Opioid Sparing Outcomes: Practical Perspectives from 20 Years of Acute Pain Clinical Trials
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Opioid Sparing: Value of Label Claims

- Health care providers recognize clinical value of reduced opioid-related adverse events
  - Societal demand for medications that can potentially provide relief from opioid-related side effects

- Label claims are important:
  - Fulfill a need to inform providers what they can expect from a drug
  - Motivate drug developers to accelerate new solutions to opioid overprescription
Long History of Failures

- Drug developers have yet to secure a complete opioid-sparing label claim:
  - Quantitative opioid reduction and opioid symptom reduction
- FDA (appropriately) requires robust evidence
  - Replicate evidence: multiple trials with pre-specified endpoints that achieve significance (p-value < .05)
  - Measured with a validated scale
- Even if a drug does appear to provide opioid-sparing benefit, typical studies are underpowered to show significant results
  - A typical efficacy study with a standardized effect size of 0.4 requires an n of ≈ 200 (100 patients per arm)
  - Generally, only ≈ 30% of patients are affected by opioid-related side effects. As such, there is a small number of patients to make the relevant comparison.
- Appropriate validated scales (for most ORAEs) have not yet been devised/accepted
  - To date, there is no composite ORAE scale that has been appropriately validated
Adverse Events Associated with Opioids: Nausea

- Frequent
- Predictable
- Validated scale exists
- Clinically relevant
- Commercially important

To utilize this endpoint one needs to:
- Control for prophylaxis
- Control for treatment
- Stratify for risk factors
- Power appropriately

Historically, this claim has not been achieved due to study powering problems (inadequate percentage of study subjects experience the ORAE to differentiate between arms with statistical significance)
Adverse Events Associated with Opioids: Vomiting

- Similar to nausea but observable by clinician

- Several composite nausea/vomiting endpoints exist
  - Complete response
    - No vomiting, no use of rescue
  - Total response
    - No nausea, no vomiting, no use of rescue

- Historically, this claim has not been achieved due to study powering problems (inadequate percentage of subjects experience the ORAE to differentiate between arms with statistical significance)
Adverse Events Associated with Opioids: Respiratory Depression

- Clinically important
- Observable by clinician (PRO not needed)
- Multifactorial, low assay sensitivity
- Low incidence gives rise to powering problems
- This label claim has been attempted multiple times, but the complexity of the endpoint gives rise to measurement error
Adverse Events Associated with Opioids: Constipation

- Significant history with PUMAs
- Clinically important
- In acute pain, very difficult due to low assay sensitivity
- As with respiratory depression, this endpoint gives rise to measurement error
- Additionally, the low incidence of constipation leads to inadequate powering
Adverse Events Associated with Opioids: Somnolence

- Clinician reported (but difficult to assess)
- Most scales are tangentially relevant (Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale)
- The normal sleep wake cycle interferes with assessments
- This endpoint gives rise to measurement error
Study Design Considerations: Pain Intensity vs. Opioid Consumption

- Theoretical study:
  - Acute pain/ bunionectomy
  - Endpoint = summary of pain intensity differences over 48 hours
  - Test drug (potent NSAID) vs. placebo
  - Rescue drug: morphine (but how much?)
    - Rescue is a confounder
    - But without morphine consumption there are no morphine related side effects
Study Design Considerations: Pain Intensity vs. Opioid Consumption

- Study goal: maximize probability of superiority with respect to pain
  - Minimize rescue

- Study goal: maximize probability of demonstrating a difference in opioid-related side effects
  - Maximize rescue
New Endpoint: Opioid-Free Patients

- Concept: proportion of patients who remain opioid-free (study drug vs. placebo)
  - Easily quantifiable
  - Clearly clinically relevant
  - No need for problematic ORAE measurement scales

- Relevance:
  - One in 16 acute opioid users transitions into chronic use
  - Legal opioid prescriptions result in opioid dispersion to the community
The Importance of Achieving Opioid-Free Patients

>70 million US patients per year undergo surgery. 1 9 in 10 are prescribed opioids for postsurgical pain.2

Of these, 1 in 16 will go on to long-term use or abuse3,4

More than 2 million individuals may transition to persistent opioid use following ambulatory surgery each year4

Legal Opioid Prescriptions Result in Opioid Dispersion to the Community

- 70% of all opioid tablets brought home after surgery may go unused\(^1\)
- Of these, 90% may remain unsecured in the home\(^2\)
- 32% of opioid addicts report that their addiction began with diverted prescription medications\(^3\)
- 43% ($14.2BN) of healthcare costs associated with addiction may be attributable to postoperative pain management\(^4,5\)

Conclusions

- There are several non-opioid drugs that provide analgesia and therefore, reduce opioid consumption
  - The clinical phenomenon exists
  - But it has been difficult to demonstrate statistically

- Demonstrating opioid sparing via ORAEs is difficult
- Using an opioid-free patients endpoint could provide a solution