

Testimony for
**FDA Panel on Trans-vaginal
Mesh for the Anterior Wall**

Geoffrey Cundiff, MD
President,
American Urogynecologic Society

Introduction

- Patients benefit from having treatment options
- Trans-vaginal mesh procedures for anterior prolapse are a reasonable choice for some patients
- Safety concerns must be balanced by increased benefit
- Polypropylene mesh does not cause:
 - Cancer
 - Autoimmune disease

Surgery is a Benefit/Risk Proposition

- Prolapse is a quality of life issue
 - The impact varies among women
 - Treatment goals also vary
- Surgical benefits and risks vary by:
 - Procedure
 - Patient
 - Surgeon
- Treatment options maximize patient's opportunity to personally balance benefit and risk

Focus of the Panel

- FDA Announcement:
 - “Serious complications associated with surgical mesh for transvaginal repair of POP are not rare.”¹
- Systematic Review of trans-vaginal mesh²:
 - Anterior compartment: better anatomical outcomes
 - Posterior compartment: higher complications
- RCTs:
 - Anatomical benefit and possible symptomatic benefit in anterior compartment

1. www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/safety/alertsandnotices/ucm262760.pdf

2. Schimpf MO, et al.. Obstet Gynecol. 2016 Jul;128(1):81-91.

3. Maher C, et al. Neurourol Urodyn 2008;27(1):3-12.

Patient Characteristics Favoring Trans-vaginal Mesh Procedure

- Failed native tissue repairs
- Injury to the pelvic floor musculature
- Connective tissue or neurologic disorders
- Medical or surgical issues compromising abdominal access
- Medical advantage for regional anaesthesia

Optimizing the Evidence for Trans-vaginal Mesh in POP Repairs

Evidence Gaps:

- RCTs assessing newer products
- Performance in different populations
- Treatment of mesh complications
- External validity
 - Spectrum of surgical experience
 - Real world performance

FDA Benefit-Risk Framework

Decision Factor	Evidence	Uncertainties	Conclusions
Analysis of Condition			
Current treatment options			
Benefit			
Risk			
Risk Management			
Benefit-risk Summary Assessment			

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/UCM329758.pdf>

FDA Benefit-Risk Framework

Trans-vaginal Mesh for Anterior Wall POP

Decision Factor	Evidence	Uncertainties	Conclusions
Analysis of Condition			
Current treatment options			
Benefit			
Risk			
Risk Management			
Benefit-risk Summary Assessment			

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/UCM329758.pdf>

FDA Benefit-Risk Framework

Trans-vaginal Mesh for Anterior Wall POP

Decision Factor	Evidence	Uncertainties	Conclusions
Analysis of Condition	common negative QOL	subpopulations	
Current treatment options	13% reoperation at 5yrs ¹ 17% reoperation at 10 yrs ²	risks for recurrence ¹	
Benefit			
Risk			
Risk Management			
Benefit-risk Summary Assessment			

1. Clark AL, et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2003 Nov;189(5):1261-7.
2. Denman MA, et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008 May;198(5):555

FDA Benefit-Risk Framework

Trans-vaginal Mesh for Anterior Wall POP

Decision Factor	Evidence	Uncertainties	Conclusions
Analysis of Condition	common negative QOL	subpopulations	
Current treatment options	13% reoperation at 5yrs 17% reoperation at 10 yrs	risks for recurrence	
Benefit	durability	subpopulations surgeon experience	
Risk	mesh complications	subpopulations surgeon experience	
Risk Management			
Benefit-risk Summary Assessment			

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/UCM329758.pdf>

FDA Benefit-Risk Framework

Trans-vaginal Mesh for Anterior Wall POP

Decision Factor	Evidence	Uncertainties	Conclusions
Analysis of Condition	common negative QOL	subpopulations	
Current treatment options	13% reoperation at 5yrs 17% reoperation at 10 yrs	risks for recurrence	
Benefit	durability	subpopulations surgeon experience	
Risk	mesh complications	subpopulations surgeon experience	
Risk Management	inadequate	best management	
Benefit-risk Summary Assessment			

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/PrescriptionDrugUserFee/UCM329758.pdf>

Assessing Benefit

- Patient relief from symptoms
 - Patient centered outcomes = validated condition specific quality of life metrics^{1,2}
 - Prolapse symptoms
 - Urinary symptoms
 - Bowel function
 - Sexual function
 - Patient reported outcomes = direct patient responses
- Anatomical correction
 - Objective
 - Longitudinal outcome

1. Barber MD, et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2005 Jul; 193(1):103-13.

2. Rogers RG, et al. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2003 Aug;14(3):164-8; discussion 168.

Assessing Risk

- Surgical Complications
 - Related to pelvic floor surgery¹
 - Related to mesh surgery²
- Reoperation
 - Recurrent prolapse
 - Mesh complications

1. Clavien PA, et al. Ann Surg. 2009;250:187–96.

2. Gutman RE, et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2013 Jan;208(1):81.e1-9.

Methodological Considerations

- Long term assessment (5-10yrs)¹
- Sub-population analysis
 - Parameters associated with recurrent prolapse²
 - Prior prolapse failure
 - Pelvic floor muscle injury
 - Stage of prolapse
 - Obesity
 - Parameters associated with mesh complications³
 - Smoking
 - Vaginal atrophy
 - Concurrent hysterectomy
- Blinding⁴
- Trials vs. Registries

1. Nygaard I, et al. JAMA. 2013 May 15;309(19):2016-24.

2. Veggeldt TF, et al. Int J Urogyn, 2015;26(11):1559-73.

3. Cundiff et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008 Dec;199(6):688.e1-5. Epub 2008 Oct 31.

4. Brubaker L, et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014 Nov;211(5):554.e1-7.

AUGS Quality Improvement Registry (AQUIRE)

- Conditions
 - Stress Urinary Incontinence
 - Pelvic Organ Prolapse
 - Surgical complications
- Treatments
 - Spectrum of nonsurgical & surgical
- Surgeons
 - Spectrum of experience
- Patients
 - Patient reported outcomes
 - Assessment of subpopulations
- Longitudinal

AUGS Quality Improvement Registry (AQUIRE)

- Conditions
 - Stress Urinary Incontinence
 - Pelvic Organ Prolapse
 - Surgical complications
 - Treatments
 - Spectrum of nonsurgical & surgical
 - Surgeons
 - Spectrum of experience
 - Patients
 - Patient reported outcomes
 - Assessment of subpopulations
 - Longitudinal
- **Assesses Benefits & Risks**
 - **Real world performance**
 - **Patient reported outcomes**
 - **Allows analysis of subpopulations**
 - **Feasible long-term evaluations**
 - **Flexible framework for nested trials**

Educational Considerations

- Patients
 - Shared-decision making tools
- Surgeons
 - Lifelong learning
 - Skill development and volume to support competency
 - Alternative surgical treatments
 - Management of complications
 - Monitoring of quality through registry

Thank You



Advancing Female Pelvic Medicine and Reconstructive Surgery

ANY
QUESTIONS
?