Environmental Impact of Food Contact Substance (21 CFR Part 25)

FDA Form 3480 Part IV, Section B

1. **Date:** **January 24, 2020**

2. **Name of Submitter:** Agri-Neo, Inc.

3. **Correspondence Address:**

Agri-Neo, Inc.
435 Horner Avenue, Unit 1
Toronto, Ontario M8W 4W3
Canada

All communication regarding this food contact notification (FCN) environmental assessment (EA) should be sent to the attention of:

Nga Tran
Exponent, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Ave NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: 202-772-4915
Email: NTran@exponent.com

4. Description of Proposed Action

a) Requested Action:

The action requested in this submission is to permit the use of the food contact substance (FCS), 33-35% hydrogen peroxide (HP) as an antimicrobial agent for use as a spray on seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans). The trade name for the FCS is Synergy®.

Synergy® contains the stabilizer 1-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid (HEDP) at a maximum concentration of 60ppm.

One ton (1000 kg) of seeds or nuts may be treated with 100 L of a diluted aqueous solution of 20 L of the FCS (maximum 35% hydrogen peroxide) in 80 L water. The maximum concentration of HP on seeds or nuts will not exceed 7,900 ppm (i.e. 7,900 mg

HP per kg of seed/nut).[1] As discussed in greater detail in Item 7 below, HP breaks down readily in the presence of organic matter into oxygen and water.

Assuming the maximum HEDP residue level of 60 ppm (or 0.006%) in the FCS, the maximum concentration of HEDP sprayed on seeds and nuts will not exceed 1.4 ppm (i.e. 1.4 mg_{HEDP} per kg of seed/nuts).[2]

The use of the FCS and preparation of the diluted FCS solution prior to application on seeds/nuts is a batch application, i.e. diluted FCS solution is prepared daily and is applied directly to the seeds at a metered rate using a sprayer or fogger apparatus. The application of the diluted FCS solution is associated with the maximum concentrations of the FCS sprayed on seeds or nuts listed above in Item 4.a.

A maximum amount of 20 L of Synergy® is diluted in water to prepare a total volume of 100 L of diluted FCS solution and applied to 1 ton of seeds/nuts. Following application, the treated seeds/nuts are dried for at least ten minutes at temperatures from 60°C to 105°C.

b) Need for Action:

The FCS is intended for use as an antimicrobial agent to inhibit the growth of undesirable or pathogenic microorganisms on seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans).

c) Locations of Use/Disposal:

Use: The FCS is intended for use as an antimicrobial agent to inhibit the growth of undesirable or pathogenic microorganisms on seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans) in food processing facilities nationwide, where the processing of food will occur after treatment.

Disposal: After use, the diluted FCS solution will be disposed of with processing plant wastewater. For processing plants that hold a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit (i.e., direct dischargers), the FCS-containing wastewater will be treated on-site before directly discharged to surface waters. For processing plants

[1] Assuming a specific gravity of 1.1259 g/ml for the FCS
[2] Assuming a specific gravity of 1.145 kg/L for HEDP

without such NPDES permits (i.e., indirect dischargers), the FCS-containing wastewater will undergo pretreatment on-site and travel through the sanitary sewer system into Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) for standard wastewater treatment processes before movement into aquatic environments.

5. Identification of the Food Contact Substance

The FCS is an aqueous solution of 33-35% hydrogen peroxide (CASRN 7722-84-1), trade name Synergy® (see Table 1-a). HP is unstable and breaks down readily into molecular oxygen (O_2) and water in the presence of organic matter.

Synergy® contains HEDP (CASRN 2809-21-4) as a stabilizer (see Table 1-b). Concentrations of HP and HEDP in diluted FCS solution are included in Confidential Attachment A. HEDP is the only component chemical anticipated to reach the environment to any significant extent following on-site or off-site wastewater treatment.

Table 1-a. Chemical Identity of the FCS.

Name	Hydrogen Peroxide (HP)	Source
CASRN	7722-84-1	
Formula	H_2O_2	
Structure		ChemID*plus*
Molecular weight	34.0138 g/mol	

Table 1-b. Chemical Identity of Stabilizer

Name	1-Hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic Acid (HEDP)	Source
CASRN	2809-21-4	
Formula	$C_2H_8O_7P_2$	
Structure		ChemID*plus*
Molecular weight	206.0262 g/mol	

6. Introduction of Substances into the Environment

a) As a result of Manufacture

Under 21 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 25.40(a), an EA should focus on relevant environmental issues relating to the use and disposal from use, rather than the production, of FDA-regulated articles. The FCS is manufactured in plants that meet all applicable federal, state and local environmental regulations. Agri-Neo, Inc. asserts that there are no extraordinary circumstances pertaining to the manufacture of the FCS.

b) As a result of Use/Disposal

Based on the described use pattern (i.e., diluted FCS solution sprayed on seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans in food processing facilities), the primary pathway of the FCS reaching the environment is through the disposal and treatment of plant processing wastewater. Thus, use of the FCS will result in the introduction of the FCS into the environment following disposal of plant processing wastewater and subsequent treatment on-site or at local POTWs.

Following wastewater treatment, HP is expected to break down into molecular oxygen and water. HEDP is the only chemical component of the FCS expected to reach the environment to any significant extent (see discussion under Item 7). HEDP is anticipated to partition into sludge and effluent (80:20) during treatment. Its potential introduction to aquatic and terrestrial environments from effluent discharges or land applications is examined in this assessment.

1) Maximum market volume for proposed use

An estimated annual sales volume of the FCS in the US is included in **Confidential Attachment A**. The total amount of FCS used at a typical food processing facility to spray seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans) being sprayed, and microbial stress at a given site. Therefore, the environmental introduction concentration (EIC) in surface water is based on the worst-case assumption that all of the diluted FCS solution is discharged to surface waters.

2) Percent of market volume that will enter the environment

To estimate the introduction of FCS into aquatic and/or terrestrial environments, 100% of the component chemicals in the FCS are considered to be disposed of with waste processing waters. As a worst-case scenario, it is assumed that 100% of the FCS used at a facility enters an on-site or off-site wastewater treatment system. Therefore, the fate of HP and HEDP during wastewater treatment is considered when calculating the EIC.

3) The mode of chemical introduction into the environment

The diluted FCS solution will be prepared prior to application to seeds/nuts (i.e., in batches). Assuming the worst case, all of the diluted FCS solution will be discharged to surface waters.

4) Expected concentration of chemicals introduced into the environment

<u>Hydrogen Peroxide:</u>

Based on the chemical properties of HP, it is not anticipated to reach the environment to any significant extent following on-site or off-site wastewater treatment. On-site treatment of processing wastewaters is expected to result in nearly 100% degradation of HP. This expectation is based on the half-lives and behavior of HP. We have provided a qualitative evaluation under Item 7 of this EA to support that, because HP degrades rapidly in contact with organic matter, HP is not expected to be introduced into the environment to any significant extent resulting from the proposed use of the FCS [i.e. spray application to seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans)]. Therefore, quantitative evaluations of the expected introduction or environmental concentrations and ecotoxicity for HP are not necessary.

> HP molecules are short-lived due to the inherent instability of their peroxide (O-O) bonds, for which breaking such bonds to form water and O_2 is highly thermodynamically favored (U.S. EPA, 1993). HP degrades to water and oxygen due to a reaction with itself, transition metals, free radicals, organic compounds, heat, or light and degradation data demonstrates a half-life of only 2 minutes in sewage treatment plants (HERA, 2005).

<u>HEDP:</u>

The residual stabilizer in the FCS, HEDP, is the only chemical component in the FCS anticipated to reach the environment to any significant extent following on-site or off-site wastewater treatment. For the purposed of this assessment, we have utilized the framework described in Figure 1 to quantitatively estimate the Expected Introduction Concentration (EIC) of HEDP.

Based on labeled use direction, a maximum of 20 L of Synergy® is diluted in water (80 L) to prepare a total volume of 100 L of diluted FCS solution and applied to 1 ton of seeds/nuts Based on the maximum concentration of 60 ppm HEDP in the FCS, the maximum concentration of HEDP in the diluted FCS solution is 12 ppm (i.e. 60ppm x 20L/100L = 12 ppm).   This maximum concentration in diluted FCS solution used to estimate the upper-bound worst-case EIC.

During on-site wastewater treatment or treatment at a POTW, HEDP is removed from water primarily through adsorption onto sludge; 80% of HEDP present is expected to adsorb to sludge (HERA, 2004). Therefore, based on this unique partitioning behavior of HEDP (80:20), only 20% of the maximum concentration is anticipated to remain in the aqueous phase (i.e., wastewater treatment effluent) for eventual release to surface water.



Figure 1. Framework for Estimating EICs for HEDP

Expected Introduction Concentration: Based on the above framework and the conservative assumptions outlined above, the estimated EICs for HEDP are 2.4 ppm in effluent, and 9.6 ppm in sludge (Table 2).

Table 2. HEDP Expected Introduction Concentrations in Effluent and Sludge

Use	HEDP Maximum $EIC_{pre\text{-}treatment\ wastewater}$ (ppm)	$EIC_{effluent}$ (ppm)	EIC_{sludge} (ppm)
Antimicrobial agent for use as a spray on seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans)	12	2.4	9.6

[1]Some seeds/nuts may have use rates lower than the maximum. As such, using the maximum use rate in the expected environmental concentrations is protective/covers the lower use rates.

<u>Via Wastewater Effluent</u>: The chemical species present in the FCS are aqueous and, after use as a spray on seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans), chemicals surviving wastewater treatment will be introduced into the aquatic environment following treatment via the wastewater treatment and disposal stream. This pathway to surface water represents the primary route of introduction of the FCS into the environment.

<u>Via Wastewater Sludge</u>: Following wastewater treatment, sludge containing HEDP may subsequently be landfilled or land applied; however, releases of HEDP to the environment from such subsequent pathways are expected to be controlled through relevant EPA regulations and state and local guidelines. Under a scenario where HEDP-containing sludge ends up in a Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) landfill, the actual amount that would enter the environment would be minimal due to U.S. EPA regulations designed to restrict movement of waste into the environment, including location restrictions, composite liner requirements, leachate collection and removal systems, operating practices, and groundwater monitoring requirements (40 CFR Part 258). While landfills or surface impoundments are the most common destinations for wastewater treatment sludge, a portion may be land applied. Such applications are regulated under U.S. EPA 40 CFR 503 Standards, which establish pollutant limits, general requirements, operational standards for pathogen and vector attraction reduction, management practices, monitoring frequency, and recordkeeping and reporting requirements for land appliers and facilities generating sludge for use in land application (U.S. EPA, 1994).

While in general MSW sludge may be incinerated, based on our knowledge of the food processing facilities that process seeds for sprouting, edible seeds and nuts, we do not expect waste and/or sludge exposed to wastewater from these facilities to be incinerated. Sewage sludge incinerators are regulated under 40 CFR Part 60, and if/when HEDP is combusted, there is nothing to suggest the HEDP would threaten a violation of 40 CFR 60, the regulations governing sewage sludge incinerators, as carbon, hydrogen, phosphorus and oxygen are typical elements in MSW and in sludge.

7. Fate of Substances Released into the Environment

Hydrogen Peroxide:

Because hydrogen peroxide degrades rapidly into molecular oxygen and water, no significant impacts on the environment are anticipated as a result of disposal. However, in full disclosure, a detailed discussion of the potential fate of the FCS, if any, that may be released into the environment is included as follows:

a. Air

No significant effect on the concentration of and exposure to any substance in the atmosphere is anticipated due to the proposed use of the FCS. As described above, 100% of the component chemicals in the FCS are anticipated to be disposed of via waste processing water, and degrade rapidly into molecular oxygen and water. HP degrades to water and oxygen due to a reaction with itself, transition metals, free radicals, organic compounds, heat, or light and degradation data demonstrates a half-life of only 2 minutes in sewage treatment plants (HERA, 2005). The release of molecular oxygen is not anticipated to have substantial or negative impacts on the atmosphere.

b. Water

No significant effect on the concentration of and exposure to any substance in fresh water, estuarine or marine ecosystems is anticipated due to the proposed use of the FCS. FCS byproducts of water and molecular oxygen that may be release into surface waters are not anticipated to yield any toxic effects.

c. Land

No significant effect on the concentration of or exposure to substances in terrestrial ecosystems is anticipated from the proposed use of the FCS. HP is not anticipated to accumulate in living tissues (HERA, 2005). As detailed above, the primary path of environmental exposure is anticipated via disposal in waste processing waters. Wastes introduced to the terrestrial environment, if any, would be in the form of water.

HEDP:

The stabilizer HEDP is expected to survive wastewater treatment and is the only chemical component of the FCS anticipated to be potentially introduced into the environment in any measurable quantity. Table 3 presents the environmental fate properties of HEDP.

Table 3. Environmental Fate Properties of HEDP

Property	Value	Source
Vapor Pressure	1×10^{-10} mmHg	HERA (2004)
Water Solubility @ 25ºC	6.9×10^{5} mg/L	
Henry's Law Constant	5×10^{-17}	
Log K_{ow}	-3.49	
pK_s (Ca^{2+})	6.8	Jaworska et al. (2002)
pK_s (Cu^{2+})	18.7	
$K_{water\text{-}soil}$	20-190	
$K_{water\text{-}active\ sludge}$	2600-12700	
$K_{water\text{-}river\ sediment}$	920-1300	

During wastewater treatment, HEDP is removed from water primarily through adsorption onto sludge; 80% of the HEDP present in wastewater is expected to adsorb to sludge, with some tests demonstrating >90% adsorption to sludge (HERA, 2004). Therefore, it is estimated that only 20% of the maximum concentration is anticipated to remain in the aqueous phase for eventual release to surface water. For estimation of the Expected Environmental Concentration (EEC), i.e., the concentration organisms in the environment would be exposed to, a 10-fold dilution factor for discharge from POTWs to surface waters is applied to the aquatic EIC (Rapaport, 1988). See Figure 2 and Table 4 for the framework followed and resulting EEC estimates.



Figure 2. Framework for Estimating EECs for HEDP

Expected Environmental Concentration (EEC) in Surface Water: In order to evaluate the expected environmental concentration (EEC) of HEDP in surface water, a 10-fold dilution factor is applied to the aquatic EIC (EIC = 0.24 ppm) (Rapaport, 1998). Therefore, the EEC of HEDP from the proposed use is estimated to be 0.24 ppm in surface waters directly receiving the treated effluent.

Expected Environmental Concentration (EEC) in Wastewater Sludge: The EEC of HEDP from the proposed use is estimated to be 9.6 ppm in sludge following on-site wastewater treatment. As no additional dilution factor or removal mechanism is applied following adsorption to sludge, the EIC is assumed to equal to the EEC in this scenario (EIC = EEC = 9.6 ppm). Therefore, the EEC does not incorporate degradation.

Table 4 below displays the EICs in pre-treatment wastewater, effluent, and wastewater sludge; and the EEC in surface water for HEDP.

Table 4. Maximum Expected Introduction Concentrations, and Expected Environmental Concentrations for HEDP

Use	Maximum $EIC_{pre\text{-}treatment\ wastewater}$ (ppm)	$EIC_{effluent}$ (ppm)	EIC_{sludge} (ppm)	EEC_{water} (ppm)	EEC_{sludge} (ppm)
Antimicrobial agent for use as a spray on seeds for sprouting (alfalfa, clover, broccoli, flax, and chia), edible seeds (chia, flax, hemp, millet hulled, pumpkin, sesame, sunflower kernel, and quinoa) and nuts (almond, cashew, walnut, brazil nuts, hazelnuts, macadamia and pecans)	12	2.4	9.6	0.24	9.6

A detailed discussion of the potential fate of the HEDP that may be released into the environment is included as follows:

d. Air

No significant effect on the concentration of and exposure to HEDP in the atmosphere is anticipated due to the proposed use of the FCS.

e. Water

Wastewaters from food processing facilities that contain the FCS are expected to be disposed of through the processing plant wastewater treatment facility or through a local POTW. Once HEDP enters the aquatic environment, it is quite stable, though hydrolysis and degradation are enhanced in the presence of metal ions, aerobic conditions, and light (HERA, 2004). Photolysis can serve as an important route for the removal of phosphonates like HEDP from the environment, with photodegradation half-lives varying from hours to days depending on the presence of cofactors such as oxygen, peroxides, and complexing metals like iron, copper, or manganese (Jaworska et al., 2002). For example, in the presence of iron ions, 40-90% degradation occurs within 17 days (HERA, 2004).

In sediment/river water systems, the ultimate biodegradation of HEDP is estimated as 10% in 60 days, with a corresponding half-life of 395 days (HERA, 2004). In such systems, phosphonates like HEDP can become tightly adsorbed onto the sediment, indicating that the major part of biodegradation may occur in the sediment, where a half-life of 471 days was calculated for HEDP (HERA, 2004). While hydrolysis half-lives are comparatively long (50-

200 days) when compared with photodegradation, hydrolysis may serve as a significant route of removal in soil and sediment environments (Jaworska et al., 2002).

f. Land

As shown earlier (see Figure 1), HEDP is expected to partition to water and sludge during wastewater treatment. Sludge resulting from wastewater treatment may end up landfilled or land applied. If land-applied, HEDP shows degradation in soil; as such, disposal on land should ensure mineralization and removal from the environment (HERA, 2004). HEDP's half-life in soil is estimated to be 373 days, extrapolated from observed degradation of 20% after 120 days (HERA, 2004). Phosphonates are also sensitive to radical-mediated degradation, which may operate in the soil environment and serve as a method for the removal of phosphonate pollution (Jaworska et al., 2002).

Land applications related to the proposed use will result in phosphorus concentrations in soil that are an insignificant fraction of total phosphorus concentrations introduced into the environment as fertilizers (**Confidential Attachment A).** For example, USDA reported that, in 2014, over 8.5 million tons of phosphate fertilizers were consumed in the U.S. (USDA, 2018). Annual sales and use of the FCS itself is negligible when compared with this figure (**Confidential Attachment A),** and the annual land application of any HEDP-containing sludge or treated effluent that could be expected from the proposed use represents an even more insignificant portion of land-applied phosphorus.

If HEDP-containing sludge is disposed of in a landfill, HEDP would be expected to be controlled by the relevant EPA regulations and state or local guidelines, as described in Item 6.b.

8. Environmental Effect of Released Substances

Based on the chemical properties of the FCS, HP is not anticipated to reach the environment to any significant extent following disposal and wastewater treatment, as discussed above.

The FCS stabilizer, HEDP, is the only chemical component of the FCS anticipated to reach the environment to any significant extent following FCS disposal and wastewater treatment, as discussed in Item 7. Therefore, environmental effects are evaluated by comparing the most relevant sensitive aquatic and terrestrial toxicity endpoints against the EECs for HEDP alone. See Table 5 for a summary of HEDP's ecotoxicity endpoints, with the most-sensitive relevant endpoint bolded.

Table 5. Summary of Environmental Toxicity Endpoints for HEDP

Duration	Test Species	Endpoint	Source
	Aquatic Ecotoxicity Data		
Short-Term	*Lepomis macrochirus* (Bluegill Sunfish)	96-hr LC_{50} = 868 ppm	Jaworska et al. (2002)
	Onchorhynchus mykiss (Rainbow Trout)	96-hr LC_{50} = 360 ppm	
	Cyprinodon variegatus (Sheepshead Minnow)	96-hr LC_{50} = 2180 ppm	
	Ictalurus punctatus (Channel Catfish)	96-hr LC_{50} = 695 ppm	
	Leuciscus idus melonatus (Ide)	48-hr LC_{50} = 207-350 ppm	
	Daphnia magna (Water Flea)	24-48-hr EC_{50} = 165-500 ppm	
	Chironomus (Midge)	48-hr EC_{50} = 8910 ppm	HERA (2004)
	Palaemonetes pugio (Grass Shrimp)	96-hr EC_{50} = 1770 ppm	Jaworska et al. (2002)
	Crassostrea virginica (Eastern Oyster)	96-hr EC_{50} = 89 ppm	
	Selenastrum capricornutum (Green Algae)[1]	96-hr EC_{50} = 3.0 ppm NOEC = 1.3 ppm	HERA (2004), Jaworska et al. (2002)
	Chlorella vulgaris (Green Algae)	48-hr NOEC ≥ 100 ppm	Jaworska et al. (2002)
	Pseudomonas putida (Bacterium)	30-min NOEC = 1000 ppm	
Long-Term	*Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Rainbow Trout)	14-day NOEC = 60-180 ppm	
	***Daphnia magna* (Water Flea)**	**28-day NOEC = 10-<12.5 ppm**	
	Selenastrum capricornutum (Green Algae)[1]	14-day NOEC = 13.2 ppm	HERA (2004)
	Terrestrial Ecotoxicity Data		
Short-Term	Terrestrial Plants	14-day EC50 > 960 ppm No effects on seed germination up to 100 ppm	HERA (2004)
	Eisenia foetida (Earthworm)	14-day NOEC = 1000 ppm > 1000 ppm	
	Avian	Oral LD50 >2500 ppm (diet) >284 ppm (bw)	

[1] For chelating agents, such as HEDP, algal growth inhibition results may be strongly impacted by chelation of trace nutrients. This effect is often interpreted incorrectly as a toxic effect, rather than what it is – a nutrient limitation. For such tests, results are likely to be of questionable value for classifying substances or for use in risk estimations (HERA, 2004).

The most sensitive relevant endpoint for HEDP is the NOEC in the range of 10 to <12.5 ppm, associated with long-term exposure to the freshwater invertebrate, *Daphnia magna*. When compared against the 28-day Daphnia NOEC range of 10 to < 12.5 ppm, the surface water EEC for HEDP (0.24 ppm) is well below the NOEC range of the most relevant sensitive aquatic toxicity endpoint. Based on the comparison of the EECs against aquatic toxicity endpoints, in conjunction with the fact that the EECs were derived based on a conservative assumption that 100% of the FCS used at a facility enters an on-site or off-site wastewater treatment system, no significant adverse environmental effects to aquatic organisms are expected.

HEDP in effluent or sludge applied to land is not expected to have any significant adverse environmental impacts based on the available terrestrial toxicity endpoints for plants, invertebrates, and avian species, which range from a terrestrial plant no effect level of 100 ppm to a 14-day no effect level of 1000 ppm in earthworms. The worst case theoretical EEC of HEDP in sludge is 9.6 ppm, which is well below the range of no effects among most sensitive terrestrial endpoint. Further, this worst case calculation assumes no degradation of HEDP before or after such land applications, which is not likely. Additionally, this worst case calculation assumes no dilution of HEDP as it mixes with other soil, another conservative assumption.

9. Use of Resources and Energy

The production, transportation, use, and disposal of wastes from the FCS will involve the use of natural resources and energy. The actual amount of resources and energy used will depend on market penetration and demand for the product. However, due to the anticipated limited use of the FCS, the simple precursors used to produce the product and the quantities that will be used, these demands are expected to be minimal. The precursors used in the production of the FCS are commercially purchased commodity chemicals. No unusual natural resources or energy requirements are involved in the production of the precursors or in the production of the FCS. The FCS will need to be transported from manufacturing site(s) to use sites. This is anticipated to occur via typical means (e.g., railway, highway, etc.) with no extraordinary fuel demands. Use of the FCS will entail water for use in preparing the diluted FCS solution (80 L water used to dilute 20 L FCS for a total volume of 100 L of the diluted FCS solution); however, this is an insignificant demand on water resources. Disposal of the FCS will occur via the processing plant wastewater treatment facility or through a local POTW. The former option will entail some use of resources and energy to operate, while disposal through the latter option entails an insignificant increase, if any, on resource and energy use at the POTW. Impacts on land through land disposal of POTW wastewater sludge will be the same with or without the FCS. No impacts on minerals are involved with production, transportation, use or disposal of the FCS. In summary, the impacts of the FCS on natural resources and energy are insignificant.

10. Mitigation Measures

The intended use of the FCS is not expected to result in a significant impact to the environment that would require mitigation measures. As discussed above, the use and disposal of the FCS is not expected to result in significant adverse environmental impacts; therefore, mitigation measures are not necessary.

11. Alternatives to the Proposed Action

The alternative of not establishing this FCN would merely result in the continued use of similar antimicrobial agents. The use of the FCS as specified in this FCN is expected to replace use of some products currently on the market. Therefore, the alternative of not establishing this FCN would have no environmental impact.

12. List of Preparers

Nga Tran, Dr.PH, M.P.H. Principal Scientist, Exponent, Inc.,1150 Connecticut Ave NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20036. Telephone: 202-772-4915. Email: NTran@exponent.com

Rebecca Wilken, M.S. Senior Scientist, Exponent, Inc., 1150 Connecticut Ave Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20036. Telephone: 202-772-4936. E-mail: RWilken@exponent.com

Dr. Tran has more than 20 years of experience in chemical safety and health risks assessment and has conducted research and review of chemical fate and toxicity data.

Ms. Wilken has a background in ecology and three years in federal chemical regulation, as well review of chemical residue and toxicity data.

13. Certification

The undersigned official certifies that the information presented is true, accurate and complete to the best of her knowledge.

Signature: [redacted]

Nga Tran
Principal Scientist, Exponent, Inc.

Date: January 24, 2020

14. References

ChemID*plus*. Hydrogen peroxide. National Library of Medicine. Accessed March 8, 2016. Available at: https://chem.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/rn/7722-84-1

HERA. (2005). Human & Environmental Risk Assessment (HERA) on ingredients of household cleaning products: hydrogen peroxide. Edition 1.0, April 2005, available at: http://www.heraproject.com/files/36-f-05-shor_h2o2_version1.pdf

HERA. (2004). Human & Environmental Risk Assessment (HERA) on ingredients of European household cleaning products: phosphonates. Available at: https://www.heraproject.com/files/30-f-04-

%20hera%20phosphonates%20full%20web%20wd.pdf

Jaworska J, Van Genderen-Takken H, Hanstveit A, van de Plassche E, Feijtel T. (2002). Environmental risk assessment of phosphonates, used in domestic laundry and cleaning agents in the Netherlands. *Chemosphere* 47, 655-665. Abstract available online at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653501003289

Rapaport RA. (1988). Prediction of consumer product chemical concentrations as a function of publically owned treatment works treatment type and riverine dilution. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 7(2): 107-115. Abstract available online at: https://setac.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/etc.5620070204

U.S. EPA. (1994). Land Application of Sewage Sludge: A Guide for Land Appliers on the Requirements of the Federal Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge, 40 CFR Part 503. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, EPA/831-B-93-002b, December, 1994. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-11/documents/land-application-sewage-sludge.pdf

U.S. EPA. (1993). Memorandum: Hydrogen Peroxide RED. Available at: https://archive.epa.gov/pesticides/chemicalsearch/chemical/foia/web/pdf/000595/000595-004.pdf

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). (2019). Water Use in the United States. Accessed October 9, 2019. Available at: https://water.usgs.gov/watuse/wuto.html.

CONFIDENTIAL Attachment A: Sales Projections, Component Chemical Concentrations, and Associated Calculations (Separate Enclosure)