FDA

Advancing the Regulatory Science of Omics via Crowdsourcing on the precisionFDA Platform

Elaine Johanson, BS¹, Ruth Bandler, MS¹, Zivana Tezak, PhD², Heike Sichtig, PhD², Yi Yan, PhD², Errol Strain, PhD³, John Didion, PhD⁴, Ezekiel Maier, PhD⁵ ¹ FDA Office of Health Informatics (OHI), ² FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), ³ FDA Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM), ⁴ DNAnexus, ⁵ Booz Allen

Background

The rapid advancement of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology has fueled innovation of omics-based diagnostic tests for guiding more individualized care - known as precision medicine. Ensuring the safety and efficacy of precision medicine treatments includes the understanding of the strengths and limitations of omics-based diagnostic tests.

The precisionFDA platform, a secure cloud-based collaborative environment, is using crowdsourcing as one way to advance the regulatory science of omics tests. Six community challenges completed on precisionFDA have generated a total of 425 responses from 176 participants. These challenges can help enable the evaluation of novel algorithms, and the development of best practices.



Advancing Truth, Consistency Detection, and Patient Safety

PrecisionFDA

Vision: Platform for regulatory science exploration of the tools and techniques for analyses of large biological datasets, while encouraging collaboration, interaction, and data sharing. **Community Members Can:**



<u>Consistency</u>, <u>**Truth**</u>, and <u>**Hidden Treasures**</u> Challenges

Motivation: Encourage innovation, benchmark, and understand the strengths and limitations of bioinformatics pipelines for identifying genetic variants.



Engagement:

- Consistency: 21 submissions, 17 participants
- Truth: 35 submissions, 25 participants
- Hidden Treasures: 86 submissions, 30 participants **Results:**
- . Development, piloting and publication of best practices for benchmarking human germline small-variants
- 2. Benchmarking of a novel deep neural network-based variant caller
- 3. Evaluation of an optimized version of a best practice variant calling pipeline

Conclusions: (1) accuracy of variant calling pipelines differs depending on the type and size of genetic variants being assessed, (2) in silico injected variants can be useful to assess accuracy of unknown variant calling.

Six community challenges have been completed, which have generated 425 submissions from 176 participants.

precisionFDA

Precision.FDA.gov

Public challenges encourage innovation and enable independent benchmarking of algorithms. Crossagency collaboration amplifies the benefits of crowdsourcing.

The current BioCompute Object App-athon is focused on improving the reproducibility of computational pipelines.

Future challenge topics include somatic variant calling, tumor mutational burden calculation, and brain tumor biomarkers.

The precisionFDA vision has expanded to include multi-omics and artificial intelligence related to precision medicine.



CFSAN Pathogen Detection Challenge

Motivation: Reduce illness and economic loss from foodborne pathogens by innovating and benchmarking tools for pathogen detection in quasi-metagenomics samples. Challenge Design



Conclusions: (1) Accuracy was higher on synthetic samples, and (2) taxonomic accuracy differences were larger in culture positive samples.

Motivation: Advance early microorganism detection during pathogen outbreaks by evaluating algorithms for identifying and quantifying emerging pathogens from their genomic fingerprints.

Inputs	
Your Pipeline	Ide
Evaluation	

Engagement: Received 29 submissions from 11 participants.

Motivation: Develop and benchmark algorithms for detecting and correcting sample mislabeling (accidental swapping of patient samples), a problem which contributes to irreproducible results.



The views expressed in this poster are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the FDA, HHS, or U.S. Government

precisionFDA

Engagement:

Received 26 submissions from 11 participants.

Results:

- 1.5 submitters identified serotypes in 9 of 13 Salmonella samples
- 2.2 submitters identified MLST types in 9 of 13 Salmonella samples

CDRH ID-NGS Diagnostics Biothreat Challenge



Number of Submissions

Conclusion: In silico evaluations may be a poor proxy for mock clinical evaluations.

NCI-CPTAC Sample Mislabeling Correction Challenge

Engagement:

Received 230 submissions from 80 participants.

Next Steps:

- . Draft and submit challenge results scientific manuscript
- 2. Create a software package distribute the top to performing methods

