

# Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) Reauthorization

## FDA and Industry Negotiation Regulatory Decision Tools Subgroup | Meeting Summary

October 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020 | 9:00am-11:00am

*Virtual Format*

### PURPOSE

To have a follow up discussion on previously discussed topics: Model-Informed Drug Development, Patient-Focused Drug Development, Complex Innovative Designs, and Advancing Translational Models and Tools.

### PARTICIPANTS

#### FDA

Robyn Bent	CDER
Richard Forshee	CBER
Rajanikanth Madabushi	CDER
Theresa Mullin	CDER
Dionne Price	CDER
David Strauss	CDER
Graham Thompson	CDER
Julia Tierney	CBER

#### Industry

Rob Blanks	BIO (Ardelyx)
Kristin Dolinski	PhRMA
Danielle Friend	BIO
Carl Garner	PhRMA (Eli Lilly)
Kelly Goldberg	PhRMA
Ann Kurowski	BIO (Alkermes)
Mark Taisey	PhRMA (Amgen)

The meeting discussion was focused on the issues of interest to industry and FDA.

### FDA & Industry Discussion on Model-Informed Drug Development (MIDD), Patient-Focused Drug Development (PFDD), Complex Innovative Designs (CID), and Advancing Translational Models and Tools (ATMT).

In this meeting FDA and Industry both focused on follow-up questions regarding perspectives on the proposals discussed to date. FDA began by providing a brief overview of the impetus behind each of its proposals for regulatory decision tools program enhancements, including a discussion on how regulatory experience leads to guidances and the impact guidances have on FDA and sponsors. FDA and Industry then focused on FDA's responses to Industry's follow up questions and requests for clarification.

FDA first discussed how additional resources could help sustain the MIDD program at the current PDUFA VI level. FDA then provided further detail on the Patient-Focused Drug Development

proposal, including a discussion on deliverables that could be provided with current resources and how work done under PDUFA VI would impact the proposals under PDUFA VII.

FDA discussed how the standard core clinical outcome assessments (COA) portion of the PFDD proposal could be incorporated into PDUFA VII, including potential resources that would be needed to support the program and how priority areas for future core set development could be identified. FDA also provided further clarity on its proposal related to Patient Preference Information and discussed Industry's proposals related to Patient Preference Information, and stated that the topic would need further discussion.

Industry posed additional questions on the CID proposal. Citing the substantial variation and novelty of each trial design currently considered under the CID pilot, FDA indicated that more experience would be needed in order to issue guidance on some topics related to CID. After additional discussion of this topic, FDA and Industry discussed questions related to ATMT, including further clarification on what resources would be needed.

FDA and Industry both agreed to take the full set of questions back for further discussion internally, and to discuss them in more detail at the next scheduled meeting.

### **Plan for Future Meetings**

As per the original agreed-upon schedule, no meeting is scheduled for November 3<sup>rd</sup>. At the next scheduled meeting on November 10<sup>th</sup>, the goal will be to have another follow-up conversation in more detail about all four proposal areas discussed to date: Model-Informed Drug Development, Patient-Focused Drug Development, Complex Innovative Designs, and Advancing Translational Models and Tools.

There were no other substantive proposals, significant controversies, or differences of opinion discussed at this meeting.