
ANDAs: Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence Associated with Priority Submissions Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Nikhil Thakur 301-796-5536.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**June 2017
Pharmaceutical Quality/ CMC**

ANDAs: Pre- Submission Facility Correspondence Associated with Priority Submissions Guidance for Industry

*Additional copies are available from:
Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002
Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353
Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**June 2017
Pharmaceutical Quality/CMC**

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	SCOPE	4
IV.	PRE-SUBMISSION <i>FACILITY</i> CORRESPONDENCE CONTENT	4
	A. General Information	4
	B. Statement of ANDA Eligibility for <i>Priority Review</i>	5
	C. Manufacturing Process and Testing <i>Facility</i> Information	6
	D. Bioequivalence Summary and Site/Organization Information	9
V.	ANDA SUBMISSION WINDOW	10
VI.	RECEIPT AND ASSESSMENT PROCESS (FOR PFC AND <i>PLANNED ANDA</i>) ..	10
	A. PFC Receipt and Assessment	10
	B. ANDA Submission	12
	C. Differences in <i>Facility</i> Information between the PFC and the <i>Planned ANDA</i>	13
VII.	OUTCOMES	13
	A. Eligibility of the PFC for Further FDA Assessment	13
	B. Determining the Goal Date for the <i>Planned ANDA</i>	14
VIII.	QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	15
IX.	DEFINITIONS	16
	APPENDIX – PRE-SUBMISSION FACILITY CORRESPONDENCE SUGGESTED FORMAT	19

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

1 **ANDAs: Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence Associated with**
2 **Priority Submissions**
3 **Guidance for Industry^{1,2}**
4

5
6 This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug
7 Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not
8 binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the
9 applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible
10 for this guidance as listed on the title page.
11

12
13
14 **I. INTRODUCTION**
15

16 This guidance describes the process through which prospective generic drug applicants submit
17 pre-submission facility correspondence (PFC) in advance of *priority*³ generic drug submissions.
18

19 In 2016-2017, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), regulated industry, and public
20 stakeholders conducted negotiations concerning reauthorization of the Generic Drug User Fee
21 Amendments (GDUFA II).⁴ A chief product of these congressionally mandated discussions is
22 the GDUFA II Commitment Letter. The GDUFA II Commitment Letter describes the FDA's
23 performance goals under GDUFA II as well as changes and improvements to the user fee
24 program. The performance goals and program enhancements specified in the GDUFA II
25 Commitment Letter concern aspects of the generic drug review program that are important for
26 facilitating timely access to quality, affordable generic medicines.
27

28 As one of the enhancements specified in the GDUFA II Commitment Letter, the PFC is a
29 mechanism to achieve expedited review of *priority* abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs),
30 prior approval supplements (PASs), and their amendments (collectively ANDAs). Using a PFC,
31 a prospective applicant submits certain information related to manufacturing and bioequivalence
32 facilities (collectively *facilities*)⁵ that will be referenced in a *planned ANDA*⁶ prior to submission

¹ This guidance has been prepared by a multidisciplinary workgroup including members from the Office of Pharmaceutical Quality, the Office of Translational Sciences, the Office of Generic Drugs, and the Office of Business Informatics in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration, and in consultation with the Office of Regulatory Affairs.

² When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

³ In Section IX of this guidance, the term *priority ANDA* is defined.

⁴ See *GDUFA Reauthorization Performance Goals and Program Enhancements, FYs 2018-2022*. All public documents cited in this guidance may be found on the FDA website (www.fda.gov).

⁵ In Section IX of this guidance, the term *facilities* is defined.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

33 of the ANDA itself. Pre-submission facility correspondence should also demonstrate that the
34 *planned ANDA* meets the criteria for a *priority* submission. The *priority* designation criteria are
35 described in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research’s (CDER) Manual of Policies and
36 Procedures (MAPP) 5240.3, Rev. 2, *Prioritization of the Review of Original ANDAs,*
37 *Amendments, and Supplements* (Prioritization MAPP).⁷ For example, if the ANDA drug product
38 is the subject of a current drug shortage, the ANDA would potentially qualify for *priority*
39 review.⁸

40
41 The information submitted in the PFC provides the Agency with the opportunity to determine
42 whether *facility* inspections will be needed. When deemed necessary, the Agency will initiate
43 inspection planning earlier in the review of the ANDA. Further, it enables FDA to meet the
44 shorter review timeframe (*shorter goal dates*)⁹ for the *planned ANDA* as defined in the GDUFA
45 II Commitment Letter. If a PFC successfully demonstrates that the *planned ANDA* may be a
46 *priority* submission and the information is *complete and accurate* and is *unchanged*,¹⁰ the
47 ANDA may qualify for *shorter goal dates* for the *planned ANDA*. Absent extraordinary
48 circumstances, FDA does not expect to utilize its limited resources to review a second PFC on
49 the same submission if the first one is deficient.

50
51 In general, FDA’s guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities.
52 Instead, guidances describe the Agency’s current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only
53 as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of
54 the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but
55 not required.

56
57

58 II. BACKGROUND

59

60 Under the performance goals and program enhancements for GDUFA II, FDA agreed to a
61 *shorter goal date* for action on a *priority* generic drug submission if:
62

⁶ In Section IX of this guidance, the term *planned ANDA* is defined.

⁷ Prioritization of review is determined per the criteria established in CDER’s MAPP 5240.3, Rev. 2, entitled *Prioritization of the Review of Original ANDAs, Amendments, and Supplements*.

⁸ This is an example of an ANDA that could qualify for *priority* designation under the current MAPP. The MAPP is subject to change and should be consulted at the time of PFC submission for FDA’s then-current prioritization criteria. To make sure you have the most recent version of a MAPP, check the CDER MAPPs web page at <https://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/OfficeofMedicalProductsandTobacco/CDER/ManualofPoliciesProcedures/default.htm>.

⁹ In Section IX of this guidance, the term *shorter goal date* is defined.

¹⁰ In Section IX of this guidance, the term *change* is defined to reflect the definition of “change, with respect to facility information” as stated in the GDUFA II Commitment Letter.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 63 • A *complete and accurate PFC*¹¹ is submitted to FDA 2 months ahead of the *planned*
64 *ANDA* submission, and
65 • *Facility* information remains *unchanged* in the *ANDA*.
66

67 A *complete and accurate PFC* allows the Agency to begin the *facility* assessment process in
68 advance of the *planned ANDA* submission. This critical 2 month lead time provides the Agency
69 the opportunity to determine whether *facility* inspections will be needed, and when they are, to
70 initiate inspection planning earlier in the review of the *ANDA*, enabling FDA to meet the shorter
71 review timeframe.

72
73 Note: If the criteria above for the *shorter goal date* are not met at any time after *PFC*
74 submission, the *ANDA* may still be *prioritized* for review but the standard goal date would
75 apply.
76

77 The *GDUFA II* Commitment Letter states that a *complete and accurate PFC* lists all of the
78 following:
79

- 80 1. All facilities involved in manufacturing processes and testing for the *ANDA* and
81 corresponding Type II active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) drug master file (DMF)
82 as required by 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i) and (iii).¹² For each manufacturing or testing
83 facility, the correspondence includes facility name, operation(s) performed, facility
84 contact name, address, FEI number (if a required registrant or one has been assigned),
85 DUNS number,¹³ registration information (for required registrants), a confirmation
86 that the facility is ready for inspection, a description of the manufacturing process,
87 and a certification by the applicant that any Type II DMF has similarly complete and
88 accurate facility information as required by 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i), including
89 complete facility information (i.e., facility name, operation, facility contact name,
90 address, FEI number, and DUNS number). Facility information that is included in a
91 corresponding Type II DMF is not required to be duplicated in the *PFC* for the
92 *ANDA*.
93
94 2. All sites or organizations involved in bioequivalence and clinical [endpoint
95 bioequivalence] studies used to support the *ANDA* submission as described in 21
96 CFR 314.94(a)(7) [and 21 CFR 320.24(b).]¹⁴ This information is provided using a

¹¹ In Section IX of this guidance, the term *complete and accurate Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence (PFC)* is consistent with the definition provided in the *GDUFA II* Commitment Letter.

¹² The *GDUFA II* Commitment Letter captures the term manufacturing sites under the definition of complete and accurate *PFC* as, “All facilities involved in manufacturing processes, packaging and testing for the *ANDA* and corresponding Type II API DMF as required by 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i) and (iii).”

¹³ The Data Universal Numbering System (D - U - N - S) is a unique nine-digit sequence provided by Dun & Bradstreet, which serves as a unique identifier for each physical location of a business. See the guidance for industry *Self-Identification of Generic Drug Facilities, Sites, and Organization* (Sept. 2016).

¹⁴ The *GDUFA II* Commitment Letter captures the term bioequivalence sites under the definition of complete and accurate *PFC* as, “All sites or organizations involved in bioequivalence and clinical studies used to support the

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

97 standardized electronic format and includes unique identifiers that are current and
98 accurate, including site or organization name, address and website; and study
99 information including a listing of study names, dates of conduct and main
100 investigators.

101
102 For the purpose of this guidance, the term *facility* is inclusive of all sites that are considered to be
103 *manufacturing sites* AND *bioequivalence sites* as defined in this guidance.¹⁵

104
105 This guidance describes PFC content and format, as well as the Agency’s approach to assessing
106 this information.

107 108 **III. SCOPE**

109
110 This guidance establishes FDA’s expectations for the content, timing, and assessment of the
111 PFC. Specifically, the guidance defines:

- 112
- 113 • The content and format of the information that should be submitted in the PFC to enable
- 114 FDA’s assessment of *facilities* listed in the PFC.
- 115 • PFC timeframes and their intersection with the subsequent ANDA submissions.
- 116 • The possible outcomes of the Agency’s assessment of the PFC.
- 117 • When and how the PFC submitter is notified by the Agency about the status of the PFC.
- 118

119 **IV. PRE-SUBMISSION FACILITY CORRESPONDENCE CONTENT**

120
121 A *complete and accurate PFC*, as defined by the GDUFA II Commitment Letter, provides the
122 information needed for FDA to conduct a meaningful assessment of the *facilities* that will be
123 used to support the *planned ANDA* submission. Notably, the PFC should include a thorough
124 description of the manufacturing process as outlined in Section IV.C and all study information as
125 outlined in Section IV.D.

126
127 The PFC should include the following information:

128 129 **A. General Information**

- 130
- 131 • *Planned ANDA* Pre-Assigned Number
- 132 • Date of PFC Submission
- 133 • PFC Submitter Information:
 - 134 ○ Name of PFC Submitter
 - 135 ■ Telephone Number (include country code, if applicable, and
 - 136 area code)

ANDA submission as described in 21 CFR 314.94(a)(7).” For purposes of this guidance, the term “clinical studies” in this definition refers to clinical “endpoint bioequivalence” studies. PFC Submitters / Applicants should also refer to 21 CFR 320.24(b) “Types of evidence to measure bioavailability or establish bioequivalence.”

¹⁵ In Section IX of this guidance, the terms *manufacturing site* and *bioequivalence site* are defined.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 137 ▪ Facsimile (FAX) Number (include country code, if applicable,
138 and area code)
- 139 ▪ Address (Street Address, City, State/Province/Region, Country,
140 Zip or Postal Code)
- 141 ▪ Secure Email Address
- 142 ○ Contact or U.S. Agent Name
- 143 ▪ Telephone Number (include country code, if applicable, and
144 area code)
- 145 ▪ Facsimile (FAX) Number (include country code, if applicable,
146 and area code)
- 147 ▪ Address (Street Address, City, State/Province/Region, Country,
148 Zip or Postal Code)
- 149 ▪ Secure Email Address
- 150 ○ DMF Right of Reference Letter
- 151 ○ As applicable – Statement from the DMF holder permitting FDA to
152 access the DMF information such that FDA is authorized to review
153 relevant confidential information as a part of the review of the PFC¹⁶
154

- 155 • NOTE Regarding PAS or PAS Amendments:

156
157 If the PFC is associated with a PAS or PAS Amendment,¹⁷ then a statement
158 should be provided, describing:

- 159 ○ The reason for the PAS (or Amendment)¹⁸
- 160 ○ Whether the submission is a PAS or PAS Amendment
- 161 ○ The anticipated disciplines that would review the PAS, when it is
162 submitted to the Agency (e.g., Chemistry, Labeling, DMF,
163 Bioequivalence, Microbiology or Clinical)

164
165 This description will enable the Agency to effectively route the submission for
166 appropriate review.

167
168 If the PFC is associated with “Grouped Supplements,”¹⁹ then it will be
169 assessed on behalf of all the supplements within the group.

B. Statement of ANDA Eligibility for *Priority Review*

¹⁶ See FDA.gov, “Drug Master Files (DMFs)” – DMF holders should submit the Letter of Authorization (LOA) to the DMF, and a copy of that LOA to the ANDA applicant.

¹⁷ 21 CFR 314.70(b) describes the types of changes that require prior approval.

¹⁸ Examples of reasons for submitting a PAS include, but are not limited to, new strength, reformulation, Rx to OTC switch, or change in manufacturing processes.

¹⁹ “Grouped Supplements” as defined by CDER’s MAPP 5015.1, Rev. 1, entitled *Review of Grouped Product Quality Supplements*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

As a prerequisite for the *planned ANDA* to be eligible for the *shorter goal date*, the product to be submitted in the *planned ANDA* should appear to meet the *priority* designation criteria as described in the Prioritization MAPP. To aid FDA’s determination regarding eligibility for *priority* review, the PFC should include a statement explaining which of the *priority* designation criteria in the Prioritization MAPP are met.

C. Manufacturing Process and Testing Facility Information

The PFC should contain the following information to inform the Agency’s assessment of the *manufacturing site* associated with the *planned ANDA*.

1. Administrative Information about facilities (including those referenced in a Type II DMF)

The PFC should include the following administrative information for each *manufacturing site* associated with the *planned ANDA*, including any relevant *manufacturing site* identified in a corresponding Type II DMF:

- Facility Name
- Facility Contact
- Facility Address
- Telephone Number
- Fax Number
- Email Address
- FEI Number
- DUNS Number²⁰
- Operation(s) performed at the facility
- Confirmation that the facility is ready for inspection

When site and manufacturing process information for a drug substance is provided in a corresponding Type II DMF, the following additional information should be provided in the PFC:

- Reference to the corresponding DMF Number
- A certification that FDA has performed its “Completeness Assessment” for the referenced Type II DMF²¹ and found it complete, and that the Type II DMF was “active” at the time the PFC was submitted^{22 23},

²⁰ Some *manufacturing sites* might not have a DUNS number. If a DUNS number is assigned, then it should be provided in the PFC.

²¹ See the guidance for industry *Completeness Assessments for Type II API DMFs Under GDUFA*, Section III.

²² *Ibid.*, Definition of “Active DMF.”

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

212
213 Although *manufacturing site* information that is included in a
214 corresponding Type II DMF is not required to be duplicated in the PFC,
215 the PFC submitter should include all of the basic information about the
216 Type II DMF *facility* as described above to ensure that FDA identifies and
217 assesses the relevant sites listed in the DMF.

2. *Information about the Drug Substance and Drug Product*

220
221 The following information about the drug substance and drug product
222 should be provided in the PFC or incorporated by reference to a Type II
223 DMF that contains this information:

- 224 • Nomenclature (drug substance)
- 225 • Structure (drug substance)
- 226 • General Properties (drug substance)
- 227 • Description and Composition of Drug Product – including the drug
- 228 product batch formula (drug product)
- 229 • Specification (drug substance and product)
- 230 • Batch Analyses (drug product)

3. *Information about the Manufacturing Process for the Drug Substance and Drug Product*

232
233 The following information about the manufacturing process should be
234 provided in the PFC or incorporated by reference to a Type II DMF that
235 contains this information:

- 236 • Description of Manufacturing Process and Controls – this includes a
- 237 description of the process and controls for the drug product
- 238 • Control of Materials, Critical Steps, and Intermediates
- 239 • Drug Product Manufacturing Process Development Information
- 240 • Available Process Validation Information – to demonstrate that the
- 241 proposed process can successfully manufacture the drug
- 242 product/substance

4. *Manufacturing and Testing for Non-Drug Constituent Parts²⁴ and Combination Products*

²³ See the list of DMFs that have passed the “Completeness Assessment” and are available for reference by *planned ANDAs* at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ForIndustry/UserFees/GenericDrugUserFees/UCM332875.xls>.

²⁴ The drugs, devices, and biological products included in combination products are referred to as “constituent parts” of the combination product.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

If the product in the *planned ANDA* is a combination product defined in 21 CFR Part 3.2(e)(1) or (2), the PFC should also include the following information regarding the *facilities* involved in manufacturing and testing of the non-drug constituent part(s) (i.e., biologic or medical device) and the finished combination product (e.g., final kit assembly for co-packaged drug and device constituent parts):

- Administrative Information for Non-Drug Constituent Part and Combination Product *Manufacturing Sites*, including the following:
 - *Manufacturing site* information as listed above in Section IV.C.1
 - Indication of whether the *manufacturing site* follows the streamlined approach to demonstrate compliance with the current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) requirements and, if so, identify the streamlined approach (e.g., drug CGMP-based or device QS-based streamlined approach) used^{25, 26}
- Information about the Combination Product and Non-Drug Constituent Parts, including the following:
 - Description of the manufacturing or assembly process at the finished combination product facility and, where applicable, the non-drug constituent part
 - For single-entity and co-packaged combination products²⁷ with a device constituent part, we recommend that the PFC submitter provide general descriptions/summaries of basic Quality System procedures, including management review procedures (21 CFR 820.20(c) and (e)), design controls (21 CFR 820.30), purchasing

²⁵ 21 CFR 4.4.

²⁶ See the guidance for industry *Current Good Manufacturing Practice Requirements for Combination Products*, Section 2.C “Overview of the Final Rule.” As described in this guidance, for drug-device combination products, 21 CFR part 4 identifies two ways to demonstrate compliance with CGMP requirements. Under the first option, manufacturers demonstrate compliance with all CGMP regulations applicable to each of the constituent parts included in the combination product. Under the second option, manufacturers implement a streamlined approach for combination products that include both a drug and a device by demonstrating compliance with either the drug CGMPs (21 CFR parts 210 and 211) or the device Quality System (QS) regulation (21 CFR part 820), and also demonstrating compliance with specified provisions from the other of these two sets of CGMP requirements.

²⁷ 21 CFR Part 3.2(e)(1) and (2) – single entity and co-packaged combination products defined.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

controls (21 CFR 820.50), and corrective and preventive action procedures (21 CFR 820.100)²⁸

D. Bioequivalence Summary and Site/Organization Information

The following information should be submitted in the PFC for each *bioequivalence site* associated with the *planned ANDA* submission:

1. Information about Bioequivalence Sites

- Site or Organization Name
- Contact Name
- Address at which study was conducted
- Telephone Number
- Fax Number
- Email Address
- Website
- Confirmation that the site or organization is ready for inspection

2. Study Information

- Study Names and Numbers
- Dates Conducted
- Main Investigators
- Summary of Biopharmaceutical Studies and Associated Analytical Methods
- Synopsis
 - Study Report
 - Protocol and Amendments
 - List and Description of Investigators
 - Randomization Schemes
 - Discontinued Subjects
 - Protocol Deviations
 - Subjects excluded from the statistical analysis (for example, adverse effects and serious adverse effects)
- Comparative Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Study Reports and related information
- In-Vitro – In-Vivo Correlation Study Reports and Related Information
- Reports of Bioanalytical and Analytical Methods for all bioequivalence studies

²⁸ See the guidance for industry *Current Good Manufacturing Practice Requirements for Combination Products*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

324 **V. ANDA SUBMISSION WINDOW**

325
326 According to the GDUFA II Commitment Letter, the PFC should be submitted 2 months ahead
327 of the submission date for the *planned ANDA* in order for it to be eligible to receive the *shorter*
328 *goal date*. This timing allows the Agency to initiate the assessment in advance of receiving the
329 *planned ANDA*. FDA believes if the time elapsed between submission of the PFC and
330 submission of the *planned ANDA* is too long, it is less likely that *facility* information will remain
331 *unchanged*, as defined by the GDUFA II Commitment Letter. Thus, FDA’s PFC *facility*
332 assessment may become out-of-date and need to be repeated after the *planned ANDA* is
333 submitted, eliminating the benefit of the PFC submission to both FDA and the applicant.
334 Therefore, this guidance establishes a window of time between 2 and 3 months after PFC
335 submission during which applicants should submit their *planned ANDA* (“ANDA Submission
336 Window”).

337
338 If the *planned ANDA* is submitted within 2 months of submission of the PFC, the ANDA will not
339 generally be eligible for the *shorter goal date*. Applicants should submit the *planned ANDA* no
340 more than 3 months after submission of the PFC to reduce the likelihood of *changes* that result in
341 the loss of eligibility for the *shorter goal date*.

342
343 For example, if the PFC is submitted on November 1, then the *planned ANDA* should be
344 submitted between January 1 (i.e., 2 months after submission of the PFC) and February 1 (i.e., 3
345 months after the submission of the PFC). Similarly, if the PFC is submitted on November 30,
346 then the *planned ANDA* should be submitted between January 31 (i.e., 2 months after submission
347 of the PFC) and February 28 (i.e., 3 months after submission of the PFC).

348 349 350 **VI. RECEIPT AND ASSESSMENT PROCESS (FOR PFC AND PLANNED ANDA)**

351
352 The following section describes the process for the PFC submission and how it interfaces with
353 the *planned ANDA*:

354 355 **A. PFC Receipt and Assessment**

356
357 The following section summarizes the process for PFC receipt and assessment.

358 359 *1. Obtaining a Pre-Assigned ANDA Number*

360
361 The applicant should request a pre-assigned application number, which
362 will also be used in the *planned ANDA*.

363 364 *2. Submitting the PFC*

365
366 The PFC should be submitted as an Adobe® Portable Document Format
367 (PDF) file through the FDA electronic submissions gateway (ESG)

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

368 following the Agency’s PDF Specifications.²⁹ The PFC submitter should
369 index the information submitted within the PDF file using the “bookmark
370 feature.” The PFC should be electronically submitted in non-electronic
371 Common Technical Document (non-eCTD) format via the Agency’s
372 Electronic Submission Gateway (ESG). When submitting the PFC
373 through the ESG, choose “CDER” when selecting the appropriate Center,
374 and should choose “PFC” when selecting the submission type.

375
376 If the PFC will be submitted via “Electronic Physical Media,”³⁰ it should
377 be submitted to:

378
379 U. S. Food and Drug Administration
380 Office of Generic Drugs – HFD-600
381 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
382 Metro Park North VII
383 7620 Standish Place
384 Rockville, MD 20855-2773
385

386 The PFC should contain the necessary information to allow the Agency to
387 successfully conduct the *facility* assessment. Any information that is
388 missing from the PFC will be considered for its impact on our ability to
389 complete the *facility* assessment. If the missing information precludes the
390 Agency from completing its *facility* assessment, the PFC will be not be
391 eligible for further assessment, and the *planned ANDA* will be assigned the
392 standard goal date.

393
394 The applicant should submit the *planned ANDA* within the “ANDA
395 Submission Window” described above in Section V. If the applicant
396 determines that the *planned ANDA* will not be submitted within the
397 “ANDA Submission Window” for any reason, FDA should be notified in
398 writing before the start of the “ANDA Submission Window.” The letter
399 should reference the PFC number, and state the applicant’s intent not to
400 submit the *planned ANDA* within the “ANDA Submission Window.”
401 Upon receipt of this letter, the Agency will terminate the assessment of the
402 PFC.
403

3. *FDA’s Initial Assessment of the PFC*

404
405
406 After receiving the PFC, the Agency will preliminarily assess whether the
407 product with the *planned ANDA* appears to meet the *priority* designation

²⁹ See [Fda.gov](http://fda.gov), “Electronic Submissions Gateway,” for technical details related to submitting documents through FDA’s Electronic Submission Gateway.

³⁰ See Transmitting Electronic Submissions Using eCTD Specifications, Revision 1.6, March 4, 2016, for definition of accepted Electronic Physical Media Format.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

408 criteria under the Prioritization MAPP, at the time that the PFC is
409 assessed. The preliminary assessment of *priority* designation is influenced
410 not only by information in the PFC, but also by other factors and
411 circumstances. For example, if the drug product associated with a PFC is
412 no longer in shortage, and not anticipated to be in future shortage, then the
413 PFC may not result in a *priority* designation.

414
415 Based on this preliminary assessment, the Agency will send an
416 acknowledgement letter to the PFC submitter to indicate whether or not
417 the *planned ANDA* appears to meet the *priority* designation criteria.
418

419 Note that this assessment of *priority* is preliminary. *Priority* designation
420 will be reevaluated using the Prioritization MAPP after the *planned ANDA*
421 is submitted. Applicants will be notified of *priority* status in the ANDA
422 acknowledgement letter sent by FDA.
423

4. FDA's Assessment of the PFC Content

424
425
426 If the *planned ANDA* appears to meet the *priority* designation criteria,
427 FDA will begin the *facility* assessment process with the expectation that
428 the *planned ANDA* will be submitted within the “ANDA Submission
429 Window,” as described above in Section V.
430

431 Please note that specifically with regard to “Grouped Supplements,” each
432 of the supplements in the group should meet the *priority* designation
433 criteria to qualify for the *shorter goal date*.
434

435 If the PFC is found to be missing information that precludes the Agency
436 from completing its *facility* assessment, the PFC will be not be eligible for
437 further assessment and the Agency will notify the applicant that the
438 *planned ANDA* will not be eligible for the *shorter goal date*.
439

B. ANDA Submission

440
441
442 When seeking a *shorter goal date* through *priority* designation and submission of
443 a *complete and accurate PFC*, FDA recommends that:
444

- 445 • The *planned ANDA* be submitted within the “ANDA Submission Window”
446 described above in Section V.
- 447 • The *planned ANDA* be submitted in the appropriate format as described above
448 in Sections VI.A.1 and 2.
- 449 • The *planned ANDA* include the *facility* information that was originally
450 submitted as part of the PFC. The *facility* information should be located in the
451 appropriate eCTD section of the *planned ANDA*.
- 452 • The *planned ANDA* cover letter clearly reference the PFC, the date it was
453 submitted, and date of FDA's acknowledgement letter indicating the PFC's

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

454 eligibility for further assessment. A copy of the FDA’s acknowledgement
455 letter related to the PFC should be included in the *planned ANDA*.

- 456 • A stand-alone certification letter be included stating that the *facility*
457 information that was initially submitted in the PFC, “has not *changed*” in the
458 *planned ANDA*. The certification letter should identify any and all differences
459 between the *facility* information submitted in the ANDA versus the PFC, and
460 certify that all differences between the PFC and *planned ANDA* have been
461 identified. If a certification letter is not submitted with the *planned ANDA*,
462 the submission will not be eligible for a *shorter goal date*.

463

464 FDA’s review of the *planned ANDA* will be performed in accordance with its
465 established statutes, regulations, policies and procedures for ANDA reviews. The
466 following additional elements will be included:

467

- 468 • Receipt of the ANDA by the Agency will result in an Acknowledgement
469 Letter to the applicant as described below in Section VII.
- 470 • The Agency will assess whether the product in the ANDA submission is
471 *priority* under the Prioritization MAPP. The *priority* designation is based on
472 the information submitted in the ANDA, and/or external factors and
473 circumstances, such as the likelihood of drug shortage for the drug product, its
474 designation as a President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief drug, and others
475 that would impact the Agency’s assessment for *priority* designation based on
476 the Prioritization MAPP.

477

478 C. Differences in *Facility* Information between the PFC and the *Planned ANDA*

479

480 Under the GDUFA II Commitment Letter, in order to maintain eligibility for the
481 *shorter goal date*, the information in the PFC must be accurate, complete, and
482 remain *unchanged*. Accordingly, if there are differences between the *facility*
483 information in the PFC and the ANDA, an important question will be whether
484 those differences constitute a *change, with respect to facility* information, as
485 defined by the GDUFA II Commitment Letter. If the *facility* information in the
486 *planned ANDA* differs from the PFC in any respect, those differences should be
487 specifically identified in the certification letter to be included with the *planned*
488 *ANDA*. FDA will determine whether these differences constitute a *change*. If the
489 Agency determines that a difference between the PFC and the *planned ANDA*
490 constitutes a *change*, the *planned ANDA* will be assigned the standard goal date as
491 described in the GDUFA II Commitment Letter.

492

493

494 VII. OUTCOMES

495

496 A. Eligibility of the PFC for Further FDA Assessment

497

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

498 If FDA determines that the product to be submitted in the *planned ANDA*
499 preliminarily appears to meet *priority* designation criteria as defined in the
500 Prioritization MAPP, the Agency will send a letter to:

- 501
- 502 • Acknowledge that the PFC is eligible for further assessment;
- 503 • Inform the submitter that a *priority* designation determination will be made
- 504 after submission of the *planned ANDA*; and
- 505 • Inform the submitter that they should calculate their “ANDA Submission
- 506 Window” as identified above in Section V.
- 507

508 If FDA determines that the drug product is not *priority* under the Prioritization
509 MAPP, the Agency will send a letter stating that the PFC is not eligible for further
510 assessment.

B. Determining the Goal Date for the *Planned ANDA*

511

512 After receiving the *planned ANDA*, FDA will determine the applicable goal date or
513 set of goal dates as outlined in the GDUFA II Commitment Letter. Establishing the
514 appropriate goal date for the *planned ANDA* is based on the Agency’s *priority*
515 designation assessment, assessment of the *facility* information submitted in the PFC,
516 and the stand-alone certification letter described in Section VI.B – bullet 5 (i.e., a
517 comparative assessment of the *facility* information submitted in the ANDA and the
518 PFC). The Agency will convey the outcomes of these assessments, and the resulting
519 goal date, in the acknowledgement letter associated with the application, supplement,
520 or amendment. Under the terms of the GDUFA II Commitment Letter, a *shorter goal*
521 *date* applies only to *priority* ANDAs. If, upon receiving the ANDA, FDA determines
522 that the application does not meet FDA’s *priority* designation criteria as defined in
523 the Prioritization MAPP, the ANDA will receive a goal date consistent with a
524 *standard ANDA*³¹—even if the PFC is otherwise found to be complete, accurate, and
525 the information provided in it is *unchanged*.
526
527

528

529 An ANDA that is not eligible for the *shorter goal date* may still be treated as *priority*
530 if it meets the criteria in the Prioritization MAPP.
531

532 In addition, if the *facility* information in the PFC does not remain complete, accurate,
533 and *unchanged* upon submission of the ANDA, under the terms of the GDUFA II
534 Commitment Letter, the standard goal dates as outlined in the GDUFA II
535 Commitment Letter will apply.
536

537 If, during the course of ANDA review, FDA determines that there are any differences
538 between the PFC and ANDA that were not identified in the applicant’s certification
539 letter as described in Section VI.B of this guidance, the review goal will be converted
540 to the standard goal date.

³¹ In Section IX of this guidance, the term *Standard ANDA* is defined.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584

VIII. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

A. What types of submissions may be eligible for the PFC process?

Priority abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), prior approval supplements (PASs), and their amendments can be eligible for the PFC process.

B. If a *facility* identified in the PFC closes, will FDA consider that as a *change, with respect to facility information*?

Yes, FDA considers *facility* closures to be a *change, with respect to facility information* as defined in the GDUFA II Commitment Letter because closures are *changes* in operations performed by *facilities* in the PFC or *planned ANDA*.

C. What is the purpose of the stand-alone certification letter to which Section VI refers?

The stand-alone certification letter ensures that FDA is made aware of any differences between the *facility* information submitted in the PFC versus the *planned ANDA*. The Agency will determine whether these differences constitute a *change, with respect to facility information* as defined in the GDUFA II Commitment Letter. If any differences are considered to meet this definition of *change*, then the *planned ANDA* will not receive a *shorter goal date*.

D. When the *planned ANDA* is submitted, should it include the *facility information* that was originally provided in the PFC?

Yes. The *planned ANDA* should include the *facility* information that was originally submitted as part of the PFC. The *facility* information should be located in the appropriate eCTD sections of the *planned ANDA*. The applicant should also submit a certification letter in their ANDA as described above in Section VI.

E. Why should applicants submit the *planned ANDA* 2 to 3 months after submission of the PFC?

The GDUFA II Commitment Letter states that the PFC will be submitted 2 months prior to the date of the ANDA. The Agency recommends 3 months prior to submission of the ANDA as the outside timeframe for a PFC to be submitted because within 3 months of the PFC submission the *facility* information related to the *planned ANDA* is more likely to remain factually accurate and unlikely to *change* prior to submission of the *planned ANDA*.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

585 **F. If there are differences between the *facility* information submitted in the PFC**
586 **versus the *planned ANDA*, how should they be reported?**
587

588 As described in Section VI, the applicant’s stand-alone certification letter should
589 identify any differences between the *facility* information submitted in the ANDA
590 versus the PFC, and certify that all differences have been identified in the stand-alone
591 certification letter.
592

593 **G. When could an applicant not receive the *shorter goal date* for their *planned***
594 ***ANDA*?**
595

596 A *planned ANDA* may not receive the *shorter goal date* if, among other reasons:

- 597 • Differences between the *facility* information submitted in the *planned ANDA*
598 versus the PFC constitute a ***change, with respect to facility information***, as
599 defined in the GDUFA II Commitment Letter.
- 600 • A *facility* is not ready for inspection.
- 601 • The PFC is not complete, or not accurate. FDA recommends that applicants
602 include the *facility*-related information described in this guidance.
- 603 • FDA determines that the PFC or the ANDA is not a *priority* as defined by the
604 Prioritization MAPP.
- 605 • The ANDA is not submitted within the submission window as described in
606 Section V.
607

608 **H. Is there a user fee payment required at the time of submitting PFC?**
609

610 No. Any relevant user fees are paid at the time of the ANDA submission.³²
611

612 **I. Which *bioequivalence sites* should be submitted in the PFC?**
613

614 All sites or organizations involved in bioequivalence and clinical [endpoint
615 bioequivalence] studies used to support the ANDA submission as described in 21
616 CFR 314.94(a)(7) and 21 CFR 320.24(b).¹⁴
617

618 **IX. DEFINITIONS**
619

620 **A. Planned ANDA**

621 For the purposes of this guidance, the term “*planned ANDA*” refers to the ANDA
622 submission that is prospectively associated with the PFC, specifically including:
623 Original ANDA Submissions, Original ANDA Amendments, ANDA Prior Approval
624 Supplements (PASs), and PAS Amendments. The qualifier “planned” indicates that
625 at the time of submitting the PFC, the ANDA has not been submitted. This term also
626

³² See [Fda.gov](https://www.fda.gov) - Generic Drug User Fee Cover Sheet and Payment Information.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

627 includes “Grouped Supplements,” as defined by CDER’s MAPP 5015.1, Rev. 1,
628 entitled *Review of Grouped Product Quality Supplements*.

629

B. Standard ANDA

631 The term “*standard ANDA*” refers to Original ANDA Submissions, Original ANDA
632 Amendments, PASs, and PAS Amendments not identified by FDA as eligible for the
633 *priority* designation pursuant to the CDER MAPP 5240.3, *Prioritization of the*
634 *Review of Original ANDAs, Amendments, and Supplements*.

635

C. Complete and Accurate Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence (PFC)

637 The GDUFA II Commitment Letter defines a “*complete and accurate Pre-*
638 *Submission Facility Correspondence*” as listing the following information:

639

640 1. All facilities involved in manufacturing processes and testing for the ANDA and
641 corresponding Type II API DMF as required by 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i) and (iii).¹²
642 For each manufacturing or testing facility, the correspondence includes facility name,
643 operation(s) performed, facility contact name, address, FEI number (if a required
644 registrant or one has been assigned), DUNS number, registration information (for
645 required registrants), a confirmation that the facility is ready for inspection, a
646 description of the manufacturing process, and a certification by the applicant that any
647 Type II DMF has similarly complete and accurate facility information as required by
648 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i), including complete facility information (i.e., facility name,
649 operation, facility contact name, address, FEI number and DUNS number). Facility
650 information that is included in a corresponding Type II DMF is not required to be
651 duplicated in the Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence for the ANDA.

652

653 2. All sites or organizations involved in bioequivalence and clinical [endpoint
654 bioequivalence] studies used to support the ANDA submission as described in 21
655 CFR 314.94(a)(7) [and 21 CFR 320.24(b).]¹⁴ This information is provided using a
656 standardized electronic format and includes unique identifiers that are current and
657 accurate, including site or organization name, address and website; and study
658 information including a listing of study names, dates of conduct and main
659 investigators.

660

D. Change, with Respect to Facility Information (also referred to as change)

662 The GDUFA II Letter defines “*change, with respect to facility information*” to mean a
663 change to information in the Pre-Submission Facilities Correspondence that causes
664 FDA to re-evaluate its *facility* assessment (i.e., assess the impact of the change on its
665 previous recommendation), such as a change in *facility* (as described by address, FEI
666 number, or DUNS number), change in operation(s) performed by a *facility*, addition
667 of a new *facility*, withdrawal of a *facility* used to generate data to meet application
668 requirements or intended for commercial production, or a change in inspection
669 readiness (i.e., a *facility* is no longer ready for inspection).

670

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

671 FDA interprets a “*change* in operation(s) performed by a *facility*” to include closure
672 of the *facility*. FDA uses *change* and *change, with respect to facility information*
673 interchangeably in this guidance.

674

675 **E. Bioequivalence Sites**

676 All sites or organizations involved in bioequivalence and clinical [endpoint
677 bioequivalence] studies used to support the ANDA submission as described in 21
678 CFR 314.94(a)(7) [and 21 CFR 320.24(b).]¹⁴ For the purposes of this guidance, this
679 term also captures sites that conduct analytical testing in support of the *planned*
680 *ANDA*.

681

682 **F. Manufacturing Sites**

683 All *facilities* involved in manufacturing processes, packaging and testing for the
684 ANDA and corresponding Type II API DMF as required by 21 CFR 314.50(d)(1)(i)
685 and (iii).¹² For the purpose of this guidance, this term refers to any manufacturing,
686 packaging or testing site associated with a *planned ANDA* that conducts an operation
687 to support manufacturing or testing of the drug substance and/or product. This
688 definition includes sites listed in Type II DMFs and sites that manufacture non-drug
689 constituent parts of a combination product.

690

691 **G. Facility**

692 For the purposes of this guidance, the term “*facility(ies)*” means “*manufacturing site*”
693 and “*bioequivalence site*.” The terms “*manufacturing site*” and “*bioequivalence site*”
694 are also explicitly defined in this guidance.

695

696 **H. Shorter Goal Date**

697 The term “*shorter goal date*” refers to the accelerated goal dates identified in the
698 GDUFA II Commitment Letter for ANDAs that are designated *priority* by FDA and
699 have submitted within the proper timeframe, a *complete and accurate PFC* containing
700 *facility* information that remains *unchanged* in the subsequent ANDA.³³

701

702 **I. Priority**

703 The term “*priority*” refers to FDA’s designation of *priority* for ANDAs as defined by
704 the Prioritization MAPP.

705

706

707

³³ “Submission Review Performance Goals,” *GDUFA Reauthorization Performance Goals and Program Enhancements, FYs 2018-2022*, Section I.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

APPENDIX – PRE-SUBMISSION FACILITY CORRESPONDENCE SUGGESTED FORMAT

The PFC should be submitted as an Adobe Portable Document Format file, as described in Section VI.A.1 and 2 above. Although the PFC itself is not considered to be an ANDA (or PAS or Amendment), a PFC will be included as an associated record in the regulatory record of any *planned ANDA* upon submission.

A. PFC Title

The PFC title should be prefaced with the label “Pre-Submission Facility Correspondence.” This label will enable FDA to appropriately capture the PFC when it is submitted to the electronic submissions gateway.

B. File Format

The PFC should be submitted in an Adobe PDF file format to ensure complete processing of the correspondence by the Agency. The applicant should index the information submitted within the PDF file using the “bookmark feature” to enable the Agency’s expedient assessment of the PFC.

C. Layout

Section IV of this guidance establishes the information that should be provided at a minimum in order for the PFC to be considered complete. Although the layout of the PFC is left to the discretion of the submitter, the Agency recommends that the following elements be considered for the PFC format.

1. General Recommendations

The Agency suggests using the headings in Section IV of this guidance to denote the overall layout of the PFC as shown below. If a submitter chooses to use another format, they should ensure that their PFC provides the information requested in Section IV of this document.

A. General Information

B. Statement of ANDA Eligibility for *Priority Review*

C. Manufacturing Process and Testing Facility Information

1. Administrative Information about Facilities (including those referenced in a Type II DMF)
2. Information about the Drug Substance and Product
3. Information about the Manufacturing Process for Drug Substance and Drug Product

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 753 4. Manufacturing and Testing Facilities for Non-Drug
754 Constituent Parts and Combination Products
755 • Administrative Information for Non-Drug
756 Constituent Part and Combination Product
757 *manufacturing site*
758 • Information about the Combination Product and
759 Non-Drug Constituent Parts
760

- 761 D. Bioequivalence Summary and Site/Organization Information
762 1. Information about Sites or Organizations
763 2. Study Information
764

765 2. *Recommendations for Specific Headings under Section IV*
766

- 767 • Statement of Why ANDA Submission Should Be Considered for
768 *Priority Review*
769

770 This statement and the associated justification should be stand-alone,
771 to expedite the Agency's initial assessment of the PFC, as described in
772 Section VI.A.3 of this guidance.
773

- 774 • Manufacturing Site(s) Information
775

776 When describing the administrative information associated with
777 manufacturing and testing *facilities* (see Section IV.C.1), including
778 where appropriate, those *facilities* listed in a Type II DMF (relevant to
779 the *planned ANDA*), and *facilities* related to the combination product
780 and non-drug constituent parts of a combination product, the submitter
781 is encouraged to use a standardized format to list this information. See
782 the example below:
783

784 **Figure 1.** Example of Standardized Format for Administrative
785 Information related to Manufacturing and Testing Facilities
786

	Facility #1	Facility #2	Facility #3
Facility Name			
Facility Contact Name			
Facility Address			
Telephone Number			
Fax Number			
Email Address			
FEI Number			
DUNS Number			
Operation performed at the facility			
Confirmation that the			

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

facility is ready for inspection			
Reference to corresponding DMF Number (For DMF listed facility)			
A Certification that FDA has performed its “Completeness Assessment” for the referenced Type II DMF and found it complete			
CGMP Approach (For combination product manufacturing facilities only, e.g., drug CGMP-based or device QS-based streamlined approach)			

787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796

- *Bioequivalence Site(s)* Information

Information regarding the *bioequivalence sites* should be included as described in Section IV.D of this document. For information related to *bioequivalence sites* and organizations, the submitter should follow the format.

Figure 2. Sample Study Summary Table (One Table for Each Study)

Study Number				
Study Title				
Study Type	<input type="checkbox"/> In Vivo BE	<input type="checkbox"/> In Vitro BE	<input type="checkbox"/> Permeability	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
Reports Included in PFC				
Study Report	Included in PFC? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Validation Report	Included in PFC? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Bioanalytical Report	Included in PFC? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Clinical Site (Name, Address)				
Phone #				
Fax #				
Principal Clinical Investigator (Name, Email)				

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

Dosing Dates	
Analytical Site (Name, Address)	
Phone #	
Fax #	
Analysis Dates	
Principal Analytical Investigator (Name, Email)	
Storage Period of Biostudy Samples (a) Duration (no. of days from the first day of sample collection to the last day of sample analysis) (b) Temperature Range:	
Long-Term Storage Stability Coverage (no. days @ temp °C)	

797

798

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

799
800
801
802
803

Figure 3. Sample Summary Table of Bioequivalence Studies
(One Table for Each Study)

Study Ref.No	Study Objective	Study design	Treatments (Dose, Dosage Form, Route) [Product ID]	Subject (No. M/F) Type Age: mean (Range)	Mean Parameters ±SD								
					C _{max} (ng/mL) Mean ± SD (CV %)	t _{max} (hr) Median (Range)	AUC _{0-t} (ng.hr/mL) Mean ± SD (CV %)	AUC _{0-INF} (ng.hr/mL) Mean ± SD (CV %)	t _{1/2} (hr) Mean ± SD (CV %)	K _{el} (hr ⁻¹) Mean ± SD (CV %)	AUC % Extrap Mean ± SD (CV %)	Study Report Included in PFC?	
	Primary Objective:		Test product (T) Description Batch No:										Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
	Secondary Objective:		Reference product (R) Description Lot No:										

804