
Use of Electronic Records and Electronic Signatures in Clinical Investigations Under 21 CFR Part 11 – Questions and Answers Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Cheryl Grandinetti or Leonard Sacks at 301-796-2500; (CBER) Office of Communication, Outreach and Development, 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010; or (CDRH) Program Operations Staff or Irfan Khan at 301-796-5640.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)
Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH)**

**June 2017
Procedural**

Use of Electronic Records and Electronic Signatures in Clinical Investigations Under 21 CFR Part 11 – Questions and Answers Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research*

Food and Drug Administration

10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor

Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353

Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov

<https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>
and/or

Office of Communication, Outreach and Development

Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research

Food and Drug Administration

10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Room 3128

Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010

Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov

<https://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>
and/or

Office of Communication and Education

CDRH-Division of Industry and Consumer Education

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Food and Drug Administration

10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Room 4621

Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002

Phone: 800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100; Fax: 301-847-8149

Email: DICE@fda.hhs.gov

<https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/default.htm>

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)
Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH)**

June 2017

Procedural

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	SCOPE	3
IV.	QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS: SCOPE AND APPLICATION OF PART 11 REQUIREMENTS IN CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS	5
	A. Electronic Systems Owned or Managed by Sponsors and Other Regulated Entities.....	5
	B. Outsourced Electronic Services	10
	C. Electronic Systems Primarily Used in the Provision of Medical Care	13
	D. Mobile Technology.....	13
	E. Telecommunication Systems	17
V.	ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES.....	18
	APPENDIX I: OTHER GUIDANCES WITH APPLICABLE RECOMMENDATIONS .	22
	APPENDIX II: GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	23

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1 **Use of Electronic Records and Electronic Signatures in Clinical**
2 **Investigations Under 21 CFR Part 11 –**
3 **Questions and Answers**
4 **Guidance for Industry¹**
5

6
7 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug
8 Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not
9 binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the
10 applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible
11 for this guidance as listed on the title page.
12

13
14
15 **I. INTRODUCTION**
16

17 This document provides guidance to sponsors, clinical investigators, institutional review boards
18 (IRBs), contract research organizations (CROs), and other interested parties on the use of
19 electronic records and electronic signatures in clinical investigations of medical products² under
20 21 CFR part 11, Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures.³
21

22 This guidance clarifies, updates, and expands upon recommendations in the guidance for
23 industry *Part 11, Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures – Scope and Application* (referred to
24 as the 2003 part 11 guidance)⁴ that pertain to clinical investigations conducted under 21 CFR
25 parts 312 and 812.⁵ Thus, this guidance is limited to outlining the scope and application of part
26 11 requirements for clinical investigations of medical products.
27

28 This guidance discusses the following:

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Medical Policy in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research in coordination with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, the Center for Devices and Radiological Health, and the Office of Regulatory Affairs at the Food and Drug Administration.

² For the purposes of this guidance, unless otherwise noted, the term *clinical investigations* refers to FDA-regulated clinical investigations of medical products conducted under an investigational new drug application (IND) according to 21 CFR part 312 or under an investigational device exemption according to 21 CFR part 812. In this guidance, *medical products* include human drugs and biological products, medical devices, and combination products.

³ In this guidance, 21 CFR part 11 is referred to as part 11 regulations.

⁴ For more information, see the guidance for industry *Part 11, Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures – Scope and Application*. We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>. Also, see the *Federal Register* of September 5, 2003 (68 FR 52779).

⁵ See Appendix I of this guidance for a list of other guidances that contain applicable recommendations.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- Procedures that may be followed to help ensure that ***electronic records*** and ***electronic signatures*** meet FDA requirements and that the records and signatures are considered trustworthy, reliable, and generally equivalent to paper records and handwritten signatures executed on paper
 - The use of a risk-based approach when deciding to validate ***electronic systems***, implement ***audit trails*** for electronic records, and archive records that are pertinent to clinical investigations conducted under parts 312 and 812

38 The goals of this guidance are as follows:

39

- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- Update recommendations for applying and implementing part 11 requirements in the current environment of electronic systems used in clinical investigations
 - Clarify and further expand on the risk-based approach described in the 2003 part 11 guidance to validation, audit trails, and archiving of records
 - Encourage and facilitate the use of electronic records and systems to improve the quality and efficiency of clinical investigations

49 The Glossary in Appendix II defines many of the terms used in this guidance. Words or phrases
50 found in the Glossary appear in ***bold italics*** at first mention.

51

52 In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
53 Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only
54 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
55 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
56 not required.

57

II. BACKGROUND

58

59

60

61 In March 1997, FDA published a final rule to establish criteria that must be met when a record
62 required by a predicate rule⁶ is created, modified, maintained, archived, retrieved, or transmitted
63 in electronic form in place of a paper record and when electronic signatures are used in place of
64 traditional handwritten signatures.⁷ The part 11 regulations, which apply to all FDA program
65 areas, were intended to permit the widest possible use of electronic technology. These
66 regulations are compatible with FDA's responsibility for protecting the public health, while also
67 ensuring the authenticity, the reliability, and, when appropriate, the confidentiality of electronic

⁶ The underlying requirements set forth in the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), the Public Health Service Act, and FDA regulations (other than part 11) are referred to in this guidance as *predicate rules*.

⁷ See 21 CFR part 11.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

68 records, and ensuring that the signer cannot readily repudiate the signed record as not being
69 genuine.⁸

70
71 The 2003 part 11 guidance represented FDA’s interpretation of the regulations and was tailored
72 to the technological environment that prevailed. Since 2003, advances in technology have
73 expanded the uses and capabilities of electronic systems in clinical investigations. In addition,
74 electronic systems and technologies are used and managed in novel ways, services are shared or
75 contracted between organizations in new ways, and electronic data flow between parties is more
76 efficient and more prevalent. The standards and capabilities of electronic systems have
77 improved, and features – such as audit trails, automated date-and-time stamps, appropriate
78 validation, and the ability to generate copies and retain records – are standard components of
79 many electronic systems.

80
81 FDA’s overall approach to the 2003 part 11 guidance was to provide a narrow and practical
82 interpretation of part 11 requirements. FDA continues to support and promote such a narrow and
83 practical interpretation in this guidance, including the Agency’s continuing intent to exercise
84 enforcement discretion regarding certain part 11 requirements for validation, audit trails, record
85 retention, and record copying.⁹ FDA reminds sponsors, however, that records must still be
86 maintained or submitted in accordance with the underlying predicate rules, and the Agency can
87 take regulatory action for noncompliance with such predicate rules. In addition, FDA continues
88 to encourage sponsors and other regulated entities to use a risk-based approach, as introduced in
89 the 2003 part 11 guidance and further described in this guidance, when deciding to validate
90 electronic systems, implement audit trails, or archive required records for clinical investigations.

91
92 Acknowledging the technological advances and remaining consistent with FDA’s overall
93 approach to the part 11 requirements, FDA clarifies in this guidance the part 11 controls that
94 sponsors and other regulated entities must implement, as appropriate,¹⁰ in the current
95 technological environment. Furthermore, FDA regards the validation of electronic systems, the
96 ability to generate complete and accurate copies of records, the ability to archive records, and the
97 use of audit trails as powerful tools for ensuring the quality and reliability of electronic records.
98 Therefore, in this guidance, FDA encourages and further clarifies the risk-based approach to
99 validation of electronic systems, implementation of electronic audit trails, and archiving of
100 electronic records to continue to ensure the quality, authenticity, and reliability of electronic
101 records from their point of creation to their modification, maintenance, archiving, retrieval, or
102 transmission.¹¹

103
104

105 **III. SCOPE**

⁸ See 62 FR 13430 (March 20, 1997).

⁹ For more information about the part 11 requirements for validation, audit trails, record retention, and record copying, see § 11.10(a) through (c) and (e) and the corresponding requirements in § 11.30.

¹⁰ For more information, see § 11.10(d) and (f) through (k) and § 11.30.

¹¹ See footnote 4.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

106 In general, part 11 requirements apply to electronic records and electronic signatures and to the
107 electronic systems used to create, modify, maintain, archive, retrieve, or transmit them (also, see
108 section IV.A.Q5).¹²

109
110 This guidance applies to the following electronic records and electronic signatures:

- 111
- 112 • Records required for clinical investigations of medical products that are maintained in
113 electronic format in place of paper format, including all records that are necessary for
114 FDA to reconstruct a study
- 115
- 116 • Records required for clinical investigations of medical products that are maintained in
117 electronic format and where the electronic record is relied on to perform regulated
118 activities
- 119
- 120 • Records for clinical investigations submitted to FDA in electronic format under predicate
121 rules, even if such records are not specifically identified in FDA regulations (see
122 § 11.1(b))
- 123
- 124 • Electronic signatures required for clinical investigations intended to be the equivalent of
125 handwritten signatures, initials, and other general signings

126 This guidance addresses the applicability of part 11 requirements for the following electronic
127 systems used to create, modify, maintain, archive, retrieve, or transmit an electronic record
128 referenced in the bulleted list above for clinical investigations:

- 129 • Electronic systems, including ***commercial off-the-shelf (COTS)*** and ***customized***
130 ***electronic systems*** owned or managed by sponsors and other regulated entities
- 131
- 132 • Electronic services, outsourced by the sponsor or other regulated entities
- 133
- 134 • Electronic systems primarily used in the provision of medical care
- 135
- 136 • ***Mobile technology***
- 137
- 138 • Telecommunication systems

139 For electronic systems that fall under the scope of part 11 regulations, the regulations distinguish
140 the systems as closed or open (see §§ 11.10 and 11.30, respectively).¹³ This distinction is seldom
141 relevant because of the pervasive use of the internet and web-based systems. By permitting
142 access to electronic systems through use of the internet, the security that results from restricting
143 physical access may be lost. Therefore, it would be prudent to implement additional security

¹² See footnote 4.

¹³ For the regulatory definition of a closed system, see 21 CFR 11.3(b)(4). For the regulatory definition of an open system, see 21 CFR 11.3(b)(9).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

144 measures for such systems above and beyond those controls for closed systems described in
145 § 11.10, such as document encryption and the use of appropriate electronic signature standards to
146 ensure the authenticity, integrity, and confidentiality of records (see § 11.30).

147
148

149 **IV. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS: SCOPE AND APPLICATION OF PART 11** 150 **REQUIREMENTS IN CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

151
152
153
154

A. Electronic Systems Owned or Managed by Sponsors and Other Regulated Entities

155 Examples of electronic systems used in clinical investigations that are owned or managed by
156 sponsors and other regulated entities (e.g., CROs, IRBs) include *electronic case report forms*
157 (*eCRFs*); *electronic data capture (EDC) systems*, electronic trial master files (eTMFs),
158 electronic Clinical Data Management System (eCDMS), electronic Clinical Trial Management
159 System (eCTMS), Interactive Voice Response System (IVRS), Interactive Web Response
160 System (IWRS), centralized, web-based electronic patient-reported outcomes (ePRO) portals,
161 and electronic IRB human subject application systems (eIRBs). Requirements and
162 recommendations for these systems are described in this section.

163
164
165
166

Q1. What should sponsors and other regulated entities consider when using a risk-based approach for validation of electronic systems used in clinical investigations?

167 Consistent with the policy announced in the 2003 part 11 guidance, sponsors and other
168 regulated entities should use a risk-based approach¹⁴ for validating electronic systems
169 owned or managed by sponsors and other regulated entities.¹⁵ Validation is critical to
170 ensure that the electronic system is correctly performing its intended function. Validation
171 may include, but is not limited to, demonstrating correct installation of the electronic
172 system and testing of the system to ensure that it functions in the manner intended.

173

174 Electronic records for FDA-regulated clinical investigations of medical products are used
175 in a broad range of settings, which vary in importance and complexity. Similarly, the
176 reliability and complexity of electronic systems that are used are variable. When using a
177 risk-based approach for validating electronic systems, sponsors and other regulated
178 entities should consider (1) the purpose and significance of the record, including the
179 extent of error that can be tolerated without compromising the reliability and utility of the
180 record for its regulatory purpose and (2) the attributes and intended use of the electronic
181 system used to produce the record.

¹⁴ This guidance does not provide comprehensive detail on how to perform a risk assessment. There are many risk-assessment methodologies and tools from a variety of industries that can be applied. For more information, see the International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) guidance for industry *Q9 Quality Risk Management*. Also, see the International Organization for Standardization's (ISO) standard *ISO 31010:2009 Risk Management – Risk Assessment Techniques*.

¹⁵ See the guidance for industry *Computerized Systems Used in Clinical Investigations*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

182 In general, sponsors and other regulated entities should have electronic systems validated
183 if those systems *process*¹⁶ critical records (e.g., records containing laboratory and study
184 endpoint data, information on serious adverse events and study participant deaths,
185 information on drug and device accountability and administration) that are submitted to
186 FDA. The extent of validation should be tailored to the nature of the system and its
187 intended use.

188
189 For COTS office utilities software in general use, such as word processing, spreadsheets,
190 and portable document format (PDF) tools or for electronic systems that process non-
191 critical procedural records, the extent of validation should be guided by the
192 organization's internal business practices and needs.

193
194 For COTS systems that perform functions beyond office utilities, such as COTS EDC
195 systems, validation should include a description of standard operating procedures and
196 documentation from the *vendor* that includes, but is not limited to, results of their testing
197 and validation to establish that the electronic system functions in the manner intended.

198
199 For COTS systems that are integrated with other systems or for customized systems that
200 are developed to meet a unique business need of a user,¹⁷ sponsors and other regulated
201 entities should develop and document a validation plan, conduct the validation in
202 accordance with the plan, and document the validation results. Such documentation may
203 be reviewed and copied during an FDA inspection. Validation for these systems may
204 include, but is not limited to, user acceptance testing, dynamic testing, and stress testing.
205 Sponsors and other regulated entities should perform the validation before the use of
206 these systems, in addition to initial testing of the electronic system, to ensure that the
207 system functions in the manner intended.

208
209 In addition, processes should be in place to control changes to the electronic system and
210 evaluate the extent of revalidation that the changes may necessitate. When changes are
211 made to the electronic system (e.g., system and software upgrades, including security and
212 performance patches, equipment or component replacement, or new instrumentation),
213 sponsors and other regulated entities should evaluate the effect of the changes and
214 validate the changes using a risk-based approach.¹⁸ For example, some changes may be
215 minor (e.g., bug fixes or security patches); other changes may be major or particularly
216 significant (e.g., that cause the system to operate outside of previously validated
217 operating limits). If the risk assessment determines that the change is minor or does not
218 affect the system requirements, the extent of validation should be guided by the
219 organization's internal business practices and needs. Major changes may require

¹⁶ For the purposes of this guidance, *to process records* includes actions such as creating, modifying, maintaining, archiving, retrieving, or transmitting.

¹⁷ An example of a user's unique business need may include customization in order to integrate with other software systems or to address internal processes.

¹⁸ See footnote 15.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

220 additional re-validation and critical changes could trigger a re-validation of the entire
221 system.

222
223 **Q2. For electronic systems owned or managed by sponsors and other regulated entities**
224 **that fall under the scope of 21 CFR part 11, what will be FDA’s focus during**
225 **inspections?**

226
227 For these electronic systems that fall under the scope of part 11, an FDA inspection will
228 focus on the implementation of the electronic system, including changes made to the
229 system once in use and documentation of validation to test system functionality after
230 implementation, where applicable. During inspection, FDA will focus on any **source**
231 **data** that are transferred to another data format or system to ensure that checks are in
232 place and that **critical data**¹⁹ are not altered in value or meaning during the migration
233 process. FDA will also review standard operating procedures and support mechanisms in
234 place, such as training, technical support, and auditing to ensure that the system is
235 functioning and is being used in the manner intended.

236
237 **Q3. Should sponsors and other regulated entities perform audits of the vendor’s**
238 **electronic systems and products?**

239
240 Sponsors and other regulated entities often perform audits of the vendor’s electronic
241 systems and products to assess the vendor’s design and development methodologies used
242 in the construction of the electronic system or the product, as well as the vendor’s
243 validation documentation. To reduce the time and cost burden, sponsors and other
244 regulated entities should consider periodic, but shared audits conducted by trusted third
245 parties.

246
247 Sponsors and other regulated entities should base their decision to perform vendor audits
248 on a risk-based approach as described in this guidance (see section IV.A.Q1). For
249 example, vendor audits may be important when using customized electronic systems or
250 when integrating COTS systems with other systems.

251
252 **Q4. Under 21 CFR 11.10(d), what are FDA’s expectations regarding the use of internal**
253 **and external security safeguards?**

254
255 Sponsors and other regulated entities must ensure that procedures and processes are in
256 place to safeguard the authenticity, integrity, and, when appropriate, the confidentiality of
257 electronic records (see §§ 11.10 and 11.30). Therefore, logical and physical access
258 controls must be employed for electronic systems that are used in clinical investigations,
259 particularly for systems that provide access to multiple users or that reside on networks
260 (see §§ 11.10(d) and 11.30). Sponsors and other regulated entities must ensure that

¹⁹ Examples of critical data may include documentation of informed consent, drug accountability and administration information, or study endpoints and protocol-required safety assessments. For more information, see section IV.A of the guidance for industry *Oversight of Clinical Investigations – A Risk-Based Approach to Monitoring*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

261 procedures and processes are in place to limit access to their electronic system to
262 authorized users (see §§ 11.10(d) and 11.30). There should also be external security
263 safeguards in place to prevent, detect, and mitigate effects of computer viruses, worms,
264 and other potentially harmful software code on study data and software (e.g., firewalls,
265 antivirus and anti-spy software).²⁰
266

267 **Q5. Under what circumstances are part 11 requirements not applicable for electronic**
268 **copies of paper records?**
269

270 Part 11 requirements are not intended to apply to electronic systems that are merely
271 incidental to creating paper records that are subsequently maintained in traditional paper-
272 based systems. In such cases, the electronic systems would function essentially the same
273 way that manual typewriters or pens would function, and any signatures would be
274 traditional handwritten signatures. Storage and retrieval of records would be of the
275 traditional file cabinet variety. More importantly, the overall reliability and
276 trustworthiness of the records and FDA's ability to access the records would primarily
277 derive from generally accepted procedures and controls for paper records. Therefore,
278 when sponsors or other regulated entities use electronic systems to generate paper
279 printouts of electronic records and those paper records meet all the requirements of the
280 applicable regulations, and persons rely on the paper records to perform regulated
281 activities, FDA generally would not consider sponsors or other regulated entities to be
282 using electronic records in place of paper records (see § 11.1(b)). In these instances, part
283 11 regulations would not apply to the electronic systems used to generate paper records.
284

285 However, if simple screenshots or paper printouts are used to produce a report and that
286 report fails to capture important metadata (e.g., the ***data originator*** and the audit trail of
287 the data) that are recorded in the electronic system, such paper records would be regarded
288 as incomplete unless the accompanying metadata are included. FDA would require
289 access to the electronic system used to produce those data to review the complete record
290 (see 21 CFR 312.58, 312.68, 812.140, and 812.145).
291

292 **Q6. Can sponsors and other regulated entities use and retain electronic copies of source**
293 **documents in place of the original paper source documents?**
294

295 Yes. FDA permits the interchangeable use of electronic records and paper records for the
296 archiving and protection of records provided that recordkeeping and retention
297 requirements are met (see 21 CFR 56.115, 312.57, 312.62, and 812.140). If the sponsor
298 or other regulated entity intends to use an electronic copy in place of the paper source
299 data (i.e., intends to destroy the paper source data), then part 11 regulations would apply
300 to the electronic system used to create the copy (see §§ 11.10 and 11.30)). A process
301 should be in place to certify that the electronic copy is an accurate representation of the
302 original paper document. The copy of the original record should be verified as having all
303 of the same attributes and information as the original record and certified as indicated by

²⁰ For more information on internal and external security controls, see the guidance for industry *Computerized Systems Used in Clinical Investigations*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

304 a dated signature. Sponsors and other regulated entities should have written procedures
305 to ensure consistency in the certification process.

306
307 In addition, some electronic copies vary in terms of their ability to be modified. For
308 electronic copies in which the records are modifiable, it would be important to have audit
309 trails in place to ensure the trustworthiness and reliability of the electronic copy. Also, as
310 noted earlier, 21 CFR 11.10 and 11.30 require physical, logical, and procedural controls
311 designed to ensure the authenticity and integrity of electronic records.

312
313 **Q7. Can electronic copies be used as accurate reproductions of electronic records?**

314
315 Yes. True copies of electronic records may be made and maintained in the format of the
316 original records or in a compatible format if the content and meaning of the original
317 records are preserved and if a suitable reader and copying equipment (e.g., software and
318 hardware, including media readers) are readily available. Sponsors and other regulated
319 entities should designate which electronic document is the original and should certify the
320 electronic copies by generating the copies through a validated process. This process
321 should ensure that electronic copies of electronic originals have the same information,
322 including data that describe the context, content, and structure of the data as the original.

323
324 **Q8. Can sponsors and other regulated entities use durable electronic storage devices to**
325 **archive required records from a clinical investigation?**

326
327 Yes. Using an electronic means, such as a durable electronic storage device is an
328 acceptable method to archive study-related records at the end of the study. Sponsors and
329 other regulated entities should ensure that the integrity of the original data and the content
330 and meaning of the record are preserved. In addition, if the electronic records are
331 archived in such a way that the records can be searched, sorted, or analyzed, sponsors and
332 other regulated entities should provide electronic copies with the same capability to FDA
333 during inspection if it is reasonable and technically feasible. During inspection, FDA
334 may request to review and copy records in a human readable form using electronic
335 system hardware.

336
337 **Q9. Does FDA provide preliminary audit service to inspect an electronic system used in**
338 **a clinical investigation to ensure compliance with part 11 controls?**

339
340 No. FDA does not perform preliminary audits to evaluate electronic systems (e.g., EDC
341 system, CTMS) to ensure compliance with part 11 requirements. These systems would
342 be evaluated during a regulatory inspection.

343
344 **Q10. If a non-U.S. site is conducting a clinical investigation, are records required by FDA**
345 **regulations subject to part 11 requirements?**

346
347 If a non-U.S. site is conducting a clinical investigation under an investigational new drug
348 application (IND), the clinical investigator and the sponsor must follow FDA regulations,
349 including part 11. If required records (e.g., drug disposition, case report forms, case

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

350 histories)²¹ are kept in electronic format, part 11 requirements will apply (see section III).
351 Device clinical investigations conducted at non-U.S sites generally are not conducted
352 under an investigational device exemption (IDE). However, in the event where non-U.S.
353 clinical investigation sites agree to comply with 21 CFR part 812, for example, per the
354 requirements outlined in the study protocol or in the investigator agreement, then the
355 clinical investigator and the sponsor should follow FDA regulations, including part 11.

356
357 For foreign clinical studies not conducted under an IND or an IDE that are submitted to
358 FDA in support of a research or marketing application, good clinical practice standard for
359 electronic records and electronic systems would apply.²²

B. Outsourced Electronic Services

362
363 FDA recognizes that sponsors and other regulated entities may choose to outsource electronic
364 services. Examples of these types of electronic services are data management services, including
365 **cloud computing** services. According to the National Institute of Standards and Technology,
366 cloud computing is defined as “a model for enabling ubiquitous, convenient, on-demand network
367 access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage,
368 applications, services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management
369 effort or service provider interaction.”²³

370
371 When these electronic services are used to process data for FDA-regulated clinical
372 investigations, sponsors and other regulated entities should consider whether there are adequate
373 controls in place to ensure the reliability and confidentiality of the data. Sponsors and other
374 regulated entities should consider the factors in the following bulleted list when determining the
375 suitability of the outsourced electronic services. If the outsourced electronic service does not
376 provide the data security safeguards described in the following bulleted list, sponsors and other
377 regulated entities should consider the risks of using such service (e.g., infringement of patient
378 privacy rights, lack of reliability of the data in the clinical investigation and its regulatory
379 implications).

- 380
- 381 • Validation documentation (see sections IV.A.Q1 and IV.B.Q15)
- 382
- 383 • Ability to generate accurate and complete copies of records

²¹ See § 312.62.

²² For more information about foreign clinical studies not conducted under an IND, see 21 CFR 312.120 and the ICH guidance *E6(R2) Good Clinical Practice – Integrated Addendum to ICH E6(R1): Guideline for Good Clinical Practice E6(R2)* (available at <http://www.ich.org/products/guidelines/efficacy/article/efficacy-guidelines.html>). For information about devices, see the draft guidance for industry and Food and Drug Administration staff *Acceptance of Medical Device Data From Studies Conducted Outside the United States*. When final, this guidance will represent FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

²³ See the National Institute of Standards and Technology’s definition of *cloud computing* (available at <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html#800-145>).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 384 • Availability and retention of records for FDA inspection for as long as the records are
385 required by applicable regulations
- 386
- 387 • Archiving capabilities
- 388
- 389 • Access controls (see section IV.A.Q4) and authorization checks for users' actions
- 390
- 391 • Secure, computer-generated, time-stamped audit trails of users' actions and changes to
392 data
- 393
- 394 • Encryption of data at rest and in transit
- 395
- 396 • Electronic signature controls (see section V)
- 397
- 398 • Performance record of the electronic service vendor and the electronic service provided
- 399
- 400 • Ability to monitor the electronic service vendor's compliance with electronic service
401 security and the data integrity controls
- 402

403 **Q11. If sponsors and other regulated entities outsource electronic services, who is**
404 **responsible for meeting the regulatory requirements?**

405
406 Sponsors and other regulated entities are responsible for meeting the regulatory
407 requirements. Moreover, sponsors are responsible for assessing the authenticity and
408 reliability of any data used to support a marketing application for a medical product.
409 Thus, the sponsor is ultimately responsible for the clinical investigation and for ensuring
410 that all records and data required to adequately perform and document the clinical
411 investigation are obtained and available to FDA upon request and in a timely and
412 reasonable manner (21 CFR 312.57, 312.58, 312.62, 312.68, 812.140, and 812.145).

413
414 **Q12. Should sponsors or other regulated entities establish service agreements with the**
415 **electronic service vendor?**

416
417 Yes, sponsors and other regulated entities should obtain service agreements with the
418 electronic service vendor. Before entering into an agreement, the sponsor or other
419 regulated entity should evaluate and select electronic services based on the electronic
420 service vendor's ability to meet the part 11 requirements and data security safeguards
421 described in the previous bulleted list (see section IV.B). Service agreements should
422 include a clear description of these specified requirements and the roles and
423 responsibilities of the electronic service vendor.

424
425 **Q13. Does FDA consider it acceptable for data to be distributed across a cloud computing**
426 **service's hardware at several different geographic locations at the same time**
427 **without being able to identify the exact location of the data at any given time?**
428

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

429 If appropriate controls are in place, there are no limitations regarding the geographic
430 location of cloud computing services. However, it is critical for sponsors and other
431 regulated entities to understand the data flow and know the location of the cloud
432 computing service's hardware in order to conduct a meaningful risk assessment regarding
433 data access, integrity, and security. Data privacy laws may differ from country to
434 country. Therefore, sponsors and other regulated entities should perform appropriate risk
435 assessments to ensure that data residing on storage devices outside their country can be
436 retrieved and accessed during FDA inspections.

437
438 **Q14. What should sponsors and other regulated entities have available on site to**
439 **demonstrate that their electronic service vendor is providing services in accordance**
440 **with FDA's regulatory requirements?**

441
442 Sponsors and other regulated entities should have the following information available to
443 FDA upon request at each of their regulated facilities that use the outsourced electronic
444 services:

- 445
446 • Specified requirements of the outsourced electronic service
- 447
448 • A service agreement defining what is expected from the electronic service vendor
449 (see section IV.B.Q12)
- 450
451 • Procedures for the electronic service vendor to notify the sponsor or other
452 regulated entity of changes and incidents with the service

453
454 **Q15. What should sponsors and other regulated entities consider when deciding to**
455 **validate outsourced electronic services that are used in clinical investigations?**

456
457 A risk-based approach to validation similar to that described in section IV.A.Q1 should
458 be taken for outsourced electronic services.

459
460 It is ultimately the responsibility of the sponsor or other regulated entity to ensure that the
461 outsourced electronic service is validated as appropriate. Sponsors and other regulated
462 entities should obtain documentation from the electronic service vendor that includes, but
463 is not limited to, a description of standard operating procedures and results of testing and
464 validation to establish that the outsourced electronic service functions in the manner
465 intended.

466
467 **Q16. Under what circumstances would FDA choose to inspect the electronic service**
468 **vendor?**

469
470 Under certain circumstances, FDA may choose to inspect the electronic service vendors,
471 such as when they are or were engaged in providing services and functions that fall under
472 areas regulated by FDA. For example, if the criticality of the investigation requires
473 inspection and the required records are not available from the sponsor or the clinical
474 investigation site, FDA may choose to inspect records specific to the clinical

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

475 investigation at the vendor’s facilities to ensure that FDA requirements are met. The
476 sponsor or other regulated entity is ultimately responsible for ensuring that regulated
477 records and data are available to FDA during an investigation or an inspection.
478

C. Electronic Systems Primarily Used in the Provision of Medical Care

480
481 For the purposes of this guidance, electronic systems used in the provision of medical care (e.g.,
482 electronic health records (EHRs)) generally are systems that are (1) designed for medical care of
483 patients not enrolled in a clinical investigation and (2) owned and managed by the institutions
484 providing medical care. These electronic systems may produce additional electronic records
485 during the course of patients’ care (e.g., hospital admission records, electronic health records,
486 pharmacy records, laboratory records, imaging records, electronic consultation records) that may
487 be useful for providing data in clinical investigations. As provided in the guidance for industry
488 *Electronic Source Data in Clinical Investigations*, FDA does not intend to assess compliance of
489 these systems with part 11.²⁴ For more information on best practices for using data from EHRs
490 in FDA-regulated clinical investigations, see the draft guidance for industry *Use of Electronic*
491 *Health Records Data in Clinical Investigations*.²⁵
492

D. Mobile Technology

493
494 Sponsors and other regulated entities may use mobile technology during the course of a clinical
495 investigation to capture, record, or transmit data directly from study participants. The
496 recommendations in this section apply to mobile technology used in a clinical investigation
497 whether that technology is provided by the sponsor or owned by the study participant (i.e., ***bring***
498 ***your own device (BYOD)***). For the purposes of this guidance, mobile technology refers to
499 portable electronic technology used in clinical investigations that allows for off-site and remote
500 data capture directly from study participants and includes ***mobile platforms, mobile applications***
501 ***(mobile apps)***,²⁶ ***wearable biosensors*** and other remote and ingestible sensors, and other portable
502 and implantable electronic devices.
503
504

Q17. What access controls should sponsors implement for mobile technology accessed by study participants for use in clinical investigations?

505
506
507
508 Where possible, sponsors should ensure that basic user access controls (e.g.,
509 identification (ID) code, username and password combination, or electronic thumbprints

²⁴ For more information, see the guidance for industry *Electronic Source Data in Clinical Investigations*.

²⁵ When final, this guidance will represent FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

²⁶ For the purposes of this guidance, we do not distinguish between a *mobile app* and a “mobile medical app.” A “mobile medical app” is a *mobile app* that meets the definition of device in section 201(h) of the FD&C Act and either is intended to be used as an accessory to a regulated medical device or to transform a mobile platform into a regulated medical device. For more information, see the guidance for industry and Food and Drug Administration staff *Mobile Medical Applications*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

510 and other ***biometrics***) are implemented, as appropriate, for mobile technology used by
511 study participants in clinical investigations.

512
513 Specifically, for mobile apps that rely on study participants' user entry, access controls
514 must be in place to ensure that entries come from the study participant (see 21 CFR
515 11.10(d)). For wearable biosensors and other portable electronic devices intended for a
516 single study participant to wear or use (e.g., small physiologic sensors with no display
517 screen), basic user access controls may be difficult to implement. In cases where access
518 controls are impractical, sponsors should consider obtaining a signed declaration from the
519 study participant confirming that the device will only be used by the study participant.
520 Basic user access controls are not necessary when using ingestible sensors and
521 implantable electronic devices.
522

523 **Q18. When using mobile technology to capture data directly from study participants in**
524 **clinical investigations, how do sponsors identify the data originator?**
525

526 For the purposes of recordkeeping, audit trail, and inspection, each electronic ***data***
527 ***element*** should be associated with an authorized data originator. The data originator may
528 be a person, a computer system, a device, or an instrument that is authorized to enter,
529 change, or transmit data elements via a secure protocol into the sponsor's EDC system or
530 into the electronic system of a trusted proxy agent such as a contract research
531 organization.²⁷
532

533 If a study participant who is using the mobile technology actively participates in the
534 performance measure by entering and submitting data to the sponsor's EDC system (e.g.,
535 when using an ePRO app or when performing visual acuity testing), the study participant
536 should be identified as the data originator.
537

538 If the mobile technology, such as an activity tracker or a glucose sensor, transmits data
539 automatically to the sponsor's EDC system without any human intervention, the mobile
540 technology should be identified as the data originator. In these cases, a ***data element***
541 ***identifier*** should be created that automatically identifies the particular mobile technology
542 (e.g., name and type) as the originator of the data element. Information associated with a
543 data element includes the origin of the data element, the date and time of entry, and the
544 ID number of the study participant to whom the data element applies. Once set by the
545 electronic system, this value should not be alterable in any way.²⁸
546

547 In some cases, data from the mobile technology may be obtained in the course of medical
548 care and may be entered manually or automatically into an EHR. The EHR data may, in
549 turn, be used in a clinical investigation and entered into the sponsor's EDC system. In
550 this situation, identifying the EHR as the data originator is sufficient because sponsors are

²⁷ See footnote 24.

²⁸ See footnote 24.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

551 not expected to know the details about all of the users and mobile health technologies that
552 contribute information to the patient’s EHR (see section IV.C).

553
554 The sponsor should develop, maintain, and make available a list of authorized data
555 originators. When identification of data originators relies on usernames and unique
556 passwords, controls must be employed to ensure the security and the integrity of the
557 authorized usernames and passwords (see 21 CFR 11.10(d)). When electronic
558 thumbprints or other biometrics are used in place of username and password
559 combinations, controls must be designed to ensure that the biometric identifier cannot be
560 used by anyone other than the identifier’s owner (see § 11.200(b) and section V.Q27).²⁹

561
562 **Q19. Does FDA consider the mobile technology to contain the source data?**

563
564 When mobile technology is used in a clinical investigation to capture, record, and
565 transmit study-related data directly from study participants, the data are collected and
566 stored, perhaps for very short periods of time on the mobile technology before being
567 transmitted to the sponsor’s EDC system. In some cases, the data may pass temporarily
568 through various electronic hubs or gateways before reaching the sponsor’s EDC system.
569 This could make the location of the source data difficult to determine.

570
571 FDA considers source data as data that are first recorded in a permanent manner. In
572 general, for data collected directly from study participants through mobile technology,
573 the first permanent record is located in the sponsor’s EDC system or the EHR, and not in
574 the mobile technology. FDA does not intend to inspect each individual mobile
575 technology used in a clinical investigation to capture, record, and transmit data directly
576 from study participants because access controls (see section IV.D.Q17), audit trails (see
577 section IV.D.Q20), and validation (see section IV.D.Q21) that would be applied would
578 help ensure the reliability of the data.

579
580 **Q20. What should sponsors consider when implementing audit trails on data obtained**
581 **directly from study participants using the mobile technology in the clinical**
582 **investigation?**

583
584 When data are copied or transmitted directly from the mobile technology to the sponsor’s
585 EDC system or from the mobile technology to the EHR and then to the sponsor’s EDC
586 system, the audit trail begins at the time the data enter the sponsor’s EDC system. The
587 sponsor’s EDC system should capture the date and time that the data enter the EDC
588 system and identification of the data originator (i.e., study participant, mobile technology,
589 or EHR). In addition, the date and time that the measurement was made should be
590 recorded and available to FDA at the time of inspection if it differs from the date and
591 time the data enter the EDC system.

592
593 In cases where the study participant actively participates in the performance measure and
594 manually enters the data into the mobile platform (e.g., tablet computers, smart phones)

²⁹ See footnote 24.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

595 or other portable device, the mobile technology should be designed to prevent
596 unauthorized modifications to the data before those data are transmitted to the sponsor's
597 EDC system.

598
599 After the data are transmitted to the sponsor's EDC system, only clinical investigators or
600 delegated study personnel who are authorized to make changes should perform
601 modifications or corrections to the data. Modified and corrected data elements should
602 have data element identifiers that reflect the date, time, and data originator and the reason
603 for the change. Modified and corrected data should not obscure previous entries.
604 Clinical investigators should review and electronically sign the completed eCRF for each
605 study participant before the data are archived or submitted to FDA. Use of electronic
606 signatures must comply with part 11 (see section V).³⁰
607

Q21. What should sponsors consider when using a risk-based approach to validation of mobile technology used in clinical investigations?

610
611 For mobile technology, validation ensures that the mobile technology is reliably
612 capturing, transmitting, and recording data to produce accurate, reliable, and complete
613 records. For example, if a wearable biosensor detects a blood glucose level of 87
614 milligrams per deciliter, the validation should ensure that the value is correctly and
615 reliably captured, transmitted, and recorded in the sponsor's EDC system. Sponsors
616 should validate the mobile technology before use in the clinical investigation. In
617 addition, sponsors should ensure that device and software updates do not affect the
618 reliability of the data that enter the sponsor's EDC system.
619

620 Part 11 regulations do not address the performance of wearable biosensors, mobile apps,
621 or portable devices (i.e., the ability to measure what they are designed to measure). For
622 example, validation does not apply to the ability of an activity tracker to accurately and
623 reliably measure the number of steps walked. Although performance of the mobile
624 technology is critical to the clinical investigation, recommendations for the performance
625 of specific mobile technology designed to measure specific biomarkers or physical
626 activity are beyond the scope of this guidance. For mobile technology that meets the
627 definition of device as defined in section 201(h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic
628 Act (21 U.S.C. 321(h)), other regulations and policies may apply.
629

Q22. What security safeguards should sponsors implement to ensure security and confidentiality of data when mobile technology is used to capture, record, and transmit data directly from study participants in clinical investigations?

630
631 The mobile technology must ensure the security and confidentiality of the data when the
632 technology is used in clinical investigations (see 21 CFR 11.10 and 11.30). If the data
633
634 are transmitted wirelessly from the mobile technology to the sponsor's EDC system in a
635
636

³⁰ See footnote 24.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

637 clinical investigation, the data must be encrypted at rest and in transit to prevent access
638 by intervening or malicious parties (see § 11.30).

639
640 For wearable biosensors and other portable or electronic implantable devices, data
641 encryption may be sufficient to ensure the security and confidentiality of the data. On the
642 other hand, additional controls may be important when using mobile apps and mobile
643 platforms. In addition to having encryption and basic user access controls in place (see
644 section IV.D.Q17), sponsors should consider implementing additional security safeguards
645 as follows:

- 646
- 647 • Remote wiping and remote disabling
- 648
- 649 • Disable function for installing and using file-sharing applications
- 650
- 651 • Firewalls
- 652
- 653 • Procedures and processes to delete all stored health information before discarding
654 or reusing the mobile device
- 655

656 **Q23. Does FDA expect sponsors, clinical investigators, study personnel, and study**
657 **participants to be trained on the use of a specific mobile technology if the technology**
658 **is used in a clinical investigation?**

659
660 Yes. Sponsors, clinical investigators, study personnel, and study participants must be
661 adequately trained on the use of any mobile technology they will use in a clinical
662 investigation (see 21 CFR 11.10(i)). Training should occur before the use of the mobile
663 technology and whenever changes are made (e.g., software or system upgrades) to the
664 mobile technology during the course of the clinical investigation. In addition, clinical
665 investigators and study personnel should periodically reassess and retrain study
666 participants, as necessary, on systems that are more complex or that pose a higher risk to
667 the conduct of the study.

668 669 **E. Telecommunication Systems**

670
671 Clinical investigators and study personnel may use many different types of telecommunication
672 systems, such as telephones, email, live chat, and *telemedicine* or video conferencing systems to
673 communicate with study participants during the conduct of clinical investigations. Clinical
674 investigators and study personnel may record study-related data obtained during the course of the
675 communications in the study participant's health record or in the case report form.

676
677 When these telecommunication systems are interactive and used for real-time communication,
678 the interactions are regarded as similar to face-to-face interactions (i.e., the clinical investigator
679 or study personnel and the study participant actively participate in real-time communication
680 through audio, video, and other live chat communication), and part 11 regulations do not apply to
681 the telecommunication system. In these interactions, there is an opportunity to hear or see the

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

682 study participant or to query the source of the text to confirm that the study participant who is
683 interacting with the investigator is the study participant participating in the study.

684
685 When these interactive telecommunication systems are used to record source data in a permanent
686 manner, allowing the interactive communication and data to be reviewed at a later date by the
687 sponsor, clinical investigator, study personnel, and FDA, sponsors and other regulated entities
688 should consider whether there are adequate controls in place to ensure that the reliability,
689 confidentiality, and privacy of records are preserved. Sponsors should also consider the
690 processes that are in place to ensure user authentication and to prevent alteration of source data.

691

692

V. ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES

693

694
695 An electronic signature is a computer data compilation of any symbol or series of symbols
696 executed, adopted, or authorized by an individual to be the legally binding equivalent of the
697 individual's handwritten signature (§ 11.3(b)(7)). In general, a signature may not be denied legal
698 effect or validity solely because it is in electronic format, and a contract or other record relating
699 to a transaction may not be denied legal effect, validity, or enforceability solely because an
700 electronic signature or electronic record was used in its formation.³¹

701

702 FDA regulations found in part 11 set forth the criteria under which FDA considers electronic
703 records, electronic signatures, and handwritten signatures executed to electronic records to be
704 trustworthy, reliable, and generally equivalent to a handwritten signature executed on paper (see
705 21 CFR 11.1(a)). To be considered equivalent to full handwritten signatures, electronic
706 signatures must comply with all applicable requirements under part 11. Electronic records that
707 are electronically signed must contain information associated with the signing that clearly
708 indicates the printed name of the signer, the date and time when the signature was executed, and
709 the meaning associated with the signature (see § 11.50). The name, date and time, and meaning
710 are subject to the same controls as electronic records and must be included as part of any human
711 readable form of the electronic record (see § 11.50(b)). In addition, electronic signatures and
712 handwritten signatures executed to electronic records must be linked to the respective electronic
713 records to ensure that the signatures cannot be excised, copied, or otherwise transferred to falsify
714 an electronic record by ordinary means (§ 11.70).

715

Q24. What methods may be used to create valid electronic signatures?

716

717
718 FDA does not mandate or specify any particular methods for electronic signatures,
719 including any particular biometric method upon which an electronic signature may be
720 based. Part 11 regulations permit a wide variety of methods to create electronic
721 signatures, including the use of computer-readable ID cards, biometrics, ***digital***
722 ***signatures***, and username and password combinations.

723

³¹ See the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, which was enacted on June 30, 2000 (Public Law 106-229; 114 Stat. 464) (15 U.S.C. 7001-7006).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

724 When a document is electronically signed, the electronic signature must be accompanied
725 by a computer-generated, time-stamped audit trail (see §§ 11.10(e) and 11.50(b)). When
726 study participants provide an electronic signature, clinical investigators should ensure
727 that the participants understand the legal significance of the signature.
728

729 **Q25. How should sponsors and regulated entities verify the identity of the individual who**
730 **will be electronically signing records as required in 21 CFR 11.100(b)?**
731

732 Electronic signatures should be instituted in a manner that is reasonably likely to prevent
733 fraudulent use. Therefore, the part 11 regulations require that an organization verify the
734 identity of an individual before the organization establishes, assigns, or otherwise
735 sanctions an individual's electronic signature or any element of such electronic signature
736 (see § 11.100(b)). The electronic signature should also be implemented in a manner that
737 prevents repudiation by the signatory and includes safeguards to confirm the identity of
738 the individual and safeguards to prevent alteration of the electronic signature.
739

740 FDA does not specify any particular method for verifying the identity of an individual
741 and accepts many different methods. For example, verifying someone's identity can be
742 done by using information from some form of official identification, such as a birth
743 certificate, a government-issued passport, or a driver's license. In addition, use of
744 security questions to confirm an individual's identity may also be considered.
745

746 **Q26. When an individual executes a series of signings during a single, continuous period**
747 **of controlled system access, could the initial logging into an electronic system using a**
748 **unique username and password be used to perform the first signing and satisfy the**
749 **requirements found in 21 CFR 11.200(a)?**
750

751 When an individual logs into an electronic system using a username and password, it is
752 not necessary to re-enter the username when an individual executes a series of signings
753 during a single, continuous period of controlled system access. After a user has logged
754 into a system using a unique username and password, all signatures during the period of
755 controlled system access can be performed using the password alone (see § 11.200(a)).³²
756 The signed document must contain information that clearly indicates the printed name of
757 the signer, the date and time the signature was executed, and the meaning associated with
758 the signature (see § 11.50).
759

760 In addition, in such cases, the signing should be done under controlled conditions that
761 prevent another person from impersonating the legitimate signer. Such controlled
762 conditions may include (1) requiring an individual to remain in close proximity to the
763 workstation throughout the signing session (2) using measures for automatic inactivity
764 disconnect that would de-log the first individual if no entries or actions were taken within

³² See 62 FR 13430 at 13457 (March 20, 1997).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

765 a fixed, short time frame and (3) requiring that the single component needed for
766 subsequent signings be known to and usable only by the authorized individual.³³

767
768 To make it impractical to falsify records, the electronic signature component executed for
769 initial signing must be used only by its genuine owner (see § 11.200(a)(2)). The
770 electronic signatures must be administered and executed to ensure that attempted use by
771 anyone other than the genuine owners requires collaboration of two or more individuals
772 (see § 11.200(a)(3)).

773
774 **Q27. What requirements must electronic signatures based on biometrics meet to be**
775 **considered an accepted biometric method?**

776
777 Biometrics means “a method of verifying an individual’s identity based on measurements
778 of the individual’s physical features or repeatable actions where those features and/or
779 actions are both unique to that individual and measurable.”³⁴ Examples of biometric
780 methods may include fingerprints, hand geometry (i.e., finger lengths and palm size), iris
781 patterns, retinal patterns, or voice prints.

782
783 Electronic signatures based on biometrics must be designed to ensure that they cannot be
784 used by anyone other than their genuine owners (§ 11.200(b)). Therefore, suitable
785 biometrics should be uniquely identified with the individual and should not change over
786 time.

787
788 FDA does not specify any particular biometric method upon which an electronic
789 signature may be based. Electronic signatures based on biometrics are accepted if they
790 meet the requirements found in the part 11 regulations, as stated earlier in this section
791 (i.e., the signed electronic record must contain pertinent information associated with the
792 signing (see § 11.50), the electronic signatures are subject to the same controls as the
793 electronic records and must be included as part of any human readable form of the
794 electronic record (see § 11.50(b), and the electronic signature must be linked to its
795 respective electronic records (§ 11.70)). In addition, biometrics should be performed
796 based on government and industry standards. For example, the various government
797 agencies and standards development organizations that develop biometric standards
798 include the following:

- 799
- 800 • National Institute of Standards and Technology
 - 801 • International Committee for Information Technology Standards
 - 802 • International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical
803 Commission (ISO/IEC) Joint Technical Committee 1/Subcommittee 37
 - 804 • Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards
 - 805 • American National Standards Institute
- 806

³³ See footnote 32.

³⁴ See 21 CFR 11.3(b)(3).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

807 **Q28. Does FDA certify electronic systems and methods used to obtain electronic**
808 **signatures?**

809
810 No. FDA does not certify individual electronic systems and methods used to obtain
811 electronic signatures. Compliance with the provisions of part 11 is the basis for FDA's
812 acceptance of any electronic signature system, regardless of the particular technology or
813 brand used. This approach is consistent with FDA's policy in a variety of program areas.
814 For example, FDA does not certify manufacturing equipment used to make drugs or
815 medical devices.
816

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

817 **APPENDIX I: OTHER GUIDANCES WITH APPLICABLE RECOMMENDATIONS**³⁵
818
819 *Guidance for Industry Part 11, Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures – Scope and*
820 *Application*
821
822 *ICH Guidance for Industry Q9 Quality Risk Management*
823
824 *Guidance for Industry Computerized Systems Used in Clinical Investigations*
825
826 *Guidance for Industry Electronic Source Data in Clinical Investigations*
827
828 *Draft Guidance for Industry Use of Electronic Health Records Data in Clinical*
829 *Investigations*
830
831 *Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff Mobile Medical*
832 *Applications*
833
834 *ICH Guidance E6(R2) Good Clinical Practice – Integrated Addendum to ICH E6(R1):*
835 *Guideline for Good Clinical Practice E6(R2)*
836
837 *Guidance for Institutional Review Boards, Investigators, and Sponsors Use of Electronic*
838 *Informed Consent, Questions and Answers*
839
840

³⁵ Draft guidances have been included for completeness only. As draft documents, they are not intended to be implemented until published in final form.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

APPENDIX II: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885

The following is a list of terms and definitions used in this guidance and their definitions:

Audit Trail is a process that captures details of information, such as additions, deletions, or alterations, in an electronic record without obscuring the original record. An audit trail facilitates the reconstruction of the course of such details relating to the electronic record.

Biometrics means a method of verifying an individual’s identity based on measurements of the individual’s physical features or repeatable actions where those features and/or actions are both unique to that individual and measurable (21 CFR 11.3(b)(3)).

Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) refers to the policy of permitting study participants to use their personally owned mobile devices to capture, record, and transmit data in clinical investigations.

Certified Copy is a copy (paper or electronic) of original information that has been verified, as indicated by a dated signature, as an exact copy, having all of the same attributes and information as the original.

Cloud Computing is a model for enabling ubiquitous, convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction.

Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) Systems refer to commercially available electronic systems (including hardware or software) that can be purchased from third-party vendors.

Critical Data may include documentation of informed consent, drug accountability and administration information, or study endpoints and protocol-required safety assessments.

Customized Electronic Systems refer to systems and software that are specially developed for a specific user, an organization, or a business to meet specific business needs.

Data Element is a single observation associated with a subject in a clinical study. Examples include birth date, white blood cell count, pain severity measure, and other clinical observations made and documented during a study.

Data Element Identifier is the information associated with a data element that includes the origin of the data element, the date and time of entry, and the identification number of the study subject to whom the data element applies. Once set by the electronic system, this value should not be alterable in any way.

Data Originator is an origination type associated with each data element that identifies the source of the data element’s capture in the eCRF. This could be a person, a computer system, a

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

886 device, or an instrument that is authorized to enter, change, or transmit data elements into the
887 eCRF (also, sometimes known as an author).

888
889 **Digital Signature** means an electronic signature based upon cryptographic methods of originator
890 authentication, computed by using a set of rules and a set of parameters such that the identity of
891 the signer and the integrity of the data can be verified (21 CFR 11.3(5)).

892
893 **Electronic Case Report Form (eCRF)** is an auditable electronic record of information that
894 generally is reported to the sponsor on each trial subject, according to a clinical investigation
895 protocol. The eCRF enables clinical investigation data to be systematically captured, reviewed,
896 managed, stored, analyzed, and reported.

897
898 **Electronic Data Capture (EDC) Systems** refer to electronic systems designed to collect and
899 manage clinical trial data in an electronic format.

900
901 **Electronic Record** means any combination of text, graphics, data, audio, pictorial, or other
902 information representation in digital form that is created, modified, maintained, archived,
903 retrieved, or distributed by a computer system (21 CFR 11.3(b)(6)).

904
905 **Electronic Signature** means a computer data compilation of any symbol or series of symbols
906 executed, adopted, or authorized by an individual to be the legally binding equivalent of the
907 individual's handwritten signature (21 CFR 11.3(b)(7)).

908
909 **Electronic Systems** refer to systems, including hardware and software, that produce electronic
910 records.

911 **Mobile Applications (Mobile Apps)** are software applications that can be executed (run) on a
912 mobile platform (i.e., a handheld commercial off-the-shelf computing platform, with or without
913 wireless connectivity) or a web-based software application that is tailored to a mobile platform
914 but is executed on a server.³⁶ An example includes electronic patient-reported outcomes (ePRO)
915 applications on smart phones.

916
917 **Mobile Platforms** are commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) computing platform, with or without
918 wireless connectivity, that are handheld in nature. Examples include tablet computers, smart
919 phones, or other portable computers.³⁷

920
921 **Mobile Technology** refers to portable electronic technology used in clinical investigations that
922 allows for off-site and remote data capture directly from study participants and includes mobile
923 platforms, mobile apps, wearable biosensors and other remote and ingestible sensors, and other
924 portable and implantable electronic devices.

925

³⁶ For more information, see the guidance for industry and Food and Drug Administration staff *Mobile Medical Applications*.

³⁷ See footnote 36.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

926 **Source Data** are all information in original records and certified copies of original records of
927 clinical findings, observations, or other activities (in a clinical investigation) used for the
928 reconstruction and evaluation of the trial. Source data are contained in source documents
929 (original records or certified copies).

930
931 **Telemedicine** refers to the use of electronic applications, devices, and services, including two-
932 way video, email, smart phones, wireless tools and other forms of telecommunications systems
933 in the provision of health care.

934
935 **Vendor** refers to a third-party supplier not regulated by FDA that sells electronic goods and
936 services to sponsors and other regulated entities.

937 **Wearable Biosensors** comprise miniaturized sensors worn as on- or in-body accessories (e.g.,
938 watches, bracelets, clothing) that allow for continuous monitoring of physiological, biochemical,
939 and motion signals for both diagnostic and monitoring applications. These wearable biosensors
940 may be paired with mobile platforms (e.g., smart phones). Examples of wearable biosensors
941 include accelerometers, activity trackers, wireless heart rate monitors, pulse oximetry sensors,
942 and glucose sensors.