

North Jersey Orthopaedic Institute
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
140 Bergen Street, D1610
Newark, NJ 07101
973-972-2150

Doctors Office Center
Christ Hospital Medical Arts Center
Hackensack Medical Plaza
Overlook Medical Arts Center
Saint Barnabas Medical Center

RE: LIPUS

Patricio Garcia
Center for Devices and Radiological Health
Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Ave.
Bldg. 66, Rm. 5216
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002
Patricio.Garcia@fda.hhs.gov

**Re: FDA Medical Devices Advisory Committee Panel Meeting on
Reclassification of Noninvasive Bone Growth Stimulators**

Dear Mr. Garcia,

I am writing regarding the September 8, 2020 meeting of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee, Orthopaedics and Rehabilitative Devices Panel. My comment concerns the Panel's consideration of potential reclassification of noninvasive bone growth stimulators (BGS devices) from Class III to Class II. I strongly urge FDA to maintain Class III classification for these devices.

First, I am a practicing Orthopedic surgeon with the rank of Assoc Professor who also runs a research labs focused on bone healings and its adjuncts. As a treating physician, it is vital to me to know that any BGS device I prescribe will have been proven to be safe and effective through robust clinical studies and application of FDA's most stringent, Class III regulatory controls. The clinical consequences of ineffective or unsafe BGS devices are far too great to support anything less than FDA's highest level of regulation.

For patients with fractures to be treated with BGS devices, these devices are of critical clinical importance. The risk of a device that is not efficacious is simply unacceptable. For example, fracture non-unions are chronic medical conditions with debilitating, lasting adverse effects on not only patients' physical health, but also their mental health and quality of life. Consistent with my experience, the clinical literature documents that the adversity experienced by patients with non-unions (e.g., of long bones) in these regards is comparable to that of patients with end-stage hip arthrosis and worse than that of patients suffering congestive heart failure. The frequency of this is greater for patients with common co-morbidities, such as those who are smokers, diabetics or obese. Patient who have elective foot ankle arthrodesis often have a 10-15% nonunion rate. This number runs even higher in the high risk population, necessitating the need for effective proven BGS.

BGS are high-stakes devices. Patients and clinicians thus deserve and need to have the greatest assurance of their effectiveness and safety. BGS devices encompass a range of distinct technologies, waveform parameters, functionalities, designs, dosimetries, and

intended uses. Given the nature of and dissimilarities among BGS devices, a single set of special controls could not reasonably assure the safety and effectiveness of each distinct type of BGS device. Even minor changes to BGS devices may profoundly impact their safety and effectiveness in unknown ways that render Class III controls, such as rigorous clinical studies and pre-approval manufacturing review, necessary. While Class II standards such as “substantial equivalence” of technological characteristics are appropriate for many devices, because of the complexities and uniqueness of BGS waveforms, these devices do not lend themselves to proof of effectiveness and safety merely by the appearance of similar technical characteristics. Instead, device-specific data, including clinical data, and the strictest levels of FDA review are the only mechanisms sufficient to ensure that BGS devices will, in fact, perform as intended. BGS devices should therefore continue to be regulated in Class III.

I appreciate FDA’s thoughtful consideration of this comment.

Sincerely,



Sheldon Sutton Lin, MD

8/11/20

cc: James Swink (James.Swink@fda.hhs.gov)
Randoshia Miller (Randoshia.Miller@fda.hhs.gov)