# **Guidance for Industry** Developing Products for Weight Management

### DRAFT GUIDANCE

#### This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact Eric Colman at 301-796-1190.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> February 2007 Clinical/Medical

> > **Revision** 1

# **Guidance for Industry** Developing Products for Weight Management

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communications Division of Drug Information, HFD-240 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573 http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> February 2007 Clinical/Medical

> > **Revision 1**

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY CLINICAL BACKGROUND	2
A.	The Adult Population	2
B.	The Pediatric Population	4
IV.	CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF WEIGHT-MANAGEMENT PRODUCTS IN ADULT PATIENTS	5
А.	Phase 1 and Phase 2 Trials	5
В.	Phase 3 Clinical Trials	5
2. 3. 4.	<ul> <li>Trial Design and Patient Populations</li> <li>Trial Size and Duration</li> <li>Efficacy Endpoints</li> <li>a. Primary efficacy endpoint</li> <li>b. Secondary efficacy endpoints</li> <li>c. Efficacy benchmarks</li> <li>Standard of Care and Concomitant Medication</li> <li>Patients with Type 2 Diabetes</li></ul>	6 6 6 7 7 7
C.	General Safety Assessment of Weight-Management Products	8
	General Safety Assessment of Weight-Management Products	
C.		9
C. D.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9
C. D. E.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination Weight-Management Products for Patients with Medication-Induced Weight Gain CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF LONG-TERM WEIGHT-MANAGEMENT	9 9
C. D. E. V.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9 .11 .12
C. D. E. V. VI.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9 .11 .12 .12
C. D. E. V. VI.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9 .11 .12 .12 .12
C. D. E. V. VI. A. B.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9 .11 .12 .12 .12 .12
C. D. E. V. VI. A. B. C.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9 .11 .12 .12 .12 .12 .13
C. D. E. V. VI. A. B. C. D.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9 .11 .12 .12 .12 .12 .13
C. D. E. V. VI. A. B. C. D. VII.	Weight-Management Products Used in Combination	9 9 .11 .12 .12 .12 .12 .12 .13 .13

Draft — Not for Implementation

### **Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup> Developing Products for Weight Management**

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

13 14

1

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

15 16

#### 17 I. INTRODUCTION

18

19 This guidance provides recommendations to industry regarding the development of drugs and 20 therapeutic biologics (hereafter *products*) regulated within the Center for Drug Evaluation and

21 Research (CDER) in the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the indication of weight

22 management. This guidance applies to products intended to be used for medical weight loss,

23 which can be defined as a long-term reduction in fat mass with a goal of reduced morbidity and

24 mortality through quantifiable improvements in biomarkers such as blood pressure, lipids, and

25 HbA1c. This guidance revises the draft *Guidance for the Clinical Evaluation of Weight-Control* 

*Drugs* that issued in September 1996. When finalized, this guidance will replace the September
 1996 draft guidance.

27 28

The September 1996 draft guidance is being revised to provide advice on conducting studies to evaluate the efficacy and safety of products for weight management in patients with medicationinduced weight gain and weight management in obese pediatric patients. Recommendations on

the design of studies evaluating the efficacy and safety of combinations of weight-managementproducts are also provided.

34

35 This guidance does not explicitly discuss indications for weight loss or maintenance of lost

36 weight (which also can be described as prevention of weight regain); however, weight loss and

37 weight maintenance should be demonstrated over the course of at least 1 year before a product

- 38 can be considered effective for weight management. Thus, the weight management indication
- 39 incorporates and signifies weight loss and weight maintenance.
- 40

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

Draft — Not for Implementation

41 This guidance also does not discuss the general issues of clinical trial design or statistical

42 analysis. Those topics are addressed in the ICH guidances for industry *E8 General* 

43 Considerations for Clinical Trials and E9 Statistical Principles for Clinical Trials.<sup>2</sup>

44

45 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable

46 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should

- 47 be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are
- 48 cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or
- 49 recommended, but not required.
- 50 51

#### 52 II. BACKGROUND 53

54 In January 2004, the FDA issued a notice in the *Federal Register* requesting public comment on

55 the September 1996 draft guidance for the purpose of incorporating the latest scientific and

- 56 clinical advances in weight management drug development. In September 2004, the FDA
- 57 convened an advisory committee meeting to discuss the public comments received and to 58 identify specific scientific, clinical, and regulatory issues that should be included in an updated

58 guidance.

60

As a result, this revised guidance discusses several key areas of interest that are not covered in
 the September 1996 draft guidance. These areas include recommendations on the development
 of products for weight management in pediatric patients and in patients with medication-induced
 weight gain, and recommendations on the development of combinations of weight-management
 products.

66 67

### III. OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY CLINICAL BACKGROUND

68 69 70

#### A. The Adult Population

Obesity is a chronic, relapsing health risk defined by excess body fat. The pathogenesis of
obesity involves the interaction of genetic, environmental, and behavioral factors. Total body fat
can be accurately measured using hydrodensitometry and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry
(DEXA). Because body mass index (BMI), expressed as kilograms of weight divided by height
in meters squared (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), is simple and inexpensive to calculate, and correlates strongly with
total body fat in non-elderly adults, it is commonly used as a surrogate for total body fat.

78

Excess body fat increases the risk of death and major comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes,

80 hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease, osteoarthritis of the knee, sleep apnea, and

- 81 some cancers (Caterson and Hubbard et al. 2004; Calle and Thun et al. 1999). The relationships
- between BMI and risks for death and major comorbidities vary by age, sex, race, and smoking
- status, but, in general, are lowest in individuals with BMIs of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and  $k_{10}$  is a status of 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to 24.
- 84 increase in a curvilinear or linear manner with BMIs of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to approximately  $40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the CDER guidance Web page at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

85

- 86 Based on data relating BMI to mortality risk, the World Health Organization in 1995 and the
- 87 National Institutes of Health in 1998 adopted the weight classifications by BMI that are shown in
- 88 Table 1 (Clinical Guidelines on the Identification and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in
- 89 Adults 1998).
- 90
- 91

,	Table 1	•	Weight	Classification	Guidelines
		6			DIA

Classification	BMI
Underweight	$< 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$
Normal weight	$18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2 - 24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$
Overweight	$25 \text{ kg/m}^2 - 29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$
Obesity (class 1)	$30 \text{ kg/m}^2 - 34.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$
Obesity (class 2)	$35 \text{ kg/m}^2 - 39.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$
Extreme obesity (class 3)	$\geq$ 40 kg/m <sup>2</sup>

92

93 An increased level of visceral or intra-abdominal adiposity, independent of BMI, increases the

94 risk for metabolic derangements and perhaps cardiovascular disease (Janssen and Katzmarzyk et

al. 2004; Rexrode and Carey et al. 1998; Zhu and Wang et al. 2002). Visceral fat content can be

accurately measured with computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

97 Waist circumference, like BMI, is inexpensive and easy to measure and correlates with CT- and

98 MRI-derived measurements of visceral fat content (Pi-Sunyer 2004). In general, a waist

99 circumference greater than 40 inches (greater than 102 cm) in men and greater than 35 inches

(greater than 88 cm) in women is accepted as indicating increased visceral adiposity (The
 Practical Guide. Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults

Practical Guide. Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults2000).

103

104 In overweight and obese individuals, particularly individuals with comorbidities such as

105 hypertension, dyslipidemia, and type 2 diabetes, long-term weight loss greater than or equal to 5

106 percent following diet, exercise, and in some cases, drug treatment, is associated with

107 improvement in various metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors (Douketis and Macie et al.2005).

108 109

110 Although some, but not all, observational studies suggest that modest degrees of intentional

111 weight loss in overweight and obese individuals can reduce the incidence of some cancers,

112 cardiovascular disease, and all-cause mortality, at the time of this writing, there are no data from

randomized, controlled trials on the effects of drug-induced weight loss on these clinical

114 outcomes (Parker and Folsom 2003; Eilat-Adar and Eldar et al. 2004; Gregg and Gerzoff et al.

- 115 2003).
- 116

117 Lifestyle modification, consisting of changes in patterns of dietary intake, exercise, and other

behaviors, is considered the cornerstone of overweight and obesity management. Because all

drug and biological therapies impose some risk for adverse events, the use of a weight-

120 management product should be contemplated only after a sufficient trial of lifestyle modification

121 has *failed* and the risks of excess adiposity and the anticipated benefits of weight loss are

122 expected to outweigh the known and unknown risks of treatment with a particular weight-

123 management product.

Draft — Not for Implementation

124

Patients with BMIs greater than or equal to  $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$  or greater than or equal to  $27 \text{ kg/m}^2$  if 125

accompanied by weight-related comorbidities historically have been considered appropriate 126 127 populations for treatment with weight-management medications (Clinical Guidelines on the

128 Identification and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults 1998). Although these

129 patient-selection criteria are to a degree arbitrary, and an argument may be made for criteria that

- 130 are more or less restrictive, we believe that individuals with BMIs greater than or equal to 30
- $kg/m^2$  or greater than or equal to 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> if accompanied by weight-related comorbidities 131
- 132 represent patient groups with sufficient baseline risk to justify inclusion in studies of
- 133 investigational weight-management products.
- 134 135

#### **The Pediatric Population B**.

136 137 As in adults, BMI correlates with more direct measures of adiposity in children and adolescents

138 (American Academy of Pediatrics 2003; Barlow and Dietz 1998; Dietz and Robinson 2005; 139 Speiser and Rudolf et al. 2005). Also similar to adults, BMI correlates with obesity-related

140 comorbidities such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and type 2 diabetes mellitus in pediatric

- 141 patients.
- 142

143 In contrast to adults, the terms overweight and obese are used synonymously in pediatric patients

(American Academy of Pediatrics 2003). The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) defines a 144

145 pediatric-aged patient with an age- and sex-matched BMI of greater than or equal to 95th

147

146 percentile as overweight or obese.

For patients aged 2 to 7 years, the AAP recommends weight loss through lifestyle modification if 148 149 the BMI is greater than or equal to the 95th percentile for age and sex with the presence of one or

150 more comorbidities. For patients who are 7 years of age or older, weight loss through lifestyle

151 modification is recommended if the BMI is between the 85th and 95th percentile for age and sex

with the presence of one or more comorbidities or if the BMI is greater than or equal to the 95th 152

153 percentile for age and sex regardless of the presence of comorbidities.

154

155 Before therapeutic intervention, pediatric patients should receive a medical assessment to

156 identify genetic (e.g., Prader-Willi syndrome) or endocrinologic (e.g., Cushing's syndrome)

157 causes of their obesity. Patients also should be screened for the presence of comorbidities such

- 158 as hypertension, glucose intolerance, and dyslipidemia.
- 159

160 The use of weight-management products in pediatric patients, as in adults, should be

161 contemplated only after a sufficient trial of lifestyle modification has *failed* and the risks of

162 excess adiposity and the expected benefits of weight loss are believed to outweigh the known

163 and unknown risks of treatment with a particular weight-management product. Such a

164 population might include obese pediatric patients with weight-related comorbidites.

165

166

Draft — Not for Implementation

## 167 IV. CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF WEIGHT-MANAGEMENT PRODUCTS IN 168 ADULT PATIENTS

169 170

#### A. Phase 1 and Phase 2 Trials

171 172 Before initiating phase 3 clinical trials, the pharmacokinetics and dose-response profiles of a new 173 weight-management product should be well-characterized. Because excess adiposity may 174 influence a product's metabolism and disposition, the pharmacokinetics profile of a weight-175 management product should be examined in patients with a broad range of BMIs (e.g., 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> 176 to 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (Cheymol 2000). To increase the likelihood of identifying the most appropriate 177 dose for the pivotal clinical trials, early phase clinical studies should include a range of doses and 178 be designed to identify no-effect and maximally tolerated doses. Studies should be designed to 179 differentiate the efficacy of all the active doses versus placebo. The duration of the phase 2 trials 180 should be sufficient to capture the maximal or near-maximal weight loss effects of the active 181 doses. Forethought should be given to whether the product will be ultimately used in a fixed-182 dose or dose-titration scheme, as this dosing decision will also influence the size and duration of 183 the studies. 184 185 Patients included in the early phase efficacy and safety studies generally should have BMIs 186 greater than or equal to 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or greater than or equal to 27 kg/m<sup>2</sup> if accompanied by comorbidities. The primary efficacy endpoints should be a comparison of the mean absolute or 187 188 percent change in body weight between the active-product and placebo-treated groups and the 189 proportion of patients in each treatment group who lose greater than or equal to 5 percent of

190 baseline weight. The effects by dose of the weight-management product on common weight-191 related comorbidities also should be examined and taken into account when choosing the most 192 appropriate dose for the phase 3 studies.

193 194

195 196

- B. Phase 3 Clinical Trials
- 1. Trial Design and Patient Populations

In general, phase 3 clinical trials examining the efficacy and safety of weight-management
products should be randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled. The lifestyle modification
programs used in the preapproval trials should be applicable to individual patients prescribed the
product post-approval (i.e., programs should strike an appropriate balance between effectiveness
and simplicity).

203

In general, patients should have or be at significant risk for weight-related morbidity and mortality. Such patients include those with BMIs greater than or equal to  $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$  or greater than or equal to  $27 \text{ kg/m}^2$  in the presence of comorbidities (e.g., type 2 diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, sleep apnea, cardiovascular disease).

208

209 Effort should be made to include in the studies a representative sample of patients from the

210 various demographic, ethnic, and racial groups in which the prevalence of obesity is highest.

211 Development programs also should include a representative sample of patients with extreme

212 obesity (BMI greater than  $40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ).

Draft — Not for Implementation

213					
214	2. Trial Size and Duration				
215					
216	The number of subjects necessary to demonstrate the efficacy of a weight-management product				
217	will be smaller than the number needed to adequately assess safety. A reasonable estimation of				
218	the safety of a weight-management product upon which to base approval generally can be made				
219	when a total of approximately 3,000 subjects are randomized to active doses of the product and				
220	no fewer than 1,500 subjects are randomized to placebo for 1 year of treatment.				
221					
222	For example, the above sample size will provide 80 percent power to rule out with 95 percent				
223	confidence an approximately 50 percent increase in the incidence of an adverse event that occurs				
224	at a rate of 3 percent in the placebo group (i.e., 4.5 percent versus 3 percent). This sample size				
225	also should allow for efficacy and safety analyses to be conducted within important subgroups				
226	such as sex, ethnicity, and baseline BMI.				
227					
228	3. Efficacy Endpoints				
229					
230	a. Primary efficacy endpoint				
230	a. I finiary entered y encipoint				
232	The efficacy of a weight-management product should be assessed by analyses of both mean and				
232	categorical changes in body weight.				
233	categorical changes in body weight.				
234	• Maan: The difference in mean percent loss of baseline body weight in the active product				
235	• Mean: The difference in mean percent loss of baseline body weight in the active-product				
230 237	versus placebo-treated group.				
238	• Categorical: The proportion of subjects who lose at least 5 percent of baseline body				
239	weight in the active-product versus placebo-treated group.				
240					
241	b. Secondary efficacy endpoints				
242					
243	Secondary efficacy endpoints should include, but are not limited to, changes in the following				
244	metabolic parameters:				
245					
246	Blood pressure and pulse				
247	Lipoprotein lipids				
248	• Fasting glucose and insulin				
249	• HbA1c (in type 2 diabetics)				
250	• Waist circumference				
251					
252	In clinical practice, waist circumference is used as an indirect measure of visceral fat content,				
253	which when increased is associated with an elevated risk for metabolic abnormalities such as				
254	dyslipidemia and diabetes. Because the evaluation of investigational weight-management				
255	products routinely includes assessment of changes in patients' metabolic profiles, and in some				
256	cases may involve measurement of visceral fat content by CT or MRI, waist circumference				
257	should not serve as a surrogate for visceral fat content when measured in a clinical trial				
258	investigating the efficacy of a product for weight loss. Rather, it can be a means to confirm that				

258 investigating the efficacy of a product for weight loss. Rather, it can be a means to confirm that

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

259 260 261	reductions in waist circumference following treatment with a weight-management product are associated with the expected improvements in metabolic parameters.
261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270	It is likely that a large portion of study subjects will be taking concomitant medications to treat weight-related comorbidities such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and dyslipidemia. Since weight loss is expected to improve these comorbidities, an important secondary efficacy endpoint should be the proportion of subjects treated with the weight-management product compared with placebo who have a meaningful dose-reduction or complete withdrawal of their concomitant medication. Algorithms that direct dose reduction or withdrawal of concomitant medications based on changes in levels of blood pressure, lipids, or glycemia should be included in the study protocols.
271 272 273	Measures of quality of life from validated instruments also can be appropriate secondary efficacy endpoints.
274 275	c. Efficacy benchmarks
275 276 277 278	In general, a product can be considered effective for weight management if after 1 year of treatment either of the following occurs:
279 280 281	• The difference in mean weight loss between the active-product and placebo-treated groups is at least 5 percent and the difference is statistically significant
282 283 284 285 286	• The proportion of subjects who lose greater than or equal to 5 percent of baseline body weight in the active-product group is at least 35 percent, is approximately double the proportion in the placebo-treated group, and the difference between groups is statistically significant
287 288 289 290 291	Improvements in blood pressure, lipids, glycemia, or other areas commensurate with the degree of weight lost are expected in patients treated with an effective weight-management product. Therefore, changes in common weight-related comorbidites should be factored into the efficacy assessment of investigational weight-management products.
292 293	4. Standard of Care and Concomitant Medication
293 294 295 296 297	Overweight and obese patients enrolled in clinical studies of investigational weight-management products should receive standard of care, including medication, for comorbidities such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and glycemic control.
298 299	5. Patients with Type 2 Diabetes
300 301 302 303 304	Compared with nondiabetic patients, overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes often respond less favorably to weight-management products and may face unique safety issues such as risk for sulfonylurea-induced hypoglycemia following weight loss (if the dose of sulfonylurea is not appropriately lowered or the drug discontinued). Therefore, sponsors should consider examining the efficacy and safety of weight-management products in trials dedicated to patients

Draft — Not for Implementation

with type 2 diabetes. The following recommendations should be considered when designingsuch trials:

- 307 308
- In general, patients should have baseline HbA1c levels between 8 percent and 10 percent.
- Patients should be excluded if they have fasting glucose levels greater than 270 mg/dl.
- Protocols should include escape criteria for poor glycemic control.
- Protocols should include an algorithm for the lowering or elimination of oral
   hypoglycemia or insulin dose based on fasting glucose levels and/or HbA1c (for patients
   who lose clinically significant amounts of weight).
- Patient randomization should be stratified by baseline antidiabetic medication (e.g.,
   metformin versus sulfonylurea versus a thiazolidinedione versus insulin) and baseline
   HbA1c level (e.g., less than or equal to 9 percent versus greater than 9 percent).
  - Hypoglycemia safety should be monitored.<sup>3</sup>
- 317 318

320

319

#### C. General Safety Assessment of Weight-Management Products

To ensure that drug or biologic-induced weight loss is caused primarily by a reduction in fat content, not lean-body mass, a representative sample of study subjects should have a baseline and follow-up measurement of body composition by DEXA, or a suitable alternative.

324

325 In addition to routine safety monitoring, it may be appropriate for the development programs of

326 some weight-management products to have specialized safety assessments. For example,

327 products that directly interact with the 5HT receptor system, specifically the 5HT<sub>2</sub> receptor

328 subtypes, probably should include evaluation of risk for cardiac valvulopathy using serial

329 echocardiography. The development plans for centrally acting weight-management products

330 generally should include validated assessments of neuropsychiatric function.

331

Assessment of the immunogenic potential of therapeutic proteins should be performed over a

333 period of at least 6 to 12 months. If adverse events characteristic of allergic or immunologic 334 reactions are identified, the FDA may ask for additional studies, with durations longer than 12

months. These additional studies may need to be conducted before submission of an application

for registration or may be conducted after approval as a postmarketing commitment, based on the

337 overall analysis of the product's risks and benefits. The appropriate timing of such studies can 338 be discussed with the EDA at a pre-biologies license application meeting or other similar advice

be discussed with the FDA at a pre-biologics license application meeting or other similar advice
 meeting.

341 For centrally acting weight-management products, sponsors should anticipate the need to

342 conduct preclinical and clinical studies of abuse liability. Sponsors are encouraged to discuss the

- design of these studies with members of CDER's Controlled Substance Staff during the earlyphases of product development.
- 345

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Defining and Reporting Hypoglycemia in Diabetes: A Report from the American Diabetes Association Workgroup on Hypoglycemia, 2005, *Diabetes Care*, 28(5): 1245-9.

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

The need for and details of specific safety monitoring may change as new data emerge.
Sponsors are encouraged to discuss their plans for specific safety monitoring with the division
during the early stages of product development.

- 349
- 350 351

#### D. Weight-Management Products Used in Combination

Two or more products may be combined into a single fixed-dosed combination when each component makes a contribution to the claimed effect or effects (21 CFR 300.50).

354

Before initiating long-term clinical studies with fixed-dose combinations, sponsors should
conduct the appropriate preclinical and pharmacokinetics studies. (See the guidances for
industry Nonclinical Safety Evaluation of Drug or Biologic Combinations and Bioavailability
and Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products — General Considerations.)

359

360 We recommend that the efficacy and safety of fixed-dose combinations be compared with the

361 individual product components of the combination and placebo in phase 2 trials of sufficient

362 duration to capture the maximal or near-maximal weight-management effects of the products.

363 We have not defined a minimum difference in weight loss between a fixed-dose combination and

364 its individual component products that should be achieved for the combination to be considered

365 more efficacious than either of its components when used alone. However, a fixed-dose

- 366 combination that is associated with at least twice the weight loss observed with that of each of 367 the individual components will be viewed more favorably than combinations that do not achieve
- 368 this degree of relative weight loss.
- 369

370 Once a fixed-dose combination has been deemed more effective than its individual components,

the combination can then be examined versus placebo in phase 3 trials. This approach may

372 preclude the need to include treatment groups for the individual components of the fixed-dose 373 combination product in late-stage preapproval trials.

374

The efficacy of a product combination for weight management generally will be assessed using the same factors as those applied to a single product, as defined in section IV.B.3.

377 378

379

E. Weight-Management Products for Patients with Medication-Induced Weight Gain

A number of drugs, notably psychotropic and some anticonvulsant agents, are associated with
moderate-to-marked weight gain (Baptista and Zarate et al. 2004; Pierre and Picard 2001). In
addition to increasing the risk for adverse health outcomes, medication-induced weight gain may
reduce compliance with the drug responsible for the increased body weight.

385

386 Before initiating long-term clinical studies in patients with medication-induced weight gain,

387 sponsors should rule out clinically significant drug-drug interactions and perform appropriate

- 388 preclinical toxicological studies of the subject products. For details, see the guidances for
- 389 industry Drug Metabolism/Drug Interaction Studies in the Drug Development Process: Studies
- 390 In Vitro, In Vivo Drug Metabolism/Drug Interaction Studies Study Design, Data Analysis, and

Draft — Not for Implementation

391 Recommendations for Dosing and Labeling, and Nonclinical Safety Evaluation of Drug or 392 Biologic Combinations.

393

394 Patients eligible for participation in trials examining the efficacy and safety of products for the 395 treatment of medication-induced weight gain should have a documented increase in body weight 396 of at least 5 percent within 6 months of starting a drug known to cause weight gain. Patients

should have BMIs greater than or equal to  $27 \text{ kg/m}^2$  with comorbidities or greater than or equal 397

- to  $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$  with or without comorbidities at the time of screening. 398
- 399

400 Because most weight-management products act within the central nervous system (CNS) and

- 401 many of the drugs commonly associated with moderate-to-marked weight gain are used to treat 402 psychiatric or neurological disorders, unique issues of efficacy and safety may arise in studies of
- 403 products used to treat medication-induced weight gain. For example, it would be important to
- 404 demonstrate that the efficacy and safety of the medication causing the weight gain (e.g., atypical

405 antipsychotic) was not adversely affected by a weight-management product with a CNS

406 mechanism of action, and vice versa. These and similar issues should be taken into account

- 407 when designing and determining the sample size of trials for the treatment of medication-induced weight gain.
- 408 409

410 The efficacy of a product for the treatment of medication-induced weight gain generally will be

- 411 assessed using the same factors as those for weight management, as defined in section IV.B.3.
- 412

413 Serotonin syndrome, a potentially life-threatening condition characterized by akathisia, tremor,

414 altered mental status, clonus, muscular hypertonicity, and hyperthermia (Boyer and Shannon

415 2005), has been observed in patients exposed to a single or two or more proserotonergic agents

- 416 used in combination. Therefore, in general, weight-management products that act as agonists at
- 417 serotonin receptors, particularly the 5-HT2<sub>A</sub> subtype, should not be studied in combination with
- 418 proserotonergic medications associated with weight gain.
- 419

420 Because of issues related to safety and possibly efficacy that are unique to the particular

421 combinations of drugs studied, approval of a product for weight management in patients with 422 medication-induced weight gain generally will be limited to the weight-inducing drug studied

- and will not apply to the drug class in which the compound is a member. For example, if a
- 423 424 weight-management product is shown to be effective and reasonably safe in the treatment of
- 425 clozapine-induced weight gain, the approved indication would be limited to clozapine-induced
- 426 weight gain and would not necessarily apply to the entire class of atypical or second generation
- 427 antipsychotics.
- 428
- 429

Draft — Not for Implementation

## 430 V. CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF LONG-TERM WEIGHT-MANAGEMENT 431 PRODUCTS IN PEDIATRIC PATIENTS<sup>4</sup>

432 433 Because the benefit of weight-management products should be carefully weighed against potential toxicity, particularly in the pediatric population, we anticipate that phase 3 data in

434 potential toxicity, particularly in the pediatric population, we anticipate that ph 435 adults generally will be available before a new product is studied in children.

436

437 To ensure that the most appropriate dose or doses are studied in phase 3 trials, an assessment of

the pharmacokinetics of a weight-management product in pediatric patients may be appropriatebefore initiation of long-term clinical studies. Pharmacokinetics and dose-ranging studies

440 generally should include patients with age- and sex-matched BMIs greater than or equal to the

441 95th percentile.

442

443 Trials examining the efficacy and safety of a weight-management product in pediatric patients

should be randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, and 1 year in duration. We suggest that

initial pediatric studies be limited to adolescents (i.e., 12 to 16 year olds). Eligible patients

should have age- and sex-matched BMIs greater than or equal to the 95th percentile (see

447 http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts). Patients should have a documented history of failing to lose

448 sufficient weight with lifestyle modification before enrollment into studies of a weight-

- 449 management product.
- 450

451 We recommend that initial clinical studies include patients with one or more weight-related

452 comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia, or hypertension. Once a satisfactory risk453 benefit profile has been established in this high-risk group of patients, studies of lower risk

455 patients, studies of nower risk 454 patients can be considered. Effort should be made to recruit equal numbers of males and females

and representative samples of patients from ethnic groups in which the prevalence of obesity is

- 456 high.
- 457

458 The lifestyle modification program should continue following randomization to product or

459 placebo and its importance emphasized at appropriate intervals throughout the trials.

460

461 Because linear growth should be taken into account when assessing changes in the body weight

462 of children and adolescents, the primary efficacy parameter in weight-management trials of

463 pediatric patients should be a function of the change in BMI (e.g., the mean percent change in

BMI and the proportion of patients who lose greater than or equal to 5 percent of baseline BMI).

465 Height measurements should be obtained from a wall-mounted stadiometer.

466

467 Since demonstration of adequate safety necessitates a larger sample size than demonstration of
 468 efficacy, we anticipate that the sample size of the long-term pediatric weight-management

studies will be determined by considerations of the product's mechanism of action and safetyprofile in adults. Sponsors should discuss and justify their proposed sample size with the

- 470 prome in adults. Sponsors should div 471 division before initiating the study.
- 472

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For details on preclinical and pharmacokinetic evaluations for pediatric product development, see the ICH guidances for industry *M3 Nonclinical Safety Studies for the Conduct of Human Clinical Trials for Pharmaceutics* and *E11 Clinical Investigation of Medicinal Products in the Pediatric Population*.

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

473 In addition to standard safety evaluations specific to growing children (e.g., assessing Tanner 474 stage at baseline and endpoint), studies of centrally acting weight-management products in 475 pediatric patients also should include validated assessments of neuropsychiatric function. Other 476 specialized safety assessments may be appropriate depending on the product's mechanism of 477 action and its safety profile in adults. 478 479 The efficacy assessment of a weight-management product in pediatric patients will take into 480 account the product's effectiveness in overweight and obese adults as well as the magnitude of 481 the difference in the mean and categorical (greater than or equal to 5 percent) changes in BMI 482 from baseline to Year 1 in pediatric patients treated with active product versus placebo. 483 484 485 VI. STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS 486 487 A. **Sample Size** 

489 The number of subjects in a placebo-controlled trial should be the maximum of sample sizes 490 calculated based on the co-primary endpoints of categorical response defined as greater than or 491 equal to 5 percent reduction in baseline body weight after 1 year, and change from baseline 492 weight. Calculations should be based on two-sided tests of significance at the 5 percent level 493 and at least 80 percent power. Effect sizes for the calculations should represent clinically 494 meaningful differences.

495

488

496 497

#### B. Preventing Missing Data from Premature Subject Withdrawal

Historically, there have been high rates of premature subject withdrawal in long-term trials of weight-management products. To allow for a true intent-to-treat (ITT) analysis, we encourage sponsors to obtain body weight measurements in all subjects who prematurely withdraw from late-stage preapproval trials near the calendar date at which they were scheduled to complete the trial (Simons-Morton and Obarzanek et al. 2006). For example, a subject who withdraws from a 12-month study after 6 months of treatment should have a body weight measurement at the time he or she would have completed 12 months of study participation.

505

#### C. Analysis Methods

506 507

Response rates should be compared between treatment groups using statistical methods appropriate for categorical data. A sensitivity analysis should be conducted that considers subjects who are treated, drop out, and do not have complete post-baseline data as treatment failures.

512

513 The analysis of (percentage) weight change from baseline should use ANOVA or ANCOVA

- 514 with baseline weight as a covariate in the model. The analysis should be applied to the last
- 515 observation carried forward on treatment in the modified ITT population defined as subjects who
- 516 received at least one dose of study drug and have at least one post-baseline assessment of body
- 517 weight. Sensitivity analyses employing other imputation strategies should assess the effect of
- 518 dropouts on the results. The imputation strategy should always be prespecified and should

#### Draft — Not for Implementation

519 consider the expected dropout patterns and the time-course of weight changes in the treatment 520 groups. No imputation strategy will work for all situations, particularly when the dropout rate is high, so a primary study objective should be to keep missing values to a minimum. Repeated 521 522 measures analyses can be used to analyze longitudinal weight measurements but should estimate 523 the treatment effect at the final time point. Statistical models should incorporate as factors any 524 variables used to stratify the randomization. As important as assessing statistical significance is 525 estimating the size of the treatment effect. If statistical significance is achieved on the co-526 primary endpoints, type 1 error should be controlled across all clinically relevant secondary 527 efficacy endpoints intended for product labeling.

528 529

#### D. Graphical Methods

Graphical methods showing treatment effects over time for completers should be presented.
Cumulative distribution plots can be useful for showing response rates for different definitions of
response based on the percentage of subjects with a change value equal to or less than the value
on the x-axis selected to define the positive response. Additional graphical presentations of the
data to illustrate the effect of the drug are encouraged. For examples, see the guidance for
industry *Clinical Studies Section of Labeling for Human Prescription Drug and Biological Products — Content and Format.*

538 539

### 540 VII. LABELING CONSIDERATIONS541

542 Data on the changes in the major weight-related comorbidities are important in assessing the 543 overall risk-benefit profile of a new weight-management product and can be included in the 544 Clinical Studies section of the product's labeling. However, it is important to recognize that 545 even though secondary efficacy endpoints are prespecified and the overall type 1 error rate is 546 controlled for, that does not necessarily guarantee that all secondary endpoints will be included 547 in labeling if the differences between active-product and placebo-treated groups are of nominal 548 statistical significance. The clinical significance and consistency across studies of any observed 549 differences will be important in determining whether the secondary efficacy data merit inclusion 550 in the Clinical Studies section of the labeling.

551 552

## 553 VIII. STAND-ALONE INDICATIONS FOR THE PREVENTION OR TREATMENT 554 OF WEIGHT-RELATED COMORBIDITIES

555

556 As mentioned earlier, weight loss through lifestyle modification is associated with improvements 557 in blood pressure, lipid levels, glucose and insulin metabolism, and other physiometabolic 558 endpoints. Improvements in these comorbidites are expected following drug or biologic-induced 559 weight loss, and from a regulatory perspective, they are considered part of the weight-560 management indication. Thus, for a weight-management product to obtain a stand-alone 561 indication for the prevention or treatment of type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, or any 562 other weight-related comorbidity, it should be shown that the product effectively prevents or 563 treats the comorbidity through a mechanism that is independent of weight loss.

564

Draft — Not for Implementation

#### IX. 566 **METABOLIC SYNDROME**

565

567 568 The term *metabolic syndrome* represents a cluster of laboratory and clinical findings that serve as 569 markers for increased risk for cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes, and, depending upon 570 the definition used, is prevalent in as much as 25 percent of the adult American population. The 571 FDA does not necessarily consider the metabolic syndrome to represent a distinct disease entity. 572 At present, there is no single etiological factor or central pathogenetic abnormality identified as 573 mediating the constellation of excess visceral adiposity, abnormal lipids, elevated blood pressure, 574 and insulin resistance that comprise the metabolic syndrome. Nonetheless, in addition to 575 lifestyle modification, a host of drug therapies now exist to address individual or multiple 576 components of the syndrome (e.g., lipid altering agents, antihypertensives, insulin sensitizers). 577 Ideally, a therapeutic product intended to treat metabolic syndrome should *normalize* or improve 578 all components of the syndrome, independent of weight loss (see section VIII), and ultimately be 579 shown to prevent the development of type 2 diabetes and reduce cardiovascular morbidity and 580 mortality. 581

Duaft	Not for	Innel	montation
Draji —	NOI JOI	impie	ementation

	Draji — Noi for Implementation
582	REFERENCES
583	
584	American Academy of Pediatrics, 2003, Policy Statement, Committee on Nutrition. Prevention
585	of Pediatric Overweight and Obesity, <i>Pediatrics</i> , 112:424-430.
586	
587	Baptista, T, J Zarate, R Joober, C Colasante, S Beaulieu et al., 2004, Drug-Induced Weight Gain,
588	An Impediment to Successful Pharmacotherapy: Focus on Antipsychotics, <i>Current Drug</i>
589	Targets, 5:279-299.
590	<i>Turgets</i> , <i>5.217-277</i> .
590 591	Barlow, SE and WH Dietz, 1998, Obesity Evaluation and Treatment: Expert Committee
592	Recommendations, <i>Pediatrics</i> , 102(3):e29.
593	
594	Boyer, EW and M Shannon, 2005, The Serotonin Syndrome, New England Journal of Medicine,
595	352:1112-1120.
596	
597	Calle, EE, MJ Thun, JM Petrelli, C Rodriquez, and CW Heath, 1999, Body Mass Index and
598	Mortality in a Prospective Cohort of U.S. Adults, New England Journal of Medicine, 341:1097-
599	1105.
600	
601	Caterson, ID, V Hubbard, GA Bray, R Grunstein, BC Hansen et al., 2004, Obesity, A Worldwide
602	Epidemic Related to Heart Disease and Stoke, Circulation, 110:e476-e483.
603	
604	Cheymol, G, 2000, Effects of Obesity on Pharmacokinetics — Implications for Drug Therapy,
605	Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 39:215-231.
606	
607	Clinical Guidelines on the Identification and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults,
608	The Evidence Report, 1998, NIH Publication No. 98-4083.
609	
610	Defining and Reporting Hypoglycemia in Diabetes: A Report from the American Diabetes
611	Association Workgroup on Hypoglycemia, 2005, <i>Diabetes Care</i> , 28(5): 1245-9.
612	
613	Dietz, WH and TN Robinson, 2005, Overweight Children and Adolescents, New England
613 614	Journal of Medicine, 352(20):2100-2109.
615	Journal of Mealcine, 552(20).2100-2109.
616	Doukating ID, C Maria, I. Thebana and DE Williamson, 2005, Systematic Davian of Long Term
	Douketis, JD, C Macie, L Thabane, and DF Williamson, 2005, Systematic Review of Long-Term
617	Weight Loss Studies in Obese Adults: Clinical Significance and Applicability to Clinical
618	Practice, International Journal of Obesity, 29:1153-1167.
619	
620	Eilat-Adar, S, M Eldar, and U Goldbourt, 2004, Association of Intentional Changes in Body
621	Weight with Coronary Heart Disease Event Rates in Overweight Subjects Who Have an
622	Additional Coronary Risk Factor, American Journal of Epidemiology, 161:352-358.
623	
624	Gregg, EW, RB Gerzoff, TJ Thompson, and DF Williamson, 2003, Intentional Weight Loss and
625	Death in Overweight and Obese U.S. Adults 35 Years of Age and Older, Annals of Internal
626	Medicine, 138:383-389.
627	

Draft — Not for Implementation

- Janssen, I, PT Katzmarzyk, and R Ross, 2004, Waist Circumference and not Body Mass Index
- 629 Explains Obesity-Related Health Risk, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 79:379-384.
- 630
- 631 Parker, ED and AR Folsom, 2003, Intentional Weight Loss and Incidence of Obesity-Related
- 632 Cancers: The Iowa Women's Health Study, *International Journal of Obesity*, 27:1447-1452.
- 633
- Pierre, J and F Picard, 2001, Bodyweight Gain and Anticonvulsants, A Comparative Review,
   *Drug Safety*, 24:969-978.
- 636
- Pi-Sunyer, FX, 2004, The Epidemiology of Central Fat Distribution in Relation to Disease,
   *Nutrition Reviews*, 62:S120-126.
- 639
- The Practical Guide. Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity inAdults, 2000, NIH Publication No. 00-4084.
- 642
- 643 Rexrode, KM, VJ Carey, CH Hennekens, EE Walters, GA Colditz et al., 1998, Abdominal
- 644 Obesity and Coronary Heart Disease in Women, *Journal of the American Medical Association*,
   645 280:1843-1848.
- 645 280 646
- 647 Simons-Morton, D, E Obarzanek, and J Cutler, 2006, Obesity Research-Limitations of Methods,
- 648 Measurements, and Medications, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 295:826-828.
- 649
- 650 Speiser, P, M Rudolf, H Anhalt, C Camacho-Hubner, F Chiarelli et al., 2005, Childhood Obesity,
- *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 90(3):1871-1887.
- 652
- <sup>653</sup> Zhu, S, Z Wang, S Heshka, M Heo, MS Faith et al., 2002, Waist Circumference and Obesity-
- Associated Risk Factors Among Whites in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination
- 655 Survey: Clinical Action Thresholds, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 76:743-749.
- 656