

BLA 125643 Clinical information request, 4/24/2017

Response due 2 pm EST, Wednesday April 26, 2017

1. Response by central review (ZUMA1): In response to IR part A received 4/21/17, you stated that 3 patients in the mITT population had response evaluated by investigator but not by central review:

Subject	Investigator Assessment	Central Assessment	Comment
101-002-007	Y	N	(b) (4) internal edit checks identified a discrepancy unrelated to the data content that precluded transfer prior to the data cutoff.
101-002-021	Y	N	Clinical data were not yet available at the time of the database snapshot for (b) (4) to complete the oncology assessment.
101-019-001	Y	N	Clinical data were not yet available at the time of the database snapshot for (b) (4) to complete the oncology assessment.

However:

- Patient 101-002-007 from this list, despite no central assessments in ADRS.xpt, ADEFF.xpt, and ADTTE.xpt, has a CR by central review in ADSL.xpt (variable "BESTRSOC").
- Patient 101-019-001 from this list has a CR by central review (for example, in ADEFF.xpt, ADRS.xpt, ADSL.xpt).
- Patient 101-019-009, not on this list, has CR by investigator assessment but no central assessments in ADSL.xpt, ADEFF.xpt, ADTTE.xpt (apart from PFS), and ADRS.xpt.

For review of efficacy,

- Resolve these inconsistencies.
 - Clarify in each case which results were used in your reported efficacy analyses.
 - Submit revised .xpt datasets for any datasets with incorrect entries.
2. ADTR.xpt (ZUMA1):
- For the post-baseline parameter of "Target Lesion Tumor Burden," measures at multiple time points are provided. To identify the maximal tumor reduction for a waterfall plot, please confirm one would select the lowest value in the variable "PCHG" (% change), or describe the method.
 - For the parameter "Target Lesion Tumor Burden", 99 patients in the mITT population (period 1) had a baseline value. Clarify why only 98 have a post-baseline value.