccines as well as cell-based vaccine. But the  
9 question, how is it determined that the protection from  
10 an egg-based vaccine is equivalent or better than  
11 immunity induced by a cell vaccine or at least  
12 equivalent? Do you look at serologic response in  
13 individuals? Thank you.

14           **DR. JERRY WEIR:** So to answer the first part  
15 of your question, yes, I think that is the assumption  
16 you can make is that one virus for the H3 is good  
17 enough for both egg-based as well as cell-based  
18 vaccines. I think last year we had a different egg-  
19 based and a different cell-based H3 component. But the  
20 answer to -- the more extensive answer you will hear  
21 from Dr. Wentworth, and you sort of guessed correctly.

1 What you will hear is data showing how well these  
2 different candidate vaccines cover and whether the  
3 candidate vaccine is made in eggs or made in cells and  
4 how well they cover viruses, both circulating viruses -  
5 - and you'll also hear how well these viruses are  
6 covered by sera from recently vaccinated individuals.  
7 So David will go through this all in great detail about  
8 why the selection of each of these virus strains was  
9 made.

10 **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Thank you.

11 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Weir. I do  
12 not see any additional questions right now, so it's my  
13 pleasure to introduce Dr. Lisa Grohskopf. Dr. Lisa  
14 Grohskopf is the associate chief for policy and liaison  
15 activities, Epidemiology and Prevention Branch, the  
16 Influenza Division at the Centers for Disease Control  
17 and Prevention. She will be doing a U.S. Influenza  
18 Surveillance overview. Dr. Grohskopf.

19

20

**U.S. SURVEILLANCE**

21

TranscriptionEtc.

[www.transcriptionetc.com](http://www.transcriptionetc.com)

1           **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** Thank you, Dr. El Sahly,  
2 and good morning, everybody, and thanks for the chance  
3 to be here today. So I'm going to be presenting an  
4 overview of U.S. influenza surveillance, largely  
5 focusing on the current season, '19-'20-'21. And I'll  
6 just get started here with the next slide.

7           Before getting started with the data, I just  
8 wanted to thank our CDC Influenza Division Surveillance  
9 team led by Lynette Brammer and Alicia Budd. These are  
10 the folks that put together the FluView report that's  
11 posted on CDC's webpages every week. I don't myself  
12 work in surveillance, so I'm fortunate enough to get to  
13 present their data every year. And I'm greatly  
14 grateful for them in assistance in getting these slides  
15 together, as well as everything they do on a regular  
16 basis.

17           So just to start out with the U.S. influenza  
18 surveillance for the 2020-21 season, just to give you  
19 an overall orientation, the data that I'm going to  
20 present are from the most recent CDC FluView report.  
21 These are data that are posted every week, generally on



1 Fridays. The reports that these data are drawn from  
2 are for surveillance week 8. This is the week ending  
3 February 27, 2021.

4 I'm going to start out with virologic  
5 surveillance. These data come from influenza positive  
6 test results that are reported to CDC weekly by the  
7 National Enteric and Respiratory Virus Surveillance  
8 System Labs and also WHO surveillance labs that are  
9 located within the United States. These comprise about  
10 300 clinical laboratories and about 100 public health  
11 laboratories. And the results that are reported to CDC  
12 are here, depicted in two separate graphs. The public  
13 health laboratories are on the right and the clinical  
14 laboratories on the left.

15 One thing I do want to point out is that for  
16 ease of viewing I have made these graphs the same size.  
17 However, if you do look at the scale on the Y axis,  
18 that shows the number of specimens that were -- if  
19 you're looking at the left-hand Y-axis -- the number of  
20 specimens, the scale is different. It goes up to 500  
21 on for the clinical laboratories and up to 100 for the

1 public health laboratories because there are fewer  
2 specimen. So just keep that in mind that the bars that  
3 you see on the graph are not proportionate to each  
4 other.

5           Clinical laboratories by and large submit data  
6 that are divided into flu A and flu B. You'll see that  
7 the flu A isolates on the left-hand graph for the  
8 clinical laboratories are represented in yellow and flu  
9 B are in green. And one main take-home point here is  
10 that, overall, the number of specimens positive that  
11 broke down into A and B are relatively small this  
12 season. Typically, those of you who've seen these  
13 presentations or looked at the data before --  
14 typically, we have nice sweeping peak that goes up much  
15 higher in that graph by this point in the season. Flu  
16 season's generally peaking in activity sometime in  
17 January or February. But overall, our number of  
18 positive specimens is low.

19           Another thing to draw your attention to on the  
20 public health lab -- sorry, the clinical lab graph --  
21 again, the one on the left -- is there's a black line

1 that sort of runs close to the X axis but just a little  
2 bit above it. That represents the overall percent of  
3 specimens positive by week. This has been very low so  
4 far this season. Right now, it's about 0.1 percent for  
5 surveillance week 8.

6 On the right, we have the public health  
7 laboratory graphs. This has a few more colors in its  
8 wedging mainly because public health labs generally do  
9 split out the influenza A viruses by subtype, H3N2,  
10 H1N1, as well as the B viruses by lineage. But  
11 considering the fact, then you can see that overall the  
12 numbers are small, and again, remember that the scale  
13 of the X axis in this graph is lower than it's a  
14 smaller scale than the clinical laboratory graph.  
15 Again, the take home message is overall the number of  
16 positive isolates has been rather small for the season  
17 so far.

18 Apologies, I skipped a slide there. Okay. So  
19 next, we're going to move on to a couple of slides that  
20 describe U.S. ILI activity. These slides both come  
21 from ILINet, which is a network of about 3,000 out-

1 patient provider facilities that report weekly to CDC  
2 the percent of outpatient visits that are for  
3 influenza-like illness, or ILI. Now, this is a  
4 symptom-based definition. It is not a laboratory  
5 confirmed definition. So it's basically defined as  
6 fever, plus cough or sore throat. It is not something  
7 -- the data that you're going to see here, basically  
8 what I'm trying to say, does not reflect laboratory  
9 confirmed flu. It's a symptom-based definition.

10           So again, similarly to the last slide, we have  
11 calendar week on the X axis. We have percent of visits  
12 for ILI on the Y axis, and a number of different  
13 seasons are represented. The season that we're  
14 currently in right now, 2020-21, is the line  
15 superimposed with the red triangles. The horizontal  
16 black line that you see across the graph represents a  
17 threshold of 2.6 percent, which is calculated from the  
18 percent of visits for ILI during the previous three  
19 seasons during non-influenza weeks. So that's what we  
20 refer to in this system as the national baseline, and  
21 it's at 2.6 percent for this season.

1           So take-home point here is that for the  
2 current season -- again, the line superimposed with the  
3 red triangles, 2020-21 -- we are below the national  
4 baseline so far throughout the entire season.  
5 Considering HHS regions, the regional data is also  
6 below the national baseline. And this is lower even  
7 then -- if you look just above the current season line,  
8 a little bit above there's a brown line that represents  
9 the 2011-12 season, which was a season that was largely  
10 noted for having relatively mild influenza activity.  
11 We're even below that with this system.

12           So this is data from the same system. I think  
13 it's about 65 percent of the ILINet providers report  
14 data for a percent of out-patient's visits for ILI that  
15 are broken out by age group. And here you see that  
16 data, and there are actually two seasons here. The  
17 peaks that you see on the left side of the graph are  
18 from the '19-'20 season, and then the righthand half of  
19 the graph approximately is the '20-'21 season. So it  
20 gives you an idea of comparison with last season.

21           But these are data broken out by age group.

1 Zero to four is the youngest age group. 65 plus is the  
2 oldest age group. You can see that we see relatively  
3 flat activity through the 2020-21 season so far through  
4 the righthand part of the graph. There is a slight  
5 trend sort of slightly decreasing activity in the three  
6 older age groups, those other than the zero to four age  
7 group, if you look at about the last seven weeks. But  
8 overall, low activity.

9           Next, moving on to influenza associated  
10 hospitalizations. This comes from a network called  
11 FluSurv-NET. Normally, we have a chart for this season  
12 with the estimated cumulative hospitalization rates by  
13 the accumulating calendar weeks generally broken down  
14 by age group. FluView has not been producing that so  
15 far this season mainly because the activity has been so  
16 low. But what this system does examine is  
17 hospitalizations associated with lab confirmed flu.

18           The numbers have been quite small. Between  
19 October 1st, 2020 and February 27, 2021 -- that's again  
20 week 8 for surveillance week -- 14 states reported a  
21 total of 193, which is quite small, laboratory

1 confirmed influenza hospitalizations. This represents  
2 an overall cumulative hospitalization rate of 0.7 per  
3 100,000 population, a bit too small for really  
4 meaningful breaking down by age groups, so hence no  
5 figure. This is lower than any season since routine  
6 collection of data for this system began in 2005,  
7 including, again, for reference, the 2011-12 season for  
8 which the rate at this timepoint was about 2.3 times  
9 higher.

10           The next two slides go into mortality data.  
11 This first one is from the National Center for Health  
12 Statistics, and these are the percent of deaths coded  
13 as being due to pneumonia and influenza or COVID-19.  
14 These are death certificate data, so this is not lab-  
15 confirmed flu data. So this would be deaths that are  
16 listed on the death certificate as being due to  
17 pneumonia, influenza, or COVID-19. Those of you who  
18 look at this data periodically, or who have seen these  
19 presentations before, know that in previous seasons  
20 this has generally been reported as pneumonia and  
21 influenza, rather than the addition of COVID-19.

1 However, at about week 10 last year, if you look to the  
2 far right on the graph -- about week 10 of last year  
3 was when we began -- the system began adding COVID-19  
4 coded deaths as part of routine reporting.

5           So there are a number of seasons represented  
6 here. You'll see throughout the graph a pair of  
7 undulating black lines. One of these is the seasonal  
8 baseline, which is an estimate based on modeling data  
9 from the previous five seasons of what we might expect  
10 to see in terms of percent of deaths coded as being  
11 pneumonia/influenza. 1.645 standard deviations about  
12 that is what we call the epidemic threshold. So if you  
13 look off to the left, that starts out with the '16-'17  
14 season, you can see -- actually the '17-'18 season --  
15 the redline which represents the percent of deaths that  
16 were due to, in that season, pneumonia and flu only --  
17 or pneumonia and influenza coding only. You can see  
18 that the red line broke quite a bit.

19           As you go across the graph, you see about week  
20 10 of last year quite a bit of surpassing of the  
21 baseline by that red line. To sort of put things into



1 perspective as far as the relative proportion of deaths  
2 that are due to pneumonia and flu as opposed to COVID-  
3 19, some colors were added to the graph. Yellow  
4 represents pneumonia/flu coded deaths, and the blue  
5 patches represent COVID-19 reported deaths. You can  
6 see that for this current season the majority of those  
7 deaths are reported as being -- on the death  
8 certificate as being related to COVID-19 rather than  
9 pneumonia/influenza.

10           This slide is pediatric mortality. Pediatric  
11 deaths associated with laboratory confirmed influenza  
12 have been reportable in the United States since 2004,  
13 and this graphs shows by calendar week the number of  
14 deaths hitting this definition for the last several  
15 seasons, beginning with the 2017-18 season on the far  
16 left. For the 2020-21 season so far within this  
17 system, only one pediatric death has been reported so  
18 far for this season.

19           So just an overview on influenza activity  
20 domestically for this season, U.S. influenza activity  
21 for 2020-21 has been low so far. The percent of

1 influenza specimens testing positive as reported by the  
2 clinical laboratories unusually low, again, 0.1 percent  
3 for the most recent reporting week. Influenza-like  
4 illness, ILI, activity has been below the national  
5 baseline, and the cumulative hospitalization rate  
6 reported through FluSurv-NET, 0.7 per 1,000, which is  
7 again the lowest since 2005 and even lower than the  
8 2011-12 season.

9           The causes for this, the ideologies for this  
10 are likely multifactorial and could well be related to  
11 COVID-19 mitigation strategies such as use of masks,  
12 social distancing, school closures, and also things  
13 related to travel such as people travelling less and  
14 also, in some cases, restricted travel. Importantly,  
15 it's not possible to predict whether this is going to  
16 continue to hold for the rest of the year, and it's  
17 also not possible to predict on the basis of these data  
18 the extent and timing of influenza activity for 2021-  
19 22, next season.

20           Now, I just have a very, very brief update on  
21 vaccine effectiveness. For the last few years, we've

1 also presented in this talk updates on flu VE from the  
2 CDC networks. The update this year is quite brief. In  
3 fact, this is the only slide we have.

4           Due to the very low activity within the United  
5 States and, of course, by extension within the CDC VE  
6 networks this season, there are no interim VE estimates  
7 available. The CDC networks continue to collect data  
8 as it comes in and to monitor activity. However, there  
9 is no interim estimate available from any of them, and  
10 estimates, as far as being available later in the  
11 season, are completely dependent on having sufficient  
12 influenza activity within the networks in order to be  
13 able to calculate a VE. So that is all I have for my  
14 talk. Thank you very much for your attention.

15           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Grohskopf,  
16 for this presentation. As the Committee members raise  
17 their hands for those who have questions so we can  
18 (audio skip). I have a quick question to get us  
19 started. Did we see any changes in the vaccine  
20 coverage this year in terms of the uptick of the flu --  
21 the seasonal flu vaccine?

1           **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** There is ongoing  
2 preliminary data on coverage that's being collected and  
3 posted week by week on FluVaxView, which is another CDC  
4 webpage. There are coverages estimated for different  
5 populations using different surveillance systems, and  
6 there are some new data sources that are being used  
7 this year. Overall coverage, depending upon the group  
8 that you look at, looks about on par with last year.  
9 There looks to have been in some populations -- some  
10 age groups fairly high demand in the beginning of the  
11 year but then sort of leveling off later on in the  
12 year. There are also some differences in coverage by  
13 race and ethnicity in some of those systems. But I  
14 would say overall not an enormous different between --  
15 some groups showing slightly lower, some slightly  
16 higher depending on the surveillance system used in  
17 which population group.

18           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Any indication the lack or  
19 the tremendous decrease is actually partially related  
20 to public health resources --

21           **MR. MICHAEL KAWCZYNSKI:** Sorry, Dr. El Sahly,

1 we need you to move the phone closer to you. We can't  
2 hear you.

3 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Oh, okay. So any  
4 indication that the decrease in the number of cases is  
5 at least partly related to a lot of our public health  
6 efforts being directed elsewhere?

7 **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** That's a good point. I  
8 think one thing that was noted early on in FluView  
9 reports and also in other surveillance systems was that  
10 one thing to be considered is that, particularly at the  
11 beginning of the season -- earlier in the COVID-19  
12 epidemic, one might expect that testing practices for  
13 flu might have changed. One might surmise that it was  
14 possible that people might not have been going out to  
15 get tested. But one thing that is interesting even in  
16 the face of all that is that of the specimens in the  
17 reporting on testing that CDC has seen, for example in  
18 the virologic characterization data that was reported  
19 on the first slide I presented, the percent of tests  
20 that were positive is very low, which is also something  
21 important to note that one might not think would be

1 influenced, say, based on testing practices or people's  
2 likelihood of getting tested or clinician behavior.

3 **DR. EL SAHLY:** Okay. Thank you. Dr. Michael  
4 Kurilla, please unmute yourself and turn your camera  
5 on.

6 **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** Thank you, Hana. Lisa,  
7 related to the testing, I'm wondering from the ILI  
8 standpoint it would seem to me that a lot of the  
9 routine things of people, you know, in traditional flu  
10 seasons calling their doctor and going into their  
11 office, that's not happening. I would also think that  
12 most people, if they had flu-like symptoms or  
13 influenza-like illness, they'd be worried about COVID,  
14 and it may be that they'd get a test for COVID. And if  
15 it's negative, they just feel so good they don't bother  
16 about anything else. I'm wondering how much dual  
17 testing for COVID and flu is going on so that in people  
18 who are symptomatic, if they're negative for COVID, we  
19 actually know whether that's flu.

20 **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** That's a good question,  
21 and I don't -- I can try to get more information on

1 that during today. I don't know off the top of my head  
2 about the prevalence of dual testing, although one  
3 would imagine it would be happening. The surveillance  
4 team does note that the ILI numbers should be  
5 interpreted sort of cautiously, again, given the  
6 possibility that the ability to detect ILI has been  
7 influenced somewhat by the ongoing pandemic and testing  
8 practices. But as far as dual testing, I can try to  
9 get more information about that today if it's  
10 available.

11 **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** Thanks.

12 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. David Kim,  
13 please turn your camera on. Dr. David Kim.

14 **CAPT. DAVID KIM:** Thank you. Other than the -  
15 - for the biologic surveillance, other than the numbers  
16 that were much lower than the years past, did you  
17 notice anything different during the current season  
18 regarding strain predominance or any sort of pattern  
19 that you saw compared to the years past? I realize  
20 that the comparison can't be directly made but at least  
21 some preliminary analyses on that.

1           **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** Good question. FluView  
2 normally does report out antigenic and genetic testing  
3 data based on the samples that are tested and has not  
4 been doing that so far this season simply because the  
5 sample size has been so small. As far as further  
6 detail on that, I think I'm going to defer to Dr.  
7 Wentworth to see if he has any further information on  
8 that. But again, it has been highly unusually this  
9 season in terms of the low number of activity -- the  
10 low amount of activity, the low number of positive  
11 specimens. It's just a very, very, very small sample  
12 size. It's a good question.

13           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. Paul  
14 Spearman, please turn your camera on.

15           **DR. PAUL SPEARMAN:** Thank you and thanks for  
16 that presentation. You know, I was so struck by the  
17 low numbers, especially the graphs for pediatric deaths  
18 where there doesn't even look like there's any season  
19 at all. It's amazing, and your discussion of the  
20 multifactorial nature really leads me to wonder what  
21 are the real causes of that. I would have -- you could



1 have predicted that, you know, masking and some  
2 distancing and avoiding large gatherings could affect  
3 the flu epidemics.

4           But I would have expected this degree, and  
5 it's just -- it's such an amazing finding at the same  
6 time when those measures were not really preventing the  
7 large winter uptick in COVID cases. So it's just -- is  
8 there -- or will there -- I don't know if anyone can  
9 really answer this, but will there be ways of teasing  
10 out what looks like it works much better than a vaccine  
11 to prevent flu? Can we really do this, you know, in an  
12 effective way going forward? Thanks.

13           **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** So I imagine that there  
14 will be future examination of those questions, although  
15 I'm not really certain about the specifics of kinds of  
16 studies at this point. I think it's also important to  
17 consider that flu seasons do vary, and we do sometimes  
18 have seasons that, you know, barely break the epidemic  
19 threshold. For example, 2011-12 was one of those  
20 seasons. This has definitely been lighter.

21           So I think in the space of all this, it's

1 important to also consider the fact that flu is still  
2 unpredictable, and we really don't know how it's going  
3 to behave in the future. That being said, it does seem  
4 like something happened this year, and there were  
5 changes in behavior that warrant further investigation  
6 as far as the degree of their impact and how they can  
7 be used in the future.

8 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Paul, I think this  
9 question came up in different circles, the differential  
10 of the effect of the social measures against flu versus  
11 SARS-CoV-2. I mean, the main difference that we also  
12 have to factor in is the differential in  
13 susceptibility. Anyone older than one year of age has  
14 a degree of immunity against one flu or another but  
15 nothing against SARS-CoV-2, so that also changes the  
16 effectiveness of the approaches. Dr. Mike Levine. Dr.  
17 Levine, you're muted.

18 **DR. MYRON LEVINE:** Can you hear me now?

19 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Yes, sir.

20 **DR. MYRON LEVINE:** Thank you. My question was  
21 very similar to Paul Spearman. The striking virtual

1 disappearance of influenza is so notable, and in theory  
2 it might -- if the surveillance division has data,  
3 might be a way to tweeze out the role of kids not going  
4 to school, the role of masking, the role of social  
5 distancing in certain subpopulations. But one also has  
6 to wonder whether with the very widespread SARS-CoV-2  
7 infections is it possible that the innate immune  
8 response, interferons, et cetera, to SARS-CoV-2 has  
9 somehow also in some way being responsible for less  
10 influenza. Whatever the reason, it's going to  
11 stimulate this question again and again, and there's  
12 been so much in the public arena whether masks work or  
13 not, whether schools are involved in transmission. And  
14 maybe the answers in part for COVID can come from  
15 figuring out what happens with flu.

16 **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** Definitely this season  
17 will yield a lot of important research questions for  
18 consideration. Yeah.

19 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Colonel Andrew  
20 Wiesen.

21 **COL. ANDREW WIESEN:** Thanks, Lisa. It was a

1 great presentation. I just had a question about how  
2 much effort has gone into the potential data  
3 misclassification. I mean, you mentioned it, and  
4 certainly it's true for deaths that there's a large  
5 portion of the COVID deaths that also had flu. When  
6 you take all the flu cases out, it's like half, or it's  
7 a large proportion. And that's where we have the best  
8 information, right, because if you die, you're going to  
9 likely get tested for flu as well as COVID.

10           The testing was brought up by a previous  
11 speaker. A lot of times people just get a COVID test,  
12 and if that's positive or negative, they don't follow  
13 up. And so while I agree that the social mitigation is  
14 almost certainly somewhat responsible, I think there's  
15 a lot of data misclassification. And I think that flu,  
16 while suppressed, is certainly not as suppressed as we  
17 might otherwise think because people simply aren't  
18 coming in or getting tested for it.

19           So I wonder how you might approach that issue  
20 of trying to determine how many cases could have had  
21 either dual or misclassified -- it says it was COVID

1 because they were positive for COVID, but they were  
2 actually a flu case too. Or maybe flu was the  
3 predominate reason for their systems, hospitalizations  
4 or otherwise, because I don't want to oversell the  
5 suppression of flu this year when it's really tough  
6 understanding now when you look at the death count  
7 lately has not come down nearly as fast as the case  
8 counts and hospitalization counts. And part of me  
9 wonders how much of that is just residual because this  
10 would have been peak right now the last couple of  
11 weeks. This would have been peak deaths for flu  
12 season, too. So how much of that is actually flu still  
13 that's just being classified as COVID and is not. So  
14 just your thoughts on that.

15 **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** I think based on my  
16 understanding of the surveillance systems that -- for  
17 example, ILINet and also the NCHS data -- those systems  
18 don't access testing data, so NCHS receives, for  
19 example, data from death certificates. And of course,  
20 you know, we know that there are limitations to death  
21 certificate data. It's based on coding, and those

1 individuals may not have been tested.

2           A simple answer would be, you know, trying to  
3 review all of those charts. I don't know about the  
4 feasibility of doing that within this particular  
5 system. It's possible that there are other studies  
6 that are examining that, but within these networks I  
7 don't know if we can get at that data. I think those  
8 are all important points, though. Some of the routine  
9 CDC flu surveillance examines lab-confirmed disease.  
10 For example, pediatric mortality the hospitalization  
11 system does. But for some of the systems, ILINet and  
12 NCHS, we just don't have testing data.

13           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. Cody  
14 Meissner.

15           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** -- presentation. Thank  
16 you for that interesting presentation. One more point  
17 I wanted to add to the discussion that Paul and Mike  
18 raised is Respiratory Syncytial Virus. And we have had  
19 almost disappearance of bronchiolitis at our hospital  
20 and, I think, many other hospitals as well. So we  
21 think of RSV hospitalization as primarily among infants

1 and young children who are less than 12 months of age  
2 and maybe less than 24 months of age, but most of them  
3 are in the first year of life. So, I mean, that leads  
4 me to believe that the influenza results that you're  
5 reporting are probably real in terms of a reduction  
6 because it seems to be all the respiratory viruses are  
7 down. And somehow, it makes it harder to say not going  
8 to school accounted for a reduction in RSV  
9 hospitalizations because those children don't go to  
10 school who are most likely to be hospitalized. So I  
11 think there's something more here that I'm not sure we  
12 fully understand. Thank you.

13 **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** I agree. There have  
14 definitely been a lot of different behaviors that were  
15 introduced and encouraged by -- including some that  
16 maybe we don't talk about as much. People may be  
17 washing their hands more often, may be using more  
18 sanitizer. It's really hard to know. I think one  
19 thing that comes into the CDC recommendations for  
20 preventing flu in addition to vaccination are everyday  
21 preventative activities, which in our communication

1 materials point out, you know, these might help you  
2 prevent getting sick from other respiratory virus as  
3 well, so things like, again, washing your hands,  
4 avoiding sick contacts. And one could guess that  
5 probably there are more of both of those things going  
6 on this year in addition to the fact that we're just  
7 not as mobile as a population.

8 **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Thank you.

9 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. There is time  
10 for two more questions, and the first is coming from  
11 Dr. Amanda Cohn.

12 **DR. AMANDA COHN:** Hi, Lisa. Thank you. I  
13 think you actually just responded to part of the  
14 comment I wanted to make, which is I think it's not  
15 only the social distancing. But I also wonder the  
16 contributions of overall travel changes over the course  
17 of the pandemic, both international and domestic. And  
18 I think that is -- you know, I think it's likely a  
19 combination of all of these factors, but I think that  
20 will also be interesting to evaluate in the future.

21 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. And the last



1 question is from Dr. Archana Chatterjee.

2           **DR. ARCHANA CHATTERJEE:** Yes, thank you. Just  
3 a follow up comment to Dr. Meissner's comments and that  
4 is with regard to the young children who are not in  
5 school. A lot of them, I think -- I'm trying to  
6 remember, but somewhere I had read a long time ago that  
7 about 70 percent of children in the U.S. in that age  
8 group are actually in childcare that is outside the  
9 home in aggregate settings. So I think that a lot of  
10 those have been closed as well. And so these children  
11 are not coming in contact with children outside the  
12 home.

13           **DR. LISA GROHSKOPF:** Yeah. Good point.

14           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay. Thank you, Dr.  
15 Grohskopf and Committee members for this discussion.  
16 Next is Dr. David Wentworth. Dr. David Wentworth is  
17 the Branch Chief, Influenza Division, Virology  
18 Surveillance, and Diagnostic Branch of the Centers for  
19 Disease Control and Prevention. Dr. Wentworth is going  
20 to give us a presentation on the global influenza virus  
21 surveillance and characterization. Dr. Wentworth.

1

2

**GLOBAL INFLUENZA VIRUS SURVEILLANCE AND**

3

**CHARACTERIZATION**

4

5

6

7

8

9

**DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Thank you very much. I have a lot to cover. I will move rather quickly but hopefully easy enough to follow for everybody. I just put together a brief outline to remind everybody what we'll be talking about.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

We're going to do an overview of the WHO vaccine consultation meeting and the recommendations that Jerry went over. We'll talk a bit about the influenza activity, A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses, and I'll describe the major highlights. If you recall, I covered this in more depth in the 2020 VRBPAC meeting, and while the recommendation for the H1N1 is an update for the Northern Hemisphere 2021 and 2022 season, it is the same as the Southern Hemisphere recommendation for the 2021 season that's upcoming.

20

21

For the H3N2 viruses, I'll be discussing in greatest detail today of all the subtypes, and that's

1 an update to the recommendation. And for the  
2 B/Victoria lineage viruses, I will also cover some  
3 aspects. The recommendation remains the same, but we  
4 have seen the expansion of a previously small kind of  
5 subclade of viruses that I'll point out to you that  
6 we're keeping an eye on for future. And with the  
7 B/Yamagata lineage, I'll be very brief. This lineage  
8 is really impacted by a number of things, and there's  
9 not very many viruses around. And we can discuss that  
10 in question and answer if there's time. Okay.

11           So for the meeting, this really results from  
12 year-round surveillance conducted by the GISRS or the  
13 Global Influenza Surveillance and Response system. We  
14 have all the members of the GISRS including the WHO  
15 collaborating centers -- there's six, and the CDC is  
16 one of them -- National Influenza Centers -- there's  
17 more than 140 around the globe -- WHO essential  
18 regulatory laboratories, like the FDA CBER; WHO H5  
19 reference laboratories, and it's supported by many  
20 countries and partners, including GISAID, which is a  
21 global influenza sequence sharing database system

1 that's been taken advantage of for the SARS coronavirus  
2 pandemic as well. So the meeting was held on February  
3 17th to the 25th. It was a virtual meeting with a time  
4 difference of 17 hours among the various participants.

5 I was one of the chairs, along with Dr. John  
6 McCauley, and we had the other advisors and directors  
7 of the WHO CC's and essential regulatory capacities as  
8 voting members, as representatives for their  
9 corresponding WHO CC and ERL. There were 57 observers  
10 from WHO CCs, WHO ERLs, academia, H5 reference  
11 laboratories in the veterinary sector, and we also had  
12 experts from WHO regional offices and headquarters.  
13 The recommendations in front of you is for the Northern  
14 Hemisphere 2021 to 2022 season for quadrivalent --  
15 sorry, I'm getting a call.

16 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYZNSKI:** Dr. Wentworth, we  
17 lost your audio. Dr. Wentworth, we lost your audio.  
18 Hold on a minute. We're going to take -- just give us  
19 a second here, unless it's just me, but I believe we  
20 lost audio. Somebody else confirm -- studio, give us a  
21 moment. We're going to take a quick -- like a one-

1 minute break. We're just going to put a note in here  
2 so he can dial back in.

3           Your audio -- there you go. You've got it.  
4 It's all right -- while he's reconnecting -- not a  
5 problem. Sorry about this, everyone. We're just going  
6 to take a momentary little technical break while Dr.  
7 Wentworth dials back in. Not a big deal. It does  
8 happen. Here he comes back in. No problem. He's  
9 coming in now. Happens to the best of us. I see him  
10 dialing in now. Come on. We can do it.

11           So those of you -- thank you online for  
12 watching or 165th VRBPAC meeting. While we're waiting  
13 on Dr. Wentworth to connect his audio, a good time to  
14 grab a cup of coffee. I'm just going to call him in  
15 directly. I wish I knew how to juggle and keep you all  
16 entertained just for a moment, but I'm waiting for Dr.  
17 Wentworth to call me in. Put that up for a second here  
18 just while we're waiting.

19           Those of you -- I love our members. They're  
20 having a little fun with me. They're, like, doing  
21 puppets and all that other stuff. There you are. It

1 was funny. I know what you did. You clicked on the  
2 arrow, and you clicked "disconnect your phone." It was  
3 sort of a little humorous. That's all right. We're  
4 all back, David. Take a deep breath.

5 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** I apologize  
6 wholeheartedly.

7 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCZYNSKI:** That's okay.

8 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** I keep getting messages  
9 now, and it wasn't connecting me back to the  
10 conference. I apologize to all the listeners.

11 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCZYNSKI:** So take a deep breath  
12 and pick up where you left off.

13 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Basically, these were  
14 the recommendations. The ones in blue were the new  
15 viruses being recommended. And I did want to point out  
16 one thing, that the cell viruses, even when they have  
17 the same name, are different recommendations than the  
18 egg viruses. The egg viruses have been isolated in  
19 eggs, and they have sometimes different amino acid  
20 changes in order for them to replicate in eggs.

21 And so we call that an egg-cell pair. So for

1 example, the Cambodia is an egg-cell pair. The egg  
2 virus is slightly different than the cell virus, and  
3 the manufacturers know this. And it's listed  
4 specifically on the candidate vaccine viruses that are  
5 available through the WHO website. That's true for all  
6 egg and cell viruses. This is why sometimes they have  
7 different names. We weren't able to get an egg-cell  
8 pair, but we have something similar. Okay.

9 I might want to stop using that arrow if I'm  
10 going to cause trouble with it. Okay. So these are  
11 the number of specimens processed by GISRS, and what  
12 you can see over the past two seasons from 2018 to 2021  
13 the black line there is the 2020 season. And then  
14 towards the end of that year, you know, as you get to  
15 weeks 51 and 52, 53, it starts to decline. And then  
16 that picks up again for the next year in the beginning  
17 of the year. And so that's a pretty normal looking  
18 number of specimens processed, and so these were tested  
19 for influenza.

20 To go back to that discussion we had earlier,  
21 there was a lot of specimens being processed but not

1 very many percent positivity, and that's what Dr.  
2 Grohskopf mentioned. So the percent positivity was way  
3 down, and this is real. Okay. I won't belabor this  
4 graph because you can see it, but it basically came  
5 down as SARS emerged and then became a pandemic in the  
6 beginning. So if you follow the red line, you see that  
7 sharp decline when all the mitigation factors were  
8 coming in at the end of our last flu season. Okay.

9           This shows you the global circulation of  
10 viruses, and, again, it just illustrates that we didn't  
11 have a lot of viruses to work with. You can see that  
12 on the Y axis of these charts there's thousands on the  
13 chart on the left from the 2019 to 2020 season. In the  
14 chart on the right, the 2020 to '21 season, these are  
15 in the hundreds. But they are there, and we can still  
16 analyze them. We can't ever analyze 4,000 viruses for  
17 each group anyway, so we do have representatives to  
18 analyze.

19           And this is showing influenza activity  
20 globally with the lighter colors being zero to 10  
21 percent. And as you can imagine, basically most of



1 this was low, and we did see some regions around the  
2 globe, like Western Africa, that had a little bit  
3 higher influenza incidence. Now, countries and areas  
4 as well as territories that shared viruses with WHO CCs  
5 are lower than normal because they weren't able to  
6 isolate and characterize as many viruses. There were  
7 fewer viruses, and they also were very busy with the  
8 COVID pandemic. So that's kind of a double hit on what  
9 could be sent to WHO CCs. Many of the GISRS  
10 laboratories are the same around the world -- are the  
11 same laboratories identifying SARS-coronavirus-2, the  
12 cause of COVID-19. Okay.

13           So this is the percentage of influenza viruses  
14 by type and subtype, and what you can see here is  
15 they're both -- A and B circulated rather equally, with  
16 B viruses being 55 percent of the viruses, so  
17 predominating a little bit more. And for the B  
18 viruses, the B/Victoria virus is the one that  
19 predominated. So this other dark one here is the B  
20 lineage is not determined, but there's very few  
21 B/Yamagata lineage viruses circulating. And it's less

1 than 1 percent.

2 For the A viruses, the (H1N1)pdm09 viruses  
3 represented less than the H3N2 viruses. But this was  
4 regionally different. It's by country.

5 This shows how many viruses were genetically  
6 characterized by WHO CCs in this two regions of time,  
7 September 2019 to January 2020 and February 2020 to  
8 January 2021. What you can see is there is a  
9 reduction, and this timeframe is -- for the orange  
10 bars, you can see a bit of a reduction. But we were  
11 able to sequence a lot of viruses towards the end of  
12 our last season, so there was many viruses in this late  
13 spring, so after the last vaccine strain selection for  
14 the Northern Hemisphere.

15 And now, I'm going to turn your attention to  
16 (H1N1)pdm09, subtype influenza A viruses. This is  
17 specifically showing their activity. In the percent  
18 positivity, you can see we had some in North America  
19 and in Western Africa and a little bit Central Africa  
20 and in Asia.

21 Now, this is a similar chart to what I showed

1 you before, but now it's focused on H1N1. And so it's  
2 very low. It's the red line for 2021, and the black  
3 line for 2020.

4           Now, I'm going to focus your attention in on  
5 this phylogenetic tree a little bit. I know these are  
6 complicated, but it really helps us define what we're  
7 doing and why we're selecting what we're selecting. So  
8 at the bottom of this tree where I've placed the arrow,  
9 there's three substitutions there. They really form  
10 the main branch of all the viruses that have circulated  
11 for the last about three or four years. And what you  
12 can see as you go up this tree is continuing increase  
13 or evolutionary distance away from that bottom arrow.

14           And I have boxed two regions of the tree. So  
15 in this region here, this yellow box that I'm pointing  
16 to these amino acids, D187A and Q189E, those are at the  
17 base of this main subclade of viruses that we call 5A1.  
18 That's where the current cell-prototype vaccine is,  
19 Hawaii/70/2019. And so that's what we were vaccinated  
20 with last fall and winter.

21           And then the top of this tree, there's a

1 branch of viruses really breaking off at this N156K  
2 amino acid substitution in the hemagglutinin. And the  
3 new recommended prototype, I've put an arrow there --  
4 was Wisconsin/588. And this is in this clade 5A2, so  
5 the red bar represents all of these viruses that are  
6 the tips of this tree. You can see all these little  
7 dots. Those are each individual hemagglutinin genes on  
8 every virus that was isolated.

9           And this tree is full of information. It's  
10 actually more than a phylogeny. It's an integrated  
11 dataset that also shows geography or phylogeographic.  
12 So the blue tips represent North America. Green would  
13 be Europe, and that's illustrated in this heat map,  
14 which starts on the very far righthand side. It starts  
15 in February 2020 and goes to November here. You can  
16 see that. And so you can also see when viruses were  
17 circulating and where they were circulating in that  
18 heat map.

19           Now, lastly, I'm going to focus your attention  
20 to some antigenic information, so how well these  
21 viruses are neutralized by sera to Hawaii/70, the

1 recommended cell vaccine prototype. And so that's  
2 shown in these two columns here. And what you can see  
3 is sera from Hawaii/70 will start back down and towards  
4 the bottom of the tree here. Sera from Hawaii/70 well  
5 neutralized all these viruses in subclade 7, those in  
6 subclade 5B, 5A1, and 5A.

7           So when you get to the 5A2 viruses, you see  
8 all these dark bands. These represent reductions from  
9 homologous titer between 16 and 32-fold or eight- and  
10 32-fold, and so that's shown in this column here. I'll  
11 just drop that arrow down the column. And so you can  
12 see how poor this group of viruses reacts with that  
13 serum. And this is the newer emerging group of viruses  
14 where the new recommended prototype is. Okay.

15           This shows you the clade distribution from  
16 September 2020 to February 2021. And so as was  
17 mentioned we haven't seen a lot of influenza  
18 circulation or H1N1 circulation in particular, and we  
19 have a much smaller number of clades co-circulating in  
20 a few regions. We saw 5A1-187 viruses in parts of  
21 Europe and Africa predominating. We saw 5A2, these

1 ones with the 156K substitution in red, circulating in  
2 Asia and a few 5B viruses circulating in the United  
3 States and other regions.

4           Now, this slide illustrates the reactivity of  
5 viruses with their antisera to the antigens that are  
6 recommended for the Northern Hemisphere 2020-21 season.  
7 And so there's the cell recommended prototype, so  
8 antisera against that, or antisera to the egg  
9 recommended prototype, A/Guangdong-Maonan/1536/2019.  
10 And while part of the issue here is this period there  
11 weren't very many viruses if you use this cutoff of  
12 September 1, 2020 to January 31, 2021, so if we  
13 included viruses from the springtime, you'd get a lot  
14 more viruses. And we'd see a certain trend.  
15 Nonetheless, the few viruses that were able to be  
16 analyzed, 92 percent were considered like the vaccine,  
17 and there wasn't a huge difference between the egg or  
18 the cell in this reactivity pattern.

19           This slide is something called antigenic  
20 cartography. Jerry Weir mentioned that we would talk  
21 about this. And what this is, is the way to take these

1 HI tables or hemagglutination inhibition or virus  
2 neutralization tables where each virus is compared  
3 against the reference sera, against the homologous  
4 titer. They become very big tables of numbers. And  
5 this is a way to take it and map the data on two  
6 dimensions.

7           And so if we take antisera, for example,  
8 against, Guangdong-Maonan right here -- and that's  
9 represented by this egg-shaped dot -- that's where that  
10 antigen lives. If we take antisera against that, it  
11 reacts very well with all these blue dot viruses which  
12 represent viruses from the last 12 months. The grey  
13 dots represent viruses preceding that. And then if we  
14 take antisera -- so you can see this antigenic distance  
15 is pretty far until you get to this other egg virus  
16 here, A/Victoria/2570 egg. But it's now very close to  
17 all these red dot viruses.

18           And the difference between the blue and the  
19 red is -- one of the major differences anyway is this  
20 position at 156. So if it's an asparagine or an N,  
21 they're color-coded blue here, and they have a certain

1 antigenic phenotype. And if they're -- it just shows  
2 that one amino acid in blue can really dramatically  
3 impact the antigenic makeup of the virus. This is a  
4 very important antigenic region site assay.

5           So 156 is in red there, so you can see that.  
6 So this is data that I've been pointing at from the CC  
7 in London, the Francis Crick Institute, but this is  
8 also true from the CC in Melbourne. So you can see we  
9 all compare our data and see if we're having the same  
10 trends.

11           Now, this is looking at human post-vaccination  
12 serum analysis with H1N1 viruses, and I think this is -  
13 - I'll be pretty brief because of our time situation.  
14 But we're comparing the geometric mean titers relative  
15 to the cell propagated Hawaii/70, so that's this column  
16 here where we have -- and basically, people were  
17 vaccinated with Hawaii/70-like viruses. They were  
18 either vaccinated with Hawaii/70 if they got the cell -  
19 - like Flucelvax or the recombinant like Flublok. And  
20 they were vaccinated with Guangdong-Maonan-like viruses  
21 if they were vaccinated with an egg-based product.



1           So what you can see is a pretty good  
2 stimulation of the immune response from a lot of panels  
3 of sera, from 6- to 35-month-old up here at the top, to  
4 three- to eight-year-olds, nine to 17, the adults, 50  
5 to 64 elderly, and 65 and older. And sometimes what  
6 you see is certain age groups still have good cross  
7 reactivity against a variety of viruses, and what we're  
8 doing here is I should have mentioned maybe more on the  
9 evolutionary tree. But we talked about the clades.  
10 This is clade 5A1, so this is a virus in clade 5A1 used  
11 as the antigen for the serum to inhibit. So it  
12 inhibits it very well.

13           Now, when we go to a 5A2 with these 156K  
14 viruses, there's poor inhibition, so there's much  
15 stronger reduction in the geometric mean titer. And  
16 when it's red, it's significantly reduced. So that's  
17 where we're seeing significant reductions in that  
18 group, whereas the 5Bs, which also co-circulated to a  
19 limited extent, do show cross-protection of this 5A1  
20 vaccine or the sera from people that were vaccinated  
21 with the 5A1 vaccine. Same with the clade 3, which is

1 Idaho/7 and same with clade 7, which is Louisiana/01.  
2 And the difference you see here is primarily in the  
3 pediatric population which haven't seen very many  
4 influenza viruses or been vaccinated by very many  
5 influenza viruses. So this vaccine is basically likely  
6 stimulating memory that does cross-react with other  
7 clades.

8           And because there's been a lot of interest in  
9 the human serology at the VRBPACs, I've included  
10 another analysis just of a smaller subset so that you  
11 can see data a little differently than just  
12 statistically analyzed. And so here, I won't belabor  
13 this. We call these bubble plots, and what they're  
14 really showing is the pre-vaccination titer against  
15 each antigen versus the post-vaccination titer against  
16 that antigen.

17           And you can look at -- so, for example, kids,  
18 which I just pointed out before -- the young children,  
19 six to 35 months old, that vaccine does induce good  
20 immunity, about 80, not whopping but that's normal for  
21 younger kids. And it's not inducing lots of cross-

1 protection against these variant groups, particular  
2 this Wisconsin/588. Whereas the older adults, you can  
3 see that when you get vaccinated with this 5A1 vaccine  
4 you get a 171. You've moved all these people up. They  
5 have higher neutralizing titer, but they also have  
6 higher neutralizing titers to what would be considered  
7 an antigenic mismatch virus.

8 I think that's important to point out. You  
9 know, sometimes it's not as high as you'd like to see.  
10 But being vaccinated does help even a little bit  
11 against these more divergent viruses.

12 So to summarize the (H1N1)pdm09 viruses, they  
13 predominated in some countries in the Northern  
14 Hemisphere. This was in Africa, such as Egypt, Niger,  
15 Togo, in Asia, and in Europe. The HA gene sequences  
16 belong to 61A. That's the major uber-clade that I  
17 didn't even show you. That's all that entire tree  
18 basically. And there's a bunch of subclades in that  
19 tree, the clade 5A -- these are genetic groups is what  
20 we call subclades -- 5B that are co-circulating. And  
21 the majority of those now belong to this 5A clade, and

1 it's further diversified into two 5A subclades, the  
2 5A1s and the 5A2s. And the 5A1s have these  
3 characteristic D187A chains at the base of that clade,  
4 and the 5A2s have these characteristic N156K chains at  
5 the base of that clade, along with these other changes  
6 that likely impact their antigenicity to a little bit  
7 lesser extent.

8           So for the ferret antisera to the reference  
9 (H1N1)pdm09 viruses like Guangdong-Maonan/SWL1536 from  
10 2019, they will recognize many of the circulating  
11 viruses from this time period. However, they very  
12 poorly recognize the 5A2 156K viruses. In contrast,  
13 you know, the post-vaccination sera collected from  
14 humans vaccinated with 2021 vaccines reacted pretty  
15 well with all the 5A1 viruses but did show significant  
16 reductions in the geometric mean titers against viruses  
17 that represent those HA group of the 5A2. And then,  
18 for antiviral analysis very few were available in this  
19 period, but all of them were analyzed. And none showed  
20 reduced susceptibility to neuraminidase inhibitors or  
21 the PA inhibitor, baloxavir.

1           Now, I'm going to turn your attention to the  
2 H3N2 viruses. This is illustrating a number of H3N2  
3 viruses detected by the GISRS, again, over the past few  
4 seasons from 2018 to 2021. And as you can see and  
5 we've discussed, there's not a lot of detection. It's  
6 good to have this in the information available though,  
7 so I'm sorry if it's belaboring that point.

8           Here's showing the more localized activity  
9 globally. You can see there was quite a bit of H3N2 in  
10 Western Africa and parts of Asia and then a little bit  
11 more modest activity in North America and Europe.

12           Now, this is illustrating the phylogeography  
13 of the H3N2 HA, and I walked you through that last  
14 tree. So it's the same set up where we have the  
15 various clades denoted by these bars along this very  
16 first column, and I've marked the two kind of most  
17 important clades because this is very busy to  
18 understand all of these trees, I know. But there's  
19 this clade here, which is known as the 2a.1b.1b clade.  
20 And I'll just call those 1b viruses because the name is  
21 getting very long.

1           And then these dark viruses that are named  
2 here represent reference viruses that we use in the  
3 human serology assay, and so we had those in the H1  
4 tree as well. And those will be at the top of the  
5 columns of the human serology assays. So what we're  
6 doing for that human serology and for the ferret  
7 serology, really, is identifying key viruses that  
8 represent each of these major clades and testing those  
9 pretty extensively. And that's what we make our  
10 reference antisera for. It's also what we test the  
11 human sera with. And then we test, of course, all the  
12 other viruses that we have available against those  
13 reference sera from the ferrets, but we can't test so  
14 many viruses with the human sera. Okay.

15           And so, again, we saw towards the end of  
16 spring last year there were a lot of viruses  
17 circulating globally. In these columns here you can  
18 see, and they were in North America, Europe, Africa,  
19 South America. Okay. And so the vaccine prototype is  
20 in this group. It's this Hong Kong/70 -- or Hong  
21 Kong/45. I apologize. Hong Kong/45 and also the egg-

1 based vaccine is Hong Kong/2671 shown here.

2           The vaccine recommended by the WHO for the  
3 upcoming season is up in this top group here called the  
4 2a viruses, so the 2alb.2a viruses rather than a  
5 2a.1b.1b virus, which is the other group. These are  
6 represented by viruses like California/55,  
7 Tasmania/503, and viruses from Cambodia, many in  
8 Southeast Asia. There was also a split off of this new  
9 group which really all start at this amino acid set.  
10 It's probably hard to read here, but I'll define it  
11 later. And that's a 193 change. And these existed in  
12 Bangladesh. They have a few more substitutions, and  
13 they are some of the most recent viruses circulating  
14 are these viruses here.

15           So this is how complicated the H3N2 genetic  
16 clade distribution of just the hemagglutinin gene was  
17 from February 2020 to September 2020. You can see all  
18 the various clades that were cocirculating with  
19 regional differences. For example, a lot of 3A viruses  
20 in Europe, many 2alb.2a viruses, these bright green  
21 ones, in Asia and Southeast Asia, and many of the

1 2alb.1b viruses, the dark green ones, also in China and  
2 other parts of Asia. And in the United States, we had  
3 kind of a mixed bag. And to remind you, the vaccine  
4 was in this 2alb.1b group.

5           Now, it gets a little simpler with the  
6 bottleneck of the COVID-19 pandemic and all that was  
7 discussed earlier really dramatically impacting the  
8 number of different influenza viruses that we've been  
9 able to detect and the number of clades that are co-  
10 circulating. So in some ways, it's one of the easier  
11 years. Hopefully, we're not missing something. But  
12 the main viruses are really this 2alb.2a clade and the  
13 former 2alb.1b clade in the 180 clade in blue.

14           Now, when we look at the reactivity against  
15 the recommended Northern Hemisphere 2020 and '21 as  
16 well as the Southern Hemisphere 2021 seasons, you can  
17 see that the reactivity is a bit mixed. And for the  
18 CDC, for example, we had 63 percent were considered low  
19 reactors to the Hong Kong/45 cell antigen, which is  
20 shown on the left in the blue graphs. And overall, the  
21 total from, for instance, the Francis Crick Institute,



1 and VIDRL and the CDC where we had H3N2 viruses to look  
2 at, 44 percent are considered like the vaccine, and 56  
3 percent were considered unlike or low to the vaccine is  
4 a better way to say it, with eight-fold or greater  
5 reductions. And with the egg vaccine antigen, this  
6 skews the percentage to the right and makes more of  
7 them considered eight-fold or low, reduced.

8           This is illustrating antigenic cartography  
9 again. So our Hong Kong/45 cell recommendation in the  
10 chart on the left is here. It's actually this dot  
11 here, and the Tasmania cell, for example, that new  
12 group would be here, as well as these new viruses in  
13 the HINT assay shown here in the yellow dots. These  
14 are the ones that have F193S. And on the righthand  
15 side -- this is again from our colleagues at the  
16 University of Cambridge using the HI data created at  
17 these different centers, for example, CDC on the left  
18 of VIDRL or Melbourne CC on the right. This Cambodia  
19 egg, which represents one of the new candidates showing  
20 here up in this region being able to react with many of  
21 these newer group of viruses.

1           Oh, Mike, that's not displaying correctly. I  
2 guess we'll just move forward. This was actually a  
3 detailed hemagglutination inhibition assay illustrating  
4 how the current vaccine reacts against -- oops -- how  
5 the current vaccine works against the viruses that are  
6 circulating recently. And it was poorly recognizing  
7 these viruses that would have been down here and how  
8 well the new recommendation would work. It's kind of a  
9 crazy presentation today. Sorry about that.

10           Here's the human post-vaccination serum  
11 analysis. Again, we're looking at geometric mean  
12 titers now against the Hong Kong/45 cell virus, which  
13 is the cell recommended candidate. And I won't walk  
14 you through all the panels because I've done that  
15 before. But these recent 2A subclade viruses, you can  
16 see they're the ones that are the lowest in all the  
17 panels, all the age groups, and have significant  
18 reductions, thereby illustrating their risk to humans  
19 with our lack of reactivity and cross-protection  
20 against those viruses.

21           This is illustrating, again, the bubble chart

1 showing -- you know, we can focus in on a couple here.  
2 Like, in the adults it's a little more interesting to  
3 look at. The pediatric population behaves a little  
4 more like a naïve ferret because they haven't seen very  
5 many viruses. So you can see this Hong Kong/45 vaccine  
6 in the Flucelvax did a good job stimulating immunity  
7 from 44 to 485 was the titer increase on average. So  
8 80 percent had a four-fold rising titer or more.  
9 That's what this up arrow 80 percent means -- and were  
10 stimulating cross-protection to some extent. See, 126  
11 against this quite new group that hasn't circulated in  
12 people before -- and stimulating good reactivity to  
13 these 3A viruses, which are antigenically very  
14 distinct. And that's true for Flublok, and it's also  
15 true to a certain extent to IIV4, which is an egg-based  
16 product.

17           So to summarize the H3N2 viruses, in most  
18 countries, areas, and territories reporting influenza A  
19 viruses, we saw both (H1N1)pdm09 lineage and A(H3N2)  
20 lineage subtypes. With regard to the phylogenetics of  
21 the hemagglutinin, the circulating H3N2 viruses from

1 this period all belong to the 3C.2a1b subclades, and  
2 I've shared these subclades in bullet points down here.  
3 There's the 1A viruses. I won't walk you through all  
4 those amino acid changes -- the 1B, the 2A.

5           And this 2A represents where -- so the 1B are  
6 the viruses where the vaccine that we've had previously  
7 was in this group, and the 2A is where the new vaccine  
8 is recommended to be. This is split into two subgroups  
9 that I pointed out, some more like the Tasmanian and  
10 Cambodia viruses. They both share this F193S and  
11 Y195F. Whereas the Tasmania and Cambodia viruses have  
12 those K171N substitution and those that were in  
13 Bangladesh and some other regions have the 159  
14 substitution.

15           Importantly, I didn't show you the data, but  
16 both groups -- both these new groups share some  
17 substitutions in the neuraminidase gene, the other  
18 surface glycoprotein of influenza. That's a very  
19 important antigen, and it's a D463N and an N465S. This  
20 creates a potential N link-like constellation motif, so  
21 it adds a sugar moiety to the outside of that

1 glycoprotein. And that can really dramatically impact  
2 antigenicity. Viruses with HA genes belonging to the  
3 2a1b subclade 2B with all these changes or the 3C clade  
4 were not detected in this period. So we saw some  
5 reduction in diversity.

6           The summary of A(H3N2) viruses continued is  
7 that the ferret antisera raised against cell culture  
8 propagated Hong Kong/45 recognized the 3C.2a1b.1a  
9 viruses well. The group within the subclade 2a also  
10 were recognized but a little bit less well than the 1a  
11 group. And the group within the 2a that had these  
12 substitutions at 159, these are some of these most  
13 recent viruses found in Bangladesh -- were recognized  
14 poorly, very poorly by the Hong Kong/45, the current  
15 vaccine. The ferret antisera against the egg  
16 propagated recognized all these viruses poorly.

17           Now, ferret antisera to cell culture  
18 propagated A/Cambodia/e0826360/2020 and A/Tasmania/503,  
19 which are in this 2a group, recognized viruses from the  
20 1a and the 2a subclades well. And for viruses in  
21 subclade 2a that had these other additional

1 substitutions, it recognized those less well, but it  
2 still recognized those viruses in contrast to the  
3 current vaccine, which was very poor there. Neither  
4 group of 2a viruses was recognized well by antisera to  
5 the A/Cambodia/e0826360 in HI or VN assays, so there  
6 was some reductions there as we typically find with  
7 viruses from egg isolates.

8           Final bit for the H3N2 is that the human  
9 serology studies with serum panels from people  
10 vaccinated with Hong Kong/2671-like or Hong Kong/45-  
11 like viruses, which are in this 1b group, the post-  
12 vaccination GMTs were significantly reduced against  
13 cell culture propagated subclade 1b or 2a viruses but  
14 not against the 1a or 2b subclades or the 3a subclade.  
15 That's that cross-protection that I was illustrating  
16 that's elicited with 3a in particular. When compared  
17 to titers against egg propagated Hong Kong/2671  
18 reference viruses, I didn't show you this data, but  
19 significant GMTs are observed against all the cell  
20 culture propagated viruses. And this is a typical  
21 effect, so it's not very useful for looking at what's

1 antigenically distinct to humans when we use that  
2 analysis. For antiviral susceptibility, we really  
3 didn't see viruses out of 140 that showed any  
4 reductions to the neuraminidase inhibitors -- so that's  
5 always good news -- or out of 147 to the baloxavir  
6 prolinase inhibitor. All right.

7 I'm going to turn our attention now to the  
8 influenza B viruses. So you've gone through the hard  
9 part. The H3s are always complicated to follow.  
10 Hopefully, it wasn't too bad. Here's the distribution  
11 of B virus activity geographically over the globe from  
12 September 2020 to January 2021. Again, light activity  
13 for most regions, but we did see some strong B activity  
14 in parts of Western Africa, for example -- stronger,  
15 anyway.

16 So the influenza B viruses, again, this graph  
17 looks similar to all of them, which is an unusual year.  
18 I won't spend too much time on that. Remember, B  
19 viruses have two lineages called the B/Yamagata and  
20 B/Victoria lineage, and they are depicted here as to  
21 their percentage. And it's pretty easy to see in this

1 donut shape that 99 percent of the viruses where  
2 lineage was determined were B/Victoria. We've seen  
3 very little B/Yamagata.

4           And so I'll spend the time on the B/Victoria  
5 as I mentioned in the outline. Some of my slides  
6 really aren't showing up well today. If that one --  
7 that was the phylogenic analysis. This is showing the  
8 clade distribution, and so basically all the viruses  
9 circulating are in this one clade, V1A.3, which is  
10 pretty good news.

11           And I'm glad this slide shows up. So this is  
12 a little smaller view of the phylogenic analysis. The  
13 one that didn't work is a very large file, so that's  
14 probably why. But the main thing I wanted to point out  
15 again is the evolution of the virus in this tree is  
16 really moving from the bottom to the top for the most  
17 part. And we had a lot of the viruses in this V1A.3,  
18 the main V1A.3 clade, which runs from down here to up  
19 here -- all these viruses circulating -- are really  
20 B/Washington/2-like. That's the vaccine strain  
21 recommended for cell and egg.



1           So you can see where they all sit. This boxed  
2 area is this small group of virus that originally  
3 emerged in 2019 that has this N150K, G184E, and then  
4 N197D, which results in the loss of a glycosylation  
5 site. And this is further evolved and split into two  
6 groups, this 220 kind of group, which really circulated  
7 in China for the most part, and another group with  
8 P144L, which was more limited but had more geographic  
9 distribution.

10           And this is showing the reactivity of ferret  
11 antisera recommended for the vaccines this last season,  
12 so B/Washington cell like and egg-like. Again, the  
13 patterns for the totals are pretty similar, and the top  
14 part is showing February 2020 to January 2021. We had  
15 a lot more viruses to analyze.

16           And then the bottom part is showing just this  
17 most recent period from 2020 to 2021. So you can see  
18 about 70 percent of the viruses were well recognized in  
19 the early part of the year, and where they were low was  
20 primarily CNIC or China, the China National Influenza  
21 Center, showing the biggest reduction there. And then

1 where the viruses were seen in this period were  
2 primarily in China, and so they were pretty much the  
3 similar viruses. And a lot of those are considered low  
4 to this Washington/2 candidate in their hands. We see  
5 a pretty similar pattern, which is always good news,  
6 with the egg antigen.

7           Again, I'll show you some cartograph. You  
8 guys are probably all experts at this by now, but you  
9 can see the gray dots are where viruses existed that  
10 are older than 12 months. And here, we're looking at  
11 data from our collaborating center in Atlanta where the  
12 more recent viruses -- we did have a few that were  
13 double deletion or could be characterized in the last  
14 12 months but not in the most recent period. Here's  
15 where the Washington/2 cell virus sits and all the  
16 viruses really circulating recently around that. The  
17 very old virus is Brisbane/60. That was two vaccines  
18 ago, and this Colorado was the last vaccine prior to  
19 the Washington.

20           Now, on the righthand side I've broken out  
21 this small 150K group in these colors of green so that

1 you can see them more easily. Again, here's where our  
2 B/Washington egg sits, and these start to get outside  
3 the sphere of antisera recognition. So this is  
4 starting to become an antigenically distinct group.  
5 And then you can see how well this B/Washington cell  
6 sits right in the middle of most of the viruses that  
7 were tested, and that's what we want to see.

8           This is a different way of looking at  
9 cartography. Here, we're doing cartography of the sera  
10 and not of the virus. And so you haven't seen this  
11 before, but I thought it would be helpful. What you do  
12 is the sera is dead set in the middle of this  
13 particular one on the left-hand side using sera against  
14 B/Victoria/705. This is a B/Washington/2-like virus.  
15 And you can see the sera's reactivity profile  
16 determined as to how well it would cover within four-  
17 fold of the homogenous titer, so we consider that good  
18 coverage when we see something like that. And so some  
19 of these 150K viruses, while they are showing antigenic  
20 distinction, do show some cross protection with this  
21 sera.

1           Now, if we make sera to 150K virus, it  
2 actually sits up in this corner. I can't really show  
3 it, but it's right about there. It will cover these  
4 viruses pretty well, these 150K viruses, but it won't  
5 cover all the other viruses that are circulating.

6           So this is another way to do the analysis is  
7 to take the sera and ask the question "What will it  
8 cross-neutralize?" So it's not just about getting the  
9 best match. It's about getting sera that does  
10 neutralize the viruses that are all co-circulating at  
11 the same time or predicted to co-circulate in the  
12 future well.

13           And another piece of the puzzle is always the  
14 human serology. How well does the vaccine induce  
15 antisera that protects against the new emerging clades?  
16 And so it's the same serum panel we've described on  
17 this side, and now we're doing geometric mean titers  
18 against B/Washington/2 cell, which is in this V1A.3  
19 group. And then we always, as I pointed out before,  
20 select viruses that are different. So these are the  
21 viruses that are the same in the first two columns, but

1 then this one, Maryland/24, has an additional  
2 substitution that could impact antigenicity. This is  
3 the group that had the 150K change that I just pointed  
4 out with the ferret antisera showed some differences  
5 but also showed cross-reactivity with the Washington/2  
6 cell antisera.

7           This is another subclade that we have our eye  
8 on from Lebanon, the 2016 viruses. And it has an  
9 important constellation change at 233 and yet another  
10 one from Florida and then an older virus clad, the  
11 V1A.1 -- this is Iowa/6. This is a double deletion  
12 virus that was a previous vaccine candidate.

13           And I walked through all that sera to  
14 illustrate we're testing a lot of different things.  
15 What you can see is a lot of green, and that is good.  
16 Green is good. And that's true even for this virus  
17 group that's considered a bit antigenically distinct  
18 and was expanding in China.

19           And I won't belabor the bubble plot, but you  
20 can see the same thing here. Looking, for example, in  
21 the pediatric three- to eight-year-olds you can compare

1 Flucelvax and the egg vaccinated individuals. Pretty  
2 similar responses against the Washington/2 egg or the  
3 Washington/2 cell, which you can see there. For  
4 example, here good increases, and sometimes you get  
5 better increases in titer with the egg antigens.

6           And then you can also see here that there's a  
7 lot of protection induced against these viruses. This  
8 is in the Rhode Island column here with the 150K group  
9 by this vaccine, even in this younger population. So  
10 that's important.

11           So to summarize the B/Victoria viruses,  
12 they've greatly predominated over the Yamagata lineage.  
13 The majority of the viruses from this time period were  
14 identified in China, so that's from September to  
15 January. The HA phylogenetics -- all the HA genes  
16 belong to this major subclade, V1A.3. These have  
17 deletions for the residues 162 to 164, which was their  
18 major antigenic change and why they expanded so rapidly  
19 in the past. So they were antigenically distinct group  
20 of virus. Many of these also share this G133R  
21 substitution.

1           So a smaller subclade in this group was this  
2 1A.3 viruses that have the 150K substitution along with  
3 these other changes. Now, that group was very small  
4 last year and did start to expand. And that's what we  
5 saw in China, primarily viruses like that. And this is  
6 already separating into two other subgroups, one of  
7 those that on the phylogenetic tree have this V220M and  
8 P241Q, which was in China and West Africa. And another  
9 subgroup has the A127T, P144L, and K203R. They were  
10 found in Europe, West Africa, and Oman.

11           For their antigenic characteristics, most of  
12 the viruses tested since February 2020 were recognized  
13 well by ferret antisera raised against the cell  
14 propagated or the egg propagated B/Washington/2/2019  
15 virus. For the 1A.3-150K subgroup that predominated  
16 since September, they did show reduced inhibition by  
17 ferret antisera raised against the B/Washington  
18 viruses. However, ferret antisera raised against this  
19 group of virus, while it well inhibited themselves --  
20 you know, it well inhibited homologous viruses with the  
21 150K, they poorly inhibited most of the other viruses

1 with 1A.3 HA genes.

2           For post vaccination human sera, generally  
3 well inhibited all the viruses, including the 150K  
4 subgroup, and antiviral susceptibility, again, really  
5 in good shape. 144 viruses were analyzed. All were  
6 susceptible to oseltamivir. One showed some reduction  
7 to the zanamivir. And with the 16 viruses that were  
8 tested for laninamivir and peramivir, all were  
9 permissive or susceptible. And then, there were no  
10 viruses analyzed that showed reduced susceptibility to  
11 the baloxavir either, which is the polymerase  
12 inhibitor.

13           So I'll turn your attention to B/Yamagata, and  
14 as I promised, we should have some time for questions  
15 and answers. This will be pretty brief. Again, that  
16 tree's not showing up, but this is a large phylogenetic  
17 tree showing all these viruses circulating are very  
18 similar to each other. In this period, we didn't have  
19 any Yamagata viruses with collection dates after August  
20 2020. We at CDC were able to get some Yamagata viruses  
21 over December from international sources, as well as



1 late in our season last year, but not in this period.  
2 A few viruses with collection dates in earlier 2020  
3 were available, and that's what I just mentioned.

4 This is showing you antigenic cartography.  
5 Again, old viruses are in gray. The most recent that  
6 we could test are in red, and they're still showing  
7 nice proximity to the B/Phuket cell and egg antigens.

8 And so to summarize those, the Yamagata  
9 lineage were rarely detected. We had no viruses  
10 available with collection dates after August. All the  
11 viruses from 2020 had HA genes in clade 3, which is  
12 where B/Phuket/3073 is, so it shares that with the  
13 vaccine virus. Most recent viruses were well  
14 recognized by ferret antisera cell culture propagated  
15 and egg propagated B/Phuket/3073, and post-vaccination  
16 human sera well recognized viruses representative of  
17 those most recently circulating. And I didn't show you  
18 that because it's a bit boring.

19 So we really have to acknowledge everybody  
20 this year, more so than ever. I mean, we always put  
21 these slides up, but our WHO collaborating centers and

1 colleagues in all those collaborating centers really  
2 did a bang-up job. The Geneva staff, the central  
3 regulatory labs, and really who we're wanting to thank  
4 most are the U.S. and international partners, so the  
5 GISRS. They really beat the bushes to get viruses, and  
6 so I think this may address some of the questions we  
7 had earlier on are they just not being noticed or  
8 detected.

9           Well, people really looked. The CDC developed  
10 a multiplex real-time PCR assay that detects both SARS-  
11 coronavirus and influenza A or influenza B, as well as  
12 a housekeeping gene in the single assay. And we  
13 distributed that. After it was distributed to all our  
14 national public health laboratories here, once we had  
15 enough kits around, we distributed that to the National  
16 Influenza Centers globally. So they could  
17 simultaneously check subsets of their viruses for both  
18 influenza and SARS. For example, if it was SARS  
19 negative and they were using a SARS only test, they  
20 could repeat it with that, or they could just use that  
21 flu multiplex to start with. And that was done at all

1 the state public health laboratories in the U.S. as  
2 well.

3           And so fitness forecasting, we had a number of  
4 partners there. I didn't show you much of their data  
5 this year. It's harder for them to fitness forecast  
6 when there's not that much virus. And a special thanks  
7 to Becky Kondor, Min Levine, Larisa Gubareva, and John  
8 Steel who all contributed significantly to everything I  
9 showed you. These are team leads in my branch. Becky  
10 is also the deputy director of the WHO collaborating  
11 center and does a large part to put all our data  
12 packages together. And with that, I will just leave  
13 you with some information showing. Thank you.

14           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Wentworth,  
15 for summarizing a very complicated dataset in very  
16 clear terms. I will invite now my members -- my  
17 colleagues to raise the hand function if you have  
18 questions to Dr. Wentworth. I will begin by asking  
19 about the H1N1. We are moving from the 5A1 to the 5A2  
20 in terms of recommendation for inclusion. Maybe I did  
21 not quite grasp it, but is there a preponderance that

1 we observed the epidemiology in A2 versus A1? And is  
2 the geographic distribution sort of spreading? Because  
3 maybe I'm misreading, but it seems like this year  
4 compared to many other years there was more  
5 compartmentalization of where the viruses occurred.  
6 The color figures used to blend a little more.

7 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. Well, I think you  
8 picked up on all of that very well, so I don't think  
9 you misinterpreted anything. And it's a very -- it's  
10 one of those difficult situations. So I think our  
11 discussions earlier about reduced travel, we really did  
12 see more compartmentalization of different clades of  
13 flu virus and even of the evolution -- you know,  
14 branching evolution from what used to be one virus --  
15 like I showed you Bangladesh was doing one thing,  
16 Cambodia doing another in the H3s. And so that makes  
17 it challenging.

18 For the H1N1s, we really saw a paucity of  
19 those viruses all around the globe. There just wasn't  
20 a lot. And so to say that the clade -- the earlier  
21 clade, the A1 versus the A2 -- so the A1's the 187

1 group and the A2's the 156 group. Those two clades  
2 were not equal. There was probably about 70 percent of  
3 the older virus and only 30 percent of the one that's  
4 being recommended.

5           However, if you remember from the Southern  
6 Hemisphere, what we saw was the emergence of that clade  
7 and the rapid displacement of that clade -- of other  
8 clades by that virus in the one season so that at least  
9 50 percent of the viruses that circulated in the United  
10 States the year before. And that partly drove the  
11 change for the recommendation for the Southern  
12 Hemisphere 2021.

13           The other things that drove that change and  
14 drive the recommendation here are human serology, which  
15 shows really great risk from that antigenic group and  
16 very little risk from the A1, which everyone's been  
17 vaccinated with in the United States, for example,  
18 about 180 million people, and have had prior infection  
19 or exposures to. So we saw that great kind of  
20 reduction in geometric mean titers when you look at  
21 those A2 156K viruses, so that's important.

1           And the thing I didn't show you but we did  
2 have in the Southern Hemisphere was we actually were  
3 able to see in the United States and in, I think,  
4 Canada they saw this as well in two different  
5 epidemiologic studies clade specific vaccine  
6 effectiveness reductions for the 156K group viruses.  
7 So I know probably -- I'm glad you asked the question.  
8 I was thinking of trying to put that in, but it's very  
9 old data. And it's published, but that also -- it's  
10 one of the times where we had enough viruses from both  
11 clades cocirculating in a season to do that effectively  
12 with good statistical relevance. So it's the human  
13 serology and the clade specific VE that really says the  
14 156K group has a great risk. You can never predict  
15 what flu will do, but we do understand that one has a  
16 greater risk than the 187A group of viruses.

17           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. Paul  
18 Spearman, please put your camera on and ask your  
19 question. The mic.

20           **DR. PAUL SPEARMAN:** Thank you very much.  
21 Again, that's tremendous amount of data. I also had a

1 question related to Hana's about the choice in H1N1.  
2 So when you have two different clades and one it sounds  
3 like is more emerging -- and that's what we've chosen.  
4 But it sounds also like sera raised against that clade  
5 5A2 doesn't really cross protect against the 5A1. So  
6 there's kind of a danger there and is it just -- in  
7 some of your other clade selections for the other  
8 strains, it seemed like you could find one that really  
9 could cross protect against multiple clades. And is  
10 that not possible with H1N1 where, you know, there's  
11 certainly going to be naïve kids that aren't going to  
12 have seen the prior vaccines will be very susceptible?  
13 But maybe it won't circulate. Is that part of the  
14 thinking because of all the protection in the  
15 community?

16 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. So fantastic  
17 question. So I'll try to -- I take it I probably  
18 wasn't as clear as I could be. So when we take ferret  
19 antisera, ferret antisera is very focused immune  
20 response. It has very immunodominant focused immune  
21 response. And with H1N1 viruses in particular, it can

1 be very focused on this SA site where the 156K  
2 substitution is. So it's very easy to show that  
3 they're antigenically distinct from each other, the 187  
4 virus -- so the 5A1 and the 5A2. They're antigenically  
5 very different from each other.

6           But remember, that's in a naïve animal. And  
7 in a human, we get broader response even in a naïve  
8 person usually, so you get some more cross protection.  
9 The thing is both of these -- the main difference  
10 between both of those viruses is at this 187 position  
11 versus the 156 position. But they share many other  
12 changes along the way; right? So they share all the 5A  
13 changes, which are basically almost all the viruses --  
14 which is all the viruses circulating. Right?

15           So there's a certain level of comfort, and  
16 even if it's an antigenically advanced virus and it  
17 isn't the one that predominates that you are going to  
18 induce immunity. And it does show some cross  
19 protection. I tried to show you that with some of the  
20 bubble plots. Obviously, we can't show that in humans  
21 until people have been vaccinated with it.



1           The only thing I can mention that's kind of  
2 related to that, you may remember that we had the  
3 delayed decision for the H3 viruses. We chose that  
4 Kansas/14 because it was kind of like this 156K group.  
5 It came up late in the season. It came up very  
6 rapidly. We didn't have a vaccine candidate for it  
7 yet. We had some in the works, and we didn't have  
8 enough data to say if it's going to continue to expand  
9 and whether or not the antigens would produce a good  
10 immune response.

11           We also see very distinguished in ferrets  
12 antigenic profiles between those two. But when we take  
13 the serum from people vaccinated with Kansas, it  
14 induced great cross protection against these other  
15 clades in all the groups with the exception of those  
16 young pediatrics, the six month to 35-month-old.  
17 That's where you see the biggest, you know, lack of  
18 prior immune response that would be induced as a memory  
19 response.

20           And so that's what I can tell you about that.  
21 So I think it's really what I was telling you about the

1 risks of that 156K virus group being greater than the  
2 risk to the other group, which has basically circulated  
3 for a while in all of us and has been in our vaccines.

4 **DR. PAUL SPEARMAN:** Great. Thanks. It sounds  
5 like, if we're not a ferret, we'll still get some cross  
6 protection.

7 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** We're also one (audio  
8 skip), you know.

9 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Dr. Michael Kurilla.

10 **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** Thank you. David, I had  
11 two specific questions. One is when you look at human  
12 antisera, it looks like you're largely taking that from  
13 individuals who were vaccinated in the previous year,  
14 and I'm wondering if you've ever looked at individuals  
15 who had a natural flu infection the previous year to  
16 compare that to what the vaccinated ones looked like  
17 and if there's any sort of qualitative difference. The  
18 second question is you present a very detailed,  
19 deliberate analysis. I'm wondering is there any type  
20 of hypothesis testing to actually determine whether in  
21 fact the analysis you're doing is actually improving

1 over time in terms of the effectiveness of the  
2 vaccines?

3           Are you getting it right more often and  
4 leading to a reduction in mortality and morbidity from  
5 flu? I recognize there's a lot of moving parts here,  
6 but I'm just wondering are we getting better at what  
7 we're doing? Or are we just doing the same thing every  
8 year because we think it's as good as we can get?

9           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. Thank you for  
10 those questions. The second one's very hard to answer.  
11 We haven't done like a hypothesis type of analysis to  
12 illustrate whether or not some of the new -- we really  
13 haven't changed so much as added more. We tend to add  
14 more as to whether or not the new things we're doing  
15 improved. And I think we need longer term analysis to  
16 understand that. Like the fitness forecasting plays a  
17 role in trying to understand, you know, better what  
18 viruses will circulate in the future, and that's aided  
19 by a lot more next generation sequencing around the  
20 world that gives you more data.

21           So the short answer is I think we're getting

1 better, but we haven't done an analysis for that. And  
2 I think that's something we can look into more. And I  
3 also think, no matter what, we can have a poor VE, and  
4 it may not be totally related to the vaccine selection.

5           Again, you can look at these slides later, but  
6 what you can see is sometimes people don't respond as  
7 well to the vaccine. And we don't understand why that  
8 is. Like, why doesn't person A respond as strongly as  
9 person B to the same vaccine? That may get to the  
10 first part of your question, which was have they all  
11 been vaccinated previously, or have they been naturally  
12 infected previously?

13           And unfortunately, we have very limited data  
14 as to what's happened with these folks before. We ask  
15 for people that haven't been vaccinated previously for  
16 the most part because we want to get a more naïve  
17 response, but it's in part how people fill out a form  
18 and survey prior to being -- entering the study and  
19 having their blood drawn. We can see sometimes in the  
20 pre -- if you look at their pre-sera, this has to be a  
21 very detailed analysis when you do this. But if you

1 look at their pre-sera, you can sometimes see that they  
2 have a high titer to the vaccine antigen and maybe a  
3 lower titer to something that was circulating around  
4 the same time that was similar.

5 But that becomes very hard to tease out. It  
6 could just be that they were infected by something very  
7 much like the vaccine. So I can -- because that was --  
8 I don't know if that addressed your question or not. I  
9 can open for follow up if you want.

10 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. Portnoy.

11 **DR. JAY PORTNOY:** Great. Thank you and thank  
12 you for that detailed overview. I'm putting on my  
13 allergist hat now because I take care of patients who  
14 have egg allergy, and I wanted to know why are some of  
15 these vaccines egg-based and others recombinant. What  
16 determines which lineages are recombinant and which are  
17 egg-based, and is one type more effective than the  
18 other? And does there really have to be one of each  
19 type?

20 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Wow. Great questions.  
21 So the first flu vaccines were all egg-based, so we

1 didn't have recombinant and cell-based vaccines in the  
2 beginning. They were all egg-based, and this is in  
3 part because it was -- one of the reasons the influenza  
4 virus was able to be isolated was because it grew in  
5 eggs before we even had tissue culture capabilities in  
6 the laboratories. So in the 1930s, they could isolate  
7 influenza using embryonated hens' eggs.

8           And in the past, the isolation in eggs was  
9 very easy. The virus didn't change very much, and it  
10 grew very well in egg. And that's continued for the  
11 most part with many of the viruses. The exception is  
12 the H3 viruses, which have become so adapted to humans  
13 since their introduction in 1968.

14           So originally, they were from avian, so the HA  
15 was from an avian source. It jumped into humans,  
16 caused the pandemic in 1968, and then ever since then  
17 it's been evolving more and more to the human receptors  
18 and binding more poorly to the avian receptors. And so  
19 the H1N1 virus is a relatively recent virus from pigs,  
20 which share a lot of the same type of receptors as  
21 avian. So it doesn't have to undergo as many changes,

1 so that's kind of a long virological story about what  
2 happens with the virus when we put it in eggs. Some  
3 have to change a lot, and some don't have to change as  
4 much in order to replicate efficiently.

5 Eggs also are globally the most important  
6 vaccine substrate in the world because that's what most  
7 people can produce at high quantities to get to a half  
8 a billion doses of vaccine. In the U.S., we have  
9 started developing newer technologies, like the cell-  
10 based recombinant cell-based vaccines, which are --  
11 certain companies can do. And they have license  
12 through the FDA, and they produce a subset of the  
13 vaccines used for the United States. But I don't have  
14 the numbers in front of me, but I would say about 150  
15 million doses are produced in eggs. And then the rest  
16 of that 40 million comes from cell-based candidates and  
17 recombinant candidates.

18 Recombinant is also a pretty new technology  
19 for flu vaccines, and it's being used more and more.  
20 And so we're trying to accumulate that data to  
21 understand is one better than the other and why. And

1 it might seem on the surface when you look at the  
2 ferret sera that it would be obvious, but I think when  
3 Dr. Weir or other colleagues at the FDA can look at  
4 this in a different way, they're looking at how well it  
5 induces a strong response and how that cross protects  
6 against many viruses and what the VE is. So I think --  
7 when I say VE, I mean vaccine effectiveness studies.

8           So I think down the road there'll be enough  
9 people vaccinated with the different platforms that you  
10 can do platform specific vaccine effectiveness studies.  
11 And that looks at the whole population, all of us with  
12 all our different genetics, the different viruses. So  
13 it takes into account so many things.

14           **DR. JAY PORTNOY:** So should we expect to see  
15 more recombinant viruses over time?

16           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. It's really -- I  
17 think the market will drive that, right? So I think  
18 the recombinant cell-based are new technologies that to  
19 me represent a good advance in flu vaccine technology.

20           **DR. JAY PORTNOY:** Thank you.

21           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Dr. Hayley Gans.



1           **DR. HAYLEY GANS:** Thank you very much. That  
2 was really great, and obviously this is the really  
3 important data that is the basis for our decision  
4 today. So really understanding this is really helpful,  
5 so thank you for your explanation.

6           My question was related to a previous question  
7 in that trying to understand how sort of good we are at  
8 predicting and how we are using the data that we want.  
9 Moving towards not having to analyze the difference in  
10 these viruses as you've very beautifully outlined, how  
11 do you -- and there's a lot of work now going towards  
12 having a universal vaccine, really trying to figure out  
13 what part of the virus is actually universal so that we  
14 could potentially have immune response to it and not  
15 have to do this every year. When you do your antigenic  
16 sort of analysis, are you also looking at areas that  
17 actually may be overlapped so that this kind of  
18 information could be used as we move forward instead of  
19 looking at really how they differ?

20           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. That's a really  
21 interesting point, and of course there's a lot of work

1 funded by our federal government towards universal flu  
2 vaccine in the hopes that we could get a shot once  
3 every five years, once every 20 years, those kinds of  
4 things. We don't focus on those epitopes that would be  
5 more universal flu vaccine epitopes, and I'll explain  
6 why. Because those epitopes don't change. They don't  
7 really help us with the current flu vaccine.  
8 Basically, we get a similar answer across those  
9 epitopes from the different antigens because those are  
10 shared across all those antigens.

11           Many of the changes that I -- so, for example,  
12 we'll take a certain type of universal flu vaccine  
13 would be one that's focused on the stem of the  
14 hemagglutinin rather than the head of the  
15 hemagglutinin. Where influenza mutates is really  
16 primarily in the head of the hemagglutinin, and those  
17 are the ones that evade neutralizing antibodies. Some  
18 antibodies that react with other parts of the  
19 hemagglutinin are not neutralizing, so they become very  
20 difficult to measure, for example. You have to have  
21 different types of tests set up to understand how well

1 you're inducing the, quote/unquote, universal epitopes.

2           And we have -- for the humans, we have quite -  
3 - for human sera, that would be extremely challenging.  
4 For ferrets, you could do it. You have to have -- how  
5 it's done is you make chimeric hemagglutinin molecules  
6 that, say, for example, have a different head  
7 completely that can't be recognized from an H5 virus  
8 that circulates in chickens or whatever or an H6 virus  
9 that circulates in chickens. And then you put the stem  
10 of an H1 virus, so you have to make these by reverse  
11 genetics and recombinant virus technologies. And then  
12 you can use that virus to see how well it's neutralized  
13 by the various sera.

14           And what I'm saying is if I were to do that  
15 with a ferret sera that we create, they would all be  
16 about equally neutralized because nothing changed on  
17 that part where the antibodies are going against. It's  
18 quite a different thing. I think part of universal is  
19 stimulating high levels of antibodies to those  
20 conserved epitopes rather than low levels and have then  
21 be high affinity rather than low affinity. So we're so

1 busy doing the kind of analysis to make the flu vaccine  
2 recommendations that that universal vaccine's bases  
3 really in extramural programs from NIH and  
4 investigators around the world doing more pre-clinical  
5 work.

6 **DR. HAYLEY GANS:** Thank you so much. I look  
7 forward to the day that we can get our vaccine every  
8 five years.

9 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I will stay on the  
10 question of H5. You mentioned it with regards to the  
11 universal flu, but is the H5N8 still localized at the  
12 outbreak level in Russia? Do we need to worry there?

13 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** That's not a typical  
14 VRBPAC question. So yeah, there has been a small  
15 outbreak of H5N8 viruses that has been zoonotically  
16 transmitted to humans in Russia, and we're working to  
17 understand better about that virus. I think there was  
18 about eight infections. We're still trying to narrow  
19 down data or our colleagues at Vektor in Russia who are  
20 part of the vaccine consultation meeting and have  
21 provided some data and are following up on and trying

1 to get serum to understand if people were really  
2 infected or if they just test positive because they  
3 were around poultry that had very high levels of those  
4 virus. And you can swab them, and they were positive.

5           So there's a lot of things to still be worked  
6 out. Usually during the vaccine recommendation meeting  
7 when we have it in person in Geneva, we cover the  
8 zoonotic viruses at the same time. However, the  
9 zoonotic virus for selecting vaccines for pandemic  
10 preparedness is what we do there. And I never present  
11 that at VRBPAC, but what's done in that setting is to  
12 go through the very giant iceberg of viruses that are  
13 circulating in the animal reservoir trying to  
14 understand which ones have been zoonotic, which ones  
15 have zoonotic potential, which ones have pandemic  
16 potential.

17           And then every six months new pre-pandemic  
18 candidate vaccine viruses are selected from these  
19 groups for production in good laboratory practice to  
20 create seed stocks so that it can then be used in the  
21 event of a pandemic, and they're available to all the

1 manufacturers. So manufacturers can acquire those seed  
2 stocks and just make technical lots and see how they  
3 grow and do -- there's also clinical studies done from  
4 them. And so that's kind of a long-winded answer to  
5 the Russian question, but it turns out we already had  
6 selected a vaccine virus for this group last VCM, so in  
7 September. And that one is in production or nearly  
8 completed. The CNIC, the Chinese National Influenza  
9 Center, collaborators -- WHO collaboration are at  
10 Chinese National Influenza Center developed that  
11 resource.

12           So it does exist. So it's in a high growth  
13 background, and it does exist. And they'll be testing  
14 sera against that virus to see how well it cross reacts  
15 against these H5N8s from a pandemic preparedness  
16 perspective.

17           I mean, we have seen H5N8 jump into -- H5  
18 viruses of many N subtypes, primarily H5N1,  
19 zoonotically transmitted to people many times in the  
20 past, and most of the time these are very localized  
21 outbreaks without evidence of person-to-person

1 transmission. And so we are definitely watching this  
2 situation as we do every zoonotic event, and the first  
3 thing that happens is we try to look for if it's  
4 acquired the ability to transmit among humans. And we  
5 also simultaneously look to see if we already have a  
6 vaccine candidate that's made. And if we don't, we  
7 start making one.

8 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** All right. Well, thank  
9 you so much for this presentation and for taking all  
10 these questions. At the moment, we will have a ten-  
11 minute break in our agenda, and it's 10:20 my time or  
12 11:20 Eastern Time. We will reconvene at 11:30.

13

14 **[BREAK]**

15

16 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNski:** Hi. And welcome back  
17 to our 165th VRBPAC Meeting. We now are coming back  
18 from break, and we are entering into our middle portion  
19 of the agenda. I'd like to hand it back Dr. El Sahly.  
20 Dr. El Sahly, take us away.

21

1                   **DOD VACCINE EFFECTIVENESS REPORT**

2

3                   **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. It's my  
4 pleasure now to introduce Dr. Kevin Taylor, the Global  
5 Emerging Infections Surveillance Branch, Armed Forces  
6 Health Surveillance Division, Public Health Division,  
7 and Dr. Kathleen Creppage also from the Armed Forces  
8 Health Surveillance Division. They will give us an  
9 overview of the Department of Defense vaccine  
10 effectiveness report. Dr. Taylor.

11                  **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Hi, good morning. As was  
12 already said, my name is Lieutenant Colonel Kevin  
13 Taylor. I'm with --

14                  **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNSKI:** Dr. Taylor, you had  
15 your camera on. Can you turn it back on again?

16                  **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** I think I lost connection  
17 altogether. I got to request re-entry into the --

18                  **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNSKI:** That's okay. Here you  
19 go. We got you.

20                  **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Okay.

21                  **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNSKI:** Just give us a second



1 and we'll make you back to a presenter. You should be  
2 able to go ahead and turn your camera on again. And  
3 trying to find you on the list. Where'd you go? Let's  
4 move him up to a presenter.

5 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** He's under presenter  
6 already, so he's okay.

7 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNSKI:** Okay. There you go.  
8 Are you able to move your slides, Dr. Taylor?

9 **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yep.

10 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNSKI:** There you go.  
11 Perfect. Take it away.

12 **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** All right. Thanks again,  
13 yes. I'm Lieutenant Colonel Kevin Taylor. I'm with  
14 the Defense Health Agency's Armed Forces Health  
15 Surveillance Division. And I'll be presenting the  
16 results from the DoD Global Respiratory Pathogen  
17 Surveillance Program and those partners that contribute  
18 to this very important effort each year. We don't have  
19 a whole lot of data to present on today, but hopefully  
20 it'll be a little bit of a useful add-on to the great  
21 presentations you already heard this morning.

1 I'm also filling in for the very capable  
2 Commander Mark Sheckelhoff who led this effort within  
3 our division over the past few years but who departed  
4 recently for his next public health service officer  
5 assignment. I'm also joined by Dr. Kathleen Creppage  
6 who is the portfolio manager for the respiratory  
7 infections focus area here within our office and who is  
8 truly instrumental in pulling together much of what  
9 we'll be presenting here today.

10 So today I'll be presenting data on the 2020  
11 to '21 influenza season from our influenza surveillance  
12 network, including an overview of the past three years  
13 of surveillance data with a snapshot of what's taken  
14 place, of course, during the past few months during the  
15 pandemic. Included here will be surveillance data from  
16 our partners in North America, South America, Europe,  
17 and Middle East, Africa, and Asia. So I know it was  
18 mentioned on the agenda that I'll be doing a talk on  
19 vaccine effectiveness, but we're actually going to be  
20 covering just a general surveillance for flu as well.

21 As with the other contributors, our analyses

1 this year are going to be very limited in comparison to  
2 previous years due to the low number of influenza cases  
3 captured through our surveillance program over the past  
4 several months. And with that said, I'll be presenting  
5 a brief summary, still, of the phylogenetic analyses  
6 developed by the U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace  
7 Medicine or what I'll refer to as USAFSAM. And this  
8 may, of course, look different compared to previous  
9 years. For this season, we only had 12 influenza  
10 samples received by USAFSAM for sequencing, so  
11 obviously a much more scaled down analysis.

12 In addition, I'll be presenting a mid-year  
13 estimate of vaccine effectiveness developed by the  
14 Armed Forces Health Surveillance Division at the  
15 Analysis Branch. We won't be sharing data on antigenic  
16 characterization for this season like we have in the  
17 past. That data is usually provided by the Naval  
18 Medical Research Center, and that's just because of  
19 insufficient data this time around.

20 All right. So I'll start off today with a  
21 brief overview of the influenza surveillance within

1 DoD. Flu surveillance is included as part of the DoD  
2 Global Respiratory Pathogen Surveillance Program that I  
3 mentioned before, which is managed out of the Global  
4 Emerging Infections Surveillance Branch here at AFHSD.  
5 The GEIS Branch is a DoD asset dedicated to the  
6 surveillance of infectious diseases primarily but not  
7 exclusively in the military community.

8           Our flu surveillance program extends over 400  
9 locations in over 30 countries, utilizing the network  
10 of DoD laboratories that are across the globe. In  
11 addition to monitoring U.S. military personnel, our  
12 partners have relationships with foreign governments  
13 including ministries of health and ministries of  
14 defense and academic institutions which provide disease  
15 surveillance on local, national populations as well.  
16 Our laboratories have pretty extensive characterization  
17 and capabilities including cell culture, PCR, and  
18 sequencing capabilities. On average we have about  
19 30,000 or more respiratory samples collected a year and  
20 analyzed within our surveillance network. We also have  
21 access to extensive health records for the active-duty

1 military population, which are typically an important  
2 source of data for monitoring influenza activity within  
3 the DoD and conducting vaccine safety and effectiveness  
4 studies.

5 I'd like to briefly show where our GEIS-  
6 Supported Influenza Surveillance is active. The GEIS  
7 network is spread across six of what we call geographic  
8 event commands shown here. And multiple laboratories  
9 conduct flu surveillance routinely as a part of this  
10 program. One of the core GEIS laboratories, USAFSAM,  
11 which I mentioned before, has a particularly wide  
12 geographic footprint and surveils for flu across many  
13 sentinel sites in the U.S., in Europe, and also  
14 locations in the Indo Pacific region. However, testing  
15 for flu obviously declined significantly in 2020 and  
16 into 2021 in the midst of the pandemic. And you'll see  
17 that borne out in our data we present here today.

18 In the next several slides I'll present data  
19 on influenza subtypes detected by several of our GEIS  
20 network laboratories but reiterate again that flu  
21 surveillance has been impacted significantly at these

1 sites -- restrictions and lockdowns that resulted in  
2 reagent shortages, shipping delays, staffing reductions  
3 that have really impaired normal surveillance  
4 activities. And I have a few examples here what's been  
5 going on. And then, of course, this has been taking in  
6 place in an environment where resources are being  
7 shifted to COVID surveillance and where flu rates are  
8 just already diminished at least in some part by the  
9 public health measures implemented in response to the  
10 COVID pandemic. So you'll see this impact in the  
11 coming slides as I present data by region. And in  
12 fact, I'll move through these slides pretty quickly  
13 since there's actually not a whole lot of data to  
14 present for the current (audio skip).

15 All right. On the following subtype  
16 calculation -- I'm sorry -- circulation charts, the  
17 MMWR week is along the X axis and the percentage of  
18 positive samples is along the secondary Y axis on the  
19 righthand side. Number of specimens is located along  
20 the primary Y axis on the left-hand side. We have  
21 three years of data shown here starting way back in the

1 week 40 of 2018 on the left-hand side going to the most  
2 recent data for 2021 on the righthand side. Different  
3 color of bars, of course, indicating different  
4 influenza types and subtypes.

5           This particular graph represents surveillance  
6 data for military members including recruits and other  
7 military dependents residing within the United States  
8 and also some select civilian populations along the  
9 U.S.-Mexico border. Influenza A, subtype H1N1 has been  
10 the dominant subtype in the previous season. And low  
11 levels of Influenza B were also evident. However,  
12 Influenza B has pretty much been nonexistent this  
13 season with our surveillance, and there has been no  
14 cases detected in these populations in recent (audio  
15 skip).

16           Okay. Here we show data from South America,  
17 and this comes from U.S. and civilians as well as the  
18 local military and civilian populations in Peru, Panama  
19 and Columbia and Honduras. Respiratory data is  
20 primarily limited to populations, though, in Peru and  
21 Panama for the latter part of 2020 and early 2021. The

1 predominant subtype at the end of the previous season  
2 was Influenza B for us with lesser circulation of H1N1  
3 and H3N2, but there have been no flu cases detected for  
4 the current season in this region.

5           This graph represents surveillance data for  
6 military members and their dependents in nine countries  
7 in Europe including some in Kosovo and Romania. And  
8 this is actually the first time that the GEIS network,  
9 at least, has had samples from these Eastern European  
10 countries. This season's flu activity, like other  
11 regions, is low. Few positives were detected for H1N1  
12 and H3N2. And, of course, the European Centre for  
13 Disease Prevention and Control notes a kind of similar  
14 decline in positivity as of week 30 -- I'm sorry --  
15 week 53 in 2020. Although, what they do show is kind  
16 of an equal distribution across -- of 50 percent A and  
17 50 percent B among the 100 or so samples that they  
18 have.

19           This data here represents U.S. military  
20 personnel and civilians as well as a handful of local  
21 and national populations within the large number of



1 Asian countries in which we operate, including Bhutan  
2 and Cambodia, Nepal, the Philippines, and Thailand.  
3 And then more recently, we added Mongolia to this list  
4 in early 2021. Surveillance in Asia showed dominance  
5 of Influenza A (H3N2) almost exclusively, although  
6 there has been no influenza detected over the past  
7 several weeks through our surveillance. And this is  
8 despite the fact that testing remained fairly steady  
9 throughout most of the pandemic in this region for us.

10           This shows data for U.S. military and  
11 civilians in select locations within eight countries in  
12 the Middle East. In the Middle East, we had flu  
13 activity declining at this time last year for us, but  
14 there's been almost no positives detected in the past  
15 several weeks with the exception of a few Influenza B  
16 detections in the past couple months.

17           All right. Surveillance in East Africa comes  
18 from primarily foreign military and civilian  
19 populations in Kenya, Tanzania, and also Uganda. There  
20 are some gaps in the data due to logistical issues  
21 during the pandemic. But positivity rates were still

1 low even when testing was consistent coming from these  
2 sites. Influenza circulated at low levels in 2020 and  
3 2021 in general, with Influenza A predominating in  
4 2020. In the past few weeks, Influenza B has been  
5 detected in the region alongside Influenza A, subtype  
6 H3N2.

7           And then, our final region to go over, here we  
8 show surveillance data coming from military and  
9 civilian populations in Ghana. When aligned with the  
10 WHO Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System  
11 data, that they're almost identical. Influenza A  
12 (H3N2) and Influenza B were predominant in the current  
13 flu season similar to the 2019-2020 flu season but  
14 markedly lower compared to the prior year.

15           Okay. All right. So in summary, our flu  
16 surveillance data from our global lab partners is very  
17 limited for this flu season. Our surveillance in North  
18 America, South America, Europe, and Middle East  
19 detected almost no cases, with a small amount of Flu A  
20 activity in Europe and Flu B in the Middle East.  
21 Surveillance in Asia showed H3N2 circulating at low

1 levels in weeks 29 to 42 but with nothing detected  
2 after week 52 our network. Surveillance in East Africa  
3 showed some low-level A activity with some Influenza B  
4 activity beginning after week five. And our  
5 surveillance activities in West Africa showed both H3N2  
6 and Influenza B activity but at very low levels  
7 compared to previous (audio skip).

8 All right. So next, I'll present the  
9 phylogenetic analysis completed this year by our  
10 partners at the U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace  
11 Medicine, USAFSAM. And while in previous years our  
12 partners at USAFSAM were able to acquire well over  
13 1,000 samples for sequencing, this year's low influenza  
14 rates really resulted in much less to work with for a  
15 phylogenetic analysis. As I mentioned earlier, we only  
16 had 12 samples to be sequenced this year and available  
17 for analysis. All of these were H3N2 sequences from  
18 Southeast Asia. And I'll note that September 2020  
19 samples were included in this analysis in order to  
20 capture as many relevant samples as we possibly could.

21 All 12 were in the clade 3C.2a1b. 11 of the

1 12 collected in September/November or December 2020 in  
2 Cambodia and Thailand were in the T131K amino acid  
3 substitution group with the additional substitutions of  
4 K83E, Y94N, I522M, G186S, F193S, and Y195F  
5 substitutions noted, placing them in the 2A subclade  
6 that, of course, Dr. Wentworth mentioned a lot earlier.  
7 The remaining sequence collected from the Philippines  
8 in December 2020 was in the T135K amino acid  
9 substitution group with the additional substitutions  
10 A138S and F193S placing it in the 1A subclade. The WHO  
11 H3N2 strain recommendation for the 2021-2022 Northern  
12 Hemisphere vaccine, which is in the 2A subclade, does a  
13 good job of recognizing both the 1A and 2A viruses  
14 identified by USAFSAM and represented here.

15 All right. Looking at the results by month,  
16 the Influenza A (H3N2) T131K subgroup was predominant  
17 at the start of the 2019-2020 season, and then the  
18 T135K subgroup became predominant in the last half of  
19 that season. However, the T131K subgroup kind of  
20 reemerged and circulated at higher proportions through  
21 the summer of 2020 and start of the 2020-2021 season.

1 Among our data for the current season, the 1A, or what  
2 you could call the T135K-A, and then the 2A, or the  
3 131K-A, are the only ones detected for the T135K and  
4 T131K subgroups, respectively.

5 All right. So in summary, we've got very low  
6 flu rates thus far for the current flu season which  
7 left us with very little to work with just with those  
8 12 sample sequences and sequence in all from our  
9 partners in the Indo Pacific region. All of these  
10 resided in the, as I said, the 3C.2a1b clade with 11  
11 falling in the 2A subclade and one in the 1A subclade.  
12 Of note, the WHO strain recommendations for the 2021-22  
13 Northern Hemisphere vaccine seems to inhibit viruses in  
14 both these subgroups.

15 And while we have no sequences this year for  
16 either H1N1 or Influenza B viruses, the clades  
17 identified by USAFSAM at the end of the 2019-20 season  
18 were consistent with this WHO recommendation for the  
19 Northern Hemisphere. And so taken all together, our  
20 sparse H3N2 phylogenetic data this year along with what  
21 was seen with H1N1 and Influenza B data from the end of

1 last season does align well with the WHO recommendation  
2 for the 2021-22 Northern Hemisphere vaccine as I  
3 already mentioned. And the details of that  
4 recommendation, of course, listed here, but I won't  
5 read those out in detail, of course, since we've  
6 already gone over that in previous presentations this  
7 morning.

8 All right. Now lastly, I'm going to move on  
9 to a discussion of a vaccine effectiveness estimates  
10 performed by our Armed Forces Health Surveillance  
11 Division Epi and Analysis Branch. To start off, I'll  
12 mention that what typically comprises our annual  
13 vaccine effectiveness analysis -- we usually actually  
14 have three partners that contribute to this effort. We  
15 have the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Division Air  
16 Force Satellite at USAFSAM that usually provide vaccine  
17 effectiveness analysis for our non-active duty  
18 populations or beneficiaries that are not active duty  
19 within the DoD. And the Naval Health Research Center  
20 usually provides a VE analysis for military trainees or  
21 what we would call the recruit population.

1           However, the small number of positive test  
2 results coming out of these populations this year meant  
3 that we didn't really have any kind of meaningful  
4 analysis to present for vaccine effectiveness in the  
5 populations. So I won't be presenting any of that  
6 today. However, the Armed Forces Health Surveillance  
7 Division Epi and Analysis Branch usually conducts our  
8 vaccine effectiveness analysis for our active-duty  
9 population more broadly. And fortunately we do have  
10 some data to present for that population, which I'll  
11 discuss here in the next few slides.

12           All right. So the study designed for this  
13 analysis was a case test negative control design on  
14 active component personnel from all the military  
15 services including those stationed both in the United  
16 States, or what we call CONUS, and those stationed in  
17 foreign locations, what we typically refer to as  
18 OCONUS. Cases were lab confirmed by positive rapid  
19 tests or also by RT-PCR or culture assays. Test  
20 negative controls were those that presented for care  
21 and tested negative for flu either by RT-PCR or culture

1 assay. Those that were negative, though, only by rapid  
2 test were excluded from the analysis.

3 I'll present both the crude vaccine  
4 effectiveness for both Influenza A and B along with  
5 results adjusted for sex, age, prior vaccination, and  
6 diagnosis. And due to the limited subtype data, I'll  
7 only be able to present overall and type specific  
8 vaccine effectiveness for this particular population.

9 All right. A little bit more on vaccine  
10 information and what we had for those subtypes just to  
11 make it clear. So inactivated influenza vaccine was  
12 the only vaccine type used in this particular study  
13 population. It's also important to note that our  
14 active-duty population is a well-vaccinated population.  
15 And flu vaccine is basically compulsory for all active-  
16 duty personnel.

17 So almost all of the study subjects had been  
18 vaccinated for flu in the prior five years. We had a  
19 total of 219 Influenza A and 171 Influenza B cases to  
20 include in the analysis. And nearly all our cases were  
21 identified via rapid diagnostics tests, which is why we



1 have nearly no subtype results to include (audio skip).

2           Our breakdown by age group of both cases and  
3 controls is shown here. The U.S. military population,  
4 as you are probably aware, are relatively young  
5 compared to the general U.S. population, which, of  
6 course, will limit the ability to generalize these  
7 results to the broader U.S. population.

8           Here's the results of the analysis showing  
9 overall vaccine effectiveness and then for both  
10 Influenza A and B. The large difference between the  
11 crude and adjusted effectiveness for Influenza A can  
12 largely be explained by the distribution of cases over  
13 time throughout the season. So a large portion of the  
14 Influenza A cases were detected early in the season, in  
15 fact, over 40 percent in just October alone. So that  
16 is an explanation for that significant difference there  
17 as we go to the adjusted vaccine effectiveness for  
18 Influenza A. Whereas the influenza and test negative  
19 controls for the Influenza B were more evenly  
20 distributed throughout the whole (audio skip.)

21           The adjusted vaccine effectiveness for A did

1 not reach statistical significance, so important to  
2 note that. And while the effectiveness estimates for  
3 Influenza B and any type of influenza were  
4 statistically significant, do note the wide confidence  
5 intervals on those estimates (audio skip) part to the  
6 low number of cases included (audio skip).

7           So in summary, the overall midseason vaccine  
8 effectiveness was 29 percent with this analysis. But  
9 do remember that this is in a relatively young active-  
10 duty military population. It was somewhat higher for  
11 Influenza B at 40 percent, indicating some moderate  
12 protection, notably lower, though, when we looked at  
13 Influenza A. Although this did actually not reach  
14 (audio skip). We, of course, look forward to next year  
15 when we can (audio skip). I think we will be able to  
16 include, of course, the non-active duty and basic  
17 trainee populations that weren't included in this  
18 (audio skip).

19           And there are a few limitations to note with  
20 this analysis, specifically having to do with our  
21 ability to generalize the results. With this case test

1 negative control design, all subjects included in the  
2 study were individuals actually presented for medical  
3 care. So it's not actually possible to maybe assess  
4 vaccine effectiveness, vaccine impact on those that  
5 were less severely affected by their infection. Also,  
6 since the active-duty military population is highly  
7 vaccinated, as I mentioned before, with nearly all  
8 required to get the flu vaccine each year, this could  
9 affect our estimates of vaccine effectiveness as the  
10 repeated past exposures to vaccine could possibly  
11 attenuate some future immune response to vaccination.  
12 As I already alluded to, generalizing these results to  
13 older, higher-risk populations may not be possible  
14 given the age and general health status of our active-  
15 duty military population.

16           So with that I'll just say thank you for your  
17 time. I will just, of course, highlight all the  
18 partners that contributed to this effort here within  
19 the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Division, our Air  
20 Force satellite, and then also the numerous partners at  
21 our overseas laboratories, so many in fact, that I do

1 have to show it using two slides -- and then also our  
2 partners in some of those partner nations that I  
3 mentioned earlier, of course, appreciate and value all  
4 the great, great work they contribute to this effort.  
5 So with that I'll entertain any questions that may be  
6 out there.

7 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Taylor. I  
8 think Dr. Creppage is going to help take some of the  
9 questions. Is that correct?

10 **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yeah. So if there's  
11 anything that I may not be familiar enough with to  
12 answer that she could perhaps answer, I may ask her to  
13 chime in. But, yeah, I'm happy to entertain anything  
14 you have.

15 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** All right. Great. It is  
16 my interpretation that from your presentation and Dr.  
17 Wentworth's presentation it seems that West Africa is  
18 sort of the outlier in terms of having more flu  
19 activity than others. Any potential explanation or  
20 that or (audio skip)?

21 **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yeah. I don't really have

1 a good explanation for that. Obviously, what we saw  
2 there was predominately H3N2. And I don't know if just  
3 the -- is it perhaps impacted by the COVID pandemic,  
4 just a set of public health measures that are different  
5 than what's being implemented in other parts of the  
6 world resulting in the flu transmission being a little  
7 bit more possible in those kind of locations. At the  
8 end of the day, we're still not detecting a whole lot  
9 of cases of flu.

10           And part of what you might see there is just  
11 the fact that flu rates for us in our surveillance is  
12 just so low in so many of our other regions that the  
13 small amount that we're seeing there really kind of  
14 just jumps off the screen. But I'll let -- I don't  
15 know if Dr. Wentworth is still on the line if he has  
16 anything to add, given that he kind of did highlight  
17 that in his presentation as well.

18           **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNSKI:** David, Dr. Wentworth,  
19 let makes sure that you're unmuted. Make sure you  
20 unmute your own phone.

21           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. Yeah. Sorry

1 about that.

2 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCYNISKI:** No problem.

3 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. I think I don't  
4 have a great explanation for it either. So I think it  
5 was well presented. And we've seen in West Africa  
6 lately that they have more continuous flu circulation  
7 at low levels. And so, as was mentioned, maybe their  
8 continuous low-level circulation is what's kind of  
9 shows up brighter now that there is very low levels  
10 everywhere else.

11 They also -- Togo and Cote D'Ivoire and some  
12 of these countries have really done a great job doing  
13 influenza surveillance in the midst of the COVID  
14 pandemic. So it could be a little bit that there are  
15 strong surveillance activities in some of the countries  
16 in West Africa supported by U.S. investments and other  
17 investments from other countries.

18 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay. Thank you. Dr.  
19 Portnoy?

20 **DR. JAY PORTNOY:** Great. Thank you. What  
21 we're trying to do today is to predict which strains

1 will be dominant next year to put into the influenza  
2 vaccine. Yet the pattern used to make that prediction  
3 has basically been broken this year because there's  
4 been very little influenza. Have there been previous  
5 experiences where flu basically vanished for a year,  
6 and does the pattern of emergence resume the following  
7 year?

8           Or does it reset such that maybe a different  
9 strain becomes dominant, and our predictions are  
10 therefore not valid? Perhaps one strain could survive  
11 low levels of flu better than another and re-emerge  
12 more quickly. And also, could some strains even go  
13 extinct when the levels are as low as they have been?

14           **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yeah. I will say that is a  
15 great question. I mean, we've been discussing that  
16 very question in our office here. Like, when we have  
17 such low influenza rates, are we just going to get an  
18 odd collection of flu viruses emerging next flu season  
19 just because the conditions are just so drastically  
20 different? I'm not aware of anything happening like  
21 this in the recent past.

1           We talked a little bit earlier about, I think,  
2 2011-2012 being a down year, but that's nothing like  
3 what we're experiencing here. And so I don't know if  
4 we can use that as an example of what to expect, but  
5 perhaps we could. I'll defer to anyone else on the  
6 line who might be able to give their opinions on kind  
7 of this unprecedented situation we're dealing with,  
8 with flu, and what might possibly emerge next year.

9           I think we're all hoping that with what little  
10 data we do have we're still able to make a good  
11 estimation of what's going to become predominant. But  
12 I'd love to hear some conversation and discussion by  
13 others who might be considering this as well about just  
14 kind of the unusual circumstances this year and how  
15 that'll affect what may eventually emerge for next  
16 season.

17           **DR. JAY PORTNOY:** I guess there isn't any?

18           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay.

19           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** I can make a brief  
20 comment about that. I totally agree with Dr. Taylor.  
21 You can never predict what's going to happen with



1 influenza, so it's very challenging. And we're in  
2 unprecedented times with the level of circulation. And  
3 we don't know what will happen when people really start  
4 mixing more and the viruses have to compete with each  
5 other for fitness advantages.

6           But I'd also reiterate that we're not only  
7 predicting what will circulate. I think this is one of  
8 the fallacies that gets proposed in the press and  
9 everywhere else. We're using multiple factors to  
10 understand what represents the greatest risk to the  
11 human population. And oftentimes, that is the new  
12 variant that is going to predominate.

13           But what we know about influenza is that many  
14 variants co-circulate every season. And the more we  
15 sequence the virus genomes of many, many specimens the  
16 more we know that's true. And we talk about flu  
17 viruses like they're one virus when, in fact, an  
18 individual is infected with many different variants  
19 simultaneously because of the mutation rate of the  
20 virus.

21           So when I show you that human serology data

1 and we look at vaccine effectiveness data, we're also  
2 looking at what represents a risk. And where human  
3 sera is low across many age groups may be a predictor  
4 of what can predominate but also is a predictor of what  
5 represents a great risk to the population. Therefore,  
6 if we select vaccine candidates in those groups,  
7 presumably we'll be at least immunizing against the  
8 viruses of the greatest risk.

9           And so that's part of what went into the  
10 selection probably more so this season when you have  
11 less data on the viruses circulating. And the viruses  
12 that are circulating -- it's a great question that you  
13 had -- and the viruses that are circulating are  
14 different regionally. So that's one of the challenges.  
15 Over. And I would just also add that any flu  
16 vaccination is better than no flu vaccination.

17           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Dr. Kurilla?

18           **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** Thank you. Kevin, I'm  
19 curious about -- I don't know why my camera is not  
20 working now. Kevin, I'm curious about how does the  
21 vaccine effectiveness you measured this year compared

1 to prior years with DoD? And how well does that align  
2 with CDC estimates in the past of overall vaccine  
3 effectiveness?

4 **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yeah. Good question. So  
5 this is comparable to what we see in DoD each year.  
6 I'll also note, though, that often with our vaccine  
7 effectiveness estimates they are typically lower than  
8 what we see for estimates for the broader U.S.  
9 population. And so there could be some reasons for  
10 that. I had kind of mentioned a little bit in my  
11 limitations slide about how the prior -- high rates of  
12 vaccination years prior might influence how we -- our  
13 ultimate calculation of vaccine effectiveness for a  
14 current year's vaccine.

15 But I will, yeah, again just kind of mention  
16 and reiterate that typically what we see in our vaccine  
17 effectiveness estimates are lower than what we see for  
18 the general U.S. population. So I would anticipate if  
19 we were able to do that for the general U.S. population  
20 this year, you would probably see something higher than  
21 what I reported there.

1           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay. Dr. Holly Janes.

2           **DR. HOLLY JANES:** Thank you. I wanted to  
3 follow up -- following up on Dr. Portnoy's question and  
4 interrogate just a little bit further in the  
5 implications of -- Dr. Wentworth, you mentioned that  
6 the cross protection that the parents in the serology  
7 data that you presented earlier. And what might we  
8 speculate would be the potential impact of having  
9 essentially missed a flu season? Might we expect a  
10 lower benefit of cross protection when the viruses  
11 emerge and just following up on that in terms of  
12 specification about potential efficacy or effectiveness  
13 of the flu vaccines for the 2021 season?

14           **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yeah. So you're asking  
15 about the cross protection from prior vaccine for  
16 coming flu season? Is that what you're getting at?

17           **DR. HOLLY JANES:** Yes. I mean specifically  
18 when these viruses emerge, it's very difficult to  
19 anticipate what might emerge. But I guess a hypothesis  
20 might be, I suppose, that the viruses that emerge might  
21 be -- people have not largely been exposed for a year.

1 I don't know what the vaccination rates were last year.  
2 But might there be lower levels of memory immune  
3 responses to these viruses when they do emerge, and how  
4 might that influence the epidemic that we see in the  
5 2021 season?

6 **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yeah. Oh, I see what  
7 you're saying. Okay. Yeah. And I don't know if I can  
8 really provide a great answer for that. I don't know.  
9 I see here -- I think I saw Dr. Wentworth popping up  
10 there. If he wants to chime in again, I certainly will  
11 defer to him whenever I get an opportunity because I  
12 know he's going to have something much more intelligent  
13 to add than I. So, Dr. Wentworth, do you want to  
14 mention something?

15 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Well, I think I'd agree  
16 with you. I think we don't know, again, if the low-  
17 level circulation not stimulating -- like, many people  
18 might get a common-cold-like phenotype with a low-level  
19 circulation of flu. And I think what you're asking is  
20 has this reset everybody's antibody level to a lower  
21 level, and could we be in more trouble? I guess my one

1 comment would be I don't know.

2           And the second part of it would be if you get  
3 vaccinated, though, we would hope that that would  
4 stimulate immunity from the prime of the vaccine, as  
5 well as if you have memory responses from previous  
6 seasons, it would stimulate some of that memory. So I  
7 think that since we don't know what will happen, if  
8 there could be a low level of population immunity as a  
9 whole, the vaccine should help prevent that kind of a  
10 bigger epidemic because of that low-level immunity. So  
11 what I'm saying is I think the vaccine will induce  
12 immunity even if you haven't seen flu in the previous  
13 year because you've seen it in years past, and you've  
14 been vaccinated. Many people have been vaccinated  
15 previously. Over.

16           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay. Thank you. I think  
17 we're going to have time for one more question. We're  
18 a little over time. So Dr. Hayley Gans.

19           **DR. HAYLEY GANS:** Thank you. I just had a  
20 question related to -- we heard a little bit earlier  
21 about vaccine usage, so you talked about efficacy. We

1 heard -- and I didn't know if it was related only to  
2 the United States -- but the rates of vaccination are  
3 fairly similar this year or this season as opposed to  
4 the previous seasons. Is that the same for around the  
5 world, globally, and how much of the population  
6 globally actually does receive a vaccination? And how  
7 does that impact what strains would then circulate?

8           **LTC KEVIN TAYLOR:** Yeah. I'm sorry. I don't  
9 know globally in terms of what vaccination rates are  
10 for this year. Yeah. I can certainly speak more to  
11 what we saw in DoD. As I mentioned in my slides, our  
12 vaccination rates in the group I presented on is very  
13 high because it is a compulsory vaccine for active-duty  
14 military. And that's the same year in and year out. I  
15 cannot, though, speak too much about what the  
16 vaccination rates are globally. I apologize, sorry  
17 about that.

18           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay. Thank you. So  
19 thank you, Dr. Kevin Taylor, for presenting these data.  
20 Next on the agenda is Dr. Manju Joshi, lead biologist  
21 of the Division of Biological Standards and Quality,

1 Office of Compliance and Biologics Quality at CBER.  
2 Dr. Joshi.

3

4 **CANDIDATE VACCINE STRAINS AND POTENCY REAGENTS**

5

6 **DR. MANJU JOSHI:** Thank you for the kind  
7 introduction. So today -- I am Dr. Manju Joshi from  
8 the Division of Biological Standards and Quality  
9 Control, which we refer to as DBSQC, and Office of  
10 Compliance. And I will give comments here giving you  
11 an idea about the candidate vaccine strains and potency  
12 reagents for '21-'22 Northern Hemisphere influenza  
13 season.

14 In my presentation, I will go over the WHO  
15 virus recommendations for the upcoming seasonal  
16 influenza vaccine for '21-'22. I'll go over the  
17 available potency reagents for the recommended  
18 components. And there'll be a couple of slides where  
19 I'm going to be emphasizing on what kind of a plan we  
20 do have for '21-'22 Northern Hemisphere season and a  
21 couple of key general comments. And let me make it



1 clear that those couple of slides will be more to the  
2 advantage also for my communication with the vaccine  
3 manufacturers in the audience. So I think for me, this  
4 is one chance to tell them about certain expectation  
5 and things we would like to have to run the campaign  
6 smooth.

7           So as far as in terms of A of H1N1 target  
8 concerned, the WHO recommended virus for '21-'22  
9 Northern Hemisphere season vaccine is different from  
10 '20-'21 season but is the same as those recommended for  
11 '20-'21 Southern Hemisphere season. WHO has  
12 recommended that A/Victoria/2570/2019pdm09-like virus  
13 be the candidate that's the recommended strain for egg-  
14 propagated vaccine. And for cell propagated or  
15 recombinant vaccine, WHO recommendation is for  
16 A/Wisconsin/588/2019pdm09-like virus. In the interests  
17 of time, I'm not going to go over the list. But the  
18 list of all the candidate vaccine viruses that are  
19 available for the strains can be accessed at the WHO  
20 website, which I have listed at the bottom of the  
21 slide.

1           So if the Committee approves the use of the  
2 recommendation made by WHO, let's look over what is the  
3 status of the potency reagents for the strains. And if  
4 we look at the various reagents available for H1N1  
5 strain, yes, this strain was recommended for Southern  
6 Hemisphere. All the other (inaudible) produced the  
7 reagents. And I have listed all the reagents  
8 available. We have egg-based reagents available from  
9 CBER, as well as from TGA and NIBSC in U.K. Similarly,  
10 CBER had prepared the reagent for cell base for one of  
11 the candidates, which was A/Delaware/55/2019.

12           So coming to the H3N2 strain in the vaccine,  
13 WHO recommended that for '21-'22 Northern Hemisphere  
14 season vaccine, the recommendation will be different  
15 from '20-'21 season, as well as different from '20-'21  
16 Southern Hemisphere season. And as previously was  
17 pointed out, this time the WHO recommendation for egg-  
18 propagated vaccine is for an A/Cambodia/e826360/2020-  
19 like virus. And similarly, the same recommendation is  
20 for the cell-culture-propagated as well as for  
21 recombinant vaccine.

1           And again, the candidate vaccine viruses, the  
2 whole list can be accessed at the WHO website. But  
3 I'll just briefly mention here, since this is a new  
4 strain, absolutely. So currently for the CVVs, which  
5 are for antiviral vaccines, will include A/Cambodia  
6 wild type virus, as well as IVR-224 reassortants, which  
7 are available from WHO CCs and from NIBSC, UK.

8           The second, so antigenically similar virus, is  
9 the A/Tasmania. And both wild type and IVR-221 has  
10 been recommended as a candidate vaccine virus. And  
11 they are also available from the same sources.  
12 Similarly, for cell-culture-based CVVs which are  
13 antigenically like A/Cambodia are available for both  
14 A/Cambodia, as well as for A/Tasmania/503 virus. And  
15 they available from VIDRL in Australia. This isn't a  
16 new strain. And as far as the potency reagents for  
17 H3N2 component is concerned, we here at CBER will work  
18 with other essential regulatory laboratories and  
19 manufacturers to prepare and calibrate the reagents for  
20 measuring the potency of A/Cambodia(H3N2)-like  
21 component of the vaccine produced using different

1 platforms.

2           When looking at the Influenza B, WHO  
3 recommended virus for 2021-'22 Northern Hemisphere  
4 season for both trivalent and quadrivalent vaccines is  
5 same as for the '20-'21 Northern Hemisphere and '20-'21  
6 Southern Hemisphere season. But for egg-propagated  
7 vaccines, WHO has made the recommendation that  
8 B/Washington/02/2019-like virus and B/Victoria/2/87  
9 lineage be the components of the vaccine. And  
10 similarly for the cell-culture propagated or  
11 recombinant vaccine, the B/Washington-like virus has  
12 been recommended. Again, the complete list of  
13 different available candidate vaccine viruses can be  
14 found at the WHO website listed here.

15           This vaccine component has been going on for  
16 last few seasons. Reagents are available for  
17 B/Washington from various ERLs. We here at CBER have  
18 prepared the B/Washington represented in the  
19 (inaudible) for use in combination with antiviral  
20 vaccine as well as for B/Darwin/7/2019, which is a  
21 candidate vaccine flu virus for the cell platform. And

1 CBER had also (inaudible) the reagents for B/Washington  
2 for a recombinant platform. So reagents -- and other  
3 ERLs (phonetic) also have some of the reagents  
4 available.

5           Coming to the second B component in vaccine  
6 for quadrivalent vaccine, the WHO recommends that for  
7 2021 Northern Hemisphere season for the quadrivalent  
8 vaccine, the recommendations will remain the same as  
9 those for '20-'21 as well as for '20-'21 Southern  
10 Hemisphere. So -- and eventually happens that once  
11 again we have the B/Phuket/3073/2013-like virus  
12 recommended for both egg-propagated vaccine as well as  
13 for cell culture and recombinant vaccine. And the  
14 B/Phuket has been with us for a long time as  
15 (inaudible). Then the various candidate vaccine  
16 viruses are listed again on the WHO site. And the list  
17 always gets updated as the new viruses become  
18 available.

19           Coming to the potency (inaudible) reagents  
20 available for the B/Phuket-like viruses from the  
21 Yamagata type B lineage, pretty much all the ERL have

1 met after (inaudible). And a variety of reagents are  
2 available from each ERL. As far as CBER is concerned,  
3 we do have a B/Phuket representative reagent and  
4 antisera for B/Phuket wild type virus for egg  
5 platform.

6 We have two different reagents for cell-type  
7 platform, which are one for the B/Singapore/INFTT-16-  
8 0610/2016-like virus and for B/Utah. CBER has also  
9 prepared a reagent for B/Phuket for use in combination  
10 with the recombinant platform.

11 So this was a (inaudible) to the candidate  
12 vaccine viruses and available reagents. But how do we  
13 go on to create a vaccine campaign and make sure the  
14 vaccines are available to the public in a timely  
15 manner? This is the slide I mentioned that I would  
16 like to address more to the stakeholders and  
17 manufacturers.

18 Now, I would like to address to them to say  
19 that we would like that manufacturers provide us  
20 information in regard to the strains they will be  
21 using, a particular candidate vaccine virus, what kind

1 of reagents they are planning because some of the  
2 reagents are already available, both antigen and  
3 antiserum. And the main reason for asking these things  
4 is that this is very important for us in DBSQC to plan  
5 our flu program, as well as this involves the reagent  
6 calibration activities.

7           If the reagents our manufacturers are using  
8 from outside, some other ERLs, we have to make sure  
9 that we find a way forward for getting those reagents.  
10 We have to have the whole program in place for doing  
11 the monovalent testing and the complete lot release  
12 testing. And I make this appeal every year. And  
13 everybody has been really cooperative about this. And  
14 I think that was the reason why we were able to  
15 successfully do a lot of things even with the pandemic  
16 situation and all the social distancing regulations in  
17 place. So thank you, all the manufacturers, for that.

18           And lastly, a couple of general comments I  
19 would like to make is manufacturers should remember  
20 that only CBER authorized reagents should be used to  
21 test potency of vaccine marketed in the U.S. We are

1 always open, so you can always get in touch with us,  
2 consult with us. And we will guide you through that.

3           When it's a time concern, this is a  
4 requirement for them to submit monovalent samples.  
5 They must be submitted to the DBSQC. And please email  
6 me -- my email address is here -- regarding the  
7 dispatch of samples, your test results, et cetera.  
8 Copy them to Dr. Shahabuddin and Dr. Eichelberger. I  
9 have included their emails on the left.

10           If you have any inquiries regarding CBER  
11 Reference Standards and Reagents, their availability  
12 and shipping, please contact CBER Standards at  
13 [CBERshippingrequests@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:CBERshippingrequests@fda.hhs.gov), and you'll be helped  
14 on that. And lastly, I would like to say that, please,  
15 we are always open to your feedback. Send all your  
16 feedback and comments on the suitability or use of the  
17 reagents provided and any other aspect of our services  
18 to the [CBERinfluenzafeedback@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:CBERinfluenzafeedback@fda.hhs.gov) mailbox. It  
19 does have the address up here. We'll be happy to read  
20 it. And we would like to know how things are going.

21           So I think with this, thank you very much.



1 And I can take any questions.

2 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Joshi. Any  
3 of our colleagues on the Committee with questions for  
4 Dr. Joshi? If so, please raise your hand in Adobe.  
5 Yeah. I don't think I see questions. Thank you, Dr.  
6 Joshi.

7 **DR. MANJU JOSHI:** Thank you.

8

9 **COMMENTS FROM MANUFACTURER REPRESENTATIVE**

10

11 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Dr. Lauren Parker from  
12 AstraZeneca will next give comments from the  
13 manufacturers' perspective. Dr. Parker?

14 **DR. LAUREN PARKER:** Hi, good afternoon and  
15 good evening, everyone. Thank you for the  
16 introduction. I'm really pleased to be able to be here  
17 today in the virtual space, or my kitchen in Liverpool  
18 in the U.K., to give this presentation on behalf of  
19 industry, in particular, the influenza vaccine  
20 manufacturers that supply the U.S. market for the  
21 Northern Hemisphere influenza season.

1 I'd just like to take this moment just before  
2 I go through the presentation to say thank you to my  
3 industry colleagues Bev Taylor, Elizabeth Nordmeyer  
4 (phonetic), Sam Lee, and Penny Post for their support  
5 and help putting this presentation together and further  
6 critical review of the content. So what I'm going to  
7 talk about today is our industry perspective looking  
8 back over the 2020-21 flu vaccine supply manufacturing  
9 campaign.

10 Okay. Disclosure statement from myself. As  
11 you're aware, I am an employee of AstraZeneca. I work  
12 at our Liverpool site in the U.K. And I am the  
13 scientific lead of our live attenuated influenza  
14 vaccine strain development program. My disclosure is I  
15 do own shares in the company.

16 Okay. So influenza is an often underestimated  
17 disease, and it can be serious. It can cause  
18 significant morbidity and mortality rates and is often  
19 quite -- it's an economic burden. It is difficult to  
20 measure this, but it has been showed to be a  
21 significant economic burden. And the best way to

1 prevent influenza remains vaccination. So for a flu  
2 vaccination campaign to be successful, it really is a  
3 balancing act.

4           So there's, I would say, three overarching  
5 areas which need to be well balanced. They need to  
6 work smoothly together for us to have a successful  
7 campaign. So, of course, we need well matched vaccine  
8 component strains which recognize and protect against  
9 the circulating influenza strains. Manufacturers need  
10 to be able to supply sufficient quantities to support  
11 the recommendations and increase immunization rates  
12 where we can. And, of course, all of that needs to be  
13 available in a timely fashion before the upcoming  
14 influenza season.

15           So it really does take a team to beat  
16 influenza. There are a lot of moving parts to all of  
17 this. And everyone here is involved in some way. And  
18 in industry, we quite often like to refer to the  
19 analogy as like a relay race. So if you think of a  
20 relay race, you've got multiple runners at different  
21 points along the track running at speed. They're

1 handing off batons to the next runner while they're  
2 already running.

3           So if you think of the collaborating centers  
4 or the ERLs or the high growth reassortant labs as the  
5 first runners, manufacturers will be the first  
6 receiving runners. And we start running even before  
7 we've had that baton handed to us. And generally,  
8 that's us beginning our manufacturing campaign at risk.  
9 So in order for us to be able to supply to the market  
10 at the beginning of the vaccination season, we need to  
11 begin manufacturing our commercial bulks prior to the  
12 WHO recommendation announcement.

13           And along the relay racetrack, there are some  
14 interesting hurdles for us to jump over as well.  
15 There's multiple batons, multiple providers, and a lot  
16 of potential hurdles. So a relay race is a really nice  
17 way of thinking about it. Also, I'm a fan of thinking  
18 about it like trying to build a plane while flying it  
19 at the same time.

20           So this then moves me nicely onto the hurdle  
21 looking back at the 2020-21 season. I'll just start by

1 commenting on the last hurdle or the first hurdle in  
2 the slide, whichever way you're looking at it, which is  
3 unexpected or late changes. So this actually isn't  
4 something that we encountered in the 2020-21 season.  
5 But we have encountered this before. And I just wanted  
6 to keep it on there as a reminder as it can have a big  
7 impact to the manufacturing and selection campaigns and  
8 getting things to market ready for the immunization.  
9 So manufacturing timelines and the Nagoya Protocol,  
10 which I'll talk more specifically about at the end of  
11 the presentation, these are hurdles that like to throw  
12 themselves in our way every season.

13           The manufacturing timelines, one, was off its  
14 base a bit more this season because of the COVID-19  
15 pandemic and the increased amount for vaccines. But  
16 overall the COVID-19 pandemic is just -- it's  
17 completely thrown us into uncharted waters and  
18 uncharted territory. And it was multiple hurdles all  
19 stacked really closely together.

20           So some of you will be familiar with this  
21 slide. We have shown it before. It's just a nice

1 timeline summary of the annual seasonal flu vaccine  
2 manufacturing timeline to supply the U.S., beginning  
3 with the top blue arrow just under March, which is the  
4 VRBPAC strain selection ratification. So I'm not going  
5 to go through every single part of this slide. I just  
6 want to call out a few highlighted points for it.

7           So a big point here is, essentially, it takes  
8 around six months to manufacture, release, and  
9 distribute the required number of doses for the season.  
10 So if we look back at the 2020-21 season, over half a  
11 billion doses that were required to be produced and  
12 distributed globally -- and that was not just from one  
13 vaccine platform or one vaccine technology. It's three  
14 different vaccine technologies. So we've already  
15 discussed cell versus egg versus recombinant. And then  
16 the egg vaccine is split farther into the inactivated  
17 influenza vaccine and the live-attenuated influenza  
18 vaccine.

19           The vaccination period itself is quite rigid.  
20 It's quite inflexible. And that's because that's --  
21 the infrastructure is set up that way so from September

1 to November. And some of them are starting to be  
2 pushed out now. There's so many moving parts it would  
3 take hours to list them all and go through them all.  
4 But flu seasons are changing in their timing, and  
5 there's a constantly increasing demand.

6           So with regards to getting supplies to U.S.  
7 market for the previous season, it took the collective  
8 manufacturers initially six months to supply all of the  
9 first doses. And within eight months the final doses  
10 were supplied. So this just takes us onto a data  
11 summary of the numbers of doses that were distributed  
12 within the U.S. last season. So that is the graph on  
13 the left with the green data slide. And I think the  
14 graph with the blue data slide is a nice representation  
15 of the fact that, with the exception of this sharp peak  
16 seen in 2010 which corresponds to the 2009 H1N1  
17 pandemic distribution, it's just increasing constantly.

18           And what's amazing and something that we  
19 should all be really proud of is that, despite all of  
20 the challenges thrown at everyone during the pandemic,  
21 the number of doses of influenza vaccine supplied to

1 the U.S. was greater than 10 percent higher than the  
2 previous season. And the previous season's number was  
3 already high. So just to give you some exact numbers  
4 to clarify that, as of the 12th of February this year,  
5 we supplied 193.7 million doses compared with 174.5  
6 million doses at the same reporting period last season.

7           And moving on now to the Northern Hemisphere  
8 recommendations, I'm not really going to go through  
9 this. Dr. Joshi has gone through it as has Dr.  
10 Wentworth. I think most of us have in the second half  
11 of the presentation.

12           Just a couple of things from a manufacturing  
13 perspective to really highlight is that, because we  
14 have this extreme diversification that just continues  
15 with H3N2s -- they really are amazing -- the egg  
16 recommended H3N2 strain component has been updated for  
17 the past four seasons. And we are starting to see a  
18 lot more diversification in the H1N1s, which was  
19 highlighted really nicely in Dr. Wentworth's slides  
20 there. So we have been seeing more recent updates for  
21 the H1N1 component as well, compared to post 2009



1 pandemic where the recommended California/07 strain was  
2 -- it was a recommendation for several years.

3           So looking back in a general overview way of  
4 the 2020-21 Northern Hemisphere campaign, as we all  
5 noted, there were three strain changes updated from the  
6 2019-20 season. The H1s were updated. The H3N2s and  
7 the B/Victoria lineage -- vaccine composition was  
8 updated as well.

9           Due to the pandemic and the complete unknowns  
10 of what would happen if there were co-circulation  
11 between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza and to reduce the  
12 burden on everyone's healthcare system, the increased  
13 global demand for flu vaccines was around 20 percent  
14 globally. And as I said previously, I can't remember  
15 the exact numbers, but it was around 11 to 12 percent,  
16 so greater than 10 percent overall increase in the  
17 numbers of doses actually supplied to the U.S. There's  
18 some really excellent collaborative things went on  
19 between WHO, ERLs, and industry last season which  
20 really helped the campaign feel very open and  
21 collaborative and smooth running.

1           So we had these -- we had biweekly, WHO  
2 industry teleconferences September to February. And  
3 the Cross Functional Working Group Influenza Hub has  
4 been fully implemented. And it's been really important  
5 and key for information sharing and for CVV updates,  
6 reagent availability. It's been fantastic, and it's a  
7 massive credit to Sam Lee and Jason Long at NIBSC  
8 (MHRA). They've really spearheaded this and got it  
9 going, and it's been fantastic.

10           So going back to everyone's favorite subject,  
11 the COVID-19 pandemic, so at the beginning we just had  
12 no idea how this was going to affect the campaign. And  
13 initially, there did appear to be some impact on  
14 international transport and freight. However, overall,  
15 the issues were resolved, and the impacts were very,  
16 very minor.

17           One thing that has continued to be of a  
18 concern is the Nagoya Protocol and the ABS, so access  
19 and benefit sharing legislation issues. These continue  
20 to be of concern. I'm going to highlight more  
21 information about that when I come to the last few

1 slides.

2           So something to -- another really positive  
3 thing to point out from last season -- I won't go  
4 through all of the specific details from this table.  
5 But this is a summary of the supply of the critical  
6 potency reagents for the 2020-21 season. There was,  
7 obviously, concern over reduced staffing levels, staff  
8 being stretched, and a reduced focus on influenza.

9           However, our ERL colleagues prioritized the  
10 generation and calibration of these critical potency  
11 reagents. And the efforts made by them, which were  
12 phenomenal, really fantastic, it resulted, actually, in  
13 our calibrated potency reagents being available in a  
14 very similar timeframe to previous seasons. So this  
15 was one of the things that really contributed to the  
16 supply of the 2020-21 flu vaccine manufacturing  
17 campaign being a success.

18           So a few of these things have been discussed  
19 at great length and are mentioned -- touched upon today  
20 already. I'd just like to briefly go over them again  
21 from an industry perspective. So obviously, increased

1 demand for flu vaccines, which I've said already,  
2 reduced staff numbers working, that's a problem  
3 everyone's had to deal with. There was potential for  
4 supply chain and logistical challenges, which were  
5 overcome and had a minimal impact.

6           Something that we were very concerned about at  
7 the start of the pandemic was could SARS-CoV-2 be an  
8 adventitious agent in the clinical isolate sent from  
9 the National Influenza Centers to the collaborating  
10 centers for expansion in cells or eggs? But colleagues  
11 at VIDRL in Melbourne and the CDC did some really neat  
12 studies and published them to demonstrate that SARS-  
13 CoV-2 is actually not capable of replicating  
14 efficiently in the substrates that we use to make our  
15 flu vaccines. So that is eggs and the qualified MDCK  
16 cell lines and (inaudible) cell line. So that was done  
17 really quickly, really great work. So we got that  
18 confirmation very early on in the season.

19           And, of course, something that everybody's  
20 spoken about is the massively reduced numbers of  
21 circulating flu viruses. And the numbers that we've

1 pulled together here really are quite sparse, I think,  
2 so a 62 percent drop in the number of flu positive  
3 virus shipments to the collaborating centers and a 94  
4 percent drop in genetic sequences uploaded to GISAID.  
5 This is the influenza sequence and sharing platform  
6 that Dr. Wentworth mentioned earlier as well.

7           So you put all of that together and not only  
8 is it even more complicated and complex for the WHO to  
9 review of all the data from the small number of viruses  
10 and make a recommendation; it meant that as  
11 manufacturers we had a much smaller pool of strains to  
12 work with. So in previous seasons, as a collective we  
13 could have been looking at up to 100 wild-type strains  
14 that were investigated for their potential as a  
15 reassortment -- or reassorted and characterized. And  
16 it was just -- it was not even near that. You could  
17 probably count on two hands the numbers of strains that  
18 were available. So it presented some challenges with  
19 regard to that and to be expected given the situation.

20           So I've mentioned the Nagoya Protocol a couple  
21 of times already. So I'll briefly mention what it is

1 and why it's a concern for flu vaccine manufacturers  
2 and, therefore, vaccine supply. So the Nagoya Protocol  
3 is a supplementary agreement to the Convention on  
4 Biological Diversity. It essentially exists as a legal  
5 framework for the implementation of the fair and  
6 equitable benefit sharing prior to research and  
7 development or commercialization.

8           So basically, it protects biodiversity when  
9 genetic resources are utilized from different  
10 countries. So pathogens do fall into the scope of  
11 this. And each country who is a signatory to this or  
12 who has their own ABS legislation, it's their right to  
13 decide whether or not pathogens are included in that.  
14 So seasonal influenza may come under that.

15           So we do need to take the time to formalize  
16 any legal benefit sharing arrangements that may fall  
17 under the Nagoya Protocol. This can take a range of  
18 time depending on how complex the legislation is and  
19 what's expected of the manufacturers by the country.  
20 It can take months to actually get everything necessary  
21 in place.

1           And if you're -- pass your mind back to my  
2 slide at the beginning -- it takes up to six months to  
3 get the vaccines delivered. So at that start of that  
4 six months we need to already -- we need to have our  
5 seeds. We need to be getting going with release  
6 testing, making seed lots, and those kind of things.  
7 So you can see where it can be problematic. So it does  
8 offer a risk to seasonal impact -- seasonal flu vaccine  
9 supply.

10           And something just to point out, so there are  
11 a lot of countries in the world that actually sort of  
12 negate the Nagoya Protocol. So they don't sign up to  
13 it. And the U.S. is one of these regions, as is  
14 Australia and the U.K. So if people use an influenza  
15 virus from Scotland, A/Edinburgh or A/Iowa from the  
16 U.S., we don't hold the recipient to any of this  
17 legislation.

18           However, that doesn't mean that those  
19 countries are not held to it from a recipient country.  
20 So just because the U.S. themselves wouldn't actually  
21 hold anybody to these legislative rules, any resources

1 coming in from another state or another country to the  
2 U.S. -- that would still need to be investigated. And  
3 we would need to conduct ourselves according to the  
4 legislation in that country.

5           So what you can see from this table is these  
6 are the CVVs that we have worked with, developed,  
7 characterized, and, in a lot of places, manufactured  
8 into product since the 2018-2019 season. And there is  
9 also another five that have no established  
10 authorization. And what I think is good to take home  
11 from this is, if you look at the column on your right  
12 on the screen, which is the current candidate vaccine  
13 viruses that have no established authorization, it's  
14 the longest list.

15           So having no established authorization  
16 essentially puts manufacturers in a bit of a limbo  
17 situation. And a lot more countries are adopting this.  
18 As of the 21st of February, 129 countries have ratified  
19 and entered into the Nagoya Protocol. So it's not  
20 always clear as well. There's not a one size fits all  
21 for this.



1           So countries are well within their rights to  
2 create their own legislation and their own rules  
3 regarding this. It's not always clear. And often,  
4 once we've gone looking for that legal information,  
5 it's sometimes not in English. It requires long  
6 translations. So there's an ever-increasing time to  
7 get clarity and receive authorization to actually use  
8 the viruses.

9           So this lack of legal clarity is a real risk  
10 and concern for us in industry as manufacturers. So we  
11 could be looking at delays due to getting that required  
12 clarification, negotiating where need be, and getting  
13 the official notification costs addressed and resolved.  
14 Like I said, this is not something that we really  
15 encountered and had to actively spend a lot of time  
16 resolving for the 2020-21 season. But it is becoming  
17 an ever-increasing issue that we need to keep our  
18 finger on the pulse of.

19           So I will finish up now. Just to summarize,  
20 there's a continued increase in demand for vaccines but  
21 in the same constrained timeframe. Any delays or

1 unexpected strain selections have the potential to  
2 impact supply and, therefore, a knock-on effect on the  
3 vaccine usage and uptake. And we think that flu  
4 vaccination, of course, is of great importance.  
5 Vaccination is still the best means of preventing  
6 influenza. And because of the complete unknown  
7 landscape that we're in now with regards to flu and  
8 respiratory viruses, flu vaccination will continue to  
9 be of massive importance going into the next season as  
10 COVID vaccinations increase and things like  
11 restrictions and travel bans, social distancing -- when  
12 all of those things are lifted.

13           We've never been in a situation like this  
14 before. And we don't know what's going to happen. We  
15 can never predict what happens with flu at the best of  
16 times. But this is very unprecedented. So the numbers  
17 will increase. And flu immunization should remain of  
18 great importance.

19           And just to finish off by saying we're really  
20 pleased the COVID-19 pandemic -- it didn't  
21 significantly impact vaccine supply for the 2020-21

1 season. And the increased demand was met successfully,  
2 especially in the U.S. with the greater than 10 percent  
3 demand met. We did resolve any small Nagoya Issues  
4 ahead of time. And due to the amazing efforts of our  
5 colleagues in the ERL and the high yield reassortant  
6 labs, all of the seasonal candidate vaccine viruses and  
7 reagents were available in time.

8           And we're all in this together, right? We're  
9 all here to play our own part to ensure adequate supply  
10 of the best possible vaccines to safeguard public  
11 health and protect lives. So we're all in this race  
12 together. And thank you very much for your attention.  
13 I really appreciate it. Thank you.

14           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Parker. We  
15 will have time for a few questions. I see three  
16 questions coming up. We will begin with Dr. Michael  
17 Kurilla --

18           **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** Thank you.

19           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** -- questions for Dr.  
20 Parker.

21           **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** And my camera is still

1 not working. Lauren, two questions, there's a  
2 tremendous amount of pressure on vaccine manufacturing  
3 right now for COVID. So what's going to be the impact  
4 upon flu vaccines and not just manufacturing but fill,  
5 finish vials, stoppers? In addition, there's concerns  
6 about having enough syringes. How are you factoring  
7 all this into the impact on flu -- the next seasonal  
8 production?

9           The other question is do I understand you  
10 correctly with regard to Nagoya that, if China had  
11 elected, they could have said, "Nobody else could use  
12 this sequence, and we will be the only people who will  
13 make vaccines off of this sequence. We're not going to  
14 let any -- we're not going to let the international  
15 community participate?" Is that a real threat or a  
16 risk from this that could have happened? We would have  
17 had to have waited for a variant to arrive so we could  
18 have said we had something different?

19           **DR. LAUREN PARKER:** Both excellent questions.  
20 Yeah. I'll answer your first question first -- well,  
21 as best as I can anyway. So things like the impact to

1 supply chain and actual physical components to the  
2 vaccines that we need, all of that is -- and again, I'm  
3 speaking for the industry, not for representatives.  
4 I'm representing, in particular, my knowledge from what  
5 is happening in the U.K. at the moment -- is that all  
6 of that stuff is sort of lobbied and looked at from a  
7 government level and a public health infrastructure  
8 level to ensure that everything is available, whether  
9 that means massively upping the manufacturing of  
10 syringes, the vials, all that type of thing. I'm sorry  
11 I can't be more specific about that one.

12           With regards to the Nagoya Protocol there,  
13 there was a lot of work done up front by colleagues at  
14 the WHO Collaborating Centers with viruses from China  
15 and Hong Kong. And it's very clear now that we have a  
16 system and a process in place, and we know how to deal  
17 with those things. I honestly wouldn't like to comment  
18 on whether or not it would have been a case of "No,  
19 we're not going to let you use that. We're going to do  
20 that."

21           I just wouldn't like to comment on that at

1 all. And it would have just -- there -- a lot of  
2 negotiations which has been done. But with regards to  
3 risks and threats, I think that from a manufacturing  
4 point of view Nagoya and ABS is one of the biggest that  
5 we're facing.

6 **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** Thank you.

7 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Dr. Cody Meissner.

8 **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Yeah. I wonder if you  
9 could comment on this? Over the past year, we've seen  
10 such dramatic improvements in the technology of vaccine  
11 development using adenovirus vectors, obviously, and  
12 messenger RNA platforms. And they offer the potential  
13 of much more rapid development of vaccines. Can you  
14 comment on where you think this is going to go? Will  
15 AstraZeneca -- will other companies begin to look at  
16 these platforms as a source of providing influenza  
17 vaccines?

18 **DR. LAUREN PARKER:** Sure. I think -- well,  
19 before I answer, what I'll say is that I will be  
20 answering this from probably more of an AstraZeneca  
21 point of view because, obviously, I can speak for them

1 on this. But I do think that -- I don't like to say  
2 that there's been an upside to the pandemic at all.  
3 But I do think it's been phenomenal to witness the  
4 scientific and medical community coming together and  
5 achieving what they did in 10 months to make a vaccine.

6           Like, our lockdown in the U.K. started about a  
7 year ago, and I had my vaccine three weeks ago. It's  
8 incredible. And I think with regards to what we  
9 thought we knew about how vaccines needed to be made  
10 and rigid -- our ideas have changed of them. And I do  
11 think that demand will drive what is needed to be  
12 supplied.

13           But the potential for some really amazing,  
14 fast, new technologies are absolutely there. And I  
15 won't be surprised to see AZ and my other industry  
16 colleagues really get their teeth into this as well.  
17 Because this is something that will help us in the  
18 event of an influenza pandemic. Using eggs as a  
19 platform to make our rapid response pandemic monovalent  
20 is so problematic. If you have a big cell culture  
21 platform or a plug and play mRNA or adenovirus vector

1 platform, then absolutely it's the quickest way to  
2 respond. So I think we will -- I'm hoping that we will  
3 see some really exciting moves forward in the vaccine  
4 industry over the next sort of 5 to 10 years.

5 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay. Thank you for this  
6 hopeful note. I think on this hopeful note we will end  
7 the morning session.

8 **DR. LAUREN PARKER:** Thank you.

9 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Parker.  
10 Next on the agenda is our lunch break, 45 minutes. So  
11 it's a little before 1:00 p.m. Eastern. So we will  
12 reconvene at 1:45 Eastern. Thank you all.

13 **[LUNCH]**

14 **OPEN PUBLIC HEARING**

15

16 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCZYNSKI:** All right. Welcome  
17 back to the 165th VRBPAC meeting. I'm Mike Kawczynski,  
18 and we will get started with the last portion of  
19 today's event. I'd like to hand it back over to Dr. El  
20 Sahly. Dr. El Sahly, take it away.

21 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Mike. So the



1 next item in our agenda is the Open Public Hearing.  
2 There were no formal requests for Open Public Hearing  
3 session for today, and we will be moving straight into  
4 the Committee discussion and recommendations and vote.

5

6 **COMMITTEE DISCUSSION, RECOMMENDATION, AND VOTE**

7

8 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** For this year, it looks  
9 like there will be changes to two out of the three  
10 subtypes: H1N1, H3N2 -- moving to Victoria/Wisconsin  
11 for H1N1 and to Cambodia for H3N2. Despite low  
12 circulation during the pandemic, it seems that these  
13 two strains will minimize the risks as Dr. Wentworth  
14 indicated of having a larger section of our population  
15 being not immune to what may be circulating.

16 I like that from a statistical model because  
17 we vaccinated one year against, you know, a potential  
18 two strains for A, and now we're going with two others,  
19 so a sort of hedge-your-bet kind of approach given the  
20 uncertainty around the circulation. Having said that,  
21 we're going to now move into the discussion of these

1 items, and, as always, please raise your hand in the  
2 Adobe function so we can begin taking Q&A.

3 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCZYNSKI:** So again, we are in  
4 our Committee discussion, so again, to our members, top  
5 of the screen, go ahead and click on your hand if you'd  
6 like to ask any questions or open up for debate. There  
7 we go.

8 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** All right. Dr. Spearman.

9 **DR. PAUL SPEARMAN:** I would start by saying I  
10 thought the explanations from our experts who were  
11 participating in the WHO meeting and described the  
12 changes made perfect sense. That's all I have to say.

13 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** All right. Thank you, Dr.  
14 Spearman. Any comments from or questions from our  
15 group? I think we still have Dr. Wentworth with us, so  
16 he can potentially clarify or answer more questions.  
17 Dr. Hayley Gans.

18 **DR. HAYLEY GANS:** Thank you. I just wanted to  
19 say that I echo what Paul said that I thought the  
20 explanations were excellent. I mean, the surveillance  
21 even in a year where we struggled to get strains was

1 excellent and provided us with a lot of information.  
2 And, as you said, this is just the risk assessment, so  
3 we can't predict the future. We can only sort of  
4 surmise what might be the best protective correlates  
5 (inaudible) or protection against our population.

6           The only thing that did seem to be missing --  
7 and it just goes out to our partners -- is the idea of  
8 how vaccination coverage reflects any of the  
9 surveillance that we do. Or do we pick strains that  
10 maybe wouldn't circulate in areas that actually have  
11 better vaccine coverage or sort of picking things that  
12 maybe aren't the risks that we should be looking at?  
13 That would be my only feedback, and I feel like the  
14 changes that were recommended are actually very well  
15 founded.

16           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. Meissner.  
17 Dr. Meissner, you have a question?

18           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Yes. It just takes me a  
19 minute. Sorry. I agree with both Dr. Gans and -- that  
20 the presentation was excellent. I guess, I'll only --  
21 my only comment is that I had hoped at this point we

1 would have some information about the relative efficacy  
2 of the adjuvanted vaccines versus the high dose  
3 vaccines versus cell-based or egg-based vaccines. But,  
4 obviously, that's not available because -- it's nice  
5 that there wasn't much disease, but it doesn't help us  
6 in answering any of those questions.

7 I guess the one question I have that someone  
8 may know here is how much trivalent vaccine is going to  
9 be available this season? It was a very small percent  
10 last year, and I assume based on the way that this  
11 discussion's been presented that there will be some  
12 trivalent vaccine this year. Over.

13 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I don't know if someone  
14 from CBER may have the breakdown by -- between  
15 trivalent and quadrivalent. It looks like quadrivalent  
16 is winning the race, but...

17 **DR. JERRY WEIR:** Hmm. Oh, hi, Dr. El Sahly  
18 and Dr. Meissner. This is Jerry. Actually, I don't  
19 have the breakdown either. I think you're right. In  
20 the U.S., it is now predominately quadrivalent, and I  
21 actually don't know the numbers of who -- which

1 manufacturers are still producing trivalent or how  
2 much. I don't know if our industry rep might know.

3           There are other areas in the world where  
4 trivalent is still fairly common, though. But in the  
5 U.S., the quadrivalent has really sort of taken over  
6 the market. Thanks.

7           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I have a question to Dr.  
8 Wentworth. Dr. Wentworth, maybe I'm wrong on that one,  
9 but it seems that every year the Iowa strain is an  
10 outlier in terms of antigenicity. It's presented in  
11 tables, but it's not making its way into the pool of  
12 predominant strains. Am I reading that correctly?

13           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. Do you recall  
14 which Iowa it was? One good thing about Iowa is  
15 they're one of our really good state public health lab  
16 partners like Wisconsin and Minnesota.

17           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Oh, okay. I think it's  
18 under H3N2. Is that true? It's always in that table  
19 on the end.

20           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah, I mean, I could --  
21 you're probably have to pull it up to address your

1 question. If it's been in previous ones, it is an  
2 outlier that we selected on purpose. So we do select  
3 outliers for two reasons. One, they could be an  
4 antigenic variant that takes off, and we want to  
5 understand that. And it's also good to show that your  
6 serology panel is picking up differences. You know  
7 what I mean?

8 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Mm-hmm.

9 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** So sometimes like for  
10 example B/Yamagata this year -- I didn't show you  
11 data, but we picked a very strange outlier for our  
12 serology because all the other viruses reacted very  
13 well with the human sera. And it's hard to tell if,  
14 you know, you're really measuring anything. I could --  
15 if I could look at that tree again, I can tell you --  
16 let me just see if I can pull it up real quick. You  
17 probably deserve a better answer.

18 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** So it's a quasi control is  
19 what you're saying.

20 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah. Sometimes we have  
21 -- like I said, Iowa's pretty popular. I think there

1 was a -- Iowa/6 is in co-line with B, and that's one of  
2 our outliers there, but it's an older vaccine virus.  
3 So that's -- it's only a double deletion virus, and  
4 then for the H3 -- see if I can find that one.

5 **DR. STEVEN PERGAM:** And Dr. El -- and, Dr. El  
6 Sahly, this is Steve Pergam. I think I noticed as well  
7 on the FluNet that Iowa had -- was the only state that  
8 actually had high levels of flu this year, which was  
9 sort of interesting as a side note.

10 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** So actually, our H3  
11 outlier, we did have an Iowa/60. That's an older  
12 virus. Okay. So that should have showed pretty good  
13 reactivity in a human sera, which I'm pretty confident  
14 it did. But the other one that could be similar to  
15 that one is Pennsylvania/1026, and that one did have  
16 this glycosylation site. It was lower in the human  
17 serology, but it's also -- it's very closely related to  
18 the current vaccine. And we didn't see any viruses  
19 from that particular lineage or sub lineage or  
20 subclade, however you want to define it, since about  
21 March of last year.

1           So, you know, that one, it just -- you never  
2 know maybe it's lurking somewhere, and it does have an  
3 advantage with the human sera, but we have no  
4 representatives of it from that group. We did make  
5 candidate vaccine viruses for that group, though. So  
6 we were prepared for that group. It just wasn't -- it  
7 didn't rise to the level of being nominated.

8           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** All right. Thank you for  
9 clarifying. Any of my colleagues with questions?  
10 Looks like Dr. Meissner has a question.

11           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Yes, thank you, Hana. One  
12 of the issues, I guess, that we all think about is  
13 whether one vaccine is more effective than others, and  
14 we really -- I don't know think there are sufficient  
15 data to address that question. But one question I'd  
16 like to ask Dr. Wentworth -- and I'm not sure I  
17 understood your -- all of your fantastic presentations.  
18 But, for example, on Slide 19 which shows human post-  
19 vaccination sera analysis, you showed one for H1N1 and  
20 H3N2. And it showed the relative GMPs to cell-  
21 propagated vaccine for the different clades. And am I



1 reading it correctly? If I look at the bottom line  
2 which says, for individual 65 years or older who got  
3 the high dose vaccine, there was not any clear evidence  
4 of an advantage of the high dose relative to the other  
5 vaccines. Is that a correct interpretation?

6 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** I think in this  
7 particular -- this isn't a good study to look at the  
8 relative improvement from the high dose. I think, when  
9 you look at the serology, the high dose is improving  
10 things. And I don't know if there's a vaccine efficacy  
11 study like -- as you mentioned, these are clearly on  
12 everybody's mind, and I'm -- I know we're trying to do  
13 some.

14 When you compare elderly with -- in Japan  
15 versus elderly in the U.S., it's not a fair comparison.  
16 The Japanese sera always has a lower titer to start  
17 with, so you can see here in that particular table like  
18 you're looking at it, the Japanese sera in the elderly  
19 -- and they do have quite a few over 65, 127 at  
20 baseline, against the base 5A1 that they were immunized  
21 with. Whereas with our elderly, their baseline was

1 394, right?

2           And I think maybe the bubble chart below is a  
3 better one. So the bubble chart on the next Slide 20 -  
4 - and just so you're -- I didn't go through this  
5 probably well enough. It's a new chart we haven't  
6 shown before, but the sizes of bubble indicate the  
7 people -- the number of subjects that were at that  
8 particular titer, right? And so, if we compare the  
9 U.S.A. high dose versus the 50- to 64-year-old, which  
10 typically react better than elderly, right -- so that's  
11 the -- in the bubble chart, they're the ones right  
12 above and below each other.

13           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Yes.

14           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** As you see they're both  
15 starting off, you know, pretty low, 25 for the 50- to  
16 64-year-olds and 18 for the elderly, and they -- this  
17 elderly jumps up -- the 65 and older has 394 as a  
18 median instead of 171 for basically younger folks with  
19 the standard dose. So it's not a direct comparison of  
20 the age groups, but I think it does illustrate that in  
21 the immune response, the high dose is having a bit of

1 an impact. And we'll have to try to tease that out  
2 some more ourselves at the CDC and maybe with  
3 colleagues elsewhere and see if we can publish  
4 something on that just from the immunological  
5 standpoint. And then, maybe that would also work with  
6 vaccine efficacy studies later and be consistent or  
7 not, you know. We'll see what happens in vaccine  
8 effectiveness studies, I should say.

9           But anyway, I partly included this because we  
10 always have such interest in the human immune response,  
11 and I hope it's useful to the Committee to have this  
12 more detailed data than just the statistical analysis,  
13 which tries to sum up a lot of data from different  
14 people. And of course, some people react, you know,  
15 quite well to the vaccine, and others don't have a  
16 strong reaction. And that's -- you know, I don't have  
17 any explanations for that.

18           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Thanks. Just --

19           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** But I'll check. I think  
20 I have that high dose in a couple of these bubble  
21 charts, though.

1           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Yes. Yeah. No, it's very  
2 interesting the way you've broken down the serologies,  
3 so thank you because that's a terrific amount of work.

4           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Thank you.

5           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** In terms of feedback, that  
6 slide where you have the reactivity patterns of the  
7 antisera on the cartography was also very informative,  
8 so thank you for that, too. Dr. Weir has his hand up  
9 for a question.

10           **DR. JERRY WEIR:** Yeah, I just wanted to follow  
11 up on that question just a little bit. It is true, Dr.  
12 Meissner, that there are not very many head-to-head  
13 comparisons of vaccines, but, in the case of the high  
14 dose, I remind you that that is one that we have actual  
15 clinical efficacy of the high does versus the standard  
16 dose from the same manufacturer. So that was shown to  
17 be more efficacious than the standard dose.

18           And I'm pretty sure that there have been  
19 effectiveness studies in subsequent years that also  
20 backed up that data. So that is one -- that is one  
21 vaccine for which we do have pretty good data that it

1 is more effective than the standard dose from the same  
2 manufacturer.

3 **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Thank you. Thank you for  
4 that. Am I still on?

5 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** We can hear you.

6 **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Oh. Thank you. Yes.  
7 Thank you, Dr. Weir, for that but as I remember, it was  
8 a pretty small benefit from the high-dose vaccine  
9 relative standard and probably not a sufficient basis  
10 to recommend one vaccine over another. Although, if  
11 you have equal choice, the high dose -- you're in an  
12 older age -- the high dose vaccine may make sense, but  
13 is that a correct interpretation of that data?

14 **DR. JERRY WEIR:** I seem to remember it a  
15 little differently. This was -- the high dose was  
16 first -- if I remember right, the high dose was first  
17 tested -- I think it was through accelerated approval  
18 and shown to have a much better, significantly higher  
19 serological response, and then the follow-up efficacy  
20 study showed that or demonstrated it. So I think it  
21 was fairly compelling.

1           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Okay. And so then, I  
2 guess, it's not FDA's responsibility to mention  
3 vaccines, but I guess a question then becomes at what  
4 point does ACIP recognize or acknowledge one vaccine's  
5 preference over another in a certain age group? That's  
6 just a thought, not a question, unless, Hana, you want  
7 to comment on that?

8           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I think that the ACIP does  
9 make differential recommendations for different age  
10 groups. They've always done that, and they reviewed  
11 the data every year. The most recent change we've seen  
12 is with the LAIVs, you know, being preferential than  
13 not being preferential, so they do weigh in on the  
14 matter on a regular basis.

15           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Yeah, but not on the high  
16 dose, I don't think.

17           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I think the high dose as  
18 well, but I'll look it up and get back with you on that  
19 one.

20           **DR. JERRY WEIR:** Yeah, I'm sorry. I can't --

21           **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah, I can't remember.

1           **DR. JERRY WEIR:** I can't remember either.

2           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** But I want to say it is,  
3 but I'll get back to you on that one. Dr. Offit has a  
4 question.

5           **DR. PAUL OFFIT:** Right. Thanks. So it is --  
6 just to get back to what Lisa Grohskopf had alluded to  
7 because I just want one more piece of information. It  
8 is striking how little respiratory virus illness we see  
9 this year. I mean, we -- you know, not just flu.  
10 Certainly in our hospital, respiratory syncytial virus,  
11 human coronaviruses, we don't see it.

12           And so my question is, obviously, it's likely  
13 to be multifactorial. But, if you look at societies  
14 like, say, Japan that do mask in the winter months but  
15 don't restrict travel or don't close schools or don't  
16 really even socially distance, do those societies that  
17 choose to wear a mask in the winter -- do they have  
18 lesser rates of respiratory illnesses like flu and  
19 others? Do we know that?

20           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I'm not familiar with any  
21 data around this matter, but I must say whatever

1 measure used to be taken in previous years doesn't even  
2 begin to compare to the measures we've taken in the  
3 last year.

4 **DR. PAUL OFFIT:** It's remarkable. This is the  
5 best vaccine ever. You know, I mean, it's (inaudible).

6 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** We cannot make people mask  
7 around the seasonal flu, Paul.

8 **DR. PAUL OFFIT:** No. So there are no data --  
9 not data on those societies -- South Korea, Japan --  
10 where they tend to wear masks for it. We don't know  
11 that. Is that true?

12 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I don't know that. Are  
13 any of our colleagues familiar with any data?

14 **DR. PAUL OFFIT:** Dr. Wentworth, do you -- any  
15 information on this?

16 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** I don't have -- I don't  
17 know that answer either. I'm sorry I can't help you.  
18 The only thing I know that kept circulating this --  
19 from respiratory viruses from my interactions with  
20 public health labs have been rhinoviruses. So  
21 rhinoviruses -- so that's kind of telling that the



1 system was working, and they were detecting things to  
2 me. But they weren't detecting, as was already  
3 mentioned, respiratory syncytial virus, coronaviruses  
4 of other -- you know, like 229E or OC43 or influenza  
5 viruses A or B.

6           So I think there are studies -- you know, I  
7 didn't want to get into all this. Certainly, it's not  
8 part of my talk, but there are also studies about viral  
9 interference and the role that that can play. Clearly  
10 influenza viruses interfere with each other, and that  
11 makes a lot of sense because you have a lot of common  
12 epitopes across all the internal proteins, and you  
13 emulate interferon and a lot of cross protective non-  
14 neutralizing antibodies.

15           But I don't know -- you know, I think as Dr.  
16 El Sahly pointed out, it's just too hard to tell with  
17 so many factors at the same time, and I don't know of  
18 studies specific to countries that mask more  
19 frequently, you know, if it would be different there.  
20 You know, they have high density populations, so maybe  
21 if they weren't masking, their flu seasons would be

1 even worse. But I don't know the answer, sorry.

2 **DR. PAUL OFFIT:** All right. Thank you.

3 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** That's interesting, David,  
4 that you are also seeing that the rhinovirus cases a  
5 bit more than the others because that's been the  
6 experience here as well. Okay. Dr. David Kim has a  
7 question.

8 **DR. DAVID KIM:** I'm going to step back from  
9 influenza types and subtypes and ask a broader question  
10 of Drs. Wentworth and Weir. You know, the number of  
11 specimens that were tested for from the current or the  
12 past influenza season decreased by an order of  
13 magnitude. So we're talking from thousands of  
14 specimens being available to mere hundreds, and the WHO  
15 consulting meeting that Dr. Wentworth -- that you  
16 presided over, surely, that must have figured into the  
17 discussion that you had. You had, relatively speaking,  
18 a fewer number of specimens from which strain  
19 discussions could take place. And out of that  
20 discussion, were there concerns that were put forth by  
21 any of the consulting membership that the much smaller

1 number of specimens from which you could derive  
2 information was an issue?

3 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Hi. Yeah. I'm not sure  
4 if you can see me. All of a sudden, my camera seems to  
5 -- it doesn't show myself, but I hope you can hear me.  
6 Yeah, of course, we discussed that at pretty  
7 significant lengths because the lack of viruses,  
8 particularly in certain geographic regions where all of  
9 a sudden you have no information, really does, you  
10 know, limit your ability to understand what the breadth  
11 of variation that's continuing to circulate is.

12 I mean, one of the prevailing ideas is that,  
13 with so many viruses from some of the certain clades  
14 circulating before the COVID-19 pandemic, that we're  
15 pretty fit in our population. It's almost guaranteed  
16 that some of those will make it through the COVID  
17 bottleneck, and those would be viruses quite similar to  
18 what was circulating, say, in the spring of 2020,  
19 right? And then, they would almost reset and start  
20 from there.

21 Another, of course, hypothesis is -- or a

1 train of thought is that the ones making it through  
2 this bottleneck are quite advanced and divergent, and  
3 that could be why we were seeing some of the unique  
4 influenza B viruses that were really low proportions  
5 before that I commented on that 150K group. And also,  
6 you know, certain countries still had a pretty strong  
7 flu season in Asia, and Cambodia was one of them. And  
8 there we saw, you know, some evolution of the H3, but  
9 not, like, dramatic. You know, the Bangladesh have  
10 more substitutions than those in Cambodia.

11           So certainly, it entered the discussion, and,  
12 as I tried to point out, evaluating human sera, you  
13 know, is always important, but this season more so  
14 because, with that limited data set, you really want to  
15 understand which of these viruses that are circulating,  
16 you know, escapes that immunity the most from the  
17 previous vaccine or previous infections. And so I  
18 think that, you know, that's about all I can say about  
19 it. It does raise the uncertainty.

20           The other thing I just -- I think I would  
21 point out is, in the past, you know, flu probably

1 hasn't changed its dynamics much, but we certainly  
2 didn't have as much characterization of viruses going  
3 on in the past, right? We just didn't have the depth  
4 of surveillance that we do now. We didn't have the  
5 NGS, the next generation sequencing. We didn't have a  
6 variety of things.

7           And the vaccine strains changed less  
8 frequently, right? It wasn't until they were really  
9 perceived as a large antigenic drift -- that was the  
10 big driver of change. And now it's this combination of  
11 genetics and human serology in addition to some  
12 antigenic drift information from ferrets that help  
13 derive that strain selection.

14           So I think the conservative approach is to not  
15 change, and then, when -- the change would be when you  
16 have a strong feeling that there's a greater risk by  
17 this new group of viruses than there would be if, you  
18 know, we stuck with the same vaccine. And that's  
19 really, I think, about what I could say to comment on  
20 that. But certainly, everybody is well aware, and  
21 that's why I really have to thank all our partners

1 because they really went out and looked for influenza,  
2 you know, to help support this activity because they  
3 had to find the few positive stuff and get them into  
4 the right places, get them shipped to right  
5 laboratories.

6 Normally, that just occurs so easily. You  
7 don't have to work with epidemiologists on the ground  
8 in Asia to try to move things to, you know, a central,  
9 national influenza center or anything like that. They  
10 just kind of appear. So there was effort to produce  
11 the viruses, even though it was the limit ones that  
12 were available.

13 **DR. DAVID KIM:** I must say that discussion  
14 must have been painful at times because of the lack of  
15 sources from which you could have a robust discussion.

16 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Yeah.

17 **DR. DAVID KIM:** Congratulations all the same.

18 **DR. DAVID WENTWORTH:** Thank you.

19 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Good point. I do not see  
20 any members with questions raising their hands in the  
21 Adobe. That probably ends the discussion portion of

1 our meeting. I turn it now over to Kathleen Hayes,  
2 DFO, who will review the voting process and conduct the  
3 vote for today.

4 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Thank you, Dr. El Sahly.  
5 So, for the voting portion of today's meeting, our  
6 members and temporary voting members, as you'll see on  
7 the side coming up, excluding the industry  
8 representative, will be voting in today's meeting. In  
9 regard to the process, Dr. El Sahly will read the final  
10 question aloud for the record, and afterwards all  
11 members and temporary voting members will cast their  
12 vote by selecting yes, no, or abstain.

13 You'll have two minutes to cast your vote  
14 after the question is read. Once all the votes have  
15 been placed, we'll broadcast the results and then read  
16 the votes aloud for the record. And just please note  
17 that once you've cast your vote, you can change your  
18 vote within the two-minute timeframe, but once the poll  
19 has closed, all votes will be considered final.

20 Does anybody have any questions about this  
21 before we get started? Okay. We can go to the first

1 voting slide, and, Dr. El Sahly, if you could please  
2 read the question.

3 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** The voting Question 1 for  
4 today: for the influenza A(H1N1) component of the 2021-  
5 2022 influenza virus vaccines in the U.S., does the  
6 Committee recommend an A/Victoria/2570/2019(H1N1)pdm09-  
7 like virus for egg-based vaccines, an  
8 A/Wisconsin/588/2019(H1N1)pdm09-like virus for cell- or  
9 recombinant-based vaccine? Please vote. Thank you.

10 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Thank you. So you'll  
11 have two minutes to go ahead and cast your vote.

12 (pause)

13 We have about a minute remaining.

14 (pause)

15 It looks like all the votes are actually in,  
16 so I think we can go ahead and end the pole and  
17 broadcast the results. Excuse me.

18 I will now read the votes aloud for the  
19 record. So we have Dr. Spearman voted yes. Dr. Cohn  
20 voted yes. Dr. Meissner voted yes. Dr. Levine voted  
21 yes.



1 Dr. Shane voted yes. Dr. Pergam voted yes.  
2 Dr. Kim voted yes. Dr. Chatterjee voted yes. Dr. Gans  
3 voted yes. Dr. Portnoy voted yes. Dr. Janes voted  
4 yes. Dr. Swamy voted yes. Dr. El Sahly voted yes.  
5 Dr. Kurilla voted yes. Dr. Offit voted yes. Colonel  
6 Wiesen voted yes.

7 And that concludes the vote for Question  
8 Number 1, so we can go ahead and proceed to Question  
9 Number 2. Dr. El Sahly, if you could please read the  
10 question.

11 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Okay.

12 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Oh, thank you.

13 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Voting Question 2: For the  
14 influenza A(H3N2) component of the 2021-2022 influenza  
15 virus vaccine in the U.S., does the Committee recommend  
16 an A/Cambodia/e0826360/2020(H3N2)-like virus? Please  
17 vote.

18 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Okay. And you'll have  
19 two minutes unless we get all the votes in early.

20 (pause)

21 Okay. Looks like all the votes are in. You

1 all vote really quickly. So we can go ahead and close  
2 the poll. And I will read these votes aloud. So Dr.  
3 Spearman, yes; Dr. Janes, yes; Dr. Meissner, yes; Dr.  
4 Levine, yes; Dr. Shane, yes; Dr. Pergam, yes; Dr. Kim,  
5 yes; Dr. Chatterjee, yes; Dr. Gans, yes; Dr. Portnoy,  
6 yes; Colonel Wiesen, yes; Dr. Swamy, yes; Dr. El Sahly,  
7 yes; Dr. Kurilla, yes; Dr. Offit, yes; Dr. Cohn, yes.  
8 And that concludes the vote for Question Number 2, so  
9 we can proceed to Question Number 3.

10 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Question Number 3: For  
11 the influenza B component of the 2021-2022 trivalent  
12 and quadrivalent virus vaccines in the U.S., does the  
13 Committee recommend inclusion of a  
14 B/Washington/02/2019-like virus (B/Victoria lineage)?  
15 Please vote.

16 (pause)

17 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Okay. All of our votes  
18 are in for Question Number 3. Dr. Spearman, yes; Dr.  
19 Cohn, yes; Dr. Meissner, yes; Dr. Levine, yes; Dr.  
20 Shane, yes; Dr. Pergam, yes; Dr. Kim, yes; Dr.  
21 Chatterjee, yes; Dr. Gans, yes; Dr. Portnoy, yes;

1 Colonel Wiesen, yes; Dr. Swamy, yes; Dr. El Sahly, yes;  
2 Dr. Kurilla, yes; Dr. Offit, yes; Dr. Janes, yes. And  
3 that concludes the results for our voting Question  
4 Number 3. And we can proceed to our last voting  
5 question, Number 4.

6 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Question 4: For  
7 quadrivalent 2021-2022 influenza vaccines in the U.S.,  
8 does the Committee recommend inclusion of a  
9 B/Phuket/3073/2013-like virus (B/Yamagata lineage) as  
10 the second influenza B strain in the vaccine? Please  
11 vote.

12 (pause)

13 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Okay. And all of our  
14 votes are in for Question Number 4. Dr. Spearman, yes;  
15 Colonel Wiesen, yes; Dr. Meissner, yes; Dr. Levine,  
16 yes; Dr. Shane, yes; Dr. Pergam, yes; Dr. Kim, yes; Dr.  
17 Chatterjee, yes; Dr. Gans, yes; Dr. Portnoy, yes; Dr.  
18 Janes, yes; Dr. Swamy, yes; Dr. El Sahly, yes; Dr.  
19 Kurilla, yes; Dr. Offit, yes; Dr. Cohn, yes. And that  
20 concludes the voting portion of today's meeting.

21 So thank you very much. I will hand it back

1 over to Dr. El Sahly if anybody would like to give  
2 their rationale for today's vote. Thank you.

3 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** So we will go over the  
4 virtual table and ask the Committee members for any  
5 final thoughts. Michael from audio visual, I don't see  
6 the names on the screen anymore. What can I do?

7 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCZYNSKI:** There you go, Dr. El  
8 Sahly.

9 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** All right. Now, I can see  
10 them. Okay. So we will go around the table asking our  
11 Committee members for any final thoughts or any  
12 explanations of this vote if they wish to give one.  
13 Dr. Wiesen. Unmute it, Dr. Wiesen.

14 **COL. ANDREW WIESEN:** Sorry. I did the double  
15 mute. Sorry. My bad. Yeah, I didn't know you were  
16 going to come to me first. It's exciting.

17 No, I think the presentations are all straight  
18 forward. The vote was, I think, a relatively easy one.  
19 The only thing I would want to mention, number one, is  
20 I've done this for, I think, four years. I think this  
21 may be my fifth year, but I am retiring this summer.

1 So there will be someone else from DoD to be the  
2 temporary member after me.

3           And I will also remind the folks, I know there  
4 were several questions about studies about the  
5 differences between vaccines, and the DoD is doing a  
6 study looking at the difference between recombinant  
7 egg-based and -- I'm forgetting the third types now.  
8 Anyway, but, of course, that study got -- there weren't  
9 enough cases the first year, which was two years ago,  
10 and there certainly weren't enough cases this year for  
11 them to get meaningful recruitment into the study. So  
12 it has been delayed. But the intent is to see if they  
13 can come up with a relative, at least, estimate of  
14 whether there's a significant difference in how any of  
15 those vaccines work. So there will be more to follow  
16 from my successor, but at least, we realize it's an  
17 important question. we just haven't been able to get  
18 to an answer on it yet.

19           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** That would be great to see  
20 the data from a well conducted study on the matter.  
21 Thank you, Dr. Wiesen. We will miss you.

1           **COL. ANDREW WIESEN:** I'll miss this, too.

2   Bye.

3           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Dr. Kim. Dr. David Kim.

4   Okay. We will...

5           **DR. DAVID KIM:** Oh, geez. I did not raise my  
6   hand.

7           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** No, it's for any final  
8   thoughts or comments, if you have any, pertaining to  
9   the vote.

10          **DR. DAVID KIM:** I would like to congratulate  
11   the Committee and the presenters for a well-thought  
12   out, comprehensive discussion and really making a  
13   pretty straightforward case for a relatively easy vote.  
14   I realize in preparation for today's meeting the  
15   presenters' ability to assemble the necessary  
16   information must have been so much more difficult this  
17   year compared to the years past. And for all the extra  
18   effort that went into the WHO's meeting last month as  
19   well as for today's meeting, I'd like to thank the  
20   presenters and congratulate them for really a terrific  
21   job.

1           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** All right. Thank you, Dr.  
2 Kim. Dr. Cohn. Amanda Cohn.

3           **CAPT. AMANDA COHN:** Hi, everyone. I just want  
4 to tell the presenters thank you for all of their work  
5 to put these together. I think this -- I know we all  
6 said last flu season that it was really critical to get  
7 vaccinated. As you could hear from the discussion  
8 today, all of the unknowns are going to be even more  
9 unknown what's going to happen next season, and so I  
10 think, you know, ensuring people are vaccinated both  
11 against flu and COVID is going to be really critical to  
12 help get us through this year and next year's flu  
13 season.

14           I also want to just say that this is -- the  
15 meeting last year, this was supposed to be my first  
16 meeting, the flu meeting, and I didn't come last minute  
17 because I was doing the COVID response. And it was the  
18 only -- I didn't realize it was the only opportunity I  
19 was going to have to meet all of you in person. So  
20 it's good to see you all virtually, but it's now been -  
21 - this is our second spring flu meeting with the COVID

1 tint of it.

2 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** There will be a post-COVID  
3 year. No worries. Thank you, Dr. Cohn. Dr. Andrea  
4 Shane. Please unmute, Dr. Shane.

5 **DR. ANDREA SHANE:** Okay. Thank you. Sorry.  
6 Double muted. Thank you very much, Dr. El Sahly, and  
7 thanks to the CDC and industry presenters for providing  
8 a very nice perspective in making the decision for us  
9 easy, so to speak. And the tremendous amount of data  
10 based on the information that we have was very helpful  
11 in helping us to think through the decision.

12 I agree we're going to have lots of challenges  
13 with trying to ensure that our children and parents and  
14 others in society continue to take advantage of  
15 receiving the influenza vaccine, but we have had a very  
16 nice discussion in reaching what I think is a good  
17 recommendation. So thank you very much.

18 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Shane. Dr.  
19 Chatterjee.

20 **DR. ARCHANA CHATTERJEE:** Thanks, Dr. El Sahly.  
21 Just a couple of quick comments to make with regard to



1 my vote, I would also like to thank all of the  
2 presenters for sharing the vast amount of data that  
3 they did, and my vote was based on the recommendations  
4 that came from the experts really in this arena. I do  
5 want to commend the people -- and this is not just the  
6 presenters but everyone who is involved -- in remaining  
7 focused on flu, which, you know, would have been easy  
8 to lose our focus on during this pandemic time. But  
9 this is our annual nemesis, and so it makes sense that  
10 people have remained focused on this. We have limited  
11 data, but what data we have do help us to make these  
12 decisions.

13           The second point I wanted to make was with  
14 regard to the new technologies -- and I think it was  
15 Dr. Offit that made reference to that -- that have  
16 emerged -- new vaccine technologies that have emerged,  
17 and this is really in exaltation to industry partners  
18 to focus on how those can be harnessed to make better  
19 influenza vaccines. Thank you.

20           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Chatterjee.  
21 Dr. Meissner.

1           **DR. CODY MEISSNER:** Thank you, Dr. El Sahly.  
2 And I too would like to thank folks from the CDC and  
3 from CBER for their always clear and very helpful  
4 presentations. I can only imagine how much work goes  
5 into it.

6           This year is -- it's easier in one sense and  
7 it's harder in another sense to try and anticipate  
8 what's going to happen this fall. It's unlikely that  
9 the influenza virus has mutated itself out of existence  
10 as I first heard one of our speakers today, Dr.  
11 Wentworth, say some time ago. And it's -- there may be  
12 fatigue with nonpharmacologic interventions next fall,  
13 and we may very well have variant strains of COVID-19  
14 that are circulating as well as influenza. Hopefully,  
15 that's not the case, and hopefully, the strains that  
16 will be in the vaccine will in fact be helpful. Over.

17           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Meissner.  
18 Dr. Geeta Swamy.

19           **DR. GEETA SWAMY:** Hi there. Thanks, everyone.  
20 I don't have anything further to add other than to say  
21 it will be interesting to see in the fall as research

1 gets forwarded if we are able to measure what  
2 components of the pandemic prevention strategies may  
3 actually still be helpful. I think it will be hard to  
4 make this the best vaccine as Dr. Offit mentioned, but,  
5 if we can do things about, you know, avoiding  
6 interaction when individuals are still -- are  
7 symptomatic with illness, and quite frankly a lot of  
8 remote working is, I think, going to go forward in  
9 settings where that's a possibility.

10           And I raise that because we may end up seeing  
11 potential worsening disparities when we see incidents  
12 of other illnesses such as respiratory conditions that  
13 may not be about mortality but other morbidity  
14 situations. That will be interesting none the less.  
15 Thank you.

16           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Swamy. Dr.  
17 Hayley Gans.

18           **DR. HAYLEY GANS:** Thank you very much, Dr. El  
19 Sahly. I just had a couple of thoughts. I, you know,  
20 had mentioned before that I thought that the  
21 presentations were outstanding. One of the issues that

1 I thought was really well articulated by Dr. Wentworth  
2 is that each year that we meet -- and, again, I've only  
3 done these a couple of times -- it does feel like the  
4 Agencies are very responsive to some of the information  
5 that we have wanted, and he was able to provide us with  
6 new data sets that I thought were enhancing our ability  
7 to really understand this. And I just really wanted to  
8 say that we appreciate the responsiveness of the  
9 individuals who have been working with us in trying to  
10 give us information that we feel we need. As I  
11 mentioned before, it would be really wonderful to  
12 understand just a few other data points as I mentioned  
13 previously.

14           The other issue that I think is very  
15 important, we talk about vaccine efficacy, and we all  
16 see -- and we've talked about how we look forward to  
17 using some of the information that we've learned in the  
18 pandemic. And I think we shouldn't lose sight of that.  
19 And I was very grateful also for the industry talking  
20 about these partnerships that are going to bring us  
21 into the future, and we should really not revert back

1 to anything that we had done in the past.

2           In terms of vaccine efficacy, I think it's  
3 very important we talk about sort of this idea of not  
4 getting ill or not being able to sterilize the world  
5 with these. And that's really -- I think we're going  
6 to have to start changing our expectations of vaccines.  
7 I mean, the flu vaccine that's highly effective at  
8 preventing severe disease and death and mortality as  
9 well probably the correlate that we should look at for  
10 at least the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines as well. And so I  
11 think maybe looking at it through a different lens will  
12 be really important.

13           And I look forward to seeing the data again  
14 next year and maybe some new information about the  
15 vaccines and the strains. Thank you.

16           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. Holly  
17 Janes.

18           **DR. HOLLY JANES:** Thank you, Hana. I wanted  
19 to also just echo my thanks for the speakers and really  
20 a great -- you know, echo the appreciation for the  
21 nuanced presentation in helping us wrestle with the

1 very limited information with which to make the  
2 recommendations this year and the new analyses that  
3 were presented in response to questions previously by  
4 the Committee as well as just the efforts that are  
5 clearly being made to expand the ways in which we look  
6 at these data and recommendations. Thanks.

7 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Dr. Portnoy.

8 **DR. JAY PORTNOY:** Thank you. Yeah, I want to  
9 thank the Committee for inviting me to participate as  
10 the consumer representative. This was my first time at  
11 this type of committee.

12 I thought it was very interesting as a  
13 complement and a contrast to the COVID committee, which  
14 I was on last week. Since that committee had a lot of  
15 discussion of variance, my guess is that COVID will  
16 require the same type of surveillance we saw with  
17 influenza in the future to monitor surveillance, and an  
18 annual vaccine will probably be necessary for those  
19 variants.

20 And this type of meeting will probably be used  
21 for COVID. Maybe they'll be combined. It'll be an

1 influenza/COVID committee meeting. It's hard to say.  
2 I'll be interested to see whether the COVID and  
3 influenza vaccines can be combined together into a  
4 maybe a quint-avalent vaccine of some sort because  
5 otherwise it's a lot of vaccines.

6 I look forward to development of the new  
7 platforms, mRNA adenovirus-based platforms, for  
8 producing virus vaccines, perhaps even influenza  
9 vaccine as we heard before. Since they were so  
10 incredibly effective for treating COVID, I wonder if  
11 the immunity and the effectiveness for influenza would  
12 be enhanced by these new platforms. It may, in fact,  
13 make it much easier to control the virus. But I look  
14 forward to seeing results of this in the future. Thank  
15 you very much.

16 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Portnoy.  
17 Dr. Kurilla.

18 **DR. MICHAEL KURILLA:** Thank you, Hana. No, I  
19 think overall this was a very satisfying meeting. It  
20 is unfortunate that the amount of flu available for  
21 analysis is much reduced in terms of vaccine --

1 potential vaccine selection, but it is a good thing  
2 that we are seeing a great reduction in influenza  
3 disease. I think the one thing that will have to be  
4 very carefully examined going forward is our  
5 surveillance given that there's a high likelihood that  
6 COVID may end up -- this COVID may end up becoming  
7 another one of the endemic strains.

8 I think it probably should prompt us to think  
9 about differences in terms of how we view what we  
10 typically refer to as influenza-like illnesses, that  
11 the combination of the two -- there may be a lot of  
12 unrecognized coronavirus disease that we just haven't  
13 been looking for before. So I think it will be a very  
14 important to reevaluate how we do surveillance going  
15 forward so we can accurately know the cases of flu  
16 versus corona versus other human respiratory viruses  
17 that are probably having an impact on the elderly and  
18 others with comorbid conditions. Thank you.

19 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Kurilla.  
20 Dr. Levine.

21 **DR. MYRON LEVINE:** Thank you. I would also



1 like to add my thanks and kudos to the presenters and  
2 in particular to thank David Wentworth for the new type  
3 of slide, the bubble slide, that he's produced that  
4 have taken a very complex amount of data and taken us a  
5 step further -- to easier to understand the  
6 interrelationships. Thanks also to Kathleen Hayes and  
7 to Mike handling the AV. For me, a technological  
8 dinosaur, this is always a stress, and I appreciate  
9 their help.

10           To be honest, the major takeaway that I go  
11 away with is the extraordinary fall in the number of  
12 influenza isolates despite clearly a fair number of  
13 specimens to be looked for. And I know from a number  
14 of sources that influenza along with a test for COVID  
15 are ongoing with many individuals for respiratory  
16 infection. And that fact is titillating my brain.

17           It implies, though, if masks and social  
18 distancing are contributing to that, why is that  
19 appearing to be less effective with SARS-CoV-2? I  
20 think maybe some interesting information may come from  
21 the U.K. where with similar patterns of masking and of

1 social distancing a -- their so-called "U.K. variant,"  
2 which wasn't associated with increased severity or not  
3 greatly so but was clearly associated with increased  
4 transmissibility, makes one wonder if there is a true  
5 difference in the ability of these measures to  
6 intervene against influenza versus against SARS-CoV-2.

7           And even looking at the major strain in the  
8 U.S. and across the world of SARS-CoV-2 before the new  
9 emerging variants concern appeared, it was this subtle,  
10 you know, D614G mutation that affects transmissibility  
11 that allowed that to take over. Maybe we need to get  
12 super masks for people, and that could make a  
13 difference. But I think that's going to come down to,  
14 despite its possible effects, is getting populations to  
15 use those potentially powerful tools during wintertime.  
16 Thank you all.

17           **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Levine.  
18 Dr. Offit.

19           **DR. PAUL OFFIT:** Right. I don't have anything  
20 to add other than what other people said to sort of I  
21 guess make the point that we're lucky to have -- be

1 surrounded by the level of expertise that we're  
2 surrounded by which makes our decisions much easier  
3 here. So thanks again to our presenters. Thank you.

4 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Offit. Dr.  
5 Spearman.

6 **DR. PAUL SPEARMAN:** Thank you, Hana. Yeah.  
7 I'll be brief. Thanks again to all the presenters and  
8 to the organizers at CBER. I thought it was very well  
9 presented, and it made our jobs easy.

10 Two take aways for me, one is I think, sort of  
11 paraphrasing, flu is unpredictable. We're predicting  
12 the best we can or the experts who provided us all the  
13 information to choose the right strains. Let's hope  
14 that that works, but there is some unpredictability.

15 The second thing really is to, as previously  
16 mentioned, the remarkable lack of flu, the historical  
17 lack of flu is amazing. And it's an opportunity to  
18 learn what's really behind that, and like Dr. Meissner  
19 said also RSV, no RSV season that we've seen. It's  
20 just amazing, so let's figure it out. Is it all the  
21 behavioral things and changes in behavior and masking

1 et cetera, or is there some biological part to it, too?

2 Thanks.

3 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you. Dr. Pergam.

4 **DR. STEVEN PERGAM:** Yeah. I really don't have  
5 much to add to everybody else. I think I may be last,  
6 so I'll try to make it brief.

7 I just would like to say I'm really going to  
8 be interested in what happens this year with flu.  
9 We've been talking about what has happened over the  
10 last year, but going into this without a lot of  
11 predictability but from the vaccine's perspective and  
12 how social changes will be continued through the  
13 upcoming year is going to be fascinating to see. And  
14 at this meeting next year will be one of the most  
15 intriguing for me as we start planning and looking back  
16 at the year of what has happened to the flu. But  
17 thanks, everybody, for their contributions again.  
18 Great presentations by those who presented.

19 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** Thank you, Dr. Pergam.  
20 I'm thankful for the presenters, for my colleagues, for  
21 these thoughtful questions and deliberations. It was

1 at least gratifying to see that the uptake of flu and  
2 the number of doses in the United States if anything  
3 increased, which sort of was a silver -- quasi silver  
4 lining in this past year in that our attention to other  
5 public health measures continued. Given the data  
6 presented on antigenicity and the -- all circulations  
7 of what we have, I think the proposed strains make  
8 genealogic sense. And I want to thank the CDC for this  
9 large body of data that they synthesized for us every  
10 year in ever-improving fashion. And we'll wrap it up  
11 for this session. I'll turn it over to Kathleen.

12

13

#### ADJOURN MEETING

14

15 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Thank you, Dr. El Sahly.  
16 Before we close out, I just wanted to note for the  
17 record that pertaining to the voting portion of today's  
18 meeting that all four questions did have unanimous 16  
19 out of 16 votes, so I just wanted to note that. But  
20 outside of that, you know, I just want to thank  
21 everybody for attending today. I know that lots of you

1 have to get up early and take a lot of time to review  
2 the material, and I just hope everyone knows that we  
3 really appreciate your contribution to the meeting.  
4 And with that, we can adjourn. Thank you.

5 **DR. HANA EL SAHLY:** I forgot to thank Marion  
6 and the rest of the members at CBER. Thank you all  
7 very much.

8 **MS. KATHLEEN HAYES:** Thank you, Dr. El Sahly.  
9 Thanks, everybody. Have a good afternoon.

10 **UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE:** Thank you.

11 **MR. MICHAEL KAWCZYNSKI:** All right. And thank  
12 you, and with that the 165th meeting of the VRBPAC is  
13 adjourned. Have a great rest of the week.

14

15 **[MEETING ADJOURNED FOR THE DAY]**

16