

Development and Testing of Health Literate Patient Labeling

Laurie Myers Global Health Literacy Director Merck & Co., Inc.

FDA Risk Advisory Meeting November 7, 2016



Objective

- Purpose
 - Maximize comprehension of patient labeling for all audiences, including those with limited health literacy
- New process developed by an innovative industry-academia partnership
 - Merck:
 - Legal, Health Literacy, Regulatory Policy, Marketing, Marketing Research, Chief Medical Officer
 - Academia: National health literacy experts and teams
 - Dr. Ruth Parker (Emory)
 - Dr. Michael Wolf (Northwestern)







Merck's Past Approach to Testing

- Historically, Merck has done comprehension testing of patient labeling
- Merck's past approach included conducting research across a broad range of education levels; however, few respondents presented with limited health literacy
 - Harder to locate and less likely to participate
 - Directionally, limited health literacy respondents had lower comprehension scores than those with adequate health literacy





Updated Approach to Testing

- Elimination of requirement for desktop computer
- Recruitment at literacy centers, senior centers
- Inclusion of health literacy assessment
 - One question phone screener (How confident are you filling out medical forms by yourself?)
 - Newest Vital Sign assessment done at the end of the interview
 - Schlesinger database began to add health literacy assessment
- In-person research to increase likelihood of participation by respondents with limited health literacy
 - Moderators who are knowledgeable of and sensitive to health literacy
- Combination of open and closed book assessment
- Aim for 25% of respondents with limited health literacy





Process Overview: Patient Labeling Development and Testing

Health Literacy Review

- Merck creates draft of patient labeling
- Northwestern/Emory apply best practices
- Merck reviews, with few changes

Focus Groups

- Focus groups in Chicago and Atlanta
- ❖ Patient labeling revised and sent to Merck
- Merck reviews, with few changes

Comprehension Testing

- Qualitative research with limited and adequate health literacy respondents
- ❖ Debrief with Merck, Northwestern, and Emory
- Labeling revised as necessary





Results

 High comprehension by respondents with both adequate and limited health literacy

Average Comprehension Scores Across Literacy Levels				
(n=548)*				
	Patients (n=249)	Caregivers (n=145)	Gen Pop (n=154)	Overall (n=548)
Limited Health Literacy	88%	94%	87%	89%
Adequate Health Literacy	94%	96%	92%	95%
Overall	92%	96%	90%	93%





Positive Patient Feedback

Both adequate and limited health literacy respondents stated they would be likely to:



Read the information



Keep information as a reference



Have a clear understanding of how to correctly use medication



Have a clear understanding of risks



Ask questions of their providers





Contact Information

Laurie_Myers@Merck.com



