

Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting September 14, 2016

The following is the final report of the Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) meeting held on September 14, 2016. A verbatim transcript will be available in approximately six weeks, sent to the Office of Hematology and Oncology Products and posted on the FDA website at: <http://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/OncologicDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/ucm486395.htm>

All external requests for the meeting transcript should be submitted to the CDER Freedom of Information Office.

The Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC) of the Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research met on September 14, 2016, at the Tommy Douglas Conference Center, 10000 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland. Prior to the meeting, members and temporary voting members were provided copies of the briefing materials from the FDA and Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The meeting was called to order by Bruce J. Roth, MD (Chairperson); the conflict of interest statement was read into the record by Lauren D. Tesh, PharmD, BCPS (Designated Federal Officer). There were approximately 80 people in attendance. There were five (5) Open Public Hearing speakers.

Issue: The committee discussed new drug application 208714, apaziquone for intravesical instillation, application submitted by Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The proposed indication (use) for this product is for immediate intravesical instillation post-transurethral resection of bladder tumors in patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.

Attendance:

ODAC Members Present (Voting): Bernard F. Cole, PhD; Grzegorz S. Nowakowski, MD; Vassiliki Papadimitrakopoulou, MD; Gregory J. Riely, MD, PhD; Brian I. Rini, MD, FACP; Bruce J. Roth, MD (Chairperson); Thomas S. Uldrick, MD, MS

ODAC Members Present (Non-Voting): Phuong Khanh (P.K.) Morrow, MD, FACP (Industry Representative)

ODAC Members Not Present (Voting): Harold J. Burstein, MD, PhD; Heidi D. Klepin, MD, MS; Jeffrey E. Lancet, MD; Albert S. Pappo, MD; Courtney J. Preusse, MA (Consumer Representative); Alice T. Shaw, MD, PhD

Temporary Members (Voting): Karim Chamie, MD, MSHS; Mark L. Gonzalgo, MD, PhD; Pamela J. Haylock, PhD, RN (Acting Consumer Representative); Brent Logan, PhD; Patricia A. Spears (Patient Representative); Jennifer M. Taylor, MD, MPH; John A. Taylor, III, MD, MS

FDA Participants (Non-Voting): Richard Pazdur, MD; Geoffrey Kim, MD; V. Ellen Maher, MD; Gwynn Ison, MD; Chana Weinstock, MD; Erik Bloomquist, PhD

Designated Federal Officer (Non-Voting): Lauren D. Tesh, PharmD, BCPS

Open Public Hearing Speakers: Mark Krivel; Ed Silver; Andrea Maddox-Smith (The Bladder Cancer Advocacy Network); Michaela O’Hearn; Raoul S. Conception, MD, FACS (Vanderbilt University School of Medicine and The Comprehensive Prostate Center)

The agenda proceeded as follows:

Call to Order and Introduction of
Committee

Bruce J. Roth, MD
Chairperson, ODAC

Conflict of Interest Statement

Lauren Tesh, PharmD, BCPS
Designated Federal Officer, ODAC

Opening Remarks

Chana Weinstock, MD
Medical Officer, Genitourinary Cancers Team
Division of Oncology Products 1 (DOP1)
Office of Hematology & Oncology Products
(OHOP)
Office of New Drugs (OND), CDER, FDA

GUEST SPEAKER PRESENTATION

Overview of Diagnosis and
Management of Non-Muscle Invasive
Bladder Cancer

Seth P. Lerner, MD, FACS
Professor, Scott Department of Urology
Beth and Dave Swalm Chair in Urologic
Oncology
Director of Urologic Oncology
Director of the Multidisciplinary Bladder Cancer
Program
Baylor College of Medicine Medical Center
Houston, Texas

APPLICANT PRESENTATIONS

Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Introduction

Anil K. Hiteshi, RAC
Global Regulatory Affairs
Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Post-Operative Intravesical Therapy

Neal Shore, MD
Medical Director
Carolina Urologic Research Center

APPLICANT PRESENTATIONS (CONT.)

Clinical Efficacy and Safety

Gajanan Bhat, PhD

Vice President, Biostatistics, Data Management
and Medical Writing
Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Benefit-Risk and Clinical Utility of
Apaziquone

Alfred Witjes, MD

Professor of Urologic Oncology
Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre

Clinical Perspective

Mark Soloway, MD

Chief of Urological Oncology
Memorial Cancer Institute, Miami

Concluding Remarks

Rajesh Shrotriya, MD

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

FDA PRESENTATIONS

NDA 208714 - Apaziquone

Gwynn Ison, MD

Medical Officer
DOP1, OHOP, OND, CDER, FDA

FDA Statistical Analysis

Erik Bloomquist, PhD

Statistical Reviewer
Division of Biometrics V (DBV)
Office of Biometrics (OB)
Office of Translational Sciences (OTS)
CDER, FDA

Clarifying Questions to the Presenters

BREAK

Open Public Hearing

Questions to the Committee/Committee Discussion

ADJOURNMENT

Questions to the Committee:

1. **VOTE:** Has substantial evidence of a treatment effect for apaziquone over placebo been demonstrated?

Voting Results YES: 0 NO: 14 ABSTAIN: 0

Committee Discussion:

The committee noted that this drug may have activity in patients with NMIBC but based on the data that was presented, it was unanimously agreed that substantial evidence of efficacy had not been shown. One statistician on the panel stated that the sponsor did not meet their primary endpoints in both studies, 611 and 612, and that the subgroup analyses were ad-hoc and could lead to potentially biased estimates of the treatment effect in the subgroups of interest. In addition, it was commented that the pooled analysis of the two studies didn't have a prospective protocol. The pooled analysis of 611 and 612 was done post-hoc and doesn't provide the same level of statistical certainty or robustness as the two separate trials would have. Also, the committee noted there was a substantial amount of missing data and that this may have impacted the estimated effect. The committee recommended that the sponsor continue the development of this drug because of the unmet need in this population and the seemingly low toxicity profile of apaziquone compared to current therapy. One committee member noted that to encourage development in nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer that the urologic community needs to further define appropriate endpoints for clinical trials. Please see the transcript for details of the committee discussion.

2. **DISCUSSION:** For those who voted “yes” to question 1 that an effect has been demonstrated, please discuss the clinical meaning of the results of studies 611 and 612.

Committee Discussion: *The unanimous vote of NO to question 1 precluded the need for this discussion. Please see the transcript for details of the committee discussion.*

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:57 a.m.