# Environmental Assessment for a Marketing Order for One Roll-Your-Own Tobacco Product by BBK Tobacco & Foods, LLP Company

# Prepared by Center for Tobacco Products U.S. Food and Drug Administration

April 25, 2019

#### **Table of Contents**

1.	Applicant and Manufacturer Information			
2.	Product	Information	3	
3.	The Nee	ed for the Proposed Action	3	
4.	Alternat	tives to the Proposed Action	4	
5.		al Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action and Alternatives – Manufacturing the Product		
	5.1	Affected Environment	4	
	5.2	Analysis of Potential Environmental Impacts	5	
	5.3	Cumulative Impacts	6	
	5.4	Impacts of the No-Action Alternative	6	
6.		al Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action and Alternatives – Use of the New	6	
	6.1.	Affected Environment	6	
	6.2.	Analysis of Potential Environmental Impacts	6	
	6.3.	Cumulative Impacts	6	
	6.4.	Impacts of the No-Action Alternative	7	
7.		al Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action and Alternative – Disposal of the Nev		
	7.1.	Affected Environment	7	
	7.2.	Analysis of Potential Environmental Impacts	7	
	7.3.	Cumulative Impacts	8	
	7.4.	Impacts of the No-Action Alternative	8	
8.	List of P	reparers	8	
9.	A Listing	g of Agencies and Persons Consulted	8	
Conf	fidential A	Appendix 1	9	
Loca	tion of th	e Manufacturing Facility	9	
Conf	fidential A	Appendix 2:	10	
Com	parison o	f the New Product to the Predicate Product	10	
Conf	fidential A	Appendix 3:	11	
Curr		Market Volume and First- and Fifth-Year Market Volume Projections for the New and	11	

#### 1. Applicant and Manufacturer Information

Applicant Name:	BBK Tobacco & Foods, LLP Company dba HBI International
Applicant Address:	3401 West Papago Street Phoenix, AZ 85009
Manufacturer Name: 1	(b)(4)
Address Where Product is Manufactured <sup>2</sup>	(b)(4)

#### 2. Product Information

New Product Name, Submission Tracking Number (STN), and Predicate Product Name

New Product Name	New Product STN	Predicate Product Name	
RAW King Size Slim 200	SE0014893	ELEMENTS Kingsize Slim	

#### **Product Identification**

Product Type	Roll-Your-Own
Product Subtype	Rolling Paper
Product Package	200 cigarette papers are packed in a tray box. 40 tray boxes are packed in one display box.  The packaging materials consist of paperboard for the tray box and the display box.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action, requested by the applicant, is for the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to issue a marketing order under the provisions of sections 910 and 905(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

The applicant wishes to introduce the new tobacco product into interstate commerce for commercial distribution in the United States and submitted to the Agency a substantial equivalence (SE) report to obtain a marketing order. The Agency shall issue a marketing order if the new product is found substantially equivalent to the predicate product. The predicate product is a grandfathered product commercially marketed in the United States as of February 15, 2007.

The new product differs from the predicate product in product quantity, product design and packaging design (Confidential Appendix 2). The applicant provided first- and fifth-year marketing projections for the new product (Confidential Appendix 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The booklets are assembled in (b) (4

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  This is the location where the booklets are assembled. See Confidential Appendix 1 for the location of the paper manufacturer.

#### 4. Alternatives to the Proposed Action

The no-action alternative is the Agency does not issue a marketing order for the new tobacco product in the United States.

# 5. Potential Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action and Alternatives – Manufacturing the New Product

The Agency considered potential impacts on resources in the environment that may be affected by manufacturing the new product and found no significant impacts based on the Agency-gathered information and the submitted information by the applicant.

#### 5.1 Affected Environment

The new product would be manufactured at the address listed in section 1 of this document (Figure 1).



The manufacturing facility is located in a mixed-used industrial and residential area surrounded by forests.

### 5.2 Analysis of Potential Environmental Impacts

The Agency evaluated the proposed action for potential environmental impacts due to manufacturing based on Agency-gathered information and the applicant's submitted information, including projected market volumes for the new and predicate products (Confidential Appendix 3).

Environmental Resource	Analysis of Potential Impacts
Air Quality	The applicant stated that manufacturing the new product is not expected to
	result in changes of air emissions or require any additional environmental
	controls for air emissions. This is supported by the applicant noting that (1) the
	new product is expected to compete with the predicate product, and (2) the
	materials used in manufacturing the new product are currently used in the facility.
Water Resources	The Agency does not anticipate that manufacturing the new product would
	cause any new chemicals to be discharged into the water. The applicant stated
	that the new product is intended to replace similar tobacco products currently
	manufactured at the facility and that manufacturing the new product would not
	require any additional environmental controls for water discharges.
Soil, Land Use, and	The Agency does not anticipate that manufacturing the new product would lead
Zoning	to changes in soil, land use, or zoning. The applicant stated that there would be
	no expected facility expansion or new construction due to manufacturing the
	new product. Therefore, there would be no zone change or land conversion of
	prime farmland, unique farmland, or farmland of statewide importance to non-
	agricultural use.
Biological	The applicant stated that the raw materials are from sustainable and renewable
Resources	resources. No facility expansion is expected; therefore, the Agency does not
	anticipate manufacturing the new product would jeopardize the continued
	existence of any listed species or result in adverse effects on species or habitats
	addressed under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species
	of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES).
Socioeconomics	The Agency does not anticipate any impacts on employment, revenue, or taxes
and Environmental	because the new product is intended to compete with and eventually replace
Justice	similar tobacco products currently manufactured at the facility. Therefore, no
	disproportionate impacts to minority populations near the manufacturing
To the book of the court	facility is expected.
Solid Waste and	The Agency does not foresee that the introduction of the new product would
Hazardous	notably affect the current manufacturing waste generated from the production
Materials	of all RYO tobacco products at this facility. The waste generated due to
	manufacturing the new product would be released to the environment and
	disposed of in landfills in the same manner as the waste generated from any
	other products manufactured in the same facility. The manufacturer is in
	compliance with applicable environmental laws, regulations, and local
	ordinances; this is assumed to indicate no significant impacts would occur.
Floodplains,	There would be no facility expansion due to manufacturing the new product
Wetlands, and	and the applicant did not propose any land disturbance; therefore, there would
Coastal Zones	be no effects on floodplains, wetlands, or coastal zones.

Regulatory	The applicant stated that the manufacturing facility is in compliance with all
Compliance	applicable environmental laws, regulations, and local ordinances.

#### 5.3 Cumulative Impacts

No actions were identified that, when considered with the proposed action, would lead to cumulative impacts.

#### 5.4 Impacts of the No-Action Alternative

The environmental impacts of the no-action alternative would not change the existing condition of manufacturing the RYO rolling papers, as many other similar tobacco products would continue to be manufactured at the listed facility.

#### Potential Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action and Alternatives – Use of the New product

The Agency considered potential impacts to resources in the environment that may be affected by use of the new product and found no significant impacts.

#### 6.1. Affected Environment

The affected environment includes human and natural environments in the United States. The marketing order will allow for the distribution and sale of the new tobacco product to consumers in the United States. The new product is a RYO tobacco product that is intended to be filled with tobacco and smoked by users indoors or outside, as the law permits.

#### 6.2. Analysis of Potential Environmental Impacts

The Agency evaluated the proposed action for potential environmental impacts from the new product use based on the applicant's submitted information.

Environmental Resource	Analysis of Potential Impacts			
Air quality	The Agency anticipates that no new compounds would be emitted from use of the new product because (1) the new product is expected to compete with, or replace, similar currently marketed tobacco products and (2) the ingredients in the new product are used in other currently marketed tobacco products.			
Environmental justice	No new emissions are expected due to use of the new product. Therefore, there would be no new disproportionate impacts on minority or low-income populations.			

#### 6.3. Cumulative Impacts

No actions were identified that, when considered with the proposed action, would lead to cumulative impacts.

#### 6.4. Impacts of the No-Action Alternative

The environmental impacts of the no-action alternative would not change the existing condition of use of the RYO rolling papers, as many other similar tobacco products would continue to be marketed.

# 7. Potential Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action and Alternative – Disposal of the New product

The Agency considered potential impacts to resources in the environment that may be affected by disposal of the new product and the packaging and found no significant impacts.

#### 7.1. Affected Environment

The affected environment includes human and natural environments in the United States. The market order would allow the applicant to distribute and sell the new tobacco product to consumers in the United States. Consumers would dispose of the used product and packaging via municipal solid waste landfills, recycling centers, or as litter.

#### 7.2. Analysis of Potential Environmental Impacts

The proposed action was evaluated for potential environmental impacts from disposal.

Environmental Resource	Analysis of Potential Impacts
Air quality	The Agency does not anticipate disposal of the product or the packaging material would lead to the emission of new or increased chemicals into the air because (1) introducing the new product into the U. S. market is not expected to increase the nationwide use and eventual disposal of RYO tobacco products; (2) the new product is anticipated to compete with or replace other currently marketed RYO tobacco products; (3) the paper components of the packages are more likely to be recycled, or at least a portion of the packaging waste is likely to be recycled; and (4) the packaging materials are commonly used and disposed of in the United States.
Biological resources	The proposed action is not expected to change the continued existence of any endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of the habitat of any such species, as prohibited under the U.S. ESA. Although improper handling of waste could occur in undeveloped areas and wildlife habitats, introducing the new product into the U. S. market is not expected to increase the nationwide use and eventually the disposal of RYO tobacco products. Therefore, it is not expected that the current amount of littering would change due to disposal of the new product.
Environmental justice	The Agency does not anticipate changes in impacts on socioeconomic conditions or environmental justice from disposal of the new product. No new emissions are expected due to disposal of the new product. Therefore, there would be no new disproportionate impacts on minority or low-income populations.
Water resources	Proper handling of waste generated due to disposal of the new product and packaging would not affect water resources. Although improper disposal could

occur in or near surface water, there would be no expected changes of impacts on water quality due to disposal of the new product because (1) the chemicals in the new product are the same as in currently marketed RYO papers and (2) the new product would replace similar tobacco products currently on the market.

#### 7.3. Cumulative Impacts

No actions were identified that, when considered with the proposed action, would lead to cumulative impacts.

#### 7.4. Impacts of the No-Action Alternative

The environmental impacts of the no-action alternative would not change the existing condition of disposal of RYO tobacco products or packaging materials, as many other similar tobacco products would continue to be marketed.

#### 8. List of Preparers

The following individuals were primarily responsible for preparing and reviewing this environmental assessment (EA):

#### **Preparer:**

Mehran Niazi, Ph.D., Center for Tobacco Products

Education: Ph.D. in Environmental Sciences

Experience: Twelve years in environmental fate and transport and environmental modeling

Expertise: Water quality modeling, environmental fate and transport modeling

#### Reviewer:

Hoshing W. Chang, Ph.D., Center for Tobacco Products

Education: M.S. in Environmental Science and Ph.D. in Biochemistry

Experience: Ten years in FDA-related NEPA practice

Expertise: NEPA analysis, environmental risk assessment, wastewater treatment

#### 9. A Listing of Agencies and Persons Consulted

Not applicable.

## **Confidential Appendix 1**

## **Location of the Manufacturing Facility**

The applicant stated that the papers are manufactured by the following address:



The booklets are assembled by (b)(4)

# Confidential Appendix 2:

## Comparison of the New Product to the Predicate Product

STN	Change
	The new tobacco product contains 200 cigarette papers while the predicate tobacco product contains 33 cigarette papers.
SE0014893	The packaging of the new tobacco product includes a cardboard box whereas the packaging of the predicate product includes a cardboard booklet.
	The air permeability of the new tobacco product is 20% more than the predicate tobacco product.

#### Confidential Appendix 3:

Current Year Market Volume and First- and Fifth-Year Market Volume Projections for the New and Predicate Products

To evaluate the environmental impacts of manufacturing due to the proposed action, current production volumes and projected market volumes that include products marketed outside the United States were used. This is why there is current production information for the new product that is not currently on the U.S. market.

The applicant intends to continue marketing the predicate product after receiving a marketing order for the new product. The applicant used the 2016 statistical data for paper and paper board production in Europe and stated that the combined production of the new and predicate products account for a minute fraction  $(7.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ percent})$  of total paper and paperboard production in France.<sup>3</sup>

	Market Volume						
STN		Current Year Production		First-Year Projection		Fifth-Year Projection	
5	Unit	New Product	Predicate Product	New Product	Predicate Product	New Product	Predicate Product
SE0014893	# of leaves	(b)(4)	(b)(4)	(b)(4)	(b)(4)	(b)(4)	(b)(4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Confederation of European Paper Industries, Key Statistics 2016; <a href="http://www.cepi.org/system/files/public/documents/publications/statistics/2017/KeyStatistics2016">http://www.cepi.org/system/files/public/documents/publications/statistics/2017/KeyStatistics2016</a> Final.pdf. Accessed June 25, 2018.