





National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abbreviations4	
Acknowledgements	
Introduction & Summary	1
Surveillance and Laboratory Testing Methods 10-	·13
Interpretive Criteria	15
PREVALENCE DATA	
Percent Positive Samples	
Site and Bacterium, 2002-2011	·19
Bacterium and Meat Type, 2002-2011	
Salmonella & Campylobacter by Meat Type, 2002-2011	
SALMONELLA DATA	
Salmonella by Serotype and Meat Type, 2011	
Trends in Resistance	
Salmonella by Meat Type, 2002-2011	-25
By Top Serotypes within Meat Type, 2011	
Resistance to Multiple Antimicrobial Agents	
Multidrug Resistance Patterns, 2002-2011	
Resistance to Multiple Classes by Meat Type, 2002-2011	
MIC Distributions	
Chicken Breast, 2002-2011	.30
Ground Turkey, 2002-2011	
Ground Beef, 2002-2011	-34
Pork Chop, 2002-2011	-36
Other Beta Lactam Resistance	
CAMPYLOBACTER DATA	
Openende has ten Openeires hu Mast Turne, 2000, 2014	
Campylobacter Species by Meat Type, 2002-2011	
Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli Isolated by Month from Chicken Breast, 2002-2011	
Trends in Resistance	
Campylobacter Species by Meat Type, 2002-2011	40
('ampulahaatar jajuni and (' aali trom ('hiakan Droact '2002'2011	
Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli from Chicken Breast, 2002-2011	42
	-42
Resistance to Multiple Antimicrobial Agents	-42
	-42
Resistance to Multiple Antimicrobial Agents <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> and <i>C. coli</i> Resistant to Multiple Classes by Meat Type, 2002-2011	-42
Resistance to Multiple Antimicrobial Agents	-42

ENTEROCOCCUS DATA

Enterococcus Species by Meat Type, 2002-2011	46
Trends in Resistance Enterococcus by Meat Type, 2002-2011 Enterococcus faecalis by Meat Type, 2002-2011 Enterococcus faecium by Meat Type, 2002-2011 Enterococcus faecium by Meat Type, 2002-2011 Enterococcus hirae by Meat Type, 2002-2011	50 51
Resistance to Multiple Antimicrobial Agents Enterococcus faecalis Resistant to Multiple Classes by Meat Type, 2002-2011 Enterococcus faecium Resistant to Multiple Classes by Meat Type, 2002-2011	
MIC Distributions by Species Chicken Breast, 2011 Ground Turkey, 2011 Ground Beef, 2011 Pork Chop, 2011.	56 57
ESCHERICHIA COLI DATA	
Escherichia coli Prevalence by Meat Type, 2002-2011	59
Trends in Resistance Escherichia coli by Meat Type, 2002-2011	60-62
Resistance to Multiple Antimicrobial Agents Multidrug Resistance Patterns, 2002-2011 Resistance to Multiple Classes by Meat Type, 2002-2011	63 64
MIC Distributions Chicken Breast, 2011	67-68 69-70
Other Beta Lactam Resistance	73
APPENDICES	
PEGE Profiles For Salmonella Isolates	71_80

PFGE Profiles For Salmonella Isolates	74-80
Log Sheet Example	81
Trend Analysis Description	82

List of Abbreviations Used

General Abbreviations

AR	Antimicrobial Resistance
BAP	Blood Agar Plate
CCA	Campy-Cefex Agar Plate
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
CVM	Center for Veterinary Medicine
EAP	Enterococcosel Agar Plate
EIP	Emerging Infections Program
EMB	Eosin Methylene Blue
ESBL	Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FoodNet	Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
NARMS	National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PFGE	Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis
PulseNet	National Molecular Subtyping Network for Foodborne Disease Surveillance
QC	Quality Control
RVR10	Rappaport-Vassiliadis Medium
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
XLD	Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate

Antimicrobial Abbreviations

AMC	Amoxicillin/Clavulanic Acid	KAN	Kanamycin
AMP	Ampicillin	LIN	Lincomycin
AXO	Ceftriaxone	LZD	Linezolid
AZI	Azithromycin	NAL	Nalidixic Acid
CHL	Chloramphenicol	NIT	Nitrofurantoin
CIP	Ciprofloxacin	PEN	Penicillin
CLI	Clindamycin	QDA	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin
COT	Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole	STR	Streptomycin
DAP	Daptomycin	TEL	Telithromycin
DOX	Doxycycline	TET	Tetracycline
ERY	Erythromycin	TGC	Tigecycline
FFN	Florfenicol	TIO	Ceftiofur
FIS	Sulfisoxazole	TYL	Tylosin
FOX	Cefoxitin	VAN	Vancomycin
GEN	Gentamicin		
Meat	Types Abbreviations		
CK	Retail Chicken	GT	Ground Turkey
GB	Ground Beef	PC	Pork Chop
State	Abbreviations		
CA	California	NM	New Mexico
CO	Colorado	NY	New York
СТ	Connecticut	OR	Oregon
GA	Georgia	PA	Pennsylvania
MD	Maryland	ΤN	Tennessee
MN	Minnesota		

NARMS Retail Meat Working Group

U.S. Food and Drug

<u>Administration</u> Jason Abbott

Sherry Ayers Sonya Bodeis-Jones **Emily Crarey** Sharon Friedman Stuart Gaines Michael Grabenstein **David Heller Carol Henderson Claudine Kabera** Claudia Lam Patrick McDermott Shawn McDermott Sampa Mukherjee Melissa Pitcher Crystal Rice-Trujillo Jonathan Sabo Heather Tate Thu Thuy-Tran Shenia Young Shaohua Zhao

<u>Centers for Disease</u> <u>Control and Prevention</u> Beth Karp Felicita Medalla Allison O'Donnell Regan Rickert

Special thanks to Laura Alvey, Denise Benton, Mary Bartholomew, Judy Li and Junshan Qiu for providing outstanding web support and statistical consultation.

Participating State and Local Health Departments

California

Richard Alexander Melody Hung-Fan Maribel Rickard

Colorado

Dee Jae Dutton Joe Gossack Dave Heltzel Hugh Maguire

Connecticut

Diane Barden George Goad Aristea Kinney Mona Mandour Laurn Mank Ruthanne Marcus Michael A. Pascucilla **Georgia** James Benson Hope Dishman

> Cherie Drenzek Elizabeth Franko Mary Hodel Gladys Maldonado Becky Meyer Mahin Park Lynett Poventud Melissa Tobin-D'angelo

Maryland

David Blythe Beverly Jolbitado Carrianne Jung Amanda Palmer Patricia Ryan Chengru Zhu

Minnesota

Craig Braymen Karen Everstine Gary Horvath LeeAnn Johnson Billie Juni Fe Leano Stephanie Meyer David Read Kirk Smith **New Mexico** Adreiena Armijo Lisa Butler Nicole Espinoza Frederick Gentry **Carlos Gonzales** Jennifer Hollander Sarah Khanlian Robert Mansmann Cynthia Nicholson Erica Swanson Paul Torres

New York

Ariel Endlich-Frazier Charles MacGowan Dale Morse Geetha Nattanmai Marsha Peck Timothy Root Suzanne Solghan Shelley Zansky

Oregon

Elizabeth Baldwin Marianna Cavanaugh Dawn Daly Emilio DeBess Vicki A. Hafits Barbara Olson Helen Packett **Richard Trump** Robert Vega Veronica Williams Pennsylvania Lisa Dettinger Susan Johnston Nkuchia M. M'ikanatha Barry Perry Stanley Reynolds Carol Sandt Deepanker Tewari Tennessee Parvin Arjmandi Robyn Atkinson Henry Davis Gail Dewberry John Dunn Stephanie Estes Samir Hanna Henrietta Hardin **Tim Jones** Ryan Mason Kenneth Mitchell Julie Montgomery **Bobby Price** Sheri Roberts

NARMS Retail Meat Annual Report 2011

Introduction

The primary purpose of the NARMS retail meat surveillance program is to monitor the prevalence and trends of antimicrobial resistance among foodborne isolates of *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter, Enterococcus* and *Escherichia coli*.

NARMS retail meat surveillance is an ongoing collaboration between the U.S. Food and Drug Administration/Center for Veterinary Medicine (FDA/CVM), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and State public health laboratories in California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Tennessee, and Pennsylvania. From January to December, each site purchased approximately 40 food samples per month, which are comprised of 10 samples each from chicken, ground turkey, ground beef, and pork chops. All sites culture the meat and poultry samples for *Salmonella* and only poultry samples are cultured for *Campylobacter*. In 2011, 4 of the 11 participating laboratories (Georgia, Oregon, Maryland and Tennessee) also cultured meat and poultry samples for *E. coli* and *Enterococcus*. Bacterial isolates were sent to FDA/CVM for serotyping, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, and genetic analysis.

As a public health monitoring system, the primary objectives of NARMS are to:

- Monitor trends in antimicrobial resistance among foodborne bacteria from humans, retail meats, and animals
- Disseminate timely information on antimicrobial resistance to promote interventions that reduce resistance among foodborne bacteria
- Conduct research to better understand the emergence, persistence, and spread of antimicrobial resistance
- Assist the FDA in making decisions related to the approval of safe and effective antimicrobial drugs for animals

What is New in the NARMS Retail Meat Report for 2011

In the 2012 M100-S22 document, the Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute (CLSI) revised ciprofloxacin breakpoints for invasive *Salmonella* serotypes, lowering the resistance breakpoint from 4 μ g/mL to 1 μ g/mL. These revised breakpoints were applied to all *Salmonella* analyses in this report.

In 2011, the gram negative CMV1AGNF SensititreTM plate was replaced by CMV2AGNF. This new panel replaces amikacin with azithromycin. Data on amikacin susceptibility can be found in prior NARMS Retail Meat Reports. Azithromycin has no CLSI approved interpretive criteria at the time of this report, therefore provisional NARMS breakpoints (Susceptible breakpoint \leq 16 µg/mL) were used (Table 1).

Beginning in 2011, all isolates exhibiting resistance to a third-generation cephalosporin (ceftriaxone and/or ceftiofur) were screened for the presence of ESBLs and tested against other beta-lactam compounds. These data were added to this report in Table 13 and Table 30 of the *Salmonella* and *E. coli* sections, respectively. Additionally, sites began sampling chicken wings and thighs when chicken breast with bone in and skin on was unavailable. The term 'retail chicken' has replaced 'chicken breast' in this report to reflect this change.

Salmonella¹

- Salmonella serotypes Typhimurium, Kentucky, and Heidelberg accounted for 48% of retail meat isolates (Table 7). Serotype IIIa 18:z4,z23:- decreased from 6.3% in 2010 to 3.9% in 2011, removing it from the top 5 serotypes.
- Saintpaul remained the most common serotype in ground turkey, a trend which was first seen in 2009.
- Heidelberg prevalence among all retail meat increased from 9.5% in 2010 to 11.2% in 2011 but remained below the 2002 to 2010 average of 19.8%.
- Quinolones All Salmonella isolates were susceptible to nalidixic acid (Table 8).
- Cephalosporins Third-generation cephalosporin resistance rose in retail chicken (10–33.5%) and ground turkey (8.1–22.4%) isolates from 2002 through 2011 (p < 0.05).
- Ampicillin There were significant increases in ampicillin resistance among retail chicken (16.7–40.5%, p < 0.05) and ground turkey isolates (16.2–58.4%, p<0.001) from 2002 through 2011.
- Multidrug Resistance The proportion of Salmonella with no detected resistance declined in 2011 compared to 2010. Also in 2011, 44.9% of retail chicken isolates were resistant to ≥ 3 antimicrobial classes compared to 50.3% of ground turkey isolates. More than 27% of retail chicken isolates showed resistance to ≥ 5 classes (Table 11) with 2/3 from serotype Typhimurium (Table 9). Ground turkey isolates showed 10 different serotypes with resistance to ≥ 6 antimicrobial classes.
- No isolates displayed pheonotypes indicative of ESBL production (Table 13).

Campylobacter²

More than 90% of *Campylobacter* are recovered from retail chicken each year and *C. jejuni* was more prevalent than *C. coli* (Table 14).

Macrolides and fluoroquinolones are used in the treatment of *Campylobacter* infections. It is well known that *C. coli* tend to be more resistant than *C. jejuni* regardless of source, and this is reflected in the 2011 NARMS retail data with the exception of quinolones and tetracycline.

- Macrolide resistance in retail chicken isolates remained low at 4.3% of *C. coli* and 0.5% of *C. jejuni* in 2011 (Table 17).
- Ciprofloxacin resistance in *C. coli* from retail chicken rose from 10% in 2002 to its highest peak of 29.1% in 2005 when fluoroquinolone use stopped in poultry production. Since then, ciprofloxacin resistance in *C. coli* has decreased to 18.1% in 2011 (Table 17), while resistance in *C. jejuni* significantly increased from 15.2–22.4% from 2002 through 2011 (p<0.0001).
- Tetracycline resistance increased in *C. jejuni* (36.3–48.4%) and *C. coli* (39.2–49.1%) compared to 2010.

¹ Nearly all salmonellae were recovered from poultry. Due to the low recovery from ground beef and pork chops (< 2%), statistical analysis of trends in resistance from these sources should be considered with caution.

² Ground beef and pork chop samples are no longer cultured for *Campylobacter*, due to their low recovery (<0.5%) from 2002–2007.

- Gentamicin resistance in *C. coli* markedly increased from 0.7% in 2007 when it first appeared in NARMS retail meat to 18.1% in 2011 (p < 0.0001).
- Multidrug resistance is rare in *Campylobacter*. There were only 9 (of 634) *Campylobacter* isolates from poultry resistant to ≥ 3 antimicrobial classes in 2011 (Table 18).

Enterococcus

E. faecalis (70.5%) was more prevalent than *E. faecium* (22.7%) in 2011 (Table 20). Retail chicken was the only meat type where *E. faecium* was more prevalent than *E. faecalis*.

Enterococcus is used as a sentinel for antibiotic selection pressures by compounds with gram-positive activity. This spectrum of activity is exhibited by many antimicrobials used in food animal production; and the same classes of antibiotics are also used to treat human infections.

- No isolates were resistant to vancomycin or linezolid (Table 21). These classes of compounds are critically important in human medicine but are not used in food animal production.
- Since 2002, streptogramin resistance has significantly decreased (p < 0.05) in retail chicken (56.3–27.1%), ground beef (46.2–8.4%), and pork chop (27.2–12.2%) isolates but has remained above 50% in turkey isolates (Table 21).
- *E. faecalis* from poultry showed markedly higher aminoglycoside and macrolide resistance than *E. faecium*, with the exception of streptomycin. *E. faecium* had much higher resistance to nitrofurantoin, penicillin and ciprofloxacin from all sources compared to *E. faecalis* (Table 22.1-2).
- The proportions of multidrug resistant poultry isolates from 2002 through 2011 were higher in *E. faecium* than *E. faecalis* (Table 23.1-2).

Escherichia coli

E. coli are common in all retail meat products tested in NARMS. Of 1,920 retail meats tested in 2011 55.7% were culture positive for *E. coli*, with pork chops having the lowest prevalence (30.4%) and ground turkey with the highest (76.7%).

- Ceftriaxone resistance among *E. coli* isolates from retail chicken was consistently higher than any other retail meat tested (Table 26).
- No isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin (Table 26).
- From 2002–2005, nalidixic acid resistance in *E. coli* from retail chicken increased from 2.8–6.6% and increased in ground turkey from 4.3–10.4%. Since 2005, resistance has decreased to 2.3% in chicken and 1.6% in ground turkey (Table 26). No ground beef or pork chop isolates were nalidixic acid resistant.
- Gentamicin resistance is much higher in retail chicken and turkey isolates (> 20%) than ground beef and pork chop isolates (< 5%, Table 26).
- A highly statistically significant trend (p < 0.0001) in ampicillin resistance was seen among ground turkey with 51.6% resistance in 2011, up from 31.3% in 2002.
- Some poultry isolates exhibiting resistance to third-generation cephalosporins had additional co-resistances to other beta-lactam compounds (Table 30). No isolates displayed pheonotypes indicative of ESBL production.

Surveillance and Laboratory Testing Methods

Sample Collection and Isolate Submission

For 2011, retail meat samples were collected from 10 CDC FoodNet sites including California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Tennessee plus the Pennsylvania Department of Health. Each site collected samples from a randomized list of area grocery stores derived from the Chain Store Guide (Tampa, FL). All 11 sites cultured the meat samples for non-typhoidal *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*. In 2011, Tennessee, Georgia, Maryland and Oregon cultured the same samples for *E. coli* and *Enterococcus*. A single isolate from each culture-positive meat sample was submitted by the 11 sites to the FDA/CVM for serotype or species confirmation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

Microbiological Analysis and Testing Methods at the NARMS Sites

Retail meat samples were stored at 4°C and processed within 96 hours of purchase. Meat packages were kept intact until they were aseptically opened in the laboratory. A sample is defined as a single retail chicken part (breast, wing, or thigh) or pork chop, or a 25 gram (g) aliquot of ground product (beef and turkey). Samples were placed in separate sterile plastic bags with 250 mL of buffered peptone water, and the bags were vigorously shaken. Fifty milliliters of the rinsate from each sample were transferred to individual sterile containers for bacterial isolation as outlined below.

Salmonella Isolation

Fifty milliliters of double strength lactose broth were added to the flasks containing 50 mL of rinsate. The contents were mixed thoroughly and incubated at 35°C for 24 hours. From each flask, 0.1 mL was transferred to 9.9 mL of RVR10 medium and incubated at 42°C for 16-20 hours. One milliliter of this enrichment was transferred to pre-warmed (35-37°C) 10 mL tubes of M Broth and incubated 35-37°C for 6-8 hours. From each M Broth culture, 1 mL was heated at 100°C for 15 minutes, and the remaining portion was refrigerated. The heated portion from each culture was screened using the TECRA Salmonella Visual Immunoassay kit (International BioProducts, Bothell, WA) or the VIDAS® Salmonella Immunoassay kit (bioMerieux, Hazelwood, MO) according to the manufacturers' instructions. If the TECRA or VIDAS assay was negative, the sample was considered negative for Salmonella. If the TECRA or VIDAS assay was positive, a loopful of the corresponding unheated M Broth culture was streaked for isolation onto a Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) agar plate and incubated at 35°C for 24 hours. Each XLD agar plate was examined for typical Salmonella colonies (pink colonies with or without black centers). If no Salmonella-like growth was observed on XLD agar, the sample was considered negative. A typical Salmonella colony was streaked for purity onto a trypticase soy agar plate supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (BAP). The BAP(s) were incubated at 35°C for 18-24 hours before sub-culturing an isolated colony for further biochemical identification and serotyping using the FoodNet

laboratory's standard procedures. *Salmonella* isolates were subsequently frozen at -70 to -80°C in Brucella broth with 20% glycerol and shipped on dry ice to FDA/CVM. Upon arrival at FDA/CVM, each isolate was streaked for purity on a BAP before being confirmed as *Salmonella* using the Vitek 2 Compact microbial identification system (bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO). These isolates were further serotyped for O and H antigens using either commercially available antisera (Difco-Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD; Miravista Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) or antisera from the CDC.

Campylobacter Isolation

Fifty milliliters of double-strength Bolton broth was added to the flasks containing 50 mL of rinsate, mixed gently to avoid aeration, and incubated at 42°C for 24 hours in a reduced oxygen atmosphere containing 85% nitrogen, 10% carbon dioxide, and 5% oxygen. The Bolton broth enrichment was inoculated onto Campy Cefex Agar (CCA) to obtain isolated colonies, and incubated at 42°C in the above atmosphere for 24 to 48 hours. If no *Campylobacter*-like growth was observed on a CCA plate, the sample was considered negative. When *Campylobacter*-like growth was observed, one typical well-isolated colony from each CCA plate was sub-cultured to a BAP and incubated as described above. Following incubation, the purified culture was gram stained and tested for its reaction to catalase, oxidase, hippurate and/or motility. All isolates presumptively identified as *Campylobacter* were frozen at -70 to -80°C in Brucella broth with 20% glycerol and shipped in cryo-vials on dry ice to FDA/CVM. Upon arrival at FDA/CVM, isolates were streaked for purity on a BAP before being identified to the species level using PCR assays previously described (2, 6).

Escherichia coli Isolation (Georgia, Oregon, Maryland and Tennessee in 2011)

Fifty milliliters of double strength MacConkey broth was added to flasks containing 50 mL of rinsate, mixed thoroughly and incubated at 35°C for 16-20 hours. One loopful from each flask was streaked onto an Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar plate and incubated at 35°C for 16-20 hours. If no typical *E. coli* colonies were observed on an EMB agar plate, the sample was considered negative. When *E. coli*-like growth was present, one typical, well-isolated colony was subcultured onto a BAP. Indole positive and oxidase negative isolates were presumptively identified as *E. coli*. These isolates were frozen at -70 to -80°C in Brucella broth with 20% glycerol and shipped in cryo-vials on dry ice to FDA/CVM. Upon arrival at FDA/CVM, every isolate was streaked for purity on a BAP before being confirmed as *E. coli* using the Vitek 2 Compact microbial identification system (bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO).

Enterococcus Isolation (Georgia, Oregon, Maryland and Tennessee in 2011)

Fifty milliliters of double-strength Enterococcosel broth was added 50 mL of rinsate, mixed thoroughly and incubated at 45°C for 18-24 hours. If no typical growth or blackening was observed in the flask, the sample was considered negative. If blackening of the broth was observed, a loopful was streaked for isolation onto an Enterococcosel Agar plate (EAP) and incubated at 35°C for 18-24 hours. If no typical

growth was observed on the EA plate, the sample was considered negative. If *Enterococcus*-like growth was present, one well-isolated colony was streaked for isolation onto a BAP, and incubated at 35°C for 18-24 hours in ambient air. Presumptive *Enterococcus* isolates were subsequently frozen at -70 to -80°C in Brucella broth with 20% glycerol and shipped in cryo-vials on dry ice to FDA/CVM. Upon arrival at FDA/CVM, every isolate was streaked for purity on a BAP before being confirmed as *Enterococcus* using the Vitek 2 Compact microbial identification system (bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Antimicrobial minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by broth microdilution according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) standards (3, 4, 5) using a 96-well microtiter plate (Sensititre, Trek Diagnostic Systems, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Cleveland, OH). Salmonella and E. coli isolates were tested using a custom plate developed for Gram-negative bacteria (catalog # CMV2AGNF) and suspect Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamases (ESBL) that were resistant to ceftriaxone (AXO) and/or ceftiofur (TIO) were tested using a custom plate developed for ESBL testing (CMV2DW); Enterococcus isolates were tested using a custom plate developed for Gram-positive bacteria (catalog # CMV3AGPF); and Campylobacter isolates were tested using a custom plate developed for Campylobacter testing (catalog # CAMPY). The quality control organisms included Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 51299 Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, and Campylobacter jejuni ATCC 33560 (3, 4, 5). CLSI approved interpretive criteria were used when available; otherwise provisional NARMS breakpoints were used (Tables 1-4).

Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was used to assess genetic relatedness among all *Salmonella* and select *Campylobacter* isolates using protocols developed by CDC (1). All *Campylobacter* isolated from 2002 to 2005 were tested by PFGE. Since 2006, only those resistant to ciprofloxacin or erythromycin have been examined by PFGE. Agarose-embedded DNA was digested with *Xbal* and *Blnl* for *Salmonella* isolates and *Smal* and *Kpnl* for *Campylobacter* isolates. DNA restriction fragments were separated by pulsed electrophoresis using the CHEF Mapper system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Genomic-DNA profiles were analyzed using BioNumerics software (Applied-Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium), and banding patterns were compared using Dice coefficients with a 1.5% band position tolerance.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002. Standardized molecular subtyping of foodborne bacterial pathogens by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Atlanta, GA.

- 2. Linton, D., A. J. Lawson, R. J. Owen, and J. Stanley. 1997. PCR detection, identification to species level, and fingerprinting of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* direct from diarrheic samples. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35:2568-2572
- 3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated from Animals; Approved Standard – Second Edition (M31-A2). 2002. CLSI, Wayne, Pa.
- 4. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated from Animals; Informational Supplement (M31-S1). 2004. CLSI, Wayne, Pa.
- 5. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Second Informational Supplement (M100-S22). 2012. CLSI, Wayne, Pa.
- Zhao, C., B. Ge, J. De Villena, R. Sudler, E. Yeh, S. Zhao, D. G. White, D. Wagner, and J. Meng. 2001. Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp., *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella* serovars in retail chicken, turkey, pork, and beef from the Greater Washington, D.C., area. Appl.Environ.Microbiol. 67:5431-5436

Table 1. Interpretive Criter	ia used for Antimicrobial Suscept	ibility Testing of <i>Salmonella</i> an	d <i>E. coli</i> , NAR	MS Retail Mea	it, 2011 ¹		
			Breakpoints (µg/ml)				
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial Agent	Concentration Range (µg/ml)	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant		
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	0.25 - 16	≤ 4	8	≥ 16		
	Kanamycin	8 - 64	≤ 16	32	≥ 64		
	Streptomycin*	32 - 64	≤ 32	N/A	≥ 64		
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations	Amoxicillin–Clavulanic Acid	1 / 0.5 - 32 / 16	≤ 8 / 4	16 / 8	≥ 32 / 16		
Cephems	Cefoxitin	0.5 - 32	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
	Ceftiofur	0.12 - 8	≤ 2	4	≥ 8		
	Ceftriaxone	0.25 - 64	≤ 1	2	≥ 4		
Folate Pathway Inhibitors	Sulfisoxazole ²	16 - 256	≤ 256	N/A	≥ 512		
	Trimethoprim–Sulfamethoxazole	0.12 / 2.4 - 4 / 76	≤ 2 / 38	N/A	≥ 4 / 76		
Macrolides	Azithromycin*	0.12 - 16	≤ 16	N/A	≥ 32		
Penicillins	Ampicillin	1 - 32	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol	2 - 32	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin ³ Salmonella	0.015 - 4	≤ 0.06	0.12-0.5	≥ 1		
	E. coli	0.015 - 4	≤ 0.12	2	≥ 4		
	Nalidixic acid	0.5 - 32	≤ 16	N/A	≥ 32		
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	4 - 32	≤ 4	8	≥ 16		

Table 2. Interpretive Criteria used for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Campylobacter, NARMS Retail Meat, 2011

			Br	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial Agent	Concentration Range (µg/ml)	Susceptible	Susceptible Intermediate			
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin*	0.12 - 32	≤ 2	4	≥ 8		
Ketolides	Telithromycin*	0.015 - 8	≤ 4	8	≥ 16		
Lincosamides	Clindamycin*	0.03 - 16	≤2	4	≥ 8		
Macrolides	Azithromycin*	0.015 - 64	≤2	4	≥ 8		
	Erythromycin	0.03 - 64	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Phenicols	Florfenicol* ⁴	0.03 - 64	≤ 4	N/A	N/A		
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	0.015 - 64	≤ 1	2	≥ 4		
	Nalidixic acid*	4 - 64	≤ 16	32	≥ 64		
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	0.06 - 64	≤ 4	8	≥ 16		

*No CLSI interpretative criteria for this bacterium/antimicrobial combination currently available

¹ Breakpoints were adopted from CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute)

² Sulfamethoxazole was replaced by sulfisoxazole in 2004.

³ Revised ciprofloxacin breakpoints for invasive Salmonella serotypes from the CLSI M100-S22 document, published in January 2012, were used for this report.

⁴ Only a susceptible breakpoint (\leq 4 µg/ml) has been established. Isolates with an MIC \geq 8 µg/ml are reported as nonsusceptible.

Table 3. Inter	pretive Criteria used fo	r Antimicrobial Suscept	ibility Testina	of Enterococcus.	NARMS Retail Meat, 2011 ¹
		- Antimiorobial Odooopt	lonity rooting		

			Bro	≤ 500 N/A > 500 ≤ 512 N/A ≥ 102 ≤ 512 N/A ≥ 102 ≤ 512 N/A ≥ 102 ≤ 4 8 - 16 ≥ 32 ≤ 0.25 N/A N/A ≤ 2 4 ≥ 8			
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial Agent	Concentration Range (µg/ml)	Susceptible				
Aminoglycosides	Gentamycin	128 - 1024	≤ 500	N/A	> 500		
	Kanamycin*	128 - 1024	≤ 512	N/A	≥ 1024		
	Streptomycin	512 - 2048	≤ 512	N/A	≥ 1024		
Glycopeptides	Vancomycin	0.25 - 32	≤ 4	8 - 16	≥ 32		
Glycylcyclines	Tigecycline* ²	0.015 - 0.5	≤ 0.25	N/A	N/A		
Lincosamides	Lincomycin*	1 - 8	≤ 2	4	≥ 8		
Lipopeptides	Daptomycin* ³	0.25 - 16	≤ 4	N/A	N/A		
Macrolides	Erythromycin	0.25 - 8	≤ 0.5	1 - 4	≥ 8		
	Tylosin*	0.25 - 32	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Nitrofurans	Nitrofurantoin	2 - 64	≤ 32	64	≥ 128		
Oxazolidinones	Linezolid	0.5 - 8	≤ 2	4	≥ 8		
Penicillins	Penicillin	0.25 - 16	≤ 8	N/A	≥ 16		
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol	2 - 32	≤ 8	16	≥ 32		
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	0.12 - 4	≤ 1	2	≥ 4		
Streptogramins	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin	0.5 - 32	≤ 1	2	≥ 4		
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	1 - 32	≤ 4	8	≥ 16		

Table 4. Interpretive Criteria used for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of ESBL Producing Isolates, NARMS Retail Meat, 2011

			Bro	nl)	
Antimicrobial Class	Antimicrobial Agent	Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant	
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations	Piperacillin-tazobactam	0.5 - 128	≤ 16	32 - 64	≥ 128
Penems	Imipenem	0.125 - 16	≤ 1	2	≥ 4
Cephems	Cefepime	0.125 - 32	≤ 8	16	≥ 32
	Cefotaxime	0.125 - 128	≤ 1	2	≥ 4
	Ceftazidime 0.125 - 128		≤ 4	8	≥ 16
Monobactams	Aztreonam	0.125 - 32	≤ 4	8	≥ 16

*No CLSI interpretative criteria for this bacterium/antimicrobial combination currently available

¹ Breakpoints were adopted from CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute); Ciprofloxacin breakpoints are of the M100-S22 CLSI guidelines.

² Only a susceptible breakpoint ($\leq 0.25 \ \mu g/ml$) has been established. Isolates with an MIC $\geq 0.5 \ \mu g/ml$ are reported as nonsusceptible.

³ Only a susceptible breakpoint ($\leq 4 \mu g/ml$) has been established. Isolates with an MIC $\geq 8 \mu g/ml$ are reported as nonsusceptible.

		Table	5.1 Perce Campylob		Sampl	es for Ret Salmon	ail Chicken	by Ba	acterium a Enteroco		2-20 ⁻	11 Escherich	ia coli
Site ¹	Year	N ²	# Isolates		N	# Isolates	% Positive	N	# Isolates		N	# Isolates	% Positive
	2003	120	64	53.3%	120	4	3.3%						
	2004 2005	120 118	96 83	80.0% 70.3%	120 118	17 21	14.2% 17.8%						
	2006	118	96	81.4%	118	16	13.6%						
CA	2007 2008	119 120	97 78	81.5% 65.0%	120 120	12 19	10.0% 15.8%						
	2009	120	90	75.0%	120	34	28.3%						
	2010 2011	120	79	65.8%	120	9 10	7.5%						
	Total	120 1075	86 769	71.7% 71.5%	120 1076	19 151	15.8% 14.0%						
	2004	97	21	21.6%	97	1	1.0%						
	2005 2006	116 120	38 74	32.8% 61.7%	116 120	12 7	10.3% 5.8%						
	2007	120	62	51.7%	120	2	1.7%						
со	2008 2009	120 120	63 57	52.5% 47.5%	120 120	4 10	3.3% 8.3%						
	2010	120	67	55.8%	120	9	7.5%						
	2011 Total	120 933	56 438	46.7% 46.9%	120 933	10 55	8.3% 5.9%						
	2002	120	74	61.7%	120	17	14.2%						
	2003 2004	60 120	50 86	83.3% 71.7%	60 120	9 30	15.0% 25.0%						
	2004	120	85	70.8%	120	19	15.8%						
ст	2006	120	79	65.8%	120	20	16.7%						
0	2007 2008	119 120	66 41	55.5% 34.2%	120 120	15 7	12.5% 5.8%						
	2009	120	47	39.2%	120	20	16.7%						
	2010 2011	120 120	29 40	24.2% 33.3%	120 120	17 10	14.2% 8.3%						
	Total	1139	597	52.4%	1140	164	14.4%						
	2002 2003	120 120	84 76	70.0% 63.3%	120 120	14 8	11.7% 6.7%	120 120	120 119	100.0% 99.2%	120 120	104 120	86.7% 100.0%
	2003	120	61	50.8%	120	6	5.0%	120	120	100.0%	120	115	95.8%
	2005	120	62	51.7%	120	10	8.3%	120	120	100.0%	120	119	99.2%
GA	2006 2007	120 120	63 57	52.5% 47.5%	120 120	15 8	12.5% 6.7%	120 120	120 117	100.0% 97.5%	120 120	117 114	97.5% 95.0%
	2008	120	66	55.0%	120	11	9.2%	120	119	99.2%	120	115	95.8%
	2009 2010	120 120	48 55	40.0% 45.8%	120 120	12 4	10.0% 3.3%	120 120	119 118	99.2% 98.3%	120 120	115 110	95.8% 91.7%
	2011	120	56	46.7%	120	7	5.8%	120	120	100.0%	120	112	93.3%
	Total 2002	1200 120	628 30	52.3% 25.0%	1200 120	95 8	7.9% 6.7%	1200 120	1192 117	99.3% 97.5%	1200 120	1141 107	95.1% 89.2%
	2002	120	38	31.7%	120	18	15.0%	120	113	94.2%	120	113	94.2%
	2004	120	76	63.3%	120	24	20.0%	120	114	95.0%	120	110	91.7%
MD	2005 2006	120 120	85 68	70.8% 56.7%	120 120	22 18	18.3% 15.0%	120 120	110 115	91.7% 95.8%	120 120	100 102	83.3% 85.0%
WID	2008	110	34	30.9%	110	43	39.1%						
	2009 2010	120 120	50 40	41.7% 33.3%	120 120	37 28	30.8% 23.3%	100	93	93.0%	100	70	70.0%
	2011	120	45	37.5%	120	13	10.8%	120	82	68.3%	120	50	41.7%
	Total 2002	1070 106	466 33	43.6% 31.1%	1070 106	<u>211</u> 4	19.7% 3.8%	700	662	94.6%	700	602	86.0%
	2003	120	62	51.7%	120	13	10.8%						
	2004 2005	120 120	73 24	60.8% 20.0%	120 120	20 24	16.7% 20.0%						
	2005	120	43	35.8%	120	16	13.3%						
MN	2007	120	28	23.3%	120	11	9.2%						
	2008 2009	120 120	24 25	20.0% 20.8%	120 120	5 9	4.2% 7.5%						
	2010	120	15	12.5%	120	8	6.7%						
	2011 Total	120 1186	36 363	30.0% 30.6%	120 1186	7 117	5.8% 9.9%						
	2004	119	53	44.5%	119	3	2.5%	1					
	2005 2006	120 119	31 15	25.8% 12.6%	120 120	5 18	4.2% 15.0%						
	2007	120	52	43.3%	120	30	25.0%						
NM	2008 2009	120 120	61 48	50.8% 40.0%	120 120	36 28	30.0% 23.3%						
	2010	120	43	35.8%	120	20	16.7%						
1	2011 Total	120 958	56 359	46.7% 37.5%	120 959	29 169	24.2% 17.6%						
	2003	120	75	62.5%	120	11	9.2%	1					
	2004	120	96 50	80.0%	120	16 17	13.3%						
1	2005 2006	116 119	50 48	43.1% 40.3%	120 120	17 15	14.2% 12.5%						
NY	2007	120	33	27.5%	120	12	10.0%						
	2008 2009	120 120	53 50	44.2% 41.7%	120 120	30 68	25.0% 56.7%						
1	2010	120	52	43.3%	120	43	35.8%						
1	2011 Total	120 1075	72 529	60.0% 49.2%	120 1080	42 254	35.0% 23.5%						
	2002	40	1	2.5%	40	4	10.0%	40	40	100.0%	40	9	22.5%
1	2003 2004	120 120	45 73	37.5% 60.8%	120 120	17 25	14.2% 20.8%	120 120	119 118	99.2% 98.3%	120 120	78 73	65.0% 60.8%
1	2005	120	37	30.8%	120	16	13.3%	110	109	99.1%	120	76	63.3%
OR	2006 2007	119 120	50 52	42.0% 43.3%	120 120	7 2	5.8% 1.7%	120 120	119 119	99.2% 99.2%	118 120	94 98	79.7% 81.7%
	2008	120	39	32.5%	120	1	0.8%	120	119	99.2% 99.2%	120	98 92	76.7%
	2009	120	45	37.5%	120	9	7.5%	120	115	95.8%	120	98	81.7%
	2010 2011	120 120	47 40	39.2% 33.3%	120 120	12 10	10.0% 8.3%	120 120	113 118	94.2% 98.3%	120 120	96 89	80.0% 74.2%
	Total	1119	429	38.3%	1120	103	9.2%	1110	1089	98.1%	1118	803	71.8%
1	2008 2009	120	80	66.7%	120 120	25 41	20.8% 34.2%						
PA	2010	120	23	19.2%	120	13	10.8%						
	2011 Total	120 360	51 154	42.5% 42.8%	120 480	8 87	6.7% 18.1%						
	2002	110	66	60.0%	110	13	11.8%	110	104	94.5%	110	62	56.4%
	2003 2004	117 116	59 71	50.4% 61.2%	117 116	3 15	2.6% 12.9%	117 116	115 114	98.3% 98.3%	117 116	85 102	72.6% 87.9%
	2004 2005	120	59	61.2% 49.2%	116	7	5.8%	120	114	98.3% 98.3%	108	98	87.9% 90.7%
	2006	118	36	30.5%	118	20	16.9%	118	115	97.5%	117	105	89.7%
TN	2007 2008	112 120	28 51	25.0% 42.5%	112 120	7 17	6.3% 14.2%	111 120	103 110	92.8% 91.7%	102 120	87 99	85.3% 82.5%
1	2009	120	40	33.3%	120	4	3.3%	120	115	95.8%	120	102	85.0%
	2010 2011	120 120	55 65	45.8% 54.2%	120 120	8 3	6.7% 2.5%	120 120	115 113	95.8% 94.2%	120 120	81 90	67.5% 75.0%
	Total	1173	530	45.2%	1173	97	8.3%	1172	1122	95.7%	1150	911	79.2%
Grand Tota	al	11288	5262	46.6%	11417	1503	13.2%	3010	2943	97.8%	4168	3457	82.9%

 Total
 1173
 530
 45.2%
 1173
 97
 8.3%
 1172
 1122
 95.7%
 1150
 911
 79.2%

 Grand Total
 11288
 5262
 46.6%
 11417
 1503
 13.2%
 3010
 2943
 97.8%
 4168
 3457
 82.9%
 1

 1¹ CT, GA, MD, OR, MN, TN joined surveillance in 2002; NY, CA in 2003; CO, NM in 2004; PA in 2008. MD did not collect samples for NARMS retail meat testing in 2007. As of 2011 retail chicken sampling includes chicken breast, wings, or thighs with skin on and bone in.
 2
 N= # of meat samples collected
 3
 Where % Positive = the # of isolates (n) / the # of meat samples (N)

Table 5.2 Percent Positive Samples for Ground Turkey by Bacterium and Site. 2002-2011

		Table		ent Positive	Sampl			by Ba			02-20		ia aali
Site ¹	Year	N ²	Campylo # Isolates		N	Salmon # Isolates		N	Enteroco # Isolates	% Positive	N	Escherich # Isolates	% Positive
One	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	6	5.0%		# 13010103	///////////////////////////////////////		# 13010103	701 0511100
	2004	120	0	0.0%	120	9	7.5%						
	2005 2006	119 120	1 0	0.8% 0.0%	119 120	15 5	12.6% 4.2%						
CA	2007	120	1	0.8%	120	8	6.7%						
	2008	119	0 1	0.0%	119	12	10.1%						
	2009 2010	120 120	0	0.8% 0.0%	120 120	12 17	10.0% 14.2%						
	2011	120	0	0.0%	120	11	9.2%						
	Total 2004	1078 101	3 0	0.3%	1078 101	95 8	8.8% 7.9%						
	2005	116	ŏ	0.0%	116	17	14.7%						
	2006	120	10	8.3%	120	17	14.2%						
со	2007 2008	120 120	10 14	8.3% 11.7%	120 120	20 30	16.7% 25.0%						
	2009	120	3	2.5%	120	19	15.8%						
	2010	120	1	0.8%	120	15	12.5%						
	2011 Total	120 937	11 49	9.2% 5.2%	120 937	20 146	16.7% 15.6%						
	2002	120	2	1.7%	120	21	17.5%						
	2003 2004	60 120	0 2	0.0% 1.7%	60 120	8 26	13.3% 21.7%						
	2005	120	3	2.5%	120	12	10.0%						
ст	2006	120	2	1.7%	120	8	6.7%						
C1	2007 2008	120 120	1 1	0.8% 0.8%	120 120	14 9	11.7% 7.5%						
	2009	120	2	1.7%	120	13	10.8%						
	2010 2011	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	7 11	5.8% 9.2%						
	Total	120 1140	13	0.0% 1.1%	120 1140	11 129	9.2% 11.3%						
	2002	120	0	0.0%	120	19	15.8%	120	120	100.0%	120	103	85.8%
	2003 2004	120 120	2 1	1.7% 0.8%	120 120	27 38	22.5% 31.7%	120 120	120 120	100.0% 100.0%	120 120	117 119	97.5% 99.2%
	2005	120	5	4.2%	120	32	26.7%	120	120	100.0%	120	117	97.5%
GA	2006	120	6	5.0%	120	28	23.3%	120	117	97.5% 100.0%	120	116	96.7%
34	2007 2008	120 120	7 3	5.8% 2.5%	120 120	48 47	40.0% 39.2%	120 120	120 120	100.0% 100.0%	120 120	120 120	100.0% 100.0%
	2009	120	4	3.3%	120	43	35.8%	120	120	100.0%	120	119	99.2%
	2010 2011	120 120	0 3	0.0% 2.5%	120 120	20 22	16.7% 18.3%	120 120	117 115	97.5% 95.8%	120 120	120 118	100.0% 98.3%
	Total	120	3 31	2.5% 2.6%	120	324	27.0%	120 1200	1189	95.8% 99.1%	120 1200	1169	98.3% 97.4%
	2002	120	0	0.0%	120	9	7.5%	120	113	94.2%	120	110	91.7%
	2003 2004	120 120	0 2	0.0% 1.7%	120 120	25 13	20.8% 10.8%	120 120	103 106	85.8% 88.3%	120 120	103 109	85.8% 90.8%
	2005	120	3	2.5%	120	12	10.0%	120	111	92.5%	120	105	87.5%
MD	2006 2008	120 110	0 1	0.0% 0.9%	120 110	12 30	10.0% 27.3%	120	99	82.5%	120	95	79.2%
	2008	120	2	1.7%	120	13	10.8%						
	2010	120	2	1.7%	120	18	15.0%	100	93	93.0%	100	78	78.0%
	2011 Total	120 1070	2 12	1.7% 1.1%	120 1070	18 150	15.0% 14.0%	120 700	96 625	80.0% 89.3%	120 700	87 600	72.5% 85.7%
	2002	127	1	0.8%	127	7	5.5%						
	2003 2004	110 120	3 6	2.7% 5.0%	110 120	11 14	10.0% 11.7%						
	2004	120	4	3.3%	120	28	23.3%						
	2006	120	4	3.3%	120	25	20.8%						
MN	2007 2008	119 120	6 3	5.0% 2.5%	120 120	27 17	22.5% 14.2%						
	2009	120	4	3.3%	120	21	17.5%						
	2010 2011	120 120	3 1	2.5%	120 120	14	11.7%						
	Total	1196	35	0.8% 2.9%	1197	13 177	10.8% 14.8%						
	2004	118	0	0.0%	118	9	7.6%						
	2005 2006	120 120	2 0	1.7% 0.0%	120 120	20 19	16.7% 15.8%						
	2007	118	5	4.2%	118	42	35.6%						
NM	2008	120	4	3.3%	120	53	44.2%						
	2009 2010	120 120	2 4	1.7% 3.3%	120 120	30 43	25.0% 35.8%						
	2011	120	6	5.0%	120	35	29.2%						
	Total 2003	956 120	23 0	2.4% 0.0%	956 120	251 20	26.3% 16.7%						
	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	11	9.2%						
	2005	120	1 2	0.8%	120	12	10.0%						
NY	2006 2007	120 120	2	1.7% 1.7%	120 120	15 10	12.5% 8.3%						
141	2008	120	0	0.0%	120	18	15.0%						
	2009 2010	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	12 18	10.0% 15.0%						
	2011	120	1	0.8%	120	13	10.8%						
	Total 2002	1080 40	6 0	0.6%	1080 40	129	11.9%	40	40	100.0%	40	17	42 50/
	2002 2003	40 120	0	0.0% 0.0%	40 120	2 5	5.0% 4.2%	40 120	40 108	100.0% 90.0%	40 120	17 49	42.5% 40.8%
	2004	120	0	0.0%	120	6	5.0%	120	105	87.5%	120	53	44.2%
	2005 2006	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	16 8	13.3% 6.7%	110 120	103 115	93.6% 95.8%	120 120	72 76	60.0% 63.3%
OR	2007	120	0	0.0%	120	2	1.7%	120	104	86.7%	120	104	86.7%
	2008	120	1	0.8%	120	4	3.3%	120	113	94.2%	120	89	74.2%
	2009 2010	120 120	2 0	1.7% 0.0%	120 120	10 14	8.3% 11.7%	120 120	103 89	85.8% 74.2%	120 120	84 86	70.0% 71.7%
	2011	120	0	0.0%	120	6	5.0%	120	107	89.2%	120	75	62.5%
	Total 2008	1120	3	0.3%	1120 120	73 11	6.5% 9.2%	1110	987	88.9%	1120	705	62.9%
	2008	120	4	3.3%	120	8	9.2% 6.7%						
PA	2010	120	1	0.8%	120	19	15.8%						
	2011 Total	120 360	3 8	2.5% 2.2%	120 480	4 42	3.3% 8.8%						
	2002	115	1	0.9%	115	16	13.9%	115	114	99.1%	115	74	64.3%
	2003	87 106	0	0.0%	87 106	12	13.8%	87 106	87 106	100.0%	87 106	64	73.6%
	2004 2005	106 120	1 1	0.9% 0.8%	106 120	8 19	7.5% 15.8%	106 120	106 118	100.0% 98.3%	106 110	95 102	89.6% 92.7%
-	2006	106	0	0.0%	106	22	20.8%	105	104	99.0%	106	101	95.3%
TN	2007 2008	108 120	2 4	1.9% 3.3%	108 120	19 15	17.6% 12.5%	108 120	105 110	97.2% 91.7%	98 120	91 91	92.9% 75.8%
	2009	120	1	0.8%	120	12	10.0%	120	105	87.5%	120	103	85.8%
	2010	120	2	1.7%	120	17	14.2%	120	118	98.3%	120	85	70.8%
	2011 Total	120 1122	4 16	3.3% 1.4%	120 1122	9 149	7.5% 13.3%	120 1121	117 1084	97.5% 96.7%	120 1102	88 894	73.3% 81.1%
Grand Tot		11259		1.8%	11380		14.6%	3010	2801	93.1%	4122	3368	81.7%

 Total
 1122
 16
 1.4%
 1122
 149
 13.3%
 1121
 1084
 96.7%
 1102
 894
 81.1%

 Grand Total
 11259
 199
 1.8%
 11380
 1665
 14.6%
 3010
 2801
 93.1%
 4122
 3368
 81.7%

 ¹ CT, GA, MD, OR, MN, TN joined surveillance in 2002; NY, CA in 2003; CO, NM in 2004; PA in 2008. MD did not collect samples for NARMS retail meat testing in 2007.
 ² N= # of meat samples collected
 ³ Where % Positive = the # of isolates (n) / the # of meat samples (N)
 4122
 1084
 96.7%
 1102
 894
 81.1%

Table 5.3 Percent Positive Samples for Ground Beef by Bacterium and Site, 2	2002-2011

		Tabl		cent Positive	e Sam			oy Ba			2-201		
Site ¹	Year	N ²	Campylol # Isolates	% Positive ³	N	Salmon # Isolates		N	Enteroco # Isolates		N	Escherich # Isolates	% Positive
One	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%		# 1301ates	78 POSITIVE		# isolates	/6 P OSILIVE
	2004	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%						
	2005 2006	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	1 1	0.8% 0.8%						
CA	2007	119	Ő	0.0%	119	2	1.7%						
	2008				120	2	1.7%						
	2009 2010				120 120	0 1	0.0% 0.8%						
	2011				120	0	0.0%						
	Total 2004	599 106	0	0.0%	1079 106	9 0	0.8%						
	2004	116	0	0.0%	116	0	0.0%						
	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	2	1.7%						
со	2007 2008	120	0	0.0%	120 120	1 0	0.8% 0.0%						
	2009				120	0	0.0%						
	2010 2011				120 120	1 0	0.8%						
	Total	462	0	0.0%	942	4	0.0% 0.4%						
	2002	120	0	0.0%	120	5	4.2%						
	2003 2004	60 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	60 120	0 5	0.0% 4.2%						
	2005	120	0	0.0%	120	3	2.5%						
ст	2006	116	0	0.0%	116	2	1.7%						
01	2007 2008	120	0	0.0%	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%						
	2009				120	2	1.7%						
	2010 2011				120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%						
	Total	656	0	0.0%	1136	17	1.5%						
	2002	120	0	0.0%	120	2	1.7%	120	118	98.3%	120	93	77.5%
1	2003 2004	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	2 1	1.7% 0.8%	120 120	119 117	99.2% 97.5%	120 120	90 91	75.0% 75.8%
1	2005	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	120	118	98.3%	120	102	85.0%
GA	2006 2007	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	4 0	3.3% 0.0%	120 120	118 120	98.3% 100.0%	119 120	94 100	79.0% 83.3%
U.	2007	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	120	120	97.5%	120	100	83.3%
	2009				120	1	0.8%	120	119	99.2%	120	101	84.2%
	2010 2011				120 120	0 5	0.0% 4.2%	120 120	119 114	99.2% 95.0%	120 120	88 61	73.3% 50.8%
	Total	720	0	0.0%	1200	15	1.3%	1200	1179	98.3%	1199	920	76.7%
	2002 2003	120 120	0 1	0.0% 0.8%	120 120	2 3	1.7% 2.5%	120 120	107 92	89.2% 76.7%	120 120	105 87	87.5% 72.5%
	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%	120	100	83.3%	120	83	69.2%
	2005	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	120	113	94.2%	120	78	65.0%
MD	2006 2008	120	0	0.0%	120 110	0 3	0.0% 2.7%	120	100	83.3%	120	47	39.2%
	2009				120	0	0.0%						
	2010 2011				120 120	0 3	0.0% 2.5%	100 120	86 85	86.0% 70.8%	100 120	52 34	52.0% 28.3%
	Total	600	1	0.2%	1070	12	1.1%	700	598	85.4%	700	452	64.6%
	2002	123	0	0.0%	123	0	0.0%						
	2003 2004	110 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	110 120	1 0	0.9% 0.0%						
	2005	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%						
MN	2006 2007	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	1 3	0.8% 2.5%						
	2008	120		0.070	120	0	0.0%						
	2009				120 120	1 0	0.8%						
	2010 2011				120	0	0.0% 0.0%						
	Total	713	0	0.0%	1193	7	0.6%						
	2004 2005	120 120	0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	0 1	0.0% 0.8%						
	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	2	1.7%						
NM	2007 2008	120	0	0.0%	120 120	3 4	2.5% 3.3%						
	2009				120	5	4.2%						
	2010 2011				120 120	1 0	0.8% 0.0%						
	Total	480	0	0.0%	960	16	1.7%						
	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%						
	2004 2005	120 120	0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%						
	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%						
NY	2007 2008	120	0	0.0%	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%						
	2009				120	0	0.0%						
1	2010 2011				120 120	2 0	1.7%						
	Total	600	0	0.0%	120 1080	2	0.0% 0.2%						
	2002	40	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	40	40	100.0%	40	22	55.0%
1	2003 2004	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	2 6	1.7% 5.0%	120 120	112 115	93.3% 95.8%	120 120	57 99	47.5% 82.5%
1	2005	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%	110	98	89.1%	120	61	50.8%
OR	2006 2007	120 120	0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	2 1	1.7% 0.8%	120 120	108 113	90.0% 94.2%	119 120	69 82	58.0% 68.3%
	2008	120	5	0.070	120	0	0.0%	120	107	89.2%	120	61	50.8%
1	2009				120	0	0.0%	120	94	78.3%	120	60	50.0%
1	2010 2011				120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	97 116	80.8% 96.7%	120 120	51 57	42.5% 47.5%
	Total	640	0	0.0%	1120	12	1.1%	1110	1000	90.1%	1119	619	55.3%
1	2008 2009				120 120	2 1	1.7% 0.8%						
PA	2010				120	1	0.8%						
1	2011				120	0 4	0.0%						
	Total 2002	119	0	0.0%	480 119	4 0	0.8%	119	118	99.2%	119	75	63.0%
	2003	110	0	0.0%	110	1	0.9%	110	109	99.1%	110	77	70.0%
1	2004 2005	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	0 1	0.0% 0.8%	120 120	116 118	96.7% 98.3%	120 108	65 75	54.2% 69.4%
	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	5	4.2%	120	112	93.3%	120	85	70.8%
TN	2007 2008	112	5	4.5%	112 120	3 13	2.7% 10.8%	112 120	101 113	90.2% 94.2%	103 120	74 89	71.8% 74.2%
1	2009				120	4	3.3%	120	114	95.0%	120	86	71.7%
1	2010				120	1 1	0.8%	120	113	94.2%	120	78 63	65.0%
	2011 Total	701	5	0.7%	120 1181	1 29	0.8% 2.5%	120 1181	108 1122	90.0% 95.0%	120 1160	63 767	52.5% 66.1%
Grand Tot		6171	6	0.1%	11441	127	1.1%	4191	3899	93.0%	4178	2758	66.0%

 Total
 701
 5
 0.7%
 1181
 29
 2.5%
 1181
 1122
 95.0%
 1160
 767
 66.1%

 Grand Total
 6171
 6
 0.1%
 11441
 127
 1.1%
 4191
 3899
 93.0%
 4178
 2758
 66.0%

 ¹ CT, GA, MD, OR, MN, TN joined surveillance in 2002; NY, CA in 2003; CO, NM in 2004; PA in 2008. MD did not collect samples for NARMS retail

 ¹ case of the samples collected
 ² N= # of meat samples collected
 ³ Where % Positive = the # of isolates (n) / the # of meat samples (N)

Table 5.4 Percent Positive Samples for Pork Chop by Bacterium and	Site, 2002-2011

		lab	le 5.4 Pe Campylo		ve Sam	ples for F Salmon	Pork Chop by	y Bac	terium an <i>Enteroco</i>		-2011		via coli
Site ¹	Year	N ²	# Isolates		N	# Isolates		N	# Isolates		N	Escherich # Isolates	% Positive
0110	2003	120	2	1.7%	120	1	0.8%			// / 0011110			<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>
	2004	120	1	0.8%	120	1	0.8%						
	2005 2006	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	2 0	1.7% 0.0%						
СА	2007	117	0	0.0%	117	1	0.9%						
	2008 2009				117	0	0.0%						
	2009				120 120	3 0	2.5% 0.0%						
	2011				120	0	0.0%						
	Total 2004	597 99	3 0	0.5%	1074 99	8 0	0.7% 0.0%						
	2004	116	0	0.0%	116	0	0.0%						
	2006	116	0	0.0%	116	0	0.0%						
со	2007 2008	120	2	1.7%	120 120	2 1	1.7% 0.8%						
-	2009				120	0	0.0%						
	2010				120	0	0.0%						
	2011 Total	451	2	0.4%	120 931	0 3	0.0% 0.3%						
	2002	120	1	0.8%	120	1	0.8%						
	2003 2004	60 120	0 1	0.0% 0.8%	60 120	0 5	0.0% 4.2%						
	2004	120	1	0.8%	120	1	0.8%						
07	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%						
СТ	2007 2008	120	0	0.0%	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%						
	2009				120	2	1.7%						
	2010				120	1	0.8%						
	2011 Total	660	3	0.5%	120 1140	0 11	0.0% 1.0%						
	2002	120	0	0.0%	120	2	1.7%	120	119	99.2%	120	55	45.8%
	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	120	116	96.7% 96.7%	120	68 64	56.7%
	2004 2005	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	0 2	0.0% 1.7%	120 120	116 117	96.7% 97.5%	120 120	64 71	53.3% 59.2%
<u>.</u> .	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	120	115	95.8%	120	65	54.2%
GA	2007 2008	120	0	0.0%	120 120	3 2	2.5% 1.7%	120 120	118 114	98.3% 95.0%	120 120	71 61	59.2% 50.8%
	2008				120 120	2	1.7% 1.7%	120	114	95.0% 97.5%	120	69	50.8% 57.5%
	2010				120	3	2.5%	120	115	95.8%	120	60	50.0%
	2011 Total	720	0	0.0%	120 1200	1 15	0.8% 1.3%	120 1200	112 1159	93.3% 96.6%	120 1200	49 633	40.8% 52.8%
	2002	120	1	0.8%	120	6	5.0%	120	101	84.2%	120	66	55.0%
	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%	120	90	75.0%	120	71	59.2%
	2004 2005	120 120	0 1	0.0% 0.8%	120 120	0 3	0.0% 2.5%	120 120	77 86	64.2% 71.7%	120 120	62 58	51.7% 48.3%
MD	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	120	78	65.0%	120	36	30.0%
1112	2008				110	2	1.8%						
	2009 2010				120 120	0 4	0.0% 3.3%	100	81	81.0%	100	29	29.0%
	2011				120	3	2.5%	120	65	54.2%	120	23	19.2%
	Total 2002	600 103	2 0	0.3%	1070 103	19 0	1.8% 0.0%	700	513	73.3%	700	322	46.0%
	2002	120	1	0.8%	120	Ö	0.0%						
	2004	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%						
	2005 2006	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%						
MN	2007	119	Ő	0.0%	120	0	0.0%						
	2008 2009				120 120	2 0	1.7% 0.0%						
	2003				120	0	0.0%						
	2011				120	0	0.0%						
	Total 2004	702 119	1 1	0.1% 0.8%	1183 119	2 0	0.2% 0.0%						
	2005	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%						
	2006 2007	120 120	1 0	0.8%	120 120	2	1.7% 5.0%						
NM	2007	120	0	0.0%	120	3	2.5%						
	2009				120	0	0.0%						
	2010 2011				120 120	6 9	5.0% 7.5%						
	Total	479	2	0.4%	959	26	2.7%						
	2003	120	0	0.0%	120	2	1.7%						
	2004 2005	120 120	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 120	3 1	2.5% 0.8%						
	2006	120	0	0.0%	120	1	0.8%						
NY	2007 2008	120	1	0.8%	120 120	0	0.0% 0.0%						
	2009				120	0	0.0%						
	2010				120	2	1.7%						
	2011 Total	600	1	0.2%	120 1080	3 12	2.5% 1.1%						
	2002	40	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	40	39	97.5%	40	9	22.5%
	2003 2004	120 120	1 0	0.8% 0.0%	120 120	1 2	0.8% 1.7%	120 120	103 108	85.8% 90.0%	120 120	28 51	23.3% 42.5%
	2005	120	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	110	95	86.4%	120	31	25.8%
OR	2006	120	2	1.7%	120	4	3.3%	120	93	77.5%	118	36	30.5%
UR	2007 2008	120	1	0.8%	120 120	0 3	0.0% 2.5%	120 120	101 107	84.2% 89.2%	120 119	35 48	29.2% 40.3%
	2009				120	0	0.0%	120	89	74.2%	120	29	24.2%
	2010 2011				120 120	0 4	0.0% 3.3%	120 120	98 101	81.7% 84.2%	120 120	44 38	36.7% 31.7%
	Total	640	4	0.6%	1120	4 14	1.3%	1110	934	84.2%	120	36 349	31.7% 31.2%
	2008				120	0	0.0%						
PA	2009 2010				120 120	1 3	0.8% 2.5%						
	2011				120	1	0.8%						
	Total 2002	110	3	2.7%	480 110	5 1	1.0%	110	110	100.0%	110	54	49.1%
	2002 2003	110 119	3	2.7% 0.0%	110 119	1 0	0.9% 0.0%	110 119	110 117	100.0% 98.3%	110 119	54 51	49.1% 42.9%
	2004	118	0	0.0%	118	0	0.0%	118	103	87.3%	118	55	46.6%
	2005 2006	120 116	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 116	0 0	0.0% 0.0%	120 112	111 103	92.5% 92.0%	105 114	45 45	42.9% 39.5%
TN	2008	116	0	0.0%	116	6	5.2%	112	91	78.4%	114	45 46	39.5% 39.7%
	2008				120	10	8.3%	120	88	73.3%	120	37	30.8%
	2009				120 120	0 1	0.0% 0.8%	120 120	97 112	80.8% 93.3%	120 120	49 50	40.8% 41.7%
					120	7	5.8%	120	105	87.5%	120	36	30.0%
	2011 Total	699	3	0.4%	1179	25	2.1%	1175	1037	88.3%	1162	468	40.3%

 Total
 699
 3
 0.4%
 1179
 25
 2.1%
 1175
 1037
 88.3%
 1162
 468
 40.3%

 Grand Total
 6148
 21
 0.3%
 11416
 140
 1.2%
 4185
 3643
 87.0%
 4179
 1772
 42.4%

 ¹ CT, GA, MD, OR, MN, TN joined surveillance in 2002; NY, CA in 2003; CO, NM in 2004; PA in 2008. MD did not collect samples for NARMS retail

 ^a N= # of meat samples collected

 ³ Where % Positive = the # of isolates (n) / the # of meat samples (N)

Table 6	Percent Positive Sa	moles by Bacterium	and Meat Type	2002-2011 ¹
1 4010 0.		mpico by Buotonian	r una mout i ypo,	

2002	Reta	ail Chi	cken	Gro	und T	urkey	Gr	ound E	Beef	P	ork Ch	ор	2003
Bacterium (A)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Bacterium (A)
Campylobacter (2513)	616	288	(46.8)	642	4	(1.0)	642	-	-	613	5	(0.8)	Campylobacter (35
Salmonella (2513)	616	60	(9.7)	642	74	(11.5)	642	9	(1.4)	613	10	(1.6)	Salmonella (3533)
Enterococcus (1574)	390	381	(97.7)	395	387	(98.0)	399	383	(96.0)	390	369	(94.6)	Enterococcus (1873
Escherichia coli (1574)	390	282	(72.3)	395	304	(77.0)	399	295	(73.9)	390	184	(47.2)	Escherichia coli (18

2003	Reta	ail Chi	cken	Gro	und Tu	urkey	Gro	ound E	Beef	P	ork Ch	юр
Bacterium (A)	N	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)
Campylobacter (3533)	897	469	(52.3)	857	5	(0.6)	880	1	(0.1)	899	4	(0.4)
Salmonella (3533)	897	83	(9.3)	857	114	(13.3)	880	10	(1.1)	899	5	(0.6)
Enterococcus (1873)	477	466	(97.7)	447	418	(93.5)	470	432	(91.9)	479	426	(88.9)
Escherichia coli (1873)	477	396	(83.0)	447	333	(74.5)	470	311	(66.2)	479	218	(45.5)

2004	Reta	il Chi	cken	Grou	und Tu	urkey	Gro	ound E	Beef	Po	ork Ch	юр
Bacterium (A)	Ν	n	(%)									
Campylobacter (4699)	1172	706	(60.2)	1165	12	(1.0)	1186	-	-	1176	3	(0.3)
Salmonella (4699)	1172	157	(13.4)	1165	142	(12.2)	1186	14	(1.2)	1176	11	(0.9)
Enterococcus (1900)	476	466	(97.9)	466	437	(93.8)	480	448	(93.3)	478	404	(84.5)
Escherichia coli (1900)	476	400	(84.0)	466	376	(80.7)	480	338	(70.4)	478	232	(48.5)

2005	Reta	il Chi	cken	Grou	und Tu	urkey	Gro	ound E	Beef	Po	ork Ch	юр
Bacterium (A)	Ν	n (%)		Ν	n (%)		Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)
Campylobacter (4777)	1190	554	(46.6)	1195	20	(1.7)	1196	-	-	1196	2	(0.2)
Salmonella (4781)	1194	153	(12.8)	1195	183	(15.3)	1196	8	(0.7)	1196	9	(0.8)
Enterococcus (1880)	470	457	(97.2)	470	452	(96.2)	470	447	(95.1)	470	409	(87.0)
Escherichia coli (1871)	468	393	(84.0)	470	396	(84.3)	468	316	(67.5)	465	205	(44.1)

2006	Reta	ail Chi	cken	Gro	und T	urkey	Gro	ound E	Beef	Po	ork Ch	юр	
Bacterium (A)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Bac
Campylobacter (4766)	1193	572	(47.9)	1185	24	(2.0)	1196	-	-	1192	3	(0.3)	Can
Salmonella (4769)	1196	152	(12.7)	1185	159	(13.4)	1196	19	(1.6)	1192	8	(0.7)	Salr
Enterococcus (1893)	478	469	(98.1)	465	435	(93.5)	478	438	(91.6)	472	389	(82.4)	Ente
Escherichia coli (1884)	475	418	(88.0)	466	388	(83.3)	471	295	(62.6)	472	182	(38.6)	Esc

2007	Reta	il Chi	cken	Grou	and Tu	urkey	Gro	ound E	Beef	Po	ork Ch	юр
Bacterium (A)	Ν	n	(%)									
Campylobacter (4278)	1070	475	(44.4)	1065	34	(3.2)	1071	5	(0.5)	1072	4	(0.4)
Salmonella (4282)	1072	99	(9.2)	1066	190	(17.8)	1071	13	(1.2)	1073	18	(1.7)
Enterococcus (1407)	351	342	(97.4)	348	341	(98.0)	352	336	(95.5)	356	313	(87.9)
Escherichia coli (1379)	342	299	(87.4)	338	315	(93.2)	343	256	(74.6)	356	152	(42.7)

2008	Reta	ail Chi	cken	Grou	und Tu	urkey	Gro	ound E	Beef	Po	ork Ch	юр	2009	Reta	Retail Chicker		n Ground Turkey			Gro	ound l	Beef	Po	ork Ch	ор
Bacterium (A)	N	n	(%)	N	n	(%)	N	n	(%)	Ν	N n (%)		Bacterium (A)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)
Campylobacter (2379)	1190	510	(42.9)	1189	31	(2.6)							Campylobacter (2640)	1320	580	(43.9)	1320	25	(1.9)						
Salmonella (5236)	1310	198	(15.1)	1309	246	(18.8)	1310	24	(1.8)	1307	23	(1.8)		1320	272	(20.6)	1320	193	(14.6)	1320	14	(1.1)	1320	8	(0.6)
Enterococcus (1440)	360	346	(96.1)	360	345	(95.8)	360	336	(93.3)	360	310	(86.1)	Enterococcus (1440)	360	349	(96.9)	360	328	(91.1)	360	327	(90.8)	360	303	(84.2)
Escherichia coli (1440)	360	306	(85.0)	360	300	(83.3)	360	250	(69.4)	360	146	(40.6)	Escherichia coli (1440)	360	315	(87.5)	360	306	(85.0)	360	247	(68.6)	360	147	(40.8)

2010	Reta	il Chi	cken	Grou	und Tu	irkey	Gro	und E	Beef	Po	ork Ch	юр	2011	Retail Chicken		cken	Grou	und Tu	ırkey	Gro	ound E	Beef	Po	rk Ch	ор
Bacterium (A)	N	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Bacterium (A)	N	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)	Ν	n	(%)
Campylobacter (2640)	1320	505	(38.3)	1320	13	(1.0)							Campylobacter (2640)	1320	603	(45.7)	1320	31	(2.3)						
Salmonella (5280)	1320	171	(13.0)	1320	202	(15.3)	1320	7	(0.5)	1320	20	(1.5)	Salmonella (5280)	1320	158	(12.0)	1320	162	(12.3)	1320	9	(0.7)	1320	28	(2.1)
Enterococcus (1840)	460	439	(95.4)	460	415	(90.7)	460	415	(90.2)	460	406	(88.3)	Enterococcus (1920)	480	433	(90.2)	480	435	(90.6)	480	423	(88.1)	480	383	(79.8)
Escherichia coli (1840)	460	357	(77.6)	460	369	(80.2)	460	269	(58.5)	460	183	(39.8)	Escherichia coli (1920)	480	341	(71.0)	480	368	(76.7)	480	215	(44.8)	480	146	(30.4)

¹ As of 2011 retail chicken sampling includes chicken breast, wings, or thighs with skin on and bone in.




Figure 1. Percent Positive Samples for *Salmonella* by Meat Type, 2002-2011




Figure 2. Percent Positive Samples for Campylobacter by Meat Type, 2002-2011¹

¹ Due to low isolation, Ground Beef and Pork Chop were no longer tested for *Campylobacter* after 2007.

		R	etail	G	round	G	round		Pork
	Serotype (N) ¹	Ch	icken	Т	urkey		Beef	(Chop
		n²	% ³	n	%	n	%	n	%
1.	Typhimurium (81)	66	81.5%	8	9.9%			7	8.6%
2.	Kentucky (50)	45	90.0%	2	4.0%	3	6.0%		
3.	Heidelberg (40)	11	27.5%	28	70.0%			1	2.5%
4.	Saintpaul (36)			35	97.2%			1	2.8%
5.	Hadar (32)	1	3.1%	23	71.9%			8	25.0%
6.	Enteritidis (22)	21	95.5%	1	4.5%				
7.	Illa 18:z4,z23:- (14)			14	100.0%				
8.	Agona (10)	1	10.0%	8	80.0%			1	10.0%
9.			44.4%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%
10.	č			9	100.0%				
11.	U ()			9	100.0%				
12.	Berta (4)			3	75.0%			1	25.0%
13.	Derby (4)			2	50.0%			2	50.0%
14.	Mbandaka (4)	3	75.0%			1	25.0%		
15.	Thompson (4)	1	25.0%	3	75.0%				
16.	Montivideo (3)	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%		
17.	Muenchen (3)			3	100.0%				
18.	Ohio (3)							3	100.0%
19.	l 4,12:d:- (2)			2	100.0%				
20.	Litchfield (2)	1	50.0%			1	50.0%		
21.	Muenster (2)			2	100.0%				
22.	Tennessee (2)			2	100.0%				
23.	Alachua (1)			1	100.0%				
24.	Albany (1)			1	100.0%				
25.	Anatum (1)	1	100.0%						
26.	Braenderup (1)	1	100.0%						
27.	Brandenburg (1)			1	100.0%				
28.	Bredeney (1)			1	100.0%				
29.	Hindmarsh (1)							1	100.0%
30.	I 4,[5],12:non-motile (1)	1	100.0%						
31.				1	100.0%				
32.	Reading (1)			1	100.0%				
33.	Uganda (1)				-			1	100.0%
	Worthington (1)							1	100.0%
Total (158	44.3%	162	45.4%	9	2.5%	28	7.8%

Table 7. Distribution of Salmonella Serotype Among Meat Types, 2011

¹ Where N = the total # of *Salmonella* isolates per serotype

² Where n = # of isolates with a given serotype per meat

³ Where % = (n) # of isolates per serotype per meat / (N) total # of isolates per serotype

					β-Lactam/β-Lactamase				Eolato I	Pathway						Tetra-
		Am	inoglycos	ides	Inhibitor Combinations		Cephems		Inhib	pitors	Macrolides	Penicillins			olones	cyclines
		GEN	KAN	STR	AMC	TIO	AXO	FOX	FIS ²	СОТ	AZI ³	AMP	CHL	CIP	NAL	TET
Meat Type	Year (N)	(MIC ≥ 16)	$(MIC \ge 64)$	$(MIC \ge 64)$	(MIC ≥ 32)	$(MIC \ge 32)$	$(MIC \ge 4)$	$(MIC \geq 32)$	(MIC ≥	$(MIC \ge 4)$	(MIC > 16)	(MIC ≥ 32)	(MIC ≥ 512)	(MIC ≥ 1)	(MIC ≥ 32)	(MIC ≥ 16)
	2002 (60)	10.0%	6.7%	28.3%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	16.7%	_		16.7%	-	_	-	33.3%
	2003 (83)	6.0%	4.8%	26.5%	25.3%	25.3%	26.5%	25.3%	14.5%	_		33.7%	2.4%	_	1.2%	27.7%
	2004 (157)	3.8%	11.5%	28.0%	24.8%	24.8%	24.8%	24.8%	28.7%	-		30.6%	1.9%	-	-	46.5%
	2005 (153)	3.3%	4.6%	30.1%	21.6%	20.9%	21.6%	20.9%	17.0%	-		26.8%	0.7%	-	0.7%	43.8%
Retail	2006 (152)	9.2%	9.9%	36.2%	19.1%	19.1%	19.1%	18.4%	23.0%	1.3%		22.4%	2.6%	-	0.7%	46.7%
Chicken	2007 (99)	6.1%	5.1%	30.3%	16.2%	16.2%	16.2%	15.2%	25.3%	-		18.2%	1.0%	-	_	41.4%
	2008 (198)	7.1%	10.6%	23.7%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	21.2%	38.9%	-		28.3%	0.5%	-	_	46.5%
	2009 (272)	3.3%	15.4%	23.2%	37.5%	37.1%	37.9%	33.1%	48.2%	0.4%		45.6%	_	-	0.4%	60.3%
	2010 (171)	6.4%	8.2%	25.7%	33.9%	35.1%	34.5%	28.1%	46.2%	-		39.2%	2.3%	-	-	56.1%
	2011 (158)	3.8%	11.4%	38.6%	33.5%	34.2%	33.5%	25.9%	44.9%	1.3%	0.6%	40.5%	0.6%	_	-	65.8%
	P-value ⁴	0.3748	0.1813	0.8671	0.0017	0.0008	0.0014	0.2314	<0.0001	0.2299	N/A	0.0018	0.3688	N/A	0.3276	< 0.0001
	2002 (74)	14.9%	18.9%	37.8%	12.2%	8.1%	8.1%	8.1%	20.3%	1.4%		16.2%	1.4%	2.7%	8.1%	55.4%
	2003 (114)	22.8%	27.2%	45.6%	11.4%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	33.3%	-		28.9%	0.9%	-	4.4%	39.5%
	2004 (142)	20.4%	18.3%	34.5%	7.7%	4.9%	5.6%	4.9%	28.2%	-		20.4%	2.8%	-	-	56.3%
- ·	2005 (183)	26.8%	20.2%	44.3%	8.7%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	34.4%	0.5%		26.8%	0.5%	-	1.1%	39.9%
Ground	2006 (159)	28.9%	15.1%	40.9%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	32.1%	-		25.8%	0.6%	-	-	56.0%
Turkey	2007 (190)	24.7%	23.7%	45.8%	5.3%	5.3%	5.8%	5.3%	34.7%	0.5%		42.6%	1.6%	-	2.6%	67.4%
	2008 (246)	27.6%	17.9%	58.5%	5.7%	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%	27.6%	0.4%		51.2%	1.6%	-	0.4%	66.3%
	2009 (193)	18.7%	6.7%	28.0%	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%	20.2%	1.6%		58.0%	1.6%	-	_	64.8%
	2010 (202)	16.8%	15.8%	31.7%	17.3%	16.3%	16.3%	15.8%	25.7%	-		48.0%	2.5%	-	0.5%	54.5%
	2011 (162)	32.1%	14.8%	56.5%	21.0%	20.4%	22.4%	17.9%	26.5%	3.7%	-	58.0%	3.7%	-	-	64.8%
	P-value	0.1961	0.0009	0.5145	0.0086	<0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.1129	0.0207	N/A	< 0.0001	0.5175	N/A	0.0002	< 0.0001
	2002 (9)	-	-	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	22.2%	-		22.2%	22.2%	-	-	22.2%
	2003 (10)	-	-	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	-		40.0%	40.0%	-	-	40.0%
	2004 (14)	-	-	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%		21.4%	14.3%	-	-	14.3%
Ground	2005 (8)	25.0%	25.0% 5.3%	25.0% 10.5%	-	-	_	_	25.0% 10.5%	_		25.0% 10.5%	12.5% 5.3%	-	-	12.5% 21.1%
Beef	2006 (19) 2007 (13)	_ 7.7%	-	-	_	_	_	_	7.7%	_		- 10.5%	5.5%	_	_	21.170
	2007 (13) 2008 (24)	8.3%	_ 8.3%	_ 20.8%	8.3%	_ 8.3%	_ 8.3%	_ 8.3%	20.8%	_				_	_	20.8%
	2008 (24) 2009 (14)	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	20.0 <i>%</i> 35.7%	_		28.6%	21.4%	_	_ 14.3%	42.9%
	2009 (14)	-	14.3%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	_		28.6%	42.9%	_	-	42.9%
	2010 (7)	_	11.1%	33.3%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	20.070	-	_	_	11.1%	42.570	_	_	44.4%
	P-value	0.6247	0.1198	0.8401	0.3964	0.3964	0.3964	0.1658	0.8125	N/A	N/A	0.3514	0.6610	N/A	N/A	0.1760
	2002 (10)	30.0%	10.0%	70.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	70.0%	20.0%		40.0%	40.0%	-	-	70.0%
	2003 (5)		-	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.070		40.0%	40.0%	_	_	80.0%
	2004 (11)	_	9.1%	27.3%					18.2%	_		9.1%	18.2%	_	_	54.5%
	2005 (9)	_	_	33.3%	_	_	_	_	33.3%	11.1%		22.2%	22.2%	_	_	55.6%
Pork	2006 (8)	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	_	_	_	_	75.0%	50.0%		25.0%		_	_	25.0%
Chop	2007 (18)	5.6%	5.6%	16.7%	_	_	_	_	16.7%	5.6%		5.6%	_	_	_	50.0%
	2008 (23)	13.0%	-	13.0%	_	_	_	_	30.4%	-		13.0%	_	_	_	34.8%
	2009 (8)	-	12.5%	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%		37.5%	12.5%	_	_	37.5%
	2010 (20)	10.0%	10.0%	45.0%	_	_	_	_	50.0%			15.0%	15.0%	_	_	45.0%
	2011 (28)	3.6%	7.1%	57.1%	3.6%	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	25.0%	_	-	46.4%	17.9%	_	_	39.3%
	P-value	0.3888	0.9659	0.4127	0.4029	0.5956	0.5956	0.8652	0.4423	0.0949	N/A	0.2901	0.1251	N/A	N/A	0.1548

Table 8. Resistance to Antimicrobials in Salmonella Isolates by Meat Type, 2002-2011¹

¹ Dashes indicate 0.0% resistance to antimicrobial. Where % resistance = (# isolates resistant to antimicrobial per meat type) / (total # isolates per meat type).

² Sulfisoxazole replaced Sulfamethoxazole on NARMS panel in 2004.

³ Data for Azithromycin available beginning in 2011.

⁴ P-values calculated using a binary logistic random effects regression model to account for site variation. P-values are not available (N/A) for antimicrobials where resistance has only one level, i.e. zero, or when there is insufficient variation among the resistance observed. P-values < 0.05 indicate a trend.






Figure 3. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Salmonella* Isolates from Retail Chicken and Ground Turkey, 2002-2011

Figure 4. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Salmonella* Isolates from Ground Beef and Pork Chop, 2002-2011









Figure 6. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Salmonella* Isolates from Ground Beef and Pork Chop, 2002-2011



														Nu	umber	of Resi	stant Iso	olates by	y Antimicrobial	Agent and Cla	SS			
				С	lasse	s in l Patt	Resis tern	crobial stance 6-7 8		Amin	oglyco	sides	β-Lactam/β- Lactamase Inhibitor Combinations	c	ephen	ns	Pat	olate hway bitors	Macrolides	Penicillins	Phenicols	Quin	olones	Tetracyclines
Source	Serotype	No. of Isolates	% of Isolates		Num	ber o	of Isol	ates		GEN	KAN	STR	AMC	FOX	TIO	AXO	FIS	СОТ	AZI	AMP	CHL	CIP	NAL	TET
	Typhimurium	66	41.8%	3		24	21	18	ĺ	2	16	16	36	23	36	36	62	1		44				61
	Kentucky	45	28.5%	3	1	34	5	2		2	1	36	13	14	13	13	4	1	1	13	1			34
c	Enteritidis	21	13.3%	17	1	1	2					3					2			2				4
- Ke	Heidelberg	11	7.0%	10		1						1												1
ių	Infantis	4	2.5%	3		1							1	1	1	1				1				
Retail Chicken	Mbandaka	3	1.9%				2	1		1	1	2	2	2	3	2	2			3				3
teta	Hadar	1	0.6%				1					1	1	1	1	1				1				1
Ľ.	I 4,5,12:non-motile	1	0.6%			1				1		1					1							
	Other	6	3.8%	5	1							1												
	Total	158	100.0%	41	3	62	31	21 (C	6	18	61	53	41	54	53	71	2	1	64	1	0	0	104
	Saintpaul	35	21.6%	3	7	19	5	1		10	1	12	2	2	2	2	8			23				28
	Heidelberg	28	17.3%			15	7	6		22	9	26	11	10	11	11	9	3		27	3			26
	Hadar	23	14.2%			18	2	3		5	4	23	3	1	3	4	7			14				23
	Illa 18:z4,z23:-	14	8.6%	11		3					1	3				1				3				2
	Schwarzengrund	9	5.6%	6	1	1		1		1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1		2				3
	Senftenberg	9	5.6%	1		4		4		5	4	7	4	4	4	4	6	1		7	2			3
	Agona	8	4.9%	4	2	1		1		1	1	3	2	1	2	2	1			2				1
	Typhimurium	8	4.9%	1		2	3	2		2		4	5	4	4	5	5			7				7
	Berta	3	1.9%	2	1																			1
ey	Muenchen	3	1.9%	2				1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1				1
Ground Turkey	Thompson	3	1.9%	2		1						1								1				
Ē	Derby	2	1.2%			2				2		2					2							2
ůn	Kentucky	2	1.2%			2						2												2
S.	Muenster	2	1.2%		2	-						_								2				_
Ŭ	Tennessee	2	1.2%	2	-															-				
	Alachua	1	0.6%	-				1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1				1
	Albany	1	0.6%			1						•	1	1	1	1				1				
	Brandenburg	1	0.6%			•	1					1	1	1	1	1				1				1
	Bredeney	1	0.6%				1			1		•	1	1	1	1				1				
	Infantis	1	0.6%				'	1		1		1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1			1
	Reading	1	0.6%			1				1		1			'		1			,				1
	Other	5	3.1%	2	3						1	1												2
	Total	162	100.0%	2 36		70	19	21 (52	24	91	34	29	33	36	43	6	0	94	6	0	0	105
-	Infantis	3	33.3%	3	10	10	15		<u> </u>	02	27	51	т	20	00	00	-5	0	0	57	0	0	v	100
Ground Beef	Kentucky	3	33.3%	Ŭ		2	1					3	1		1	1				1				3
1 pt	Litchfield	1	11.1%			1					1	5												5 1
our	Other	2	22.2%	2																				'
5 G	Total	9	100.0%	5	0	3	1	0 0	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
	Hadar	8	28.6%		U	8		0 (<u> </u>	v		8	1	0			0	0	0	8	0	0	v	1
	Typhimurium	7	25.0%	2		3	2					5					5			2	2			4
	Ohio	3	10.7%	1		2	2					5		2			5			2	2			-
٩	Derby	2	7.1%	1	1	2								2							2			1
Pork Chop	Agona	2	3.6%	'	I.			1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1			1
v ×	Heidelberg	1	3.6%			1		I			1	1	I	I	I	I	1			· ·	I I			1
Por	Heidelberg	1	3.6%			1					I	I			1	4				1				1
	Saintpaul	1	3.6%			1	1			1		1			I	1	1			1				1
	Other	4	3.6% 14.3%	3	1		I			I		I					1			I				1
	Total	4 28	14.3%	3	2	15	3	1 (0	1	2	16	1	3	2	2	7	0	0	13	5	0	0	11
	Total	20	100.0%	<u> </u>	2	10	ა	1 (1	2	10	I	3	2	2	1	U	U	10	J	U	0	11

Table 9. Distribution of Resistant Salmonella Isolates by Meat Type and Serotype, 2011

Year		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	Retail Chicken	60	83	157	153	152	99	198	272	171	158
Number of Isolates	Ground Turkey	74	114	142	183	159	190	246	193	202	162
Tested by Source	Ground Beef	9	10	14	8	19	13	24	14	7	9
	Pork Chop	10	5	11	9	8	18	23	8	20	28
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source										
		_	2.4%	1.9%	0.7%	2.6%	_	0.5%		1.2%	
1. At Least ACSSuT ²	Retail Chicken	_	2	3	1	4	_	1	-	2	-
Resistant		1.4%	0.9%	2.8%	0.5%	0.6%	1.6%	1.6%	0.5%	2.5%	3.1%
	Ground Turkey	1	1	4	1	1	3	4	1	5	5
		22.2%	40.0%	14.3%	12.5%	5.3%		12.5%	14.3%	28.6%	
	Ground Beef	2	4	2	1	1	-	3	2	2	-
		40.0%	40.0%	9.1%	22.2%	_	_	_	12.5%	5.0%	10.7%
	Pork Chop	4	2	1	2	_	_	_	1	1	3
2. At Least ACT/S ³	Retail Chicken	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Resistant		1.4%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	2.5%
	Ground Turkey	1	_				_				4
	Ground Beef	-	-	7.1% 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		20.0%	_	_	11.1%	-	_	_	12.5%	_	_
	Pork Chop	2			1				1		
3. At Least ACSSuTAuCx ⁴	Retail Chicken	-	_	1.9% 3	-	2.6% 4	_	-	-	-	-
Resistant		1.4%	0.9%	2.1%	0.5%	-	1.1%	1.2%	0.5%	2.0%	3.1%
	Ground Turkey	1	1	3	1	-	2	3	1	4	5
		22.2%	40.0%	14.3%	_	-	_	8.3%	14.3%	28.6%	
	Ground Beef	2	4	2	-	-	_	2	2	2	-
		20.0%	20.0%	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	3.6%
	Pork Chop	2	1								1
4. At Least Ceftriaxone	Retail Chicken	-	-	-	0.7% 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
and Nalidixic Acid Resistant	Ground Turkey	_	0.9% 1	-	-	-	0.5% 1	-	-	0.5% 1	-
	Ground Beef	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	14.3% 2	-	_
	Pork Chop	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Dashes indicate 0.0% resistance.

² ACSSuT = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole/sulfisoxazole, and tetracycline.

 3 ACT/S = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

⁴ ACSSuTAuCx = ACSSuT, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and ceftriaxone.

Year		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	Retail Chicken	60	83	157	153	152	99	198	272	171	158
Number of Isolates	Ground Turkey	74	114	142	183	159	190	246	193	202	162
Tested by Source	Ground Beef	9	10	14	8	19	13	24	14	7	9
•	Pork Chop	10	5	11	9	8	18	23	8	20	28
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source										
	Retail Chicken	51.7%	45.8%	40.1%	46.4%	38.8%	47.5%	46.0%	29.0%	35.7%	25.9%
1. No Resistance	Retail Chicken	31	38	63	71	59	47	91	79	61	41
Detected		37.8%	34.2%	28.9%	30.1%	17.6%	15.3%	20.7%	22.3%	30.7%	22.2%
	Ground Turkey	28	39	41	55	28	29	51	43	62	36
	One of Deed	77.8%	60.0%	78.6%	75.0%	73.7%	92.3%	79.2%	57.1%	57.1%	55.6%
	Ground Beef	7	6	11	6	14	12	19	8	4	5
		20.0%	20.0%	45.5%	44.4%	25.0%	44.4%	65.2%	50.0%	35.0%	25.0%
	Pork Chop	2	1	5	4	2	8	15	4	7	7
		20.0%	30.1%	34.4%	25.5%	24.3%	25.3%	37.4%	48.5%	43.3%	44.9%
2. Resistant to ≥ 3	Retail Chicken	12	25	54	39	37	25	74	132	74	71
Antimicrobial Classes		20.3%	28.9%	26.1%	29.0%	24.5%	42.6%	51.6%	26.4%	33.7%	50.0%
	Ground Turkey	15	33	37	53	39	81	127	51	68	81
		22.2%	40.0%	14.3%	25.0%	10.5%	-	20.8%	35.7%	42.9%	11.1%
	Ground Beef	2	4	2	2	2	-	5	5	3	1
		60.0%	40.0%	18.2%	22.2%	25.0%	5.6%	17.4%	50.0%	50.0%	28.6%
	Pork Chop	6	2	2	2	2	1	4	4	10	8
		3.3%	16.9%	24.2%	18.3%	15.1%	13.1%	22.7%	34.6%	33.9%	32.9%
3. Resistant to ≥ 4	Retail Chicken	2	10.070	38	28	23	13	45	94	58	52
		13.5%	14.9%	12.7%	7.7%	8.2%	14.7%	45 15.4%	12.4%	18.3%	24.7%
Antimicrobial Classes	Ground Turkey	13.5%	14.9%	12.7%	14	0.2% 13	28	38	24	37	24.7% 40
		-		-		-	20		24 35.7%	-	40
	Ground Beef	22.2%	40.0%	14.3%	12.5%	5.3%	-	12.5%		42.9%	
		2	4	2	1	1	5.00/	3	5	3	1
	Pork Chop	40.0%	40.0%	18.2%	22.2%	25.0%	5.6%	13.0%	25.0%	5.0%	14.3%
	-	4	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	1	4
	Retail Chicken	3.3%	12.0%	22.3%	17.6%	14.5%	12.1%	18.7%	31.6%	29.8%	27.8%
4. Resistant to ≥ 5		2	10	35	27	22	12	37	86	51	44
Antimicrobial Classes	Ground Turkey	10.8%	4.4%	4.9%	2.7%	3.1%	3.2%	3.3%	3.6%	11.9%	19.1%
	· · ·	8	5	7	5	5	6	8	7	24	31
	Ground Beef	22.2%	40.0%	14.3%	12.5%	5.3%	_	12.5%	14.3%	28.6%	11.1%
		2	4	2	1	1		3	2	2	1
	Pork Chop	40.0%	40.0%	9.1%	22.2%	_	_	_	25.0%	5.0%	10.7%
		4	2	1	2				2	1	3
5 Declateration 5.0	Retail Chicken	_	4.8%	5.7%	3.9%	5.9%	4.0%	4.0%	11.4%	11.1%	13.3%
 Resistant to ≥ 6 Antimicrobial Classes 			4	9	6	9	4	8	31	19	21
Anumicropial Classes	Ground Turkey	10.8% 8	0.9% 1	2.8% 4	2.2% 4	1.9% 3	2.1% 4	2.0% 5	2.6% 5	8.4% 17	13.0% 21
		22.2%	40.0%	14.3%	-		-7	8.3%	14.3%	28.6%	~ '
	Ground Beef	2	4	2	-	-	-	2	2	2	-
	Pork Chop	20.0%	20.0%	_	_	_	_	_	12.5%	_	3.6%
		2	1	_	_	_	_		1		1

Table 11. Multidrug Resistance among Salmonella Isolates by Antimicrobial Class, 2002-2011^{1,2}

¹ Dashes indicate 0.0% resistance.

² NARMS Retail Meat Reports 2002-2010 included amikacin in the multidrug resistance patterns. Begining in 2011, multidrug resistance patterns contain azithromycin. Because resistance to azithromycin and amikacin are less than 1%, the multidrug resistance patterns are comparable across years.

Uninoglycosides Gentamicin 0.002 (G) 2008 (GS) 12 0.00 (10) 60 12.0				Table	12.1 MIC Di	stributio	on am	ong S	almone	lla fro	m Ret	ail Ch	icken	, 2002	2-2011	l						
Unincograded Containing Open to the state of the state o											Distr	ibutio	on (%)	of MI	Cs (µ	g/ml)'	1					
Numonocolsise Gentemics Non- (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Gentamon Score (in) 0 0			/0.																			
2000 (b) 2 4 6 7 7 7 7 </td <td>••</td> <td>2002 (60)</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>10.0</td> <td>[3.8 - 20.5]</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>36.7</td> <td>48.3</td> <td>5.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.7</td> <td>8.3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	••	2002 (60)	0.0	10.0	[3.8 - 20.5]					36.7	48.3	5.0				1.7	8.3					
2009 (150) 0.0 3.0 11.7.9 6.1 7.00 2.0 7.0 6.7 2.6 7.2 5.2 2009 (160) 1.0 0.1 10.1 10.1 10.0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>2003 (83)</td><td>1.2</td><td>6.0</td><td>[2.0 - 13.5]</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>33.7</td><td>54.2</td><td>4.8</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.2</td><td>2.4</td><td>3.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		2003 (83)	1.2	6.0	[2.0 - 13.5]					33.7	54.2	4.8			1.2	2.4	3.6					
2000 (16) 30 2000 (16) 30 2000 (17) 30 2000 (17) 30 2000 (17) 30 2000 (17) 30 2000 (17) 30 2000 (17) 30 2000 (17) 30 2000 (17) 30 30 10 10 2000 (17) 10 <td></td> <td>2004 (157)</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>[1.4 - 8.1]</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>46.5</td> <td>45.2</td> <td>3.8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.6</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>1.9</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2004 (157)	0.6	3.8	[1.4 - 8.1]					46.5	45.2	3.8			0.6	1.9	1.9					
corr ion ion <td></td> <td>2005 (153)</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>[1.1 - 7.5]</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>64.7</td> <td>30.1</td> <td>2.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.7</td> <td>2.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2005 (153)	0.0	3.3	[1.1 - 7.5]					64.7	30.1	2.0				0.7	2.6					
2000 (100) 0.0 7.1 10.1110 10.20 10.1		. ,																				
cons cons <thcons< th=""> cons cons <thc< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td>1.0</td><td>2.0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thc<></thcons<>													1.0		1.0	2.0						
2010 17.0 68 64 13.1 17.2 17.		. ,												0.4	07							
Rammyori Sector Constant												3.3		0.4								
Kanamyoin 202 (i) 0 5.7 13 13 6.7 203 (i) 1.4 13 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 13 14.8 14 13 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 14 14.8 14 14.8 14 1												11	0.6									
2003 (8) 12 4.8 13 - 11.9 3 12 8.8 13 2003 (8) 0.4 10 - 9.2 0.5	Kanamycin									25.5	04.0	4.4	0.0				3.2		67			
2004 (19) 00 1.0 1.0 1.0 4.0 4.0 2006 (12) 00 4.0 1.0 4.0 <td< td=""><td>Ranamycin</td><td>• • •</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Ranamycin	• • •															12					
206 (13) 0 4.0 [1.92] 5.4 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>																						
2000 (12) 0 9.9 (56 - 15.6) 2000 (12) 0 10.4 (14 - 23.3) 8.8 0.3 8.9 0.4 8.1 0.4 8.1 0.4 8.1 0.4 8.1 0.4 8.1 0.4 8.1 0.4 </td <td></td>																						
2007 (00) 0.5 10 17.114 88.9 2.00 1.00 88.9 2.00 1.00 88.9 2.00 1.00 88.9 2.00 1.00 88.9 2.00 1.00 88.9 2.00 1.00 88.9 2.00 1.00 88.9 1.00																						
2006 [16] 0.5 10.6 [6.7 + 16.8] [6.2 + 13.4] [6.				5.1															5.1			
2010 (17) 0.0 8.2 6.5 - 1.3 (1) 9			0.5	10.6	[6.7 - 15.8]										86.9	2.0	0.5	0.5	10.1			
Streptomych Control Use 1		2009 (272)	0.0	15.4	[11.4 - 20.3]										84.2	0.4			15.4			
Streptomyca 2002 600 NA 28.6 17.4 1.0 18.3 2004 17.5 1.0 12.2 55.7 20.6 17.6 11.6 15.6 11.5 2004 17.5 N.0 22.6 14.4 20.6 17.6 10.0 18.3 2006 17.5 N.0 22.6 14.4 20.6 17.6 10.0 12.2 10.7 10.0 12.2 <td></td> <td>2010 (171)</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>[4.5 - 13.4]</td> <td></td>		2010 (171)	0.0	8.2	[4.5 - 13.4]																	
Amoseline Color Total															88.6							
2004 (17) NA 80.0 12.2 53.7 2005 (15) NA 8.6.2 8.8 4.4.4 2007 (19) NA 8.2.2 18.0	Streptomycin	. ,			• •																	
2006 (15) N. 30.0 12.0 81.0 8.5 2006 (15) N. 30.2 [25.40.4] 67.7 31.2 31.2 2008 (19) N. 32.7 [16.00.3] 71.4 31.4 31.2 2008 (19) N. 32.7 [16.00.3] 71.4 31.4 31.2 2010 (17) N. 32.7 [16.3.04.7] 31.4 31.2 31.2 2011 (11.9) N. 32.6 [31.0.4.7] 10.4 71.4 31.4 71.4 2011 (11.9) N. 32.5 [16.4.30] 71.4					• •																	
2006 (12) N.A 30.2 [26.6 - 4.4] 56.7 30.3 [25 4.4] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 [41.1] 57.8 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 [41.1] 57.8 [41.1] 58.6 [41.1] 57.8 [41.1] 57.8 [41.1] 77.8 57.8 [41.1] 77.8 17.0 8.6 [41.1] 77.8 57.8 [41.1] 77.8 57.9 [41.1] 77.8 57.9 [41.1] 77.8 57.9 17.0 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4 200.7 18.4																						
2007 (9) N. 30.3 21.5 -0.4.0 2008 (18) N. 32.7 [16.9.03] -76.3 6.7.3 15.8 7.4.3 2010 (17) N. 32.6 [10.4.7.3] -76.3 6.7.4 17.8 8.3 2010 (17) N. 32.6 [10.4.6.7] -76.4 6.7.4 17.7 8.7 8.7.5 Hactamas' - - - - - 1.7 8.2 1.7 8.2 1.7 8.2 Clevulenic Act 2003 (38) 60 23.1 [16.4.3.6.0] 65.1 1.2 2.4 6.0 2.2 1.8 2.5 2.5 2.5 1.8 2.5 2.5 2.5 1.5 2.6 1.8 2.5 2.5 2.5 1.8 2.5		. ,			• •																	
2006 (199) NA 23.7 18.0 - 20.3 16.1 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.7 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8 76.8					• •																	
200 (27) VA 23.2 (13.3) (14.3) 2010 (17) VA 55.9 (14.3) (15.3) 7.4 2011 (15) VA 56.9 (15.4) (15.4) 7.4 7.1 <		• • •																				
2010 (171) NA 35.7 [16.4 - 30.0] Lactamas'					• •																	
Certions Certions Certions Certions Sole Sole<		. ,																				
Lactama's Lactamas					• •																	
number combinations Amouncielly </td <td>β-Lactams/</td> <td>. ,</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	β-Lactams/	. ,															-					
Continuitorianti allo 2004 (0) 7 10 032 (0) 30 0 23 164 - 36.0 Clavulanic Aci 2004 (15) 3 24 163 - 32.4 153 - 32.4	β-Lactamase																					
Amoxicilin- Clavulanic Acid 2003 (40) 1.7 0.0 (3.8 - 20.5) 7.7 6.7 5.0 1.7 6.0 Clavulanic Acid 2004 (157) 13 44 (15.3 - 28.9) 65.1 1.2 2.4 (10.0) 2006 (152) 0.7 13 15.3 - 28.9 7.6 2.0 3.0 1.0 15.2 2006 (152) 0.7 15.2 2.6 1.7 7.6 3.0 1.0 10.0 15.2 2006 (152) 0.7 15.8 2.7 7.7.8 3.0 1.0 1.0 15.2 2009 (272) 7 7.5 1.7 4.7.5 5.7 2.5 1.3 3.2 1.4 2.2 2011 (171) 2.3 2.8 2.9.4 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2011 (175) 0 2.0 1.8 3.2 1.6 2.9 2.9 2.9	Inhibitor																					
Clavulanic Add 2003 (83) 60 2.3 16.4 3.6.0 2.6.3 2.6.4 3.7.5 2.6.4 1.8.3 2.9.4 1.8.4 2.9.4 1.9.4 2.9.4 1.9.4 2.9.4 1.9.2 2.9.4 1.9.4 2.9.4 1.9.4 2.9.4 1.9.4 2.9.4 1.9.4 2.9.4 1.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4 2.9.4	Combinations															1						
2004 (157) 3 24.8 [16.3 - 2.9] 61.8 7.6 -4.5 1.3 24.8 2005 (152) 0.7 1.1 [13.2 - 2.2] -7.7 1.3 0.7 2.0 1.5 2006 (152) 0.7 1.1 [13.2 - 2.2] 1.6 -2.0 1.6 1.6 2.0 1.0 1																						
2006 [163] 3.9 1.6 [15.3 - 28.9] 2006 [163] 3.0 7 1.6 [15.3 - 28.9] 7.6 3.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2006 [163] 3.0 2.2 [16.5 - 28.9] 5.7 1.3 3.0 1.0<	Clavulanic Acid	. ,			• •																	
Cefficitur 2006 (152) 0.7 19.1 [152-26.2] 77.8 3.0 1.0 </td <td></td> <td>20</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>																	20					
2007 (99) 10 16.2 [166 - 28.7] 77.8 3.0 1.0 1.0 10.1		. ,			• •									07								
2008 (198) 3.0 2.22 [16.6 - 28.7] 66.7 5.1 3.0 1.5 20.7 2009 (27.2) 3.7 3.5 [17.4 - 36.5] 6.2 0.2 2.3 2.3 2.3 [26.9 - 41.5] 6.2 0.2 2.3 2.3 2.3 [27.4] 2.3					• •																	
Cephens 2009 222 3.7 3.7.5 21.7.43.5 22.9.41.5 22.9.4.7 23.9 27.9 23.9 28.9.41.5 2011 (17) 2.9 3.9 28.9.41.5 20.9.4.7 <td></td> <td>1.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>														1.0								
2010 171 2.9 33.9 26.9.4.1.5 57.7 57.7 2.5 1.3 3.8 2.9 4.7 29.2 Ceftiotur 2002 60 0.0 3.8 2.0 1.7 7.7 7.7 7.7 7.2 5 7.0 7.1 2.0 8.0 2.0 1.0 2.3 1.6 3.8														0.4								
Ceftiolur 2011 (158) 3.2 3.3. [26.2 + 41.5] Ceftiolur 2002 (60) 0.0 10.0 [3.8 - 20.5] 1.7. 71.7																						
Ceftiolar 2003 (63) 0.0 1.0.0 1.8.2.0.5] 11.7 71.7 16.7 0.0 51.8 21.7 1.2 51.8 21.7 1.2 51.8 21.7 1.2 51.8 21.7 1.2 51.8 21.7 1.2 51.8 21.7 1.2 51.8 21.7 1.2 51.8 21.7 1.2 52.3 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8 22.8 20.8 10.9 11.8 22.2 58.6 3.0 1.0 15.2 21.1 13.4 43.2 22.2 58.6 3.0 1.6 64.8 1.0 1.5 21.1 1.2 12.2 12.6 12.8 12.4 11.8 1.8 4.8 1.0 1.5 21.1 1.2		. ,												1.3								
2003 (83) 0.0 25.3 (16.4 - 36.0) 51.8 21.7 1.2 1.8 24.8 2006 (157) 0.0 20.8 (18.3 - 32.4) 0.6 47.1 27.4 1.8 24.8 2006 (152) 0.0 90 [14.3 - 25.2] 2.6 6.1 1.8 2.0 1.7.8 25.5 0.7 16. 15.2 2.1.9 2006 (152) 0.0 12.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 11.6 64.8 1.0 9.9 27.1 14.3 40.4 0.6 4.3 1.8 9.4 9.9 27.1 2010 (171) 0.0 3.2 [26.6 - 42.7] 16.6 23.7 10.1 15.2 1.1.9 16.1 24.1 2010 (171) 0.0 3.4 2.6.7 17.6 12.8 1.2 1.2 1.6 7.7 2.0 10.1 24.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 21.1 1.5 <td< td=""><td>Cephems</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>"</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Cephems																"					
2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [14.3 - 32.4] 2.6 47.1 27.4 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7	Ceftiofur	2002 (60)	0.0	10.0	[3.8 - 20.5]					1.7	71.7		0.0			10.0						
2005 (153) 0.0 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 2.6 61.4 15.0 0.0 0.7 18.4 2007 (152) 0.0 19.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 12.8 62.5 0.7 1.0 15.2 2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 14.3 64.3 1.0 1.0 15.2 2009 (272) 0.4 37.1 [31.4 - 43.2] 14.3 46.3 1.8 0.4 9.9 27.2 2011 (158) 0.0 34.2 [26.8 - 42.1] 0.6 23.4 40.4 0.6 9.4 25.7 2011 (158) 0.0 34.2 [26.8 - 42.1] 9.0 7.2 1.8 3.3 0.7 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [16.3 - 32.4] 77.5 5.0 1.2 16.9 7.2 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [16.3 - 28.7] 7.7 7.8 3.0 1.7 1.8 3.3 0.7 2005 (152) 0.0 14.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 80.9 7.7 1.8 3.3 0.7 2006 (152) 0.0<													1.2									
2006 (152) 0.0 19.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 17.8 62.5 0.7 1 1.0 15.2 2007 (99) 0.0 16.2 [9.5 - 24.9] 22.2 56.6 3.0 1.5 21.7 2009 (272) 0.4 37.1 [31.4 - 43.2] 1.6 64.8 1.0 1.5 21.7 2010 (171) 0.0 35.1 [28.6 + 22.1] 1.6 64.8 1.0 1.2 9.4 25.7 2010 (171) 0.0 35.1 [28.6 + 22.1] 90.0 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.8 5.5 3.3 1.7 2003 (153) 0.0 24.6 [17.4 - 37.3] 7.7 7.5 7.8 0.7 1.4 1.2 1.2 1.8 5.5 5.5 2005 (153) 0.0 24.6 [15.3 - 28.9] 7.7 7.8 0.7 1.4 1.0 1.2 1.2 1.8 3.3 0.7 2005 (153) 0.0 21.6 [15.3 - 28.9] 7.7 7.8 0.7 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.2 1.																						
2007 (99) 0.0 16.2 [9.5 - 24.9] 22.2 58.6 3.0 1.0 15.2 2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 11.6 64.8 1.0 9.9 27.1 51.4 31.4		```			• •					2.6					<u> </u>							
2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 16.6 - 28.7 11.6 64.8 1.0 9.9 27.1 2009 (272) 0.4 37.1 [31.4 - 43.2] 1.4 40.3 1.6 64.8 1.0 9.9 27.2 2010 (171) 0.0 35.1 [26.8 - 42.7] 1.6 64.8 1.0 9.9 27.2 2011 (158) 0.0 36.2 [26.8 - 42.7] 1.6 64.8 1.0 9.9 27.2 2011 (158) 0.0 36.2 [26.8 - 42.7] 1.6 64.3 0.3 1.7 10.1 24.1 2003 (150) 0.0 26.6 [17.4 - 37.3] 73.5 1.8 1.2 12 16.9 7.2 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 3.0																						
2009 (272) 0.4 37.1 [31.4 - 43.2] 14.3 46.3 1.8 0.4 9.9 27.2 2010 (171) 0.0 35.1 [26.0 + 42.7] 0.6 23.4 40.4 0.6 9.4 26.7 2011 (158) 0.0 34.2 [26.8 + 42.1] 90.0 1.6 43.0 3.2 1.6 7.2 1.8 3.3 1.7 2003 (83) 0.0 26.5 [17.4 - 37.3] 73.5 5 5 5.0 3.3 1.7 2.004 (157) 0.0 24.8 18.3 - 32.4 75.2 5 5 7.4 7.5 5 5 7.0 2.6 7.0 2.0 1.0 2.0		• • •																				
2010 (171) 0.0 35.1 [28.0 - 42.7] 0.6 23.4 40.4 0.6 10.1 24.7 2010 (171) 0.0 34.2 [26.8 - 42.1] 90.0 5.0 3.3 1.7 2003 (83) 0.0 26.5 [17.4 - 37.3] 73.5 - 1.0 12.7 16.9 7.2 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 75.2 - 1.0 1.2 16.9 7.2 2005 (153) 0.0 21.6 [15.3 - 28.9] 77.8 0.7 - 1.8 3.3 0.7 2006 (152) 0.0 19.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 80.9 - 1.4 - 1.0 4.0 - 2006 (152) 0.0 19.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 80.9 - 1.3 0.6 18.8 8.8 0.4 2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 77.4 - 1.3 0.6 18.8 8.8 0.4 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [26.2 - 41.5] 65.2 - 1.3 0.6 18.8 8.8 0.4 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [26.2 - 41.5]		. ,												04								
2011 (158) 0.0 34.2 [26.8 - 42.1] 19.6 43.0 3.2 10.1 24.1 Ceftriaxone 2002 (60) 0.0 10.0 [3.8 - 20.5] 90.0 7.7 1.2 5.0 3.3 1.7 2003 (83) 0.0 26.5 [17.4 - 37.3] 7.7 7.7 1.9 18.5 4.5 1.9 18.5 4.5 1.9 18.5 4.5 1.9 18.5 4.5 1.9 18.5 4.5 1.9 18.5 4.5 1.0 200 (152) 0.0 11.1 13.2 - 26.2 80.9 1.6 1.6 1.0		. ,								0.6				J.T								
Ceftriaxone 2002 (60) 0.0 10.0 [3.8 - 20.5] 90.0 5.0 3.3 1.7 2003 (83) 0.0 26.5 [17.4 - 37.3] 73.5 1.2 1.2 1.6 7.2 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [15.3 - 28.9] 77.8 0.7 2.0 17.0 2.6 2006 (152) 0.0 19.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 80.9 0.7 0.7 13.8 3.3 0.7 2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 77.4 0.7 13.8 3.0 0.1 4.0 2009 (272) 0.0 37.9 [22.7 4 - 42.1] 64.9 0.6 1.3 0.6 13.9 12.7 4.4 1.9 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [27.4 - 42.1] 64.9 0.6 1.3 1.6 13.9 12.7 4.4 1.9 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [27.4 - 42.1] 64.9 0.6 1.3 1.7 5.7 19.1 2002 (60) 0 10.0 [3.8 - 20.5] 1.7 61.7 20.0 6.7		. ,			• •					5.5												
2003 (83) 0.0 26.5 17.4 - 37.3] 73.5 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.6 9 7.2 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 75.2 1.9 18.5 4.5 2005 (153) 0.0 21.6 [15.3 - 28.9] 77.8 0.7 1.8 3.3 0.7 2006 (152) 0.0 19.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 80.9 0.7 0.7 1.8 8.3 0.7 2009 (272) 0.0 37.9 [22.1 - 43.9] 83.8 0.4 3.0 15.1 4.5 2009 (272) 0.0 37.9 [22.1 - 43.9] 62.3 0.6 1.3 0.6 13.9 12.7 4.4 19 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [26.2 - 41.5] 65.2 1.3 0.6 13.9 12.7 4.4 19 2006 (152) 0.0 10.0 [3.8 - 20.5] 1.7 61.7 20.0 6.7 10.0 1.4 19 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [26.2 - 41.5] 65.2 1.3 0.6 13.9	Ceftriaxone	. ,								90.0				'			1.7					
2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 75.2 I I 1.9 18.5 4.5 2005 (153) 0.0 21.6 [15.3 - 28.9] 77.8 0.7 I 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 4.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 4.0 2.0 17.0 4.0 2.0 17.0 4.0 2.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 4.0 17.0 2.0 17.0 18.8 3.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 19.0 10.0 11.0 18.3 2.0 16.0 19.0 10.0 18.0 2.0 16.0 19.0 10.0 18.0 2.0 16.0 10.0 18.0 2.0 10.0 18.0 2.0 10.0 17.0 10.0					• •									1.2								
2006 (152) 0.0 19.1 [13.2 - 26.2] 80.9 0.7 0.7 13.8 3.3 0.7 2007 (99) 0.0 16.2 [9.5 - 24.9] 83.8 1.0 3.0 15.1 4.5 2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 77.4 0.4 9.6 18.8 8.8 0.4 2009 (272) 0.0 37.9 [32.1 - 43.9] 62.3 1.3 0.6 13.9 18.1 2.9 0.6 2011 (171) 0.0 34.5 [26.2 + 41.5] 65.2 1.7 61.7 20.0 6.7 4.4 1.9 2003 (83) 0.0 25.3 [16.4 - 36.0] 1.7 61.7 20.0 6.7 1.0 1.0 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 2.5 56.7 14.6 1.3 0.7 11.1 9.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 56.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2006 (152) 0.7<					• •										1.9							
2007 (99) 0.0 16.2 [9.5 - 24.9] 83.8 2.00 10.1 4.0 2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 77.4 3.0 15.1 4.5 2009 (272) 0.0 37.9 [32.1 - 43.9] 62.3 1.3 0.6 18.8 8.8 0.4 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [27.4 - 42.1] 64.9 0.6 1.3 0.6 18.1 2.9 0.6 2011 (158) 1.3 33.5 [26.2 + 41.5] 65.2 1.7 61.7 20.0 6.7 4.4 1.9 2002 (60) 0.0 10.0 [38.2 + 20.5] 1.6.4 3.0 1.27 4.4 1.9 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 2.5 56.7 14.6 1.3 0.0 25.3 2005 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 2.55 58.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 3.0 51.6 21.1		· · ·									0.7				2.0							
2008 (198) 0.0 22.2 [16.6 - 28.7] 77.4 Image: Second Seco		```			• •									0.7				0.7				
2009 (272) 0.0 37.9 j32.1 - 43.9] 62.3 0.4 9.6 18.8 8.8 0.4 2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [27.4 - 42.1] 64.9 0.6 1.3 0.6 13.9 12.7 4.4 1.9 2011 (158) 1.3 33.5 [26.2 - 41.5] 65.2 1.3 0.6 13.9 12.7 4.4 1.9 Cefoxitin 2003 (83) 0.0 25.3 [16.4 - 36.0] 1.7 61.7 20.0 67.7 14.6 1.3 5.7 19.1 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 205 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 205 (153) 0.7 20.9 14.8 - 28.2] 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 56.7 14.6 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8] 3.0 55.6 22.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 14.6 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 3.0 5.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 14.6		. ,																				
2010 (171) 0.0 34.5 [27.4 - 42.1] 64.9 0.6 3.5 9.4 18.1 2.9 0.6 2011 (158) 1.3 33.5 [26.2 - 41.5] 65.2 1.3 0.6 13.9 12.7 4.4 1.9 2003 (83) 0.0 25.3 [16.4 - 36.0] 1.6 1.8 2.5 56.7 1.4.6 1.3 5.7 19.1 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 2.5 56.7 14.6 1.3 0.7 11.1 9.8 2005 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 2.5 56.7 14.6 1.3 0.7 11.1 9.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 2.07 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8] 3.0 55.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8] 3.0 55.6 21.2 2.0 2.0 3.0 12.1 2008 (188) 1.0 21.2 [15.7 - 27.6] 2.5 5.0 21.7 5.8 1.0 6.6 14.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [. ,																. .				
2011 (158) 1.3 33.5 [26.2 + 41.5] 65.2 1.3 0.6 1.3.9 1.2.7 4.4 1.9 Cefoxini 2002 (60) 0.0 10.0 [3.8 - 20.5] 1.7 61.7 20.0 6.7 10.0 25.3 2003 (83) 0.0 25.3 [16.4 - 36.0] 20.4 14.8 - 28.2] 205 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 20.5 84.8 4.6 0.0 0.7 11.1 9.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 20.7 8.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 3.0 5.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8] 3.0 5.6 21.2 2.0 3.0 12.1 2008 (198) 1.0 21.2 [15.7 - 27.6] 2.0 1.0 6.6 14.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0] 1.0 1.0 4.8 6.4 16.5 <td< td=""><td></td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		. ,									0.0											
Cefoxitin 2002 (60) 0.0 10.0 [3.8 - 20.5] 2003 (83) 0.0 25.3 [16.4 - 36.0] 60.2 13.3 1.2 25.3 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 2.5 56.7 14.6 1.3 5.7 19.1 2005 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 25.5 48.4 4.6 0.0 0.7 11.1 9.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 58.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 27.6] 2.5 53.0 21.7 0.5 10.6 6.6 14.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0] 0.7 42.6 16.2 2.6 4.8 16.5 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4] 10.5 43.9 9.4 1.8 6.4 14.6 13.5 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5] 0.6 43.0 20.3 1.3 8.9 17.1 8.9		. ,									U.6		1.2									
2003 (83) 0.0 25.3 16.4 - 36.0] 60.2 13.3 1.2 25.3 2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 2.5 56.7 14.6 1.3 5.7 19.1 2005 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 25.5 48.4 4.6 0.0 0.7 11.1 9.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 58.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 27.6] 2.5 53.0 21.7 0.5 1.0 6.6 14.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0] 0.7 42.9 2.6 4.8 16.5 16.5 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4] 10.5 43.9 9.4 1.8 6.4 14.6 13.5 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5] 0.6 43.0 20.3 1.3 8.9 17.1 8.9	Cofovitio				• •					05.2		17		•		12./		1.9				
2004 (157) 0.0 24.8 [18.3 - 32.4] 2.5 56.7 14.6 1.3 5.7 19.1 2005 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 25.5 48.4 4.6 0.0 0.7 11.1 9.8 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 58.6 21.1 1.3 0.7 6.6 11.8 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8] 3.0 55.6 21.2 2.0 3.0 12.1 2008 (198) 1.0 21.2 [15.7 - 27.6] 2.5 53.0 21.7 0.5 16.6 14.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0] 0.7 42.6 16.2 2.6 4.8 16.5 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4] 10.5 43.9 9.4 1.8 6.4 14.6 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5] 0.6 43.0 20.3 1.3 8.9 17.1 8.9	Celoxiun				• •							1.7										
2005 (153) 0.7 20.9 [14.8 - 28.2] 2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5] 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8] 2008 (198) 1.0 21.2 [15.7 - 27.6] 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 35.4] 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4] 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5]		• • •										25						19.1				
2006 (152) 0.7 18.4 [12.6 - 25.5]58.621.11.30.7 6.611.8 2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8]3.055.622.22.02.0 3.012.1 2008 (198) 1.0 21.2 [15.7 - 27.6]2.553.021.70.51.0 6.614.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0]0.742.616.22.64.8 16.516.5 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4]10.543.99.41.86.4 14.613.5 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5]0.643.020.31.38.9 17.18.9		. ,														07						
2007 (99) 2.0 15.2 [8.7 - 23.8] 3.0 55.6 22.2 2.0 2.0 3.0 12.1 2008 (198) 1.0 21.2 [15.7 - 27.6] 2.5 53.0 21.7 0.5 1.0 6.6 14.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0] 0.7 42.6 16.2 2.6 4.8 16.5 16.5 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4] 10.5 43.9 9.4 1.8 6.4 14.6 13.5 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5] 0.6 43.0 20.3 1.3 8.9 17.1 8.9		. ,			• •							_0.0										
2008 (198) 1.0 21.2 [15.7 - 27.6] 2.5 53.0 21.7 0.5 1.0 6.6 14.6 2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0] 0.7 42.6 16.2 2.6 4.8 16.5 16.5 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4] 10.5 43.9 9.4 1.8 6.4 14.6 13.5 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5] 0.6 43.0 20.3 1.3 8.9 17.1 8.9		. ,										3.0										
2009 (272) 4.8 33.1 [27.5 - 39.0] 0.7 42.6 16.2 2.6 4.8 16.5 2010 (171) 6.4 28.1 [21.5 - 35.4] 10.5 43.9 9.4 1.8 6.4 14.6 13.5 2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5] 0.6 43.0 20.3 1.3 8.9 17.1 8.9		. ,																				
2011 (158) 8.9 25.9 [19.3 - 33.5] 0.6 43.0 20.3 1.3 8.9 17.1 8.9		. ,															16.5					
		2010 (171)	6.4													6.4	14.6	13.5				
												0.6	43.0	20.3	1.3	8.9	17.1	8.9				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

Table 12.1 MIC Distribution among Salmonella from Retail Chicken, 2002-2011 continu

		Tai	Jie 12.	1 MIC Distri	Dution	amon	g Sam	nonella	from						4						
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0 125	0 25		ributic 1	on (%) 2	of MI 4	Cs (µ 8	"(g/ml 16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Folate Pathway		%I	% K ⁴	[95% CI]°	0.013	0.03	0.00	0.123	0.20	0.50		2	+	0	10	32	04	120	230	512	1024
Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (60)	N/A	16.7	[8.3 - 28.5]											38.3	31.7	13.3		- 11		16.7
	2003 (83)	N/A	14.5	[7.7 - 23.9]											32.5	33.7	15.7	3.6			14.5
Sulfisoxazole	. ,		28.7	[21.7 - 36.4]											12.1		43.3	1.3		28.7	
	2005 (153) 2006 (152)		17.0 23.0	[11.4 - 23.9] [16.6 - 30.5]											11.1 5.3		41.8 53.9	2.0 1.3		17.0 23.0	
	2000 (132) 2007 (99)		25.3	[17.1 - 35.0]											13.1			10.1		25.3	
	2008 (198)		38.9	[32.1 - 46.1]											3.5	18.7		1.0	0.5	38.9	
	2009 (272)		48.2	[42.1 - 54.3]											4.4		29.4	2.2	0.4	48.2	
	2010 (171)		46.2	[38.6 - 54.0]											2.3		19.3 20.3	0.6 0.6	0.6	46.2	
Trimethoprim-	2011 (158)	N/A	44.9 0.0	[37.0 - 53.0] [0.0 - 6.0]				98.3	1.7			1			10.5	17.7	20.3	0.6		44.9	
Sulfamethoxazole		N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 4.3]				97.6	2.4												
	2004 (157)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]				96.8	3.2												
	2005 (153)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.4]				98.7	1.3	o -											
	2006 (152) 2007 (99)	N/A N/A	1.3 0.0	[0.2 - 4.7] [0.0 - 3.7]				94.7 84.8	3.3 15.2	0.7				1.3							
	2007 (99) 2008 (198)		0.0	[0.0 - 3.7]				90.9	6.6	2.5											
	2009 (272)		0.4	[0.0 - 2.0]				97.8	1.5	0.4			0.4								
	2010 (171)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.1]				98.2	1.8												
	2011 (158)	N/A	1.3	[0.2 - 4.5]				95.6	2.5	0.6				1.3							
Macrolides Azithromycin	2011 (158)	NI/A	0.6	[0.0 - 3.5]								44	91.8	3.2		0.6					
Penicillins	2011 (130)	IWA	0.0	[0.0 - 0.0]								4.4	31.0	5.2	- 1	0.0					
	2002 (60)	0.0	16.7	[8.3 - 28.5]							53.3	30.0					16.7				
	2003 (83)	0.0	33.7	[23.7 - 44.9]							43.4	22.9					33.7				
	2004 (157)		30.6 26.8	[23.5 - 38.4] [20.0 - 34.5]							60.5 69.3	8.9 3.3	0.7				30.6 26.8				
	2005 (153) 2006 (152)		20.0	[20.0 - 34.3]							74.3	2.6	0.7				20.0				
	2007 (99)	0.0	18.2	[11.1 - 27.2]							68.7	12.1	1.0				18.2				
	2008 (198)	0.0	28.3	[22.1 - 35.1]							61.6	9.6	0.5				28.3				
	2009 (272)		45.6	[39.6 - 51.7]							44.9	9.2	0.4	10			45.6				
	2010 (171) 2011 (158)		39.2 40.5	[31.8 - 46.9] [32.8 - 48.6]							55.0 50.0	4.1 8.9	0.6 0.6	1.2			39.2 40.5				
Phenicols	2011 (100)	0.0	40.0	[02.0 40.0]							00.0	0.0	0.0			1	40.0				
Chloramphenicol		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.0]								1.7	68.3	30.0							
	2003 (83)	0.0	2.4	[0.3 - 8.4]								25	32.5	65.1	0.0		2.4				
	2004 (157) 2005 (153)		1.9 0.7	[0.4 - 5.5] [0.0 - 3.6]								2.5 1.3	14.6 65.4	80.3 32.7	0.6		1.9 0.7				
	2006 (153)		2.6	[0.7 - 6.6]								0.7	32.9	63.2	0.7		2.6				
	2007 (99)	5.1	1.0	[0.0 - 5.5]									28.3	65.7	5.1	1.0					
	2008 (198)		0.5	[0.0 - 2.8]								1.0		70.7			0.5				
	2009 (272) 2010 (171)		0.0 2.3	[0.0 - 1.3] [0.6 - 5.9]								2.9	23.2 66.1	76.5 28.7	0.4	1.2	1.2				
	2010 (171) 2011 (158)		0.6	[0.0 - 3.9]								2.9 0.6		44.3	0.6	0.6	1.2				
Quinolones				[_													
Ciprofloxacin		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 6.0]	90.0	10.0															
	2003 (83)	0.0	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 4.3] [0.0 - 2.3]	83.1 96.2	14.5 3.8	1.2		1.2												
	2004 (157) 2005 (153)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]	88.2	11.1		0.7													
	2006 (152)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.4]	68.4	30.9			0.7												
	2007 (99)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 3.7]	85.9	14.1															
	2008 (198)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.8]	81.8 77.6	17.2	1.0		0.4												
	2009 (272) 2010 (171)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 1.3] [0.0 - 2.1]	77.6 94.2	21.0 5.9	1.1		0.4												
	2010 (171) 2011 (158)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.1]	84.8	14.6	0.6														
Nalidixic Acid	2002 (60)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 6.0]							-		68.3								
	2003 (83)	N/A	1.2	[0.0 - 6.5]							1.2	1.2		12.0			1.2				
	2004 (157) 2005 (153)		0.0 0.7	[0.0 - 2.3] [0.0 - 3.6]							0.7	12.1 27.5	82.8 69.3	5.1 1.3	0.7	0.7					
	2005 (153) 2006 (152)		0.7	[0.0 - 3.6]							0.1		71.1	3.3	5.7	5.1	0.7				
	. ,	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 3.7]								33.3	62.6	4.0							
	2008 (198)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.8]							<u> </u>		69.7	3.5							
	2009 (272)		0.4 0.0	[0.0 - 2.0] [0.0 - 2.1]							0.4 1.8		82.0 48.0	0.7 1.2	0.4		0.4				
	2010 (171) 2011 (158)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 2.1] [0.0 - 2.3]							1.8		48.0 63.3	1.2	0.6						
Tetracyclines				[]																	
Tetracycline		1.7	33.3	[21.7 - 46.7]									65.0	1.7			33.3				
	2003 (83)	0.0	27.7	[18.4 - 38.6]									72.3	0.0		1.2	26.5				
	2004 (157) 2005 (153)		46.5 43.8	[38.5 - 54.6] [35.8 - 52.0]									52.9 56.2	0.6		0.7	46.5 43.1				
	2005 (153) 2006 (152)		43.8 46.7	[35.6 - 52.0]									53.3			0.7 1.3	45.4				
	2000 (132) 2007 (99)	0.0	41.4	• •									58.6				41.4				
	2008 (198)	0.5	46.5	[39.4 - 53.7]									53.0	0.5	1.5	0.5	44.4				
	2009 (272)			[54.2 - 66.2]									39.3	0.4	0.4	• •	59.9				
	2010 (171) 2011 (158)		56.1 65.8	[48.4 - 63.7] [57.9 - 73.2]									42.1 34.2	1.8	0.6 1.9	2.3	53.2 63.9				
¹ Percent of isolates with in							lun - lunt						J 1 .2		1.3		03.3				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

 3 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

		1	Table 1	2.2 MIC Dis	stributio	on amo	ong Sa	almone	lla fro				·			4					
											ibutio	on (%)	of MI	Cs (µ	g/ml)'	+					
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides														, , ,							
Gentamicin		2.7	14.9	[7.7 - 25.0]					40.5	39.2	2.7			2.7	5.4	9.5					
	2003 (114)		22.8	[15.5 - 31.6]					25.4	37.7	5.3	3.5		5.3	14.9	7.9					
	2004 (142)		20.4	[14.1 - 28.0]					33.8	37.3	4.9	0.7		2.8	9.2	11.3					
	2005 (183)		26.8	[20.5 - 33.8]					36.6 18.9	29.0 45.3	1.1 4.4	1.3	1.1	5.5 1.3	14.2 6.9	12.6 22.0					
	2006 (159) 2007 (190)		28.9 24 7	[22.0 - 36.6] [18.8 - 31.5]					27.9	41.1	3.7	0.5		2.1	5.8	18.9					
	2007 (190) 2008 (246)			[22.2 - 33.7]					8.5	50.8	11.0	1.2	0.4	0.4	4.9	22.8					
	2009 (193)		18.7						25.4	46.6	6.7	0.5	0.5	1.6	2.6	16.1					
	2010 (202)			[11.9 - 22.7]					43.6	34.7	1.0	1.0		3.0	7.9	8.9					
	2011 (162)		32.1	[25.0 - 39.9]					8.6	49.4	6.8	1.2	0.6	1.2	2.5	29.6					
Kanamycin	2002 (74)	2.7	18.9	[10.7 - 29.7]										74.3	4.1	2.7	2.7	16.2			
	2003 (114)	2.6	27.2	[19.3 - 36.3]										70.2		2.6	14.0	13.2			
	2004 (142)			[12.3 - 25.7]										78.9	1.4	1.4	7.0	11.3			
	2005 (183)			[14.7 - 26.8]										77.6	2.2		3.3	16.9			
	2006 (159)		15.1	[9.9 - 21.6]										81.1	2.5	1.3	3.1	11.9			
	2007 (190)		23.7											69.5	5.3	1.6	2.1	21.6			
	2008 (246)		17.9	[13.3 - 23.3]										72.8	7.3	2.0	0.4	17.5			
	2009 (193)		6.7	[3.6 - 11.2]										91.7 83.7	1.6	0.5	0.5	6.7 15.3			
	2010 (202) 2011 (162)		15.0	[11.1 - 21.6] [9.7 - 21.2]										80.9	3.7	0.5	0.5 1.2	13.6			
Streptomycin	. ,	0.0 N/A	37.8	[26.8 - 49.9]										00.5	0.1	62.2	8.1	29.7			
Sucptomyon	2002 (74) 2003 (114)		45.6	[36.3 - 55.2]												54.4	20.2	25.4			
	2004 (142)		34.5	[26.7 - 42.9]												65.5	21.1	13.4			
	2005 (183)		44.3	[36.9 - 51.8]												55.7	23.5	20.8			
	2006 (159)		40.9	[33.2 - 48.9]												59.1	20.1	20.8			
	2007 (190)		45.8	[38.6 - 53.2]												54.2	27.9	17.9			
	2008 (246)	N/A	58.5	[52.1 - 64.8]												41.5	25.6	32.9			
	2009 (193)		28.0	[21.8 - 34.9]												72.0	18.1	9.8			
	2010 (202)		31.7	[25.3 - 38.6]												68.3	15.8				
0 1	2011 (162)	N/A	56.2	[48.2 - 63.9]												43.8	25.9	30.3			
β-Lactams/																					
β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor Combinations																					
Amoxicillin-	2002 (74)	14	12.2	[5.7 - 21.8]							73.0	9.5	2.7	1.4	1.4	5.4	6.8				
Clavulanic Acid				[6.2 - 18.7]							58.8	11.4	0.9	10.8	15.8	8.8	2.6				
	2004 (142)		7.7	[3.9 - 13.4]							71.8	8.5		3.5	8.5	2.8	4.9				
	2005 (183)			[5.1 - 13.8]							69.4	3.8		7.7	10.4	2.7	6.0				
	2006 (159)			[0.0 - 3.5]							71.7	2.5		9.4	11.3		5.0				
	2007 (190)	22.6	5.3	[2.6 - 9.5]							53.2	3.7	0.5	14.7	22.6	1.1	4.2				
	2008 (246)	27.2	5.7	[3.1 - 9.4]							43.1	5.7		18.3	27.2	0.8	4.9				
	2009 (193)		5.7	[2.9 - 10.0]							37.8	4.1		33.2	19.2		3.6				
	2010 (202)		17.3	[12.4 - 23.3]							49.5	2.5	0.5	22.3	7.9	4.5	12.9				
. .	2011 (162)	12.3	21.0	[15.0 - 28.1]							38.9	2.5	1.9	23.5	12.3	8.0	13.0				
Cephems	2002 (74)	0.0	04	[20 16 9]						E1 /	25.4	5.4		4.4	6 0						
Centolui	2002 (74) 2003 (114)		8.1 2.6	[3.0 - 16.8] [0.5 - 7.5]						51.4	35.1 54.4	5.4 1.8		1.4	6.8 2.6						
				[0.5 - 7.5] [2.0 - 9.9]							54.4 47.9				4.9						
	2004 (142) 2005 (183)		4.9 7.1	[2.0 - 9.9] [3.8 - 11.8]							47.9	4.2 1.6			4.9 7.1						
	2005 (183) 2006 (159)		5.0	[2.2 - 9.7]						4.4	87.4	3.1			5.0						
	2000 (153) 2007 (190)		5.3	[2.6 - 9.5]						9.5	82.6	2.6			5.3						
	2008 (246)		4.9	[2.5 - 8.4]						7.3	82.1	5.7			4.9						
	2009 (193)		5.7	[2.9 - 10.0]					0.5	10.4	80.8	2.6		1.0	4.7						
	2010 (202)			[11.5 - 22.2]						26.2	56.4	1.0		1.5	14.9						
	2011 (162)			[14.5 - 27.4]						16.1	59.3	3.7	0.6		17.3						
Ceftriaxone			8.1	[3.0 - 16.8]					91.9				1.4	5.4	1.4						
	2003 (114)		2.6	[0.5 - 7.5]					97.4					0.9	. .	1.8					
	2004 (142)		5.6	[2.5 - 10.8]					94.4						2.1	3.5					
	2005 (183)		7.1	[3.8 - 11.8]					92.9					0 6	3.3	1.1	1.6	1.1			
	2006 (159) 2007 (190)		5.0	[2.2 - 9.7] [2.9 - 10.1]					95.0 93.7	0.5				0.6	3.1 1.1	0.6 2.6	0.6 1.6	0.5			
	2007 (190) 2008 (246)		5.8 4.9	[2.9 - 10.1] [2.5 - 8.4]					95.1 95.1	0.0					3.3	1.2	1.0	0.5 0.4			
	2008 (240) 2009 (193)		4.9 5.7	[2.9 - 10.0]					94.3					0.5	2.6	2.1	0.5	0.4			
	2003 (133) 2010 (202)			[11.5 - 22.2]					83.2	0.5			0.5	1.5	7.9	6.4	2.0				
	2011 (162)		22.2	[16.1 - 29.4]					75.9	1.9			1.2	4.9	6.8	6.2	3.1				
	2002 (74)		8.1	[3.0 - 16.8]						-	2.7	47.3		9.5	1.3	8.1					
	2003 (114)		2.6	[0.5 - 7.5]							1.8	55.3		7.0	1.8	2.6					
	2004 (142)		4.9	[2.0 - 9.9]							1.4		28.2	3.5	1.4	0.7	4.2				
	2005 (183)	0.0	7.1	[3.8 - 11.8]							23.5		20.8			3.8	3.3				
	2006 (159)		0.6	[0.0 - 3.5]									38.4	1.9		3.1	1.9				
	2007 (190)		5.3	[2.6 - 9.5]									24.7		0.5	0.5	4.7				
	2008 (246)		4.9	[2.5 - 8.4]							0.8	65.4		4.1		0.4	4.5				
	2009 (193)			[2.9 - 10.0]								63.7			0.5	2.1	3.6				
	. ,			[11.1 - 21.6]								53.5		1.0	0.5	4.0	11.9				
	2011 (162)			[12.3 - 24.7]							2.5	53.1	19.8	ა.1	3.7	4.3	13.6				

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility. IVA used when there is no intermediate breakpoint established.
 ² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.
 ³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.
 ⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

		Tab	ne iz.	2 MIC Distri	bution	amonę	g sam	ionella	Trom												
Antimienshiel	Veer (n)	a 1	a 2		0.045	0.02	0.00	0 405	0.05		ributic	• • •					64	400	250	540	4004
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l'	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Folate Pathway Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (74)	N/A	20.3	[11.8 - 31.2]											20.3	51.4	6.8	1.4	- 1	1	20.3
Gunamethoxazole	2002 (14)		33.3	[24.8 - 42.8]											18.4	33.3		1.8		0.9	32.5
Sulfisoxazole	. ,		28.2	[20.9 - 36.3]											4.9	17.6	49.3			28.2	
	2005 (183)		34.4	[27.6 - 41.8]											3.3	23.0	39.3			34.4	
	2006 (159)		32.1												1.9		51.6	3.1	0.6	32.1	
	2007 (190) 2008 (246)			[28.0 - 42.0] [22.2 - 33.7]											4.2 1.6	23.7 32.2	27.9 35.9	7.9 1.6	1.6 1.2	34.7 27.4	
	2009 (193)			[14.8 - 26.6]											4.7	13.5		2.1		20.2	
	2010 (202)	N/A	25.7												7.4	32.7				25.7	
Taine ette en aine	2011 (162)		26.5	[19.9 - 34.0]				00.0	0.4			- 1			13.0	32.1	27.8	0.6		26.5	
Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole			1.4 0.0	[0.0 - 7.3] [0.0 - 3.2]				89.2 86.0	8.1 13.2	1.4 0.9				1.4							
Guildinetiioxazoie	2003 (114) 2004 (142)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.6]				89.4	6.3	4.2											
	2005 (183)		0.5	[0.0 - 3.0]				96.2	2.7	0.5				0.5							
	2006 (159)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]				93.1	5.7	1.3											
	2007 (190) 2008 (246)		0.5 0.4	[0.0 - 2.9] [0.0 - 2.2]				78.4 83.7	20.5 13.1	0.5 2.9				0.5 0.4							
	2008 (240) 2009 (193)		1.6	[0.0 - 2.2] [0.3 - 4.5]				96.9	1.6	2.9				1.6							
	2010 (202)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.8]				93.6	6.4												
	2011 (162)	N/A	3.7	[1.4 - 7.9]				93.8	2.5					3.7							
Macrolides	2014 (162)	NI/A	• •	10 0 0 01								7 4	70.0	10.4	4.0						
Azithromycin Penicillins	2011 (162)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]								7.4	79.0	12.4	1.2						
	2002 (74)	0.0	16.2	[8.7 - 26.6]							41.9	36.5	4.1	1.4			16.2				
	2003 (114)	0.0	28.9	[20.8 - 38.2]							36.8	31.6	1.8	0.9			28.9				
	2004 (142)			[14.1 - 28.0]							64.1	14.1	1.4				20.4				
	2005 (183) 2006 (159)		26.8 25.8	[20.5 - 33.8] [19.2 - 33.3]							63.9 67.9	8.7 6.3	0.5				26.8 25.8				
	2000 (100)		42.6	[35.5 - 50.0]							49.5	7.9					42.6				
	2008 (246)		51.2	[44.8 - 57.6]							42.7	5.7	0.4			0.4	50.8				
	2009 (193)		58.0	[50.7 - 65.1]							34.7	6.7	0.5				58.0				
	2010 (202)		48.0 58.0	[41.0 - 55.1]							50.0 38.3	2.0 3.7				0.6	48.0 57.4				
Phenicols	2011 (162)	0.0	56.0	[50.0 - 65.7]							30.3	3.7			 	0.0	57.4				
Chloramphenicol	2002 (74)	6.8	1.4	[0.0 - 7.3]									39.2	52.7	6.8		1.4				
	2003 (114)		0.9	[0.0 - 4.8]									13.2		2.6		0.9				
	2004 (142)		2.8	[0.8 - 7.1]									12.7	80.3	4.2		2.8				
	2005 (183) 2006 (159)		0.5 0.6	[0.0 - 3.0] [0.0 - 3.5]									41.0 27.7	55.7 71.1	2.7 0.6		0.5 0.6				
	2000 (133) 2007 (190)		1.6	[0.3 - 4.5]									32.1	64.7	1.6		1.6				
	2008 (246)		1.6	[0.4 - 4.1]									34.6	62.6	1.2		1.6				
	2009 (193)		1.6	[0.3 - 4.5]								1.0	22.8	73.6	1.0	1.0	0.5				
	2010 (202) 2011 (162)		2.5 3.7	[0.8 - 5.7]								3.0	60.9 37.0	33.2 58.0	0.5 1.2	1.9	2.5 1.9				
Quinolones	2011(102)	1.2	3.1	[1.4 - 7.9]									37.0	56.0	1.2	1.9	1.9				
Ciprofloxacin	2002 (74)	2.7	2.7	[0.3 - 9.4]	71.6	17.6	2.7	1.4	1.4	2.7	2.7										
	2003 (114)		0.0	[0.0 - 3.2]	86.0	8.8	0.9		3.5	0.9											
	2004 (142)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 2.6] [0.0 - 2.0]	93.7 80.9	4.9 16.4	1.4 1.6	0.5	0.5												
	2005 (183) 2006 (159)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]	74.8	24.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.6											
	2007 (190)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.9]	87.4	10.0			2.6	0.0											
	2008 (246)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.5]	78.5	20.3	0.8		0.4												
	2009 (193)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.9]	85.0	14.5	0.5		0 5												
	2010 (202) 2011 (162)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 1.8] [0.0 - 2.3]	98.0 92.6	1.5 7.4			0.5												
Nalidixic Acid			8.1	[3.0 - 16.8]	02.0						1.4		64.9	24.3	1.4		8.1				
	2003 (114)	N/A	4.4	[1.4 - 9.9]								0.9		11.4	0.9		4.4				
	2004 (142)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.6]								4.2		9.9	0.7						
	2005 (183) 2006 (159)		1.1 0.0	[0.1 - 3.9] [0.0 - 2.3]									80.9 86.2	3.8 3.1	0.6		1.1				
	2000 (133) 2007 (190)		2.6	[0.9 - 6.0]							1.1		67.4	0.5	0.0		2.6				
	2008 (246)		0.4	[0.0 - 2.2]								17.4	78.9	2.8	0.4		0.4				
	2009 (193)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.9]							0.5		80.8	2.6							
	2010 (202)		0.5	[0.0 - 2.7]							1.0		34.7	12			0.5				
Tetracyclines	2011 (162)	IN/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.3]								20.3	73.5	1.2	1	1					
Tetracycline	2002 (74)	0.0	55.4	[43.4 - 67.0]									44.6		1.4	2.7	51.4				
-	2003 (114)	2.6	39.5	[30.4 - 49.1]									57.9	2.6			39.5				
	2004 (142)		56.3										35.9	7.7	4.2	0.7	51.4				
	2005 (183)			[32.7 - 47.4] [47 9 - 63 8]									60.1 44.0			0.5	39.3 55.3				
	2006 (159) 2007 (190)			[47.9 - 63.8] [60.2 - 74.0]									44.0 32.1	0.5	0.5	0.6 3.7	63.2				
	2008 (246)			[60.0 - 72.1]									33.5	0.4		4.1	62.0				
	2009 (193)	1.0	64.8	[57.6 - 71.5]									34.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	62.7				
	2010 (202)			[47.3 - 61.5]									45.5		0.5	5.9	48.0				
¹ Percent of isolates with in	2011 (162)			[56.9 - 72.1]									32.7	2.5	0.6	1.9	62.3				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

 3 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

			Table	e 12.3 MIC E	listribu	tion ar	nong	Salmon	<i>iella</i> fr	om G	round	Beef	, 2002	-2011							
										Dist	ributi	on (%) of M	ICs (µ	g/ml)⁴	ļ					
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides																					
Gentamicin	2002 (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]					55.6	44.4				1							
	2003 (10)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]					30.0	40.0	30.0										
	2004 (14)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 23.2]					57.1	42.9											
	2005 (8)	0.0	25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]					37.5	37.5					25.0						
	2006 (19)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]					15.8	68.5	15.8										
	2007 (13)	0.0	7.7	[0.2 - 36.0]					15.4	76.9					7.7						
	2008 (24)	0.0	8.3	[1.0 - 27.0]					4.2	75.0	8.3	4.2				8.3					
	2009 (14)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]					7.1	57.1	14.3	7.1			7.1	7.1					
	2010 (7)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]					57.1	42.9											
	2011 (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]						77.8	22.2				ll						
Kanamycin		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]										100.0							
	2003 (10)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]										100.0							
	2004 (14)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 23.2]										100.0				25.0			
	2005 (8)	0.0	25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]										75.0 94.7				25.0 5.3			
	2006 (19) 2007 (13)	0.0 0.0	5.3 0.0	[0.1 - 26.0] [0.0 - 24.7]										94.7 100.0				5.5			
	2007 (13) 2008 (24)	0.0	8.3	[1.0 - 27.0]										83.3				8.3			
	2000 (24)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]										85.7	0.0			14.3			
	2000 (14)	0.0	14.3	[0.4 - 57.9]										85.7				14.3			
	2011 (9)	0.0	11.1	[0.3 - 48.2]										88.9				11.1			
Streptomycin	()	N/A	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]										00.0		77.8		22.2			
	2002 (0) 2003 (10)	N/A	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]												60.0		40.0			
	2004 (14)	N/A	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]												85.7		14.3			
	2005 (8)	N/A	25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]												75.0	12.5	12.5			
	2006 (19)	N/A	10.5	[1.3 - 33.1]												89.2	5.3	5.3			
	2007 (13)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 24.7]												100.0					
	2008 (24)	N/A	20.8	[7.1 - 42.2]												79.2		20.8			
	2009 (14)	N/A	28.6	[8.4 - 58.1]												71.4		28.6			
	2010 (7)	N/A	42.9	[9.9 - 81.6]												57.1	14.3	28.6			
	2011 (9)	N/A	33.3	[7.5 - 70.1]												66.7	22.2	11.1			
β-Lactams/																					
β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor																					
Combinations																					
Amoxicillin-		0.0	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]								22.2					22.2				
Clavulanic Acid	. ,	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]										74			40.0				
	2004 (14)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]							71.4	7.1		7.1	25.0		14.3				
	2005 (8)	25.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]							75.0	E 2		F 0	25.0						
	2006 (19)	5.3	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]							84.2 92.3	5.3		5.3	5.3						
	2007 (13) 2008 (24)	0.0 4.2	0.0 8.3	[0.0 - 24.7] [1.0 - 27.0]								12.5			4.2		8.3				
	2008 (24) 2009 (14)	4.2 14.3	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]								21.4			14.3		14.3				
	2009 (14) 2010 (7)	0.0	28.6	[3.7 - 71.0]							71.4	21.7			14.5		28.6				
	2010 (7)	0.0	11.1	[0.3 - 48.2]							88.9					11.1	20.0				
Cephems	2011 (0)	0.0		[0.0 40.2]							00.5										
	2002 (9)	0.0	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]						44.4	33.3				22.2						
50110101	2002 (0) 2003 (10)			[12.2 - 73.8]				30.0	30.0						40.0						
				[1.8 - 42.8]						50.0	35.7				14.3						
	2005 (8)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]						37.5											
	2006 (19)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]							89.5										
	2007 (13)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 24.7]							61.5	7.7									
	2008 (24)	0.0	8.3	[1.0 - 27.0]							70.8	12.5			8.3						
	2009 (14)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]						14.3					14.3						
	2010 (7)	0.0	28.6	[3.7 - 71.0]							57.1	14.3			28.6						
	2011 (9)	0.0	11.1								88.9				11.1						
Ceftriaxone		0.0	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]					77.8						11.1	11.1					
	2003 (10)	0.0		[12.2 - 73.8]					60.0						30.0		10.0				
	2004 (14)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]					85.7							7.1	7.1				
	2005 (8)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]					100.0												
	2006 (19)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]					100.0												
	2007 (13)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 24.7]					100.0												
	2008 (24)	0.0	8.3	[1.0 - 27.0]					91.7					4.2		4.2	74				
	2009 (14)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]					85.7	14.0					44.0	7.1	7.1				
	2010 (7)	0.0	28.6	[3.7 - 71.0]					57.1	14.3				44.4	14.3	14.3					
	2011 (9)	0.0	11.1	[0.3 - 48.2]					88.9			l	20.0	11.1	44.4	22.2					
Cefoxitin		11.1		[2.8 - 60.0]									22.2	11.1	11.1						
	2003 (10)	0.0		[12.2 - 73.8]									20.0	21 4		40.0	14.2				
	2004 (14)	0.0		[1.8 - 42.8]									14.3				14.3				
	2005 (8)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]									37.5 47.4	12.5							
	2006 (19)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]									47.4 38.5								
	2007 (13)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 24.7]							12					4.2	4.2				
	2008 (24) 2009 (14)	0.0	8.3	[1.0 - 27.0]							4.2		45.8 14.3	1/2		4.2	4.2 14.3				
	2009 (14) 2010 (7)	0.0	14.3 28.6	[1.8 - 42.8] [3.7 - 71.0]									14.3 57.1	14.0			28.6				
	2010 (7) 2011 (9)	0.0 11.1	20.0 0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]							11 1		44.4		11.1		20.0				
	2011 (9)	11.1	0.0	[0.0 - 00.0]							11.1	55.5	77.4		11.1	1					

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.
³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

Table 12.3 MIC Distribution among	Salmonalla fra	m Ground Boof	2002 2011 continued
Table 12.5 Mile Distribution among	Samonena mo	Jili Ground Beel,	2002-2011 Continued

		18	able 1	2.3 MIC Dist	ributio	n amo	ng Sa	monell	a fron						4						
														ICs (µ	• ·						
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Folate Pathway	0000 (0)	N1/A		[0.0. 60.0]											22.2		44.4		- 1		
Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (9) 2003 (10)	N/A N/A	22.2 40.0	[2.8 - 60.0] [12.2 - 73.8]											22.2 20.0	44.4 30.0	11.1 10.0				22.2 40.0
Sulfisoxazole		N/A	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]											7.1	7.1	71.4			14.3	40.0
	2005 (8)	N/A	25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]											0.0	12.5	62.5			25.0	
	2006 (19)	N/A	10.5	[1.3 - 33.1]											5.3	21.1	57.9	5.3		10.5	
	2007 (13) 2008 (24)	N/A N/A	7.7 20.8	[0.2 - 36.0] [7.1 - 42.2]												38.5 20.8	30.8 54.2	7.7 4.2	15.4	7.7 20.8	
	2008 (24) 2009 (14)	N/A	35.7	[12.8 - 64.9]												7.1	57.1	4.2		35.7	
	2010 (7)	N/A	42.9	[9.9 - 81.6]												42.9				42.9	
	2011 (9)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]											22.2	55.6	11.1	11.1			
Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole		N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]				100.0 60.0	40.0												
Sullamethoxazole	2003 (10) 2004 (14)	N/A N/A	0.0 7.1	[0.0 - 30.8] [0.2 - 33.9]				92.9	40.0					7.1							
	2005 (8)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]				87.5	12.5												
	2006 (19)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 17.6]				94.7	5.3												
	2007 (13)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 24.7]				76.9	23.1	4.0											
	2008 (24) 2009 (14)	N/A N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 14.2] [0.0 - 23.2]				91.7 71.4	4.2 28.6	4.2											
	2003 (14)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 20.2]				100.0	20.0												
	2011 (9)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]				100.0													
Macrolides																1					
Azithromycin Penicillins	2011 (9)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]									100.0								
Ampicillin	2002 (9)	0.0	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]							33.3	33.3	11.1				22.2				
7 411 (1910)	2003 (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]							10.0						40.0				
	2004 (14)	0.0	21.4	[4.7 - 50.8]							78.6						21.4				
	2005 (8)	0.0	25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]							75.0	F 0					25.0				
	2006 (19) 2007 (13)	0.0 0.0	10.5 0.0	[1.3 - 33.1] [0.0 - 24.7]							84.2 76.9	5.3 23.1					10.5				
	2007 (13) 2008 (24)	0.0	12.5	[2.7 - 32.4]								16.7					12.5				
	2009 (14)	0.0	28.6	[8.4 - 58.1]							42.9	28.6					28.6				
	2010 (7)	0.0	28.6	[3.7 - 71.0]							57.1	14.3					28.6				
Phenicols	2011 (9)	0.0	11.1	[0.3 - 48.2]							88.9						11.1				
Chloramphenicol	2002 (9)	0.0	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]									11.1	66.7			22.2				
	2003 (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]									10.0	50.0			40.0				
	2004 (14)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]									7.1	78.6			14.3				
	2005 (8)	0.0	12.5	[0.3 - 52.7]									12.5	75.0	F 2		12.5				
	2006 (19) 2007 (13)	5.3 0.0	5.3 0.0	[0.1 - 26.0] [0.0 - 24.7]									10.5	78.9 100.0	5.3		5.3				
	2008 (24)	0.0	12.5	[2.7 - 32.4]									8.3	79.2			12.5				
	2009 (14)	0.0	21.4	[4.7 - 50.8]									7.1	71.4			21.4				
	2010 (7)	0.0	42.9	[9.9 - 81.6]										57.1			42.9				
Quinolones	2011 (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]									44.4	55.6							
Ciprofloxacin	2002 (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]	66.7	22.2	11.1														
	2003 (10)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]	70.0	30.0															
	2004 (14)		0.0	[0.0 - 23.2]	100.0																
	2005 (8) 2006 (19)	0.0	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 36.9] [0.0 - 17.6]	75.0 68.4	25.0 31.6															
	2008 (19) 2007 (13)	0.0 0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 17.0]	76.9	23.1															
	2008 (24)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.2]	95.8	4.2															
	2009 (14)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 23.2]	71.4	14.3			14.3												
	2010 (7)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]	85.7 77 9	14.3															
Nalidixic Acid	2011 (9) 2002 (9)	0.0 N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 33.6] [0.0 - 33.6]	77.8	22.2		[I		66.7	22.2	11 1						
	2002 (3) 2003 (10)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]								10.0	70.0	20.0							
	2004 (14)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 23.2]								7.1	92.9								
	2005 (8)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]								10 5	100.0								
	2006 (19) 2007 (13)	N/A N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 17.6] [0.0 - 24.7]								10.5 30.8									
	2007 (13) 2008 (24)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 24.7]								30.8 37.5									
	2009 (14)	N/A	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]								21.4	64.3				14.3				
	2010 (7)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 41.0]								28.6									
Tetracyclines	2011 (9)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]								55.6	44.4								
Tetracycline	2002 (9)	0.0	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]									77.8				22.2				
	2003 (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]									60.0				40.0				
	2004 (14)	0.0	14.3	[1.8 - 42.8]									85.7				14.3				
	2005 (8)	0.0	12.5	[0.3 - 52.7]									87.5			15 0	12.5				
	2006 (19) 2007 (13)	0.0 0.0	21.1 0.0	[6.1 - 45.6] [0.0 - 24.7]									78.9 100.0			15.8	5.3				
	2007 (13) 2008 (24)	0.0	20.8	[7.1 - 42.2]									79.2			4.2	16.7				
	2009 (14)	0.0	42.9	[17.7 - 71.1]									57.1				42.9				
	2010 (7)	0.0	42.9	[9.9 - 81.6]									57.1				42.9				
¹ Percent of isolates with in	2011 (9)	0.0		[13.7 - 78.8]									55.6			11.1	33.3				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

Table 12.4 MIC Distribution among	Salmonella	from Pork Chop 2002-2011
Tuble 12.4 Mile Distribution unlong	Gammonicina	

			Tabl	e 12.4 MIC	Distribu	ition a	mong	Salmo	nella f												
										Dist	ributio	on (%)) of MI	Cs (µ	g/ml)⁴						
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25		1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides	. ,	, ,,																			
Gentamicin		0.0	30.0	[6.7 - 65.2]					30.0	40.0						30.0					
	2003 (5)	20.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]					40.0	40.0				20.0							
	2004 (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]					63.6	36.4											
	2005 (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]					55.6	33.3		11.1		40.5							
	2006 (8)	12.5	50.0	[15.7 - 84.3]					12.5	25.0	167			12.5	25.0	25.0					
	2007 (18) 2008 (23)	0.0 0.0	5.6 13.0	[0.1 - 27.3] [2.8 - 33.6]					27.8 4.4	50.0 52.2	16.7 26.1	4.4			8.7	5.6 4.4					
	2000 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]					12.5	75.0	12.5	7.7			0.7						
	2010 (20)	0.0	10.0	[1.2 - 31.7]					30.0	55.0	5.0					10.0					
	2011 (28)	0.0	3.6	[0.1 - 18.3]					10.7	71.4	14.3					3.6					
Kanamycin		0.0	10.0	[0.3 - 44.5]											20.0			10.0			
	2003 (5)	20.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]										80.0		20.0					
	2004 (11)	0.0	9.1	[0.2 - 41.3]										81.8	9.1			9.1			
	2005 (9) 2006 (8)	0.0 0.0	0.0 25.0	[0.0 - 33.6] [3.2 - 65.1]										100.0 75.0				25.0			
	2000 (0) 2007 (18)	0.0	5.6	[0.1 - 27.3]										94.4				5.6			
	2008 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]										100.0				•.•			
	2009 (8)	0.0	12.5	[0.3 - 52.7]										87.5				12.5			
	2010 (20)	0.0	10.0	[1.2 - 31.7]										90.0				10.0			
	2011 (28)	0.0	7.1	[0.9 - 23.5]										92.9				7.1			
Streptomycin		N/A	70.0	[34.8 - 93.3]												30.0	10.0	60.0			
	2003 (5)	N/A	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]												60.0	20.0	20.0			
	2004 (11)	N/A	27.3	[6.0 - 61.0] [7 5 - 70 1]												72.7 66.7	22.2	27.3			
	2005 (9) 2006 (8)	N/A N/A	33.3 25.0	[7.5 - 70.1] [3.2 - 65.1]												66.7 75.0	<i></i>	11.1 25.0			
	2006 (8) 2007 (18)	N/A N/A	25.0 16.7	[3.2 - 65.1] [3.6 - 41.4]												75.0 83.3	11.1	25.0 5.6			
	2008 (23)	N/A	13.0	[2.8 - 33.6]												87.0	8.7	4.4			
	2009 (8)	N/A	37.5	[8.5 - 75.5]												62.5	-	37.5			
	2010 (20)	N/A	45.0	[23.1 - 68.5]												55.0	15.0	30.0			
	2011 (28)	N/A	57.1	[37.2 - 75.5]												42.9	17.9	39.3			
β-Lactams/																					
β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor Combinations																					
Combinations Amoxicillin-	2002 (10)	20.0	20.0	[2.5 - 55.6]							60.0				20.0		20.0				
Clavulanic Acid			20.0	[0.5 - 71.6]							40.0	20.0			20.0		20.0				
	2004 (11)	18.2		[0.0 - 28.5]							72.7				18.2						
	2005 (9)	22.2	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]								11.1			22.2						
	2006 (8)	25.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]								25.0			25.0						
	2007 (18)	5.6	0.0	[0.0 - 18.5]								27.8			5.6						
	2008 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]							82.6	4.4		13.0	40 5	40 -	40.5				
	2009 (8)	12.5	25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]							62.5	E 0	E 0	E 0	12.5	12.5	12.5				
	2010 (20)	5.0 28.6	0.0	[0.0 - 16.8]							80.0 30 3	5.0 14 3	5.0	5.0 14 3	5.0		3.6				
Cephems	2011 (28)	20.0	3.6	[0.1 - 18.3]							59.5	14.3		14.3	28.6		3.6				
	2002 (10)	0.0	20.0	[2.5 - 55.6]						50.0	30.0				20.0						
	2003 (5)	0.0	20.0	[0.5 - 71.6]						60.0		20.0			20.0						
	2004 (11)		0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]						72.7	27.3										
	2005 (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]							66.7										
	2006 (8)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]								37.5									
	2007 (18)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 18.5]						5.6		27.8									
	2008 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]						13.0					25.0						
	2009 (8) 2010 (20)	0.0 0.0	25.0 0.0	[3.2 - 65.1] [0.0 - 16.8]						10.0	75.0 80.0	10.0			25.0						
	2010 (20) 2011 (28)	0.0	0.0 7.1	[0.0 - 10.6]							67.9			3.6	3.6						
Ceftriaxone		0.0	20.0	[2.5 - 55.6]					80.0		51.0			0.0	20.0						
	2003 (5)	0.0	20.0	[0.5 - 71.6]					80.0							20.0					
	2004 (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]					100.0												
	2005 (9)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6]					100.0												
	2006 (8)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]					100.0	. .											
	2007 (18)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 18.5]					94.4	5.6											
	2008 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]					100.0							25.0					
	2009 (8) 2010 (20)	0.0 0.0	25.0 0.0	[3.2 - 65.1] [0.0 - 16.8]					75.0 100.0							25.0					
	2010 (20) 2011 (28)	0.0 3.6	0.0 7.1	[0.9 - 23.5]					89.3			3.6		3.6		3.6					
Cefoxitin	2002 (10)	0.0	20.0	[2.5 - 55.6]					00.0				40.0	0.0		20.0					
Selexitin	2002 (10)	0.0	20.0	[0.5 - 71.6]									20.0	40.0		20.0					
	2004 (11)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 28.5]									18.2								
	2005 (9)	11.1		[0.0 - 33.6]							11.1	22.2			11.1						
	2006 (8)		0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]									12.5		25.0						
	2007 (18)			[0.0 - 18.5]									50.0		27.8						
	2008 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]									60.9			40 -	40.5				
	2009 (8)		25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]							50	12.5 40.0	62.5 55.0			12.5	12.5				
	2010 (20) 2011 (28)	0.0 3.6	0.0 10 7	[0.0 - 16.8] [2.3 - 28.2]								40.0 53.6			3.6	7.1	3.6				
Percent of isolates with int											5.0	55.0	20.0		0.0	1.1	0.0				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

Table 12.4 MIC Distribution among	ı Salmonella fro	om Pork Chop.	2002-2011 continued

			able	12.4 MIC Dis	tributio	on anno	Jing Sa	aimone	lia troi			-				1					
			•									• • •) of MI				• •				
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Folate Pathway	0000 (40)	N1/A		[24.0 02.2]											10.0		20.0		- 1		70.0
Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (10) 2003 (5)	N/A N/A	70.0 40.0	[34.8 - 93.3] [5.3 - 85.3]											10.0 20.0	40.0	20.0				70.0 40.0
Sulfisoxazole		N/A	18.2	[2.3 - 51.8]											20.0	9.1	72.7			18.2	40.0
	2005 (9)	N/A	33.3	[7.5 - 70.1]											11.1	22.2	33.3			33.3	
	2006 (8)	N/A	75.0	[34.9 - 96.8]													12.5			75.0	
	2007 (18) 2008 (23)	N/A N/A	16.7 30.4	[3.6 - 41.4] [13.2 - 52.9]												11.1 8.7	33.3 60.9	38.9		16.7 30.4	
	2008 (23) 2009 (8)	N/A	37.5	[13.2 - 32.9] [8.5 - 75.5]													50.0			37.5	
	2010 (20)	N/A	50.0	[27.2 - 72.8]											10.0	15.0				50.0	
-	2011 (28)	N/A	25.0	[10.7 - 44.9]								- 1			42.9	10.7	17.9	3.6		25.0	
Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole		N/A	20.0	[2.5 - 55.6]				70.0 60.0	10.0 40.0					20.0							
Sullamethoxazole	2003 (5) 2004 (11)	N/A N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 52.2] [0.0 - 28.5]				100.0	40.0												
	2005 (9)	N/A	11.1	[0.3 - 48.2]				77.8	11.1					11.1							
	2006 (8)	N/A	50.0	[15.7 - 84.3]				37.5	12.5				50.0								
	2007 (18)	N/A	5.6	[0.1 - 27.3]				88.9	5.6	4.4				5.6							
	2008 (23) 2009 (8)	N/A N/A	0.0 25.0	[0.0 - 14.8] [3.2 - 65.1]				91.3 75.0	4.4	4.4				25.0							
	2010 (20)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 16.8]				95.0	5.0												
	2011 (28)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 12.3]				92.9	7.1												
Macrolides	0014 (00)	N1/A	• •	10.0 40.01								04.4	F7 4	04.4		1					
Azithromycin Penicillins	2011 (28)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 12.3]								21.4	57.1	21.4		I					
	2002 (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]							50.0	10.0				1	40.0				
	2003 (5)	0.0	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]							40.0						40.0				
	2004 (11)	0.0	9.1	[0.2 - 41.3]							81.8		9.1				9.1				
	2005 (9)	0.0	22.2	[2.8 - 60.0]							66.7 50.0		11.1 25.0				22.2 25.0				
	2006 (8) 2007 (18)	0.0 0.0	25.0 5.6	[3.2 - 65.1] [0.1 - 27.3]							44.4	22.2					25.0 5.6				
	2008 (23)	0.0	13.0	[2.8 - 33.6]							82.6	4.4	27.0				13.0				
	2009 (8)	0.0	37.5	[8.5 - 75.5]							62.5						37.5				
	2010 (20)	0.0	15.0	[3.2 - 37.9]							75.0						15.0				
Phenicols	2011 (28)	0.0	46.4	[27.5 - 66.1]							35.7	10.7	7.1				46.4				
Chloramphenicol	2002 (10)	0.0	40.0	[12.2 - 73.8]									30.0	30.0			40.0				
	2003 (5)	0.0	40.0	[5.3 - 85.3]										60.0			40.0				
	2004 (11)	0.0	18.2	[2.3 - 51.8]										81.8			18.2				
	2005 (9) 2006 (8)	11.1 37.5	22.2 37.5	[2.8 - 60.0] [8.5 - 75.5]								11.1	22.2	33.3 62.5	11.1 37.5		22.2				
	2000 (8) 2007 (18)	33.3	0.0	[0.0 - 18.5]									5.6	61.1	33.3						
	2008 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]										100.0							
	2009 (8)	12.5	12.5	[0.3 - 52.7]										75.0	12.5		12.5				
	2010 (20)	0.0	15.0 17.9	[3.2 - 37.9]									30.0 39.3	55.0	3.6	10.0	5.0 10.7				
Quinolones	2011 (28)	3.6	17.9	[6.1 - 36.9]									39.5	39.3	3.0	7.1	10.7				
Ciprofloxacin	2002 (10)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]	80.0	20.0		1													
	2003 (5)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]	60.0	20.0	20.0														
	2004 (11) 2005 (9)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 28.5] [0.0 - 33.6]	100.0 77.8	22.2															
	2005 (9) 2006 (8)	0.0 0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 35.0]	62.5	12.5	25.0														
	2007 (18)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 18.5]	66.7	5.6	27.8														
	2008 (23)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]	82.6	13.0	4.4														
	2009 (8) 2010 (20)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 36.9] [0.0 - 16.8]	62.5 95.0	37.5 5.0															
	2010 (20) 2011 (28)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 10.8]	95.0 78.6	5.0 21.4															
Nalidixic Acid		N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 30.8]				•						40.0							
	2003 (5)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 52.2]									80.0		20.0						
	2004 (11) 2005 (9)	N/A N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 28.5] [0.0 - 33.6]								11 1	100.0 77.8	11 1							
	2005 (9) 2006 (8)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 33.6] [0.0 - 36.9]								11.1	77.8 75.0	25.0							
	2007 (18)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 18.5]								22.2	44.4	27.8	5.6						
	2008 (23)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 14.8]								21.7	73.9	4.4							
	2009 (8)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 36.9]								15.0	87.5 55.0	12.5							
	2010 (20) 2011 (28)	N/A N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 16.8] [0.0 - 12.3]									55.0 50.0	7.1							
Tetracyclines				[
Tetracycline		0.0	70.0	[34.8 - 93.3]									30.0			10.0					
	2003 (5)	0.0	80.0	[28.4 - 99.5]									20.0			40.0	80.0				
	2004 (11) 2005 (9)	0.0 0.0		[23.4 - 83.3] [21.2 - 86.3]									45.5 44.4			18.2 11.1	36.4 44.4				
	2005 (9) 2006 (8)	0.0	55.6 25.0	[3.2 - 65.1]									75.0				44.4 25.0				
	2007 (18)	0.0		[26.0 - 74.0]									50.0			5.6	44.4				
	2008 (23)	0.0		[16.4 - 57.3]									65.2			4.5 -	34.8				
	2009 (8)	0.0	37.5	[8.5 - 75.5]									62.5			12.5					
	2010 (20) 2011 (28)	0.0 0.0		[23.1 - 68.5] [21.5 - 59.4]									55.0 60.7			5.0 3.6	40.0 35.7				
¹ Percent of isolates with in									-				00.7	L	u	0.0	00.7				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

| (# of loolotoo) | | | | | | | | | DISU

 | ibutio
 | л (<i>/</i> о) | | 22 (hi | g/ml) ⁴
 | | |
 | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---
--
--
--
--|--|--|--
--|--
---|---|--|--|---|
| (# of Isolates) | %l ¹ | %R ² | [95% CI] ³ | 0.015 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.50

 | 1
 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16
 | 32 | 64 | 128
 | 256 | 512 | 102 |
| | | | | | | | | |

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 |
 | | | |
 | | | _
 | | | |
| m Retail Chicken (54) | 1.9 | 0.0 | [0.0 - 6.6] | | | | | |

 | 1.9
 | 9.3 | 37.0 | | | | | | | |
 | | 1.9 |
 | | | |
| Ground Turkey (36) | 2.8 | 11.1 | [3.1 - 26.1] | | | | | |

 |
 | 13.9 | 27.8 | 36.1 | 8.3
 | | 2.8 | 11.1
 | | | |
| Ground Beef (1) | 0.0 | 0.0 | [0.0 - 97.5] | | | | | |

 |
 | | 100.0 | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| Pork Chop (2) | 0.0 | 0.0 | [0.0 - 84.2] | | | | | |

 |
 | | | 50 | 50.0
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 |
 | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| m Retail Chicken (54) | 1.9 | 0.0 | [0.0 - 6.6] | | | | 1.9 | 59.3 | 37.0

 |
 | 1.9 | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| Ground Turkey (36) | 0.0 | 0.0 | [0.0 - 9.7] | | | | 2.8 | 77.8 | 19.4

 |
 | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| Ground Beef (1) | 0.0 | 0.0 | [0.0 - 97.5] | | | | | | 100.0

 |
 | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| Pork Chop (2) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | 50.0 | 50.0

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 |
 | • • | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| e Retail Chicken (54) | 0.0 | 0.0 | [0.0 - 100.0] | | | 1.9 | 5.6 | 37.0 | 42.6

 | 9.3
 | 3.7 | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | 8.3 | 8.3 | 27.8 |

 |
 | 2.8 | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | | 100.0

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 | 100.0
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| · •··· •··•p (=) | | | [] | | | | | |

 |
 | | | | I I
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| e Retail Chicken (54) | 1.9 | 96.3 | [87.3 - 99.5] | | | | 1.9 | |

 |
 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 9.2 | 59.3
 | 22.2 | 1.9 | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | 5.6 | | |

 |
 | | 2.8 | 11.1 | 38.9
 | 27.8 | 5.6 | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| | 0.0 | | | | | | | |

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | 100.0 | |
 | | | |
| · •··· •··•p (=) | | | [] | | | | | |

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| e Retail Chicken (54) | 5.6 | 90.7 | [79.7 - 96.9] | | | | | 1.9 |

 |
 | | 1.9 | 5.6 | 20.4
 | 64.8 | 5.5 |
 | | | |
| · · · · | | | | | | | | | 56

 |
 | | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | • | |
 | | | |
| · · · | | | | | | | | |

 |
 | | | 100.0 | | | | | | |
 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
 | | | |
| | 0.0 | 100.0 | [10.0 100.0] | | | | | |

 |
 | | I | | 1
 | 00.0 | 00.0 | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| m Retail Chicken (54) | 55.6 | 20.4 | [10 6 - 81 3] | | | | 19 | |

 |
 | 74 | 14.8 | 55.6 | 20.4
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| . , | | | | | | 11 1 | | |

 |
 | | | | | | | | | |
 | 2.8 | |
 | | | |
| , | | | | | | | 2.0 | |

 |
 | | 10.0 | - TT . T | 10.4
 | 2.0 | | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

 |
 | 100.0 | | | 100.0
 | | |
 | | | |
| n | Ground Beef (1)
Pork Chop (2)
Retail Chicken (54)
Ground Turkey (36)
Ground Beef (1) | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 Retail Chicken (54) 5.6 Ground Turkey (36) 5.6 Ground Beef (1) 100.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 Im Retail Chicken (54) 55.6 Ground Turkey (36) 44.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 me Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 0.0 me Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 96.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 0.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 0.0 Ground Turkey (36) 5.6 80.6 0.0 Ground Beef (1) 100.0 0.0 0.0 | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 6.6] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Bround Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 [70.5 - 95.3] Ground Turkey (36) 5.6 90.7 [79.7 - 96.9] Gr | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 $[3.1 - 26.1]$ Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 84.2]$ mRetail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 $[0.0 - 6.6]$ Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 9.7]$ Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 9.7]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Ground Turkey (36) 5.6 90.7 $[79.7 - 96.9]$ Ground Turkey (36) 5.6 80.6 $[64.0 - 91.8]$ Ground Beef (1) 100.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 $[15.8 - 100.0]$ ImRetail Chicken (54) 55.6 20.4 $[10.6 - 81.3]$ Ground Turkey (36) 44.4 22.2 $[10.1 - 72.0]$ Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 < | Ground Turkey (36)2.811.1 $[3.1 - 26.1]$ Ground Beef (1)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 84.2]$ ImRetail Chicken (54)1.90.0 $[0.0 - 9.7]$ Ground Turkey (36)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Ground Turkey (36)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Ground Turkey (36)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Ground Beef (1)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2)0.0100.0 $[2.5 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2)0.0100.0 $[15.8 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2)0.0100.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2)0.0100.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2)0.0100.0 $[15.8 - 100.0]$ ImRetail Chicken (54)55.620.4 $[10.6 - 81.3]$ Ground Turkey (36)44.422.2 $[10.1 - 72.0]$ Ground Beef (1)0.00.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 $[3.1 - 26.1]$ Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 6.6]$ Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 9.7]$ Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 $[0.0 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 $[2.5 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 $[5.8 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 $[1.5.8 - 100.0]$ Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 $[0.0 - 97.5]$ Po | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Ine Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 [70.5 - 95.3] 5.6 8.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 [70.5 - 95.3] 5.6 8.3 Ground Turkey (36) 5.6 80.6 [64.0 - 91.8] 9.6 8.3 Ground Turkey (36) 5. | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 9.7] 2.8 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 ne Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 [70.5 - 95.3] 5.6 8.3 1.9 Ground Turkey (36) 5.6 80.6 [64.0 - 91.8] <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 1.9 5.6 8.3 5.6 8.3 5.6 8.3<td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 3.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 1.9 5.</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] 13.9 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 13.9 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 9.7] 2.8 77.8 19.4
 100.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 50.0 50.0 50.0 100.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 3.7 41.7 11.1 2.8 3.7 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [2.5 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [5.6 8.3 5.6</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 100.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 [70.5 - 95.3] 1.9 5.6 8.3 5.6 1.9 2.8 11.1 100.0 <!--</td--><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 2.8 77.8 19.4 1.9 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 71.1 2.8 11.1 3.7 9.2 59.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8 38.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] mm Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 1.9 5.8 37.0 1.9 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.2 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 2</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (j.1-26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 1.9 5.6 50.0<!--</td--><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 1.9 50.0 50.0 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 1.9 50.0</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 64.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 84.2) Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 (0.0 - 87.5) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) (0.0 - 87.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 80.6 [64.0 - 91.8] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 100.0 [25 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [15.8 - 100.0]</td></td></td></td> | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3
27.8 41.7 ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 1.9 5.6 8.3 5.6 8.3 5.6 8.3 <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 3.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 1.9 5.</td> <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] 13.9 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 13.9 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 9.7] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 50.0 50.0 50.0 100.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 3.7 41.7 11.1 2.8 3.7 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8</td> <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [2.5 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [5.6 8.3 5.6</td> <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 100.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 [70.5 - 95.3] 1.9 5.6 8.3 5.6 1.9 2.8 11.1 100.0 <!--</td--><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 2.8 77.8 19.4 1.9 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 71.1 2.8 11.1 3.7 9.2 59.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8 38.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] mm Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 1.9 5.8 37.0 1.9 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.2 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 2</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (j.1-26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 1.9 5.6 50.0<!--</td--><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 1.9 50.0 50.0 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 1.9 50.0</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 64.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 84.2) Im
Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 (0.0 - 87.5) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) (0.0 - 87.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 80.6 [64.0 - 91.8] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 100.0 [25 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [15.8 - 100.0]</td></td></td> | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 3.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 1.9 5. | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] 13.9 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 13.9 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 9.7] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 100.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 50.0 50.0 50.0 100.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 3.7 41.7 11.1 2.8 3.7 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [2.5 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [5.6 8.3 5.6 | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 100.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 [70.5 - 95.3] 1.9 5.6 8.3 5.6 1.9 2.8 11.1 100.0 </td <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 2.8 77.8 19.4 1.9 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 71.1 2.8 11.1 3.7 9.2 59.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8 38.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8</td> <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] mm Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 1.9 5.8 37.0 1.9 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.2 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 2</td> <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (j.1-26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 1.9 5.6 50.0<!--</td--><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 1.9 50.0 50.0 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 1.9 50.0</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 64.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0
 50.0 50.0</td><td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 84.2) Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 (0.0 - 87.5) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) (0.0 - 87.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 80.6 [64.0 - 91.8] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 100.0 [25 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [15.8 - 100.0]</td></td> | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 2.8 77.8 19.4 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 2.8 77.8 19.4 1.9 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 8.3 8.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 71.1 2.8 11.1 3.7 9.2 59.3 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8 38.3 27.8 41.7 11.1 2.8 11.1 3.8 | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 [3.1 - 26.1] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] mm Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 1.9 5.8 37.0 1.9 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 2.2 2.8 11.1 3.8.9 27.8 2 | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (j.1-26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 84.2] Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 Retail Chicken (54) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 100.0] 1.9 5.6 37.0 42.6 9.3 3.7 1.9 5.6 50.0 </td <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 1.9 50.0 50.0 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 1.9 50.0</td> <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 64.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0</td> <td>Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 84.2) Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 (0.0 - 87.5) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) (0.0 - 87.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 80.6 [64.0 - 91.8] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 100.0 [25 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [15.8 - 100.0]</td> | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 1.9 50.0 50.0 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50.0 50.0 1.9 50.0 | Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) 13.9 27.8 36.1 8.3 2.8 11.1 Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 [0.0 - 97.5] 100.0 50 50.0 50.0 11.1 m Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 [0.0 - 64.2] 1.9 59.3 37.0 1.9 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 50 50.0 |
Ground Turkey (36) 2.8 11.1 (3.1 - 26.1) Ground Beef (1) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 84.2) Im Retail Chicken (54) 1.9 0.0 (0.0 - 87.5) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) (0.0 - 87.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 97.5) Pork Chop (2) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 0.0 (0.0 - 100.0) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 86.1 (70.5 - 95.3) Ground Turkey (36) 0.0 80.6 [64.0 - 91.8] Ground Beef (1) 0.0 100.0 [25 - 100.0] Pork Chop (2) 0.0 100.0 [15.8 - 100.0] |

Table 13. Other Resistance among all Ceftiofur/Ceftriaxone Resistant Salmonella (Surveillance for ESBL-producing isolates), 2011

¹ Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution %'s, to the right of the double vertical bars, are due to rounding

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁴ The unshaded areas indicate the range of dilutions tested for each antimicrobial. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded areas indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest tested concentrations. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration.

	Species	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	C. jejuni	202	330	517	414	439	356	339	413	360	406
Per Year	C. coli	95	147	204	160	157	162	200	192	155	228
	C. lari	0	2	0	2	3	0	2	0	3	0
	Total (A)	297	479	721	576	599	518	541	605	518	634
Meat Type ²	Species ³										
	C. jejuni	98.0% 198	98.5% 325	98.6% 510	97.3% 403	97.0% 426	93.3% 332	97.1% 329	97.8% 404	98.6% 355	96.8% 393
Retail Chicken	C. coli	94.7% 90	96.6% 142	96.1% 196	94.4% 151	92.4% 145	88.3% 143	90.5% 181	91.7% 176	95.5% 148	92.1% 210
Retail Chicken	C. lari		100.0% 2			33.3% 1				66.7% 2	
	Total (N)⁴	97.0% 288	97.9% 469	97.9% 706	96.2% 554	95.5% 572	91.7% 475	94.3% 510	95.9% 580	97.5% 505	95.1% 603
	C. jejuni	1.0% 2	1.2% 4	1.4% 7	2.4% 10	2.7% 12	5.6% 20	3.0% 10	2.2% 9	1.4% 5	3.2% 13
Ground Turkov	C. coli	2.1% 2	0.7% 1	2.5% 5	5.6% 9	6.4% 10	8.6% 14	9.5% 19	8.3% 16	4.5% 7	7.9% 18
Ground Turkey	C. lari				50.0% 1	66.7% 2		100.0% 2		33.3% 1	
	Total (N)	1.3% 4	1.0% 5	1.7% 12	3.5% 20	4.0% 24	6.6% 34	5.7% 31	4.1% 25	2.5% 13	4.9% 31

Table 14. *Campylobacter* Species by Meat Type, 2002-2011¹

¹ Grey areas indicate no isolates were identified for this species per meat type.

² Ground beef and pork chop are no longer tested for *Campylobacter* due to low recovery from 2002-2007. Data for these years are available in the 2007 Retail Meat Report.

³ Where % = Number of isolates per species per meat type (n) / total # of isolates per species (a).

⁴ Where % in Total (N) = total # of isolates in meat type for any given year (N) / total # of isolates in that year (A).

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Month	n (%¹)	n (%)								
January	13 (6.6)	26 (8.0)	42 (8.2)	30 (7.4)	32 (7.5)	29 (8.7)	24 (7.3)	38 (9.4)	31 (8.7)	35 (8.9)
February	25 (12.6)	26 (8.0)	40 (7.8)	44 (10.9)	42 (9.9)	24 (7.2)	31 (9.4)	30 (7.4)	31 (8.7)	30 (7.6)
March	23 (11.6)	21 (6.5)	32 (6.3)	37 (9.2)	49 (11.5)	32 (9.6)	21 (6.4)	31 (7.7)	21 (5.9)	36 (9.2)
April	16 (8.1)	15 (4.6)	27 (5.3)	31 (7.7)	20 (4.7)	25 (7.5)	39 (11.9)	28 (6.9)	26 (7.3)	32 (8.1)
Мау	15 (7.6)	29 (8.9)	41 (8.0)	37 (9.2)	30 (7.0)	18 (5.4)	16 (4.9)	23 (5.7)	37 (10.4)	28 (7.1)
June	7 (3.5)	30 (9.2)	49 (9.6)	28 (6.9)	45 (10.6)	26 (7.8)	22 (6.7)	43 (10.7)	20 (5.6)	27 (6.9)
July	17 (8.6)	29 (8.9)	51 (10.0)	36 (8.9)	36 (8.5)	32 (9.6)	37 (11.3)	32 (7.9)	36 (10.1)	36 (9.2)
August	24 (12.1)	24 (7.4)	45 (8.8)	41 (10.2)	35 (8.2)	33 (9.9)	26 (7.9)	36 (8.9)	28 (7.9)	23 (5.9)
September	19 (9.6)	30 (9.2)	52 (10.2)	28 (6.9)	44 (10.3)	17 (5.1)	21 (6.4)	29 (7.2)	24 (6.8)	29 (7.4)
October	11 (5.6)	39 (12.0)	55 (10.8)	28 (6.9)	32 (7.5)	35 (10.5)	32 (9.7)	32 (7.9)	26 (7.3)	32 (8.1)
November	19 (9.6)	22 (6.8)	33 (6.5)	31 (7.7)	29 (6.8)	35 (10.5)	34 (10.3)	38 (9.4)	28 (7.9)	44 (11.2)
December	9 (4.5)	34 (10.5)	43 (8.4)	32 (7.9)	32 (7.5)	26 (7.8)	26 (7.9)	44 (10.9)	47 (13.2)	41 (10.4)
Total N (%) ²	198 (100)	325 (100)	510 (100)	403 (100)	426 (100)	332 (100)	329 (100)	404 (100)	355 (100)	393 (100)

Table 15.1 Campylobacter jejuni Isolates from Retail Chicken by Month for All Sites, 2002-2011

Table 15.2 Campylobacter coli Isolates from Retail Chicken by Month for All Sites, 2002-2011

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Month	n (%)									
January	5 (5.6)	4 (2.8)	18 (9.2)	15 (9.9)	7 (4.8)	5 (3.5)	14 (7.7)	12 (6.8)	16 (10.8)	18 (8.6)
February	4 (4.4)	5 (3.5)	19 (9.7)	16 (10.6)	8 (5.5)	10 (7.0)	12 (6.6)	13 (7.4)	13 (8.8)	15 (7.1)
March	6 (6.7)	6 (4.2)	15 (7.7)	9 (6.0)	10 (6.9)	10 (7.0)	29 (16.0)	17 (9.7)	10 (6.8)	12 (5.7)
April	6 (6.7)	15 (10.6)	8 (4.1)	11 (7.3)	11 (7.6)	12 (8.4)	11 (6.1)	17 (9.7)	8 (5.4)	9 (4.3)
Мау	11 (12.2)	11 (7.7)	10 (5.1)	10 (6.6)	12 (8.3)	14 (9.8)	9 (5.0)	19 (10.8)	13 (8.8)	21 (10.0)
June	17 (18.9)	11 (7.7)	10 (5.1)	17 (11.3)	12 (8.3)	10 (7.0)	13 (7.2)	12 (6.8)	12 (8.1)	19 (9.0)
July ³		24 (16.9)	16 (8.2)	15 (9.9)	16 (11.0)	14 (9.8)	14 (7.7)	17 (9.7)	12 (8.1)	21 (10.0)
August	7 (7.8)	5 (3.5)	17 (8.7)	6 (4.0)	7 (4.8)	11 (7.7)	16 (8.8)	19 (10.8)	20 (13.5)	23 (11.0)
September	8 (8.9)	20 (14.1)	20 (10.2)	7 (4.6)	14 (9.7)	10 (7.0)	16 (8.8)	16 (9.1)	12 (8.1)	18 (8.6)
October	10 (11.1)	19 (13.4)	18 (9.2)	19 (12.6)	14 (9.7)	16 (11.2)	18 (9.9)	12 (6.8)	12 (8.1)	21 (10.0)
November	2 (2.2)	4 (2.8)	25 (12.8)	11 (7.3)	23 (15.9)	14 (9.8)	10 (5.5)	11 (6.2)	12 (8.1)	18 (8.6)
December	14 (15.6)	18 (12.7)	20 (10.2)	15 (9.9)	11 (7.6)	17 (11.9)	19 (10.5)	11 (6.2)	8 (5.4)	15 (7.1)
Total N (%)	90 (100)	142 (100)	196 (100)	151 (100)	145 (100)	143 (100)	181 (100)	176 (100)	148 (100)	210 (100)

 1 Where % = # of isolates that month (n) / total # of isolates that year (N).

 2 Where % in Total N = the total % of isolates from January to December.

³ Grey area indicates that no isolates were identified in that month.

Table 16. Antimicrobial Resistance among Campylobacter Species by Meat Type, 2002-2011

		Tuble Tel	Antimicrobial Resis								
Meat			Aminoglycosides				olides	Phenicols			Tetracyclines
Type ²	Species	Year (N)	GEN	TEL	CLI	AZI	ERY	FFN	CIP	NAL	TET ³
	C. jejuni	2002 (198)	-				-		15.2%		38.4%
		2003 (325)	0.3%				-		14.5%		40.6%
		2004 (510)	-	0.4%	0.4%	0.8%	0.8%	-	15.1%	15.1%	50.2%
		2005 (403)	-	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	-	15.1%	14.9%	46.4%
		2006 (426)	-	0.7%	0.7%	0.9%	0.9%	-	16.7%	16.7%	47.2%
		2007 (332)	-	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	-	17.2%	17.2%	48.5%
		2008 (329)	-	0.3%	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%	-	14.6%	14.6%	49.8%
		2009 (404)	-	0.2%	0.5%	1.0%	1.0%	-	21.3%	21.3%	45.8%
		2010 (355)	-	0.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	-	22.5%	22.8%	36.3%
		2011 (393)	-	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	-	22.4%	20.9%	48.3%
		Total (3675)	< 0.1	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.7%	-	17.6%	15.3%	40.1%
	C. coli	2002 (90)					7.8%		10.0%		44.4%
Retail		2003 (142)	-				7.0%		13.4%		50.7%
Chicken		2004 (196)	-	8.2%	7.1%	9.2%	9.2%	-	16.3%	16.3%	46.4%
Onicken		2005 (151)		7.9%	8.6%	9.9%	9.9%	-	29.1%	29.1%	42.4%
		2006 (145)		4.8%	4.8%	5.5%	5.5%	-	22.1%	20.7%	46.9%
		2007 (143)		7.0%	4.9%	6.3%	6.3%	-	25.9%	25.9%	39.9%
		2008 (181)	1.7%	7.7%	5.0%	9.9%	9.9%	-	20.4%	20.4%	46.4%
		2009 (176)	5.7%	4.5%	3.4%	4.5%	4.5%	-	18.2%	18.2%	38.1%
		2010 (148)	12.8%	4.1%	1.4%	4.1%	4.1%	-	13.5%	13.5%	39.2%
		2011 (210)		3.8%	1.0%	4.3%	4.8%	-	18.1%	17.1%	49.0%
		Total (1582)		5.1%	3.8%	5.8%	6.9%	-	19.0%	16.9%	37.1%
	C. lari	2003 (2)					-		100.0%		-
		2006 (1)		-	-	-	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-
		2010 (2)		-	-	-	-	-		100.0%	-
		Total (5)		-	-	-	-	-	100.0%	60.0%	-
	Total (N=		1.4%	1.8%	1.5%	2.2%	2.5%	-	18.1%	15.8%	45.3%
	C. jejuni	2002 (2)					-		50.0%		100.0%
		2003 (4)					-		-		75.0%
		2004 (7)		-	-	-	-	-	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%
		2005 (10)		-	-	-	-	-	10.0%	10.0%	70.0%
		2006 (12)		-	-	-	-	-	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%
		2007 (20)		5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	-	30.0%	30.0%	90.0%
		2008 (10)		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	-	60.0%	60.0%	100.0%
		2009 (9)		-	-	-	-	-	44.4%	44.4%	100.0%
		2010 (5)		-	-	-	-	-	40.0%	40.0%	80.0%
		2011 (13)		-	-	-	-	-	46.2%		92.3%
	0	Total (92)		2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	-	<u>37.0%</u>	35.9%	78.3%
	C. coli	2002 (2) 2003 (1)					-		50.0%		50.0% 100.0%
Cround						-	-		100.0%		
Ground Turkey		2004 (5) 2005 (9)		- 22.2%	-	- 22.2%	- 22.2%	-	- 55.6%	- 55.6%	- 88.9%
Turkey		2005 (9) 2006 (10)		ZZ.Z%	-	ZZ.Z%	22.270	-	55.6% 30.0%	55.6% 30.0%	88.9% 80.0%
		2008 (10) 2007 (14)		-	-	-	-	-	50.0%	50.0%	64.3%
		2007 (14) 2008 (19)		- 5.3%	-	- 5.3%	- 5.3%	-	47.4%	47.4%	94.7%
		2009 (16)		-	_	-	-	_	43.8%	43.8%	75.0%
		2003 (10) 2010 (7)		_	-	14.3%	14.3%	-	43.0 <i>%</i> 57.1%	43.0 <i>%</i> 57.1%	100.0%
		2010 (7)		5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	-	50.0%	50.0%	77.8%
		Total (101)		4.0%	1.0%	5.0%	5.0%	_	45.5%	43.6%	75.3%
	C. lari	2005 (1)		-	-	-	-	-		100.0%	-
	<i>c.</i> iun	2006 (1)		_	-	_	_	-	100.0%		_
		2008 (2)		-	-	_	_	-	100.0%		-
		2010 (1)		-	-	_	_	-		100.0%	-
		Total (6)		_	-	-	-	-			-
	Total (N=		-	3.0%	1.5%	3.5%	3.5%	_	43.2%	41.7%	77.9%
	otal (N= 54		1.3%	1.9%	1.5%	2.2%	2.6%	-	19.0%	16.8%	46.5%
		•••	1.070	1.070	1.070	2.270	2.0/0		10.070	10.070	10.070

¹ Dashes indicate 0% resistance and gray areas indicate antimicrobial not included in the testing year. Totals for these antimicrobials exclude years when they were not tested. ² Ground beef and pork chop are no longer tested for *Campylobacter* due to low recovery from 2002-2007 (grand total excludes these). ³ Results for 2002 and 2003 are for Doxycycline.

			Aminoglycosides	Ketolides	Lincosamides	Macro	olides	Phenicols	Quine	olones	Tetracyclines ²
Species	Year (N)		GEN (MIC ≥ 8)	TEL (MIC ≥ 16)	CLI (MIC ≥ 8)	AZI (MIC ≥ 8)	ERY (MIC ≥ 32)	FFN ³ (MIC > 4)	CIP (MIC ≥ 4)	NAL (MIC ≥ 64)	TET (MIC ≥ 16)
	2002 (198)		_	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	_	Not Tested	30 (15.2)	Not Tested	76 (38.4)
	2003 (325)		1 (0.3)	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	-	Not Tested	47 (14.5)	Not Tested	132 (40.6)
	2004 (510)		-	2 (0.4)	2 (0.4)	4 (0.8)	4 (0.8)	_	77 (15.1)	77 (15.1)	256 (50.2)
	2005 (403)	$(0/D^4)$	-	2 (0.5)	2 (0.5)	2 (0.5)	2 (0.5)	-	61 (15.1)	60 (14.9)	187 (46.4)
C. jejuni	2006 (426)	n (%R ⁴)	-	3 (0.7)	3 (0.7)	4 (0.9)	4 (0.9)	-	71 (16.7)	71 (16.7)	201 (47.2)
	2007 (332)		-	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	-	57 (17.2)	57 (17.2)	161 (48.5)
	2008 (329)		-	1 (0.3)	3 (0.9)	4 (1.2)	4 (1.2)	-	48 (14.6)	48 (14.6)	164 (49.8)
	2009 (404)		-	1 (0.2)	2 (0.5)	4 (1.0)	4 (1.0)	-	86 (21.3)	86 (21.3)	185 (45.8)
	2010 (355)		-	3 (0.8)	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	-	80 (22.5)	81 (22.8)	129 (36.3)
	2011 (393)		-	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	2 (0.5)	2 (0.5)	-	88 (22.4)	82 (20.9)	190 (48.3)
	P-value ⁵		N/A	0.4412	0.3716	0.2695	0.2695	N/A	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.3387
	2002 (90)		-	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	7 (7.8)	Not Tested	9 (10.0)	Not Tested	40 (44.4)
	2003 (142)		-	Not Tested	Not Tested	Not Tested	10 (7.0)	Not Tested	19 (13.4)	Not Tested	72 (50.7)
	2004 (196)		-	16 (8.2)	14 (7.1)	18 (9.2)	18 (9.2)	-	32 (16.3)	32 (16.3)	91 (46.4)
	2005 (151)	n (%R)	-	12 (7.9)	13 (8.6)	15 (9.9)	15 (9.9)	-	44 (29.1)	44 (29.1)	64 (42.4)
C. coli	2006 (145)	11 (701 X)	-	7 (4.8)	7 (4.8)	8 (5.5)	8 (5.5)	-	32 (22.1)	30 (20.7)	68 (46.9)
	2007 (143)		1 (0.7)	10 (7.0)	7 (4.9)	9 (6.3)	9 (6.3)	-	37 (25.9)	37 (25.9)	57 (39.9)
	2008 (181)		3 (1.7)	14 (7.7)	9 (5.0)	18 (9.9)	18 (9.9)	-	37 (20.4)	37 (20.4)	84 (46.4)
	2009 (176)		10 (5.7)	8 (4.5)	6 (3.4)	8 (4.5)	8 (4.5)	-	32 (18.2)	32 (18.2)	67 (38.1)
	2010 (148)		19 (12.8)	6 (4.1)	2 (1.4)	6 (4.1)	6 (4.1)	-	20 (13.5)	20 (13.5)	58 (39.2)
	2011 (210)		38 (18.1)	8 (3.8)	2 (1.0)	9 (4.3)	10 (4.8)	-	38 (18.1)	36 (17.1)	103 (49.1)
	P-value		<0.0001	0.9199	0.0906	0.7490	0.0093	N/A	0.7810	0.3427	0.0061

Table 17. Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance among Campylobacter Species from Retail Chicken, 2002-2011¹

¹ Dashes indicate 0% resistance.

² Results for 2002 and 2003 are for Doxycycline.

³ Percent non-susceptible is reported as no resistant CLSI breakpoint has been established. NARMS breakpoint established to determine resistance.

 4 % R = the number of resistant isolates (n) / the number of positive isolates (N).

⁵ P-values calculated using a binary logistic random effects regression model to account for site variation. P-values are not available (N/A) for antimicrobials where resistance has only one level, i.e. zero, or when there is insufficient variation among the resistance observed. P-values < 0.05 indicate a trend.




Figure 7. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* Isolates from Retail Chicken, 2002-2011



Year			2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
		Retail Chicken	198	325	510	403	426	332	329	404	355	393
No. of Isolates Tested by	C. jejuni	Ground Turkey	2	4	7	10	12	20	10	9	5	13
Species and Source	C colli	Retail Chicken	90	142	196	151	145	143	181	176	148	210
	C. coli	Ground Turkey	2	1	5	9	10	14	19	16	7	18
Resistance Pattern	Species	Isolate Source ²		·			·	·		·		
		Retail Chicken	54.5%	51.7%	41.0%	43.4%	43.9%	40.4%	40.4%	41.8%	51.3%	42.2%
1. No Resistance Detected	C. jejuni		108	168 25.0%	209 42.9%	175 30.0%	187 16.7%	134 10.0%	133	169	182 20.0%	166
Deletica		Ground Turkey	-	1	3	3	2	2	-	-	1	-
		Retail Chicken	51.1% 46	43.0% 61	38.3% 75	36.4% 55	38.6% 56	45.5% 65	41.4% 75	49.4% 87	54.7% 81	41.9% 88
	C. coli		40	-	100.0%	55 11.1%	20.0%	28.6%	5.3%	18.8%	-	22.2%
		Ground Turkey	1	-	5	1	2	4	1	3	-	4
2. Resistance to ≥ 2		Retail Chicken	8.1% 16	7.1% 23	7.1% 36	6.0% 24	8.7% 37	7.2% 24	7.0% 23	10.4% 42	11.5% 41	13.5% 53
Antimicrobial Classes	C. jejuni	Ground Turkey	50.0%		14.3%	10.0%	41.7%	30.0%	70.0%	44.4%	40.0%	38.5%
		Ground Turkey	1		1	1	5	6	7	4	2	5
		Retail Chicken	12.2% 11	10.6% 15	15.3% 30	19.9% 30	15.2% 22	19.6% 28	24.3% 44	16.5% 29	23.6% 35	32.4% 68
	C. coli	Ground Turkey	50.0%	100.0%		55.6%	30.0%	42.9%	52.6%	37.5%	57.1%	55.6%
		Ground Turkey	1	1		5	3	6	10	6	4	10
3. Resistance to ≥ 3	.	Retail Chicken	-	-	0.4% 2	0.5% 2	0.7% 3	0.6% 2	0.3% 1	0.2% 1	0.6% 2	0.5% 2
Antimicrobial Classes	C. jejuni	Ground Turkey	_	_			_	5.0%	10.0%	_		_
		,	1.1%	3.5%	8.2%	9.3%	5.5%	1 7.0%	<u>1</u> 6.1%	4.5%	4.1%	2.9%
	C. coli	Retail Chicken	1.1%	5	0.2 % 16	9.3% 14	8	10	11	4.5%	4.1%	2.9%
	C. COII	Ground Turkey	-	_	-	22.2%	_	_	5.3%	_	14.3%	5.6%
					0.4%	2 0.3%	0.7%		1		1 0.3%	1
4. Resistance to ≥ 4	C. jejuni	Retail Chicken	-	-	2	1	3	-	-	_	1	-
Antimicrobial Classes	o. jejum	Ground Turkey	-	-	-	-	-	5.0% 1	10.0% 1	-	-	-
		Retail Chicken	-	_	1.5%	4.6% 7	2.1% 3	2.8% 4	2.2% 4	1.7%	2.0% 3	1.4% 3
	C. coli	Ground Turkey	_	-	3	22.2%	-	-	-	3	-	5.6%
		Retail Chicken	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	_	1
5. Resistance to ≥ 5 Antimicrobial Classes	C. jejuni	Ground Turkey	_	_	_	_	_	5.0%	_	_	_	_
		Retail Chicken	_	-	0.5%	0.7%	_	1 0.7%	-	1.1%	-	_
	C. coli	Ground Turkey	_	_	1	1	_	1	_	2	_	_

Table 18. Multidrug Resistance among *Campylobacter* Isolates by Species, 2002-2011¹

¹ Dashes indicate 0.0% resistance. ² Ground beef and pork chop are no longer tested for Campylobacter due to low recovery from 2002-2007.

	Table 19.1 MIC Distribution among	Campvlobacter	ieiuni from	Retail Chicken. 2	2002-2011
--	-----------------------------------	---------------	-------------	-------------------	-----------

	Та	ble 1	9.1 MI	C Distributi	on amo	ng Can	pylob	oacter							1				
										ributio	• •	of MIC	Cs (µg	J/ml)⁴					
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128
	2002 (198) 2003 (325) 2004 (510) 2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355) 2011 (393)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.0 & - & 1.8 \\ 0.0 & - & 1.7 \\ 0.0 & - & 0.7 \\ 0.0 & - & 0.9 \\ 0.0 & - & 0.9 \\ 0.0 & - & 1.1 \\ 0.0 & - & 1.1 \\ 0.0 & - & 0.9 \\ 0.0 & - & 1.0 \\ 0.0 & - & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}$				1.0	3.5 0.9 1.8 0.2 0.6	24.7 15.4 5.5 12.9 17.2 3.7 1.0 10.7 10.4	65.7 67.7 85.1 89.1 82.9 79.8 88.2 49.7 83.7 80.4	5.1 15.7 8.0 5.5 3.8 2.4 8.2 49.3 5.4 8.9	0.2 0.3 0.3				0.3		
Ketolides Telithromycin	2004 (510) 2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355) 2011 (393)	0.0 0.2 0.0 0.9 0.5 0.0	0.4 0.5 0.7 0.6 0.3 0.2 0.8 0.3	[0.0 - 1.4] [0.1 - 1.8] [0.1 - 2.0] [0.1 - 2.2] [0.0 - 1.7] [0.0 - 1.4] [0.2 - 2.4] [0.0 - 1.4]		0.2 0.2		0.4	0.2 1.0 0.9 0.6 1.2 0.3 2.0 0.8	13.1 11.4 11.5 11.4 10.6 7.4 23.7 16.0	56.5 45.4 50.0 39.8 42.9 36.1 50.1 51.9	23.7 35.7 31.7 40.1 30.4 41.8 20.8 24.9	4.9 5.7 4.9 6.6 13.7 12.6 2.3 5.1	0.2 0.9 1.0 0.3 0.5	0.4 0.2 0.9 0.5 0.3	0.4 0.5 0.7 0.6 0.3 0.3 0.8 0.3			
Lincosamides Clindamycin	2004 (510) 2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355) 2011 (393)	0.0 0.0 0.3 0.2 0.0	0.4 0.5 0.7 0.6 0.9 0.5 0.6 0.3	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.0 - 1.4 \\ [0.1 - 1.8] \\ [0.1 - 2.0] \\ [0.1 - 2.2] \\ [0.2 - 2.6] \\ [0.1 - 1.8] \\ [0.1 - 2.0] \\ [0.0 - 1.4] \end{bmatrix}$			0.6 0.5 1.6 1.2 3.7 3.1 0.5	10.2 8.4 14.1 12.7 20.4 3.7 33.8 29.8	55.5 55.1 46.9 58.4 45.3 42.8 47.0 45.0	29.6 30.3 32.4 24.7 27.4 45.8 13.8 21.1	2.0 4.5 4.2 2.4 1.5 6.2 1.7 2.5	1.2 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.5	0.6 0.2 0.3	0.3 0.2	0.5 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.3	0.4 0.3 0.3	0.6		
Macrolides Azithromycin	2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355) 2011 (393)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.8 0.5 0.9 0.6 1.2 1.0 0.6 0.5	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.2 - 2.0 \\ 0.1 - 1.8 \\ 0.3 - 2.4 \\ 0.1 - 2.2 \\ 0.3 - 3.1 \\ 0.3 - 2.5 \\ 0.1 - 2.0 \\ 0.1 - 1.8 \\ 0.$		4.9 3.5 6.6 4.8 3.7 1.2 10.4 8.4	49.6 46.4 47.9 41.6 32.2 22.8 59.4 56.7	38.2 46.4 39.4 48.5 45.6 64.1 28.2 29.8	5.3 3.0 5.2 4.5 15.8 9.9 1.4 4.3	0.2 0.2 1.5 1.0 0.3	0.2	0.6	0.2						0.8 0.5 0.9 0.6 1.2 1.0 0.6 0.5
Erythromycin	2002 (198) 2003 (325) 2004 (510) 2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355) 2011 (393)		0.0 0.8 0.5 0.9 0.6 1.2 1.0 0.6 0.5	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.0 - 1.8 \\ 0.0 - 1.1 \\ 0.2 - 2.0 \\ 0.1 - 1.8 \\ 0.3 - 2.4 \\ 0.1 - 2.2 \\ 0.3 - 3.1 \\ 0.3 - 2.5 \\ 0.1 - 2.0 \\ 0.1 - 1.8 \end{bmatrix}$				0.4 0.5 0.3 0.6 1.1 0.3	2.5 4.5 8.0 6.9 6.1 1.7 14.1 11.5	0.9 53.1 36.7 39.4 43.7 35.9 34.2 54.1 48.1	6.1 18.5 35.3 46.2 39.0 34.3 38.6 45.5 26.8 28.2	48.0 55.7 7.8 11.2 12.7 13.6 14.9 17.6 3.1 10.4	39.4 21.2 0.5 0.6 2.7 0.3 1.0	6.6 3.7				0.2	0.8 0.5 0.9 0.6 1.2 0.7 0.6 0.5
	2004 (510) 2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355) 2011 (393)	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 0.7] [0.0 - 0.9] [0.0 - 0.9] [0.0 - 1.1] [0.0 - 1.1] [0.0 - 0.9] [0.0 - 1.0] [0.0 - 0.9]					0.6 0.2 0.3	0.6 0.2 0.3	5.1 10.4 8.2 9.3 14.9 6.7 33.5 39.2	85.9 77.7 77.9 80.7 73.6 80.5 63.1 55.2	8.0 11.7 13.6 9.9 10.3 12.6 2.8 5.1	0.4 0.2 0.6 0.3 0.3					
Quinolones Ciprofloxacin	2003 (325) 2004 (510) 2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355)	0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	15.2 14.5 15.1 15.1 16.7 17.2 14.6 21.3 22.5	$ \begin{bmatrix} 10.5 - 20.9 \\ 10.8 - 18.8 \\ 12.1 - 18.5 \\ 11.8 - 19.0 \\ 13.3 - 20.6 \\ 13.3 - 21.7 \\ 11.0 - 18.9 \\ 17.4 - 25.6 \\ 18.3 - 27.2 \\ 18.3 - 27.2 \end{bmatrix} $			0.2 0.7 0.9 0.3 0.5	2.0 2.2 39.8 24.8 29.8 30.1 26.4 8.4 31.8	41.9 58.2 37.3 50.9 44.8 44.0 46.8 58.2 40.3	29.8 21.5 7.6 8.9 8.0 7.8 11.6 11.7 5.1	9.1 3.4 0.2 0.3 0.3	2.0	0.3	0.6 0.4 0.5 0.2	2.5 9.0 6.2 7.0 6.3 4.0 4.7 8.7	12.1 6.2 4.5 6.7 7.5 7.5 7.9 7.9 9.9	2.5 4.9 1.2 1.7 1.9 3.3 2.7 8.7 2.8	0.5	0.6
Nalidixic acid	2011 (393) 2004 (510) 2005 (403) 2006 (426) 2007 (332) 2008 (329) 2009 (404) 2010 (355) 2011 (393)	0.2 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	22.4 15.1 14.9 16.7 17.2 14.6 21.3 22.8 20.9	[18.4 - 26.8] [12.1 - 18.5] [11.6 - 18.7] [13.3 - 20.6] [13.3 - 21.7] [11.0 - 18.9] [17.4 - 25.6] [18.6 - 27.5] [17.0 - 25.2]			0.5	18.1	43.0	15.8		0.3		0.8 64.3 69.0 71.4 69.3 69.3 59.4 60.3 43.5	6.9 20.4 15.9 12 13.6 15.8 19.3 16.6 33.8	11.20.30.31.0	3.0 0.2 0.2	0.5 0.4 0.2 0.5 0.3 0.9 0.3 2.5 8.4	14.7 14.6 16.2 16.9 13.7 21.0 20.3 12.5
Tetracycline Tetracycline	2003 (325)	6.2 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	38.4 40.6 50.2 46.4 47.2 48.5 49.8 45.8 36.3 48.3	$ \begin{bmatrix} 31.6 - 45.5 \\ 35.2 - 46.2 \\ [45.8 - 54.6] \\ [41.5 - 51.4] \\ [42.4 - 52.0] \\ [43.0 - 54.0] \\ [44.3 - 55.4] \\ [40.9 - 50.8] \\ [31.3 - 41.6] \\ [43.3 - 53.4] \end{bmatrix} $				15.2 23.4 0.6 0.7 1.4 1.2 0.6 2.3 0.3	16.2 20.9 24.3 19.1 23.2 13.3 16.1 16.4 26.2 15.3	6.6 4.0 15.3 20.6 13.8 21.1 19.5 23.8 23.1 19.3	4.0 1.5 7.6 9.4 10.3 10.5 9.7 10.7 9.0 12.7	2.5 0.6 1.8 3.2 2.8 5.1 3.7 2.2 3.1 2.3	8.1 2.8 0.5 0.7 0.6 0.7 0.5	9.1 6.2 0.5 0.3	17.7 17.8 0.2	11.1 16.6 2.2 1.0 1.2 2.4 0.6 1.0 1.1 1.5	9.6 6.2 4.9 3.2 3.3 6.3 4.6 5.5 3.9 6.9	25.9 17.9 17.4 14.5 20.4 13.6 16.3 21.9	17.3 24.3 25.4 25.3 24.3 26.1 14.9 18.1

¹ Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility.

² Percent of isolates that are resistant. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

⁶ Percent of isolates that are resistant. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.
 ³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.
 ⁴ Unshaded area indicate idiution ranges of the Sensititre plates. Breakpoints for susceptibility are indicated by single black bars and resistance double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded area indicate isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentration on the Sensititre plate. Numbers in the lowest tested concentrations represent isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

⁵For Florfenicol, percent non-susceptible (MIC ≥8 µg/ml) is reported rather than percent resistant because a resistance breakpoint has not been established.

	T	able '	19.2 M	IC Distribut	ion am	ong Ca	mpylo	bacter							1				
									Dist	ributio	n (%)	of MIC	Cs (µg	J/ml)⁴					
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128
Aminoglycosides Gentamicin Ketolides	2002 (198) 2003 (325) 2004 (196) 2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7 1.7 5.7 12.8 18.1	[0.0 - 1.8] [0.0 - 1.1] [0.0 - 1.9] [0.0 - 2.4] [0.0 - 2.5] [0.0 - 3.8] [0.3 - 4.8] [2.8 - 10.2] [7.9 - 19.3] [13.1 - 24.0]					1.4 0.5 0.7	23.3 36.6 4.1 4.0 2.8 2.8 1.1 2.7 1.4	75.6 52.8 85.7 88.1 93.1 88.8 73.5 57.4 75.0 55.7	1.1 9.2 9.7 7.9 4.1 7.0 23.2 36.9 9.5 24.8	0.6				0.7	1.7 5.7 12.8 18.1	
Telithromycin	2004 (196) 2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210)	2.0 0.7 0.0 1.7 0.6 0.0	8.2 7.9 4.8 7.0 7.7 4.5 4.1 3.8	$\begin{matrix} [4.7 - 12.9] \\ [4.2 - 13.5] \\ [2.0 - 9.7] \\ [3.4 - 12.5] \\ [4.3 - 12.6] \\ [2.0 - 8.8] \\ [1.5 - 8.6] \\ [1.7 - 7.4] \end{matrix}$		0.5		0.6	1.0 4.0 1.4 0.6 0.6 6.8 1.9	20.4 17.2 13.1 11.2 14.4 18.2 27.0 26.2	5.6 5.3 2.1 8.4 6.1 9.1 3.4 7.1	18.9 17.2 11.7 17.5 22.1 14.8 26.4 20.0	35.7 33.1 47.6 48.3 32.6 38.6 28.4 31.4	7.1 13.2 18.6 7.7 14.4 13.6 4.1 7.6	2.6 2.0 0.7 1.7 0.6 1.9	8.2 7.9 4.8 7.0 7.7 4.5 4.1 3.8			
Clindamycin	2004 (196) 2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210)	1.3 0.7 1.4 2.8 1.7 3.4	7.1 8.6 4.8 4.9 5.0 3.4 1.4 1.0	[4.0 - 11.7] [4.7 - 14.3] [2.0 - 9.7] [2.0 - 9.8] [2.3 - 9.2] [1.3 - 7.3] [0.2 - 4.8] [0.1 - 3.4]			0.7 0.7	1.5 0.7 0.7 4.4 0.6 2.0 5.2	19.4 20.5 22.8 16.8 27.1 8.5 42.6 40.0	51.0 42.4 44.1 60.8 40.9 60.2 47.3 39.5	14.3 25.2 15.9 11.9 13.3 19.3 2.7 7.6	4.6 5.5 3.5 5.5 3.4 2.4	0.7 4.8 1.1 2.8 0.7 2.4	2.0 1.3 0.7 1.4 2.8 1.7 3.4 1.9	3.1 5.3 4.8 2.1 2.8 0.6 0.7 1.0	4.1 3.3 2.8 1.1 1.7 0.7	1.1 1.1		
Macrolides							44.5	40.0	00.0	<u> </u>	0.5	0.5		1	1				
Azithromycin	2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210) 2002 (90) 2003 (142)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7	9.2 9.9 5.5 6.3 9.9 4.5 4.1 4.3 7.8 7.0	$ \begin{bmatrix} 5.5 - 14.1 \\ [5.7 - 15.9] \\ [2.4 - 10.6] \\ [2.9 - 11.6] \\ [6.0 - 15.3] \\ [2.0 - 8.8] \\ [1.5 - 8.6] \\ [2.0 - 8.0] \\ [3.2 - 15.4] \\ [3.4 - 12.6] \\ \end{bmatrix} $		1.4	14.3 13.2 11.7 9.1 8.3 3.4 17.6 17.6	42.9 44.4 37.9 61.5 40.3 46.6 60.8 58.6	29.6 29.1 37.9 21.7 33.2 40.9 15.5 18.6	3.1 3.3 5.5 0.7 7.7 4.6 0.7 1.0 2.2 5.6	0.5 0.7 0.6 26.7 11.3	0.5 0.7 0.7 10.0 16.9	26.7 27.5	15.6 29.6	11.1 1.4	0.7			9.2 9.9 5.5 6.3 9.9 4.5 4.1 4.3 7.8 7.0
Phenicols	2004 (196) 2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210)	0.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.0 0.0	9.2 9.9 5.5 6.3 9.9 4.5 4.1 4.8	[5.5 - 14.1] [5.7 - 15.9] [2.4 - 10.6] [2.9 - 11.6] [6.0 - 15.3] [2.0 - 8.8] [1.5 - 8.6] [2.3 - 8.6]				0.7	1.0 2.6 2.1 1.4 2.2 0.6 8.1 3.3	21.9 21.2 13.1 19.6 12.7 17.6 26.4 30.0	17.3 10.6 10.3 11.2 19.3 25.6 27.7 24.3	39.8 39.1 49.0 46.2 39.8 39.8 31.1 32.4	8.7 15.9 17.9 14.0 14.9 10.8 2.7 4.8	1.5 0.7 2.1 0.6 1.1	0.5	0.7 0.5		6.3 0.6	9.2 9.9 5.5 9.4 4.5 4.1 4.8
Florfenicol ⁵ Florfenicol ⁵	2004 (196) 2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210)	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 1.9] [0.0 - 2.4] [0.0 - 2.5] [0.0 - 2.5] [0.0 - 2.0] [0.0 - 2.1] [0.0 - 2.5] [0.0 - 1.7]							1.5 3.3 1.4 2.1 6.6 1.7 7.4 7.1	64.3 55.6 61.4 78.3 63.0 59.1 75.0 77.6	33.7 39.1 33.8 19.6 29.3 37.5 17.6 14.8	0.5 2.0 3.4 1.1 1.7 0.5					
Ciprofloxacin	2002 (90) 2003 (142) 2004 (196) 2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	10.0 13.4 16.3 29.1 22.1 25.9 20.4 18.2 13.5 18.1					1.1 1.4 23.0 11.3 6.2 9.8 7.2 5.1 14.9 3.8	27.8 28.2 36.7 29.1 36.6 34.3 45.9 46.6 49.3 44.8	36.7 37.3 23.5 29.1 31.7 30.1 25.4 30.1 21.0 32.4	16.7 19.7 0.7 3.4 1.1 1.4 1.0	7.8 0.5 0.7		0.6 0.6	0.7 2.0 7.3 2.8 3.5 4.4 4.0 4.7 3.8	5.6 0.7 12.8 15.2 13.8 18.2 12.2 5.7 6.1 11.0	4.4 11.3 1.5 6.6 5.5 4.2 3.3 7.4 2.7 3.3	0.7	
Nalidixic acid		0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7	16.3 29.1 20.7 25.9 20.4	[13.1 - 24.0] [11.4 - 22.3] [22.0 - 37.1] [14.4 - 28.2] [18.9 - 33.9] [14.8 - 27.1] [12.8 - 24.7] [8.5 - 20.1] [12.3 - 22.9]				0.0		52.4	1.0			50.3 47.5 40.3 56.8	34.7	1.5 3.4 1.4 0.55 4.0 2.4	0.7 1.0	3.6 5.3 4.8 6.3 5.5 5.1 8.8 9.5	12.8 23.8 15.9 19.6 14.9 13.1 4.7 7.6
¹ Percent of isolates with	2003 (142) 2004 (196) 2005 (151) 2006 (145) 2007 (143) 2008 (181) 2009 (176) 2010 (148) 2011 (210)	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.7 1.0	38.1 39.2 49.0	$\begin{matrix} [34.0 - 55.3] \\ [42.2 - 59.2] \\ [39.3 - 53.7] \\ [34.4 - 50.7] \\ [38.6 - 55.4] \\ [31.8 - 48.4] \\ [39.0 - 54.0] \\ [30.9 - 45.7] \\ [31.3 - 47.5] \\ [42.1 - 56.0] \end{matrix}$				4.4 3.5 0.7	32.2 30.3 6.6 2.6 2.8 0.7 0.6 2.3 2.0 1.4	12.2 7.7 21.4 22.5 19.3 32.9 24.9 19.3 30.4 27.6	4.4 2.1 9.7 11.3 18.6 18.2 21.5 23.3 14.9 10.0	2.2 2.8 9.7 13.9 6.9 6.3 3.3 9.7 8.1 4.8	2.1 5.6 5.3 5.5 1.4 1.7 6.8 4.1 5.2	0.7 0.5 2.0 1.1 0.7 1.0	2.2 5.6 0.6 0.6 0.7 1.0	7.8 14.8 0.5	26.7 23.9 1.0 1.3	7.8 6.4 2.6 4.6 3.4 3.5 2.8 2.3 2.7 10.0	42.9 36.4 43.4 36.4 43.6 35.8 36.5 37.1

 2011 (210)
 1.0
 40.1
 27.6
 10.0
 4.8
 5.2
 1.0
 1.0
 0.5
 1.4
 10.0
 37.1

 ¹ Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility.
 2
 Percent of isolates that were resistant. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution %s are due to rounding.
 395% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

 ⁴ Unshaded areas indicate dilution ranges of the Sensitire plates. Breakpoints for susceptibility are indicated by single black bars and resistance double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded area indicate isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentration on the Sensitire plate. Numbers in the lowest tested concentrations represent isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

 ⁵For Florfenicol, percent non-susceptible (MIC ≥8 µg/ml) is reported rather than percent resistant because a resistance breakpoint has not been established.

	Species	2	002	2	003	2	004	2	005	2	006	2	007	2	008	2	009	2	010	2	011
Total (a)	E. faecalis	8	393	1	014	8	355	1	001	ç	945	8	352	ç	901	8	384	1	221	1	181
Isolates	E. faecium	5	506	5	575	7	757	6	618	6	649		357	3	341	3	353	3	335	3	380
per Year	E. hirae	1	102	1	129	1	129	1	17	1	115		87		70		36		74		64
	Total (A) ²	1	520	1	742	1	755	1	765	1	731	1	312	1	337	1	307	1	677	1	674
Meat																					
Туре	Species	n	% ³	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
	E. faecalis	134	15.0%	188	18.5%	88	10.3%	116	11.6%	126	13.3%	123	14.4%	165	18.3%	138	15.6%	214	17.5%	186	15.7%
Retail	E. faecium	231	45.7%	248	43.1%	348	46.0%	307	49.7%	315	48.5%	189	52.9%	163	47.8%	202	57.2%	197	58.8%	221	58.2%
Chicken	E. hirae	12	11.8%	28	21.7%	27	20.9%	30	25.6%	27	23.5%	22	25.3%	16	22.9%	8	22.2%	24	32.4%	18	28.1%
	Total (N) ⁴	381	25.1%	466	26.8%	466	26.6%	457	25.9%	469	27.1%	339	25.8%	348	26.0%	349	26.7%	439	26.2%	433	25.9%
	E. faecalis	294	32.9%	289	28.5%	260	30.4%	339	33.9%	291	30.8%	261	30.6%	271	30.1%	260	29.4%	369	30.2%	392	33.2%
Ground	E. faecium	89	17.6%	118	20.5%	172	22.7%	107	17.3%	139	21.4%	65	18.2%	70	20.5%	66	18.7%	45	13.4%	40	10.5%
Turkey	E. hirae	2	2.0%	3	2.3%	-	-	1	0.9%	3	2.6%	2	2.3%	-	-	_	-	2	2.7%	-	-
	Total (N)	387	25.5%	418	24.0%	437	24.9%	452	25.6%	435	25.1%	329	25.1%	343	25.7%	328	25.1%	417	24.9%	435	26.0%
	E. faecalis	210	23.5%	224	22.1%	194	22.7%	226	22.6%	227	24.0%	205	24.1%	202	22.4%	227	25.7%	285	23.3%	269	22.8%
Ground	E. faecium	93	18.4%	112	19.5%	162	21.4%	129	20.9%	125	19.3%	70	19.6%	73	21.4%	59	16.7%	61	18.2%	82	21.6%
Beef	E. hirae	76	74.5%	84	65.1%	88	68.2%	82	70.1%	77	67.0%	57	65.5%	49	70.0%	26	72.2%	41	55.4%	44	68.8%
	Total (N)	383	25.2%	432	24.8%	448	25.5%	447	25.3%	438	25.3%	334	25.5%	337	25.2%	327	25.0%	415	24.7%	423	25.3%
	E. faecalis	255	28.6%	313	30.9%	313	36.6%	320	32.0%	301	31.9%	263	30.9%	263	29.2%	259	29.3%	353	28.9%	334	28.3%
Pork	E. faecium	93	18.4%	97	16.9%	75	9.9%	75	12.1%	70	10.8%	33	9.2%	35	10.3%	26	7.4%	32	9.6%	37	9.7%
Chop	E. hirae	12	11.8%	14	10.9%	14	10.9%	4	3.4%	8	7.0%	6	6.9%	5	7.1%	2	5.6%	7	9.5%	2	3.1%
	Total (N)	369	24.3%	426	24.5%	404	23.0%	409	23.2%	389	22.5%	310	23.6%	309	23.1%	303	23.2%	406	24.2%	383	22.9%

Table 20. Enterococcus Species by Meat Type, 2002 - 2011¹

¹ Dashes indicate 0.0% prevalence.

² Totals reflect all species found including those not shown on chart.

³ Where % = Number of a given species per meat type (n) / total # of isolates per species (a)

⁴ Where Total (N) % = total # of isolates in meat type (N) / total # of isolates in that year (A)

Table 21. Trend in Antimicrobial Resistance among Enterococcus by Meat	Type 2002-2011 ^{1,2}
Table 21. Thend in Antimicrobial Resistance among Enterococcus by Meat	Type, 2002-2011

Phenicols CHL (MIC ≥ 32) -	G Quino Iones	 Strepto- gramins 	Tetra-
(MIC ≥ 32)		gramms	cyclines
. ,	CIP		TET
-	(MIC ≥ 4	4) (MIC ≥ 4)	(MIC ≥ 16)
	8.1%	56.3%	61.2%
-	11.6%	61.9%	59.2%
-	40.8%	29.9%	49.1%
0.2%	23.2%	39.0%	58.9%
-	26.2%	35.0%	56.7%
-	11.5%	54.6%	66.4%
0.3%	22.7%	50.3%	64.9%
0.6%	19.8%	49.3%	63.3%
0.5%	14.8%	27.1%	54.4%
-	17.1%	30.0%	53.8%
0.0957	0.8747	< 0.0001	0.4860
0.3%	5.4%	79.6%	85.8%
-	11.2%	79.8%	87.3%
-	24.7%	62.7%	87.0%
-	12.2%	61.1%	85.8%
-	12.9%	75.0%	87.8%
0.6%	7.6%	73.5%	94.8%
0.3%	13.4%		88.0%
-	8.8%	67.7%	86.6%
0.2%	4.6%	56.3%	85.9%
			91.5%
			0.0535
0.5%			28.2%
			27.8%
			30.4%
			38.5%
			27.6%
			33.2%
			35.0%
			27.2% 24.3%
			24.3% 25.5%
			0.0726
			76.2%
			73.7%
			73.5%
			80.0%
			74.3%
			82.3%
			72.5%
			80.2%
-			76.1%
1.0%	1.3%		75.5%
			0.5822
_	0.2% 0.3740 0.5% - 0.4% 0.2% 0.7% 0.6% 0.3% - 0.7% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 1.0% 0.8% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 1.0% 0.3% 1.0% - 1.0%		

² Percent non susceptible is reported for TGC and DAP as no CLSI breakpoint has been established. NARMS breakpoint established to determine resistance.

³ Data presented for all species except *E. faecalis*, which is considered intrinsically resistant to Quinupristin-Dalfopristin.

⁴ P-values calculated using a binary logistic random effects regression model to account for site variation. P-values are not available (N/A) for antimicrobials where resistance has only one level, i.e. zero, or when there is insufficient variation among the resistance observed. P-values < 0.05 indicate a trend.






Figure 8. Temporal variation in resistance to selected antimicrobials in *Enterococcus* isolates from Retail Chicken and Ground Turkey, 2002-2011

Figure 9. Temporal variation in resistance to selected antimicrobials in *Enterococcus* isolates from Ground Beef and Pork Chop, 2002-2011








Figure 11. Temporal variation in resistance to selected antimicrobials in *Enterococcus* isolates from Ground Beef and Pork Chop, 2002-2011




Table 22.1	Trends in Antimicrobial R	Resistance among	Enterococcus faecalis by	by Meat Type, 2002-2011 ^{1,2}	
------------	---------------------------	------------------	--------------------------	--	--

Meat Type	Year (n)	Am	inoglycos	ides	Glyco- peptides	Glycyl- cycline	Lincos- amides	Lipo- peptides	Macr	olides	Nitro- furans	Oxazolidi- nones	Penicillins	Phenicols	Quino- Iones	Strepto- gramins	Tetra- cyclines
		GEN	KAN	STR	VAN	TGC	LIN	DAP	ERY	TYL	NIT	LZD	PEN	CHL	CIP	QDA ³	TET
	2002 (134)	22.4%	32.1%	29.1%	-	Not Tested	99.3%	Not Tested	45.5%	48.5%	0.7%	_	-	_	_	-	67.2%
	2003 (188)	20.2%	27.1%	22.9%	-	Not Tested	99.5%	Not Tested	43.1%	42.6%	1.1%	-	-	-	-	_	68.6%
	2004 (88)	19.3%	22.7%	18.2%	-	Not Tested	98.9%	-	35.2%	34.1%	1.1%	-	-	-	8.0%	-	63.6%
	2005 (116)	18.1%	26.7%	18.1%	-	-	99.1%	-	37.1%	37.1%	4.3%	-	-	-	0.9%	-	75.0%
Retail	2006 (126)	23.0%	30.2%	10.3%	-	-	100.0%	-	34.9%	36.5%	-	-	-	-	0.8%	-	70.6%
Chicken	2007 (123)	19.5%	28.5%	17.9%	-	-	99.2%	-	44.7%	44.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	65.9%
	2008 (165)	19.4%	29.7%	10.9%	-	-	100.0%	-	32.7%	32.7%	1.2%	-	-	_	3.0%	-	69.1%
	2009 (138)	25.4%	30.4%	13.0%	-	-	98.6%	-	39.9%	39.9%	-	-	-	1.4%	-	_	72.4%
	2010 (214)	31.8%	36.0%	15.4%	-	-	99.1%	-	32.2%	32.2%		-	0.5%	0.9%	-	_	72.4%
	2011 (186)	26.9%	33.3%	19.4%	-	-	98.9%	_	35.5%	35.5%	0.5%	-	-	-	-		63.4%
	P-value ⁴	0.0079	0.0631	0.0022	N/A	N/A	0.5729	N/A	0.0172	0.0099	0.0518	N/A	N/A	0.1513	0.1372	N/A	0.5571
	2002 (294)	22.1%	26.2%	24.1%	-	Not Tested	97.3%	Not Tested	31.0%	32.0%	2.0%	-	-	0.3%	0.3%	-	85.0%
	2003 (289)	27.7%	36.0%	30.4%	-	Not Tested	99.0%	Not Tested	43.6%	43.9%	1.4%	-	-	-	-	-	87.9%
	2004 (260)	24.6%	29.6%	26.9%	-	Not Tested	98.8%	0.3%	33.8%	34.6%	1.2%	-		-	5.8%	-	88.1%
Crowned	2005 (339)	20.1% 22.0%	27.4% 32.0%	21.5% 20.3%	-	-	97.3% 98.6%		38.3% 47.1%	38.3% 47.1%	2.4%	-	1.5% 0.3%	-	2.1% 0.7%	-	84.4%
Ground Turkey	2006 (291) 2007 (261)	42.1%	52.0% 50.2%	20.3% 36.4%	_	_	98.9%	_	47.1% 48.7%	47.1%	_	_	0.3%	_ 0.8%	0.7%	_	85.9% 94.3%
Turkey	2007 (201) 2008 (271)	42.1%	55.4%	30.4 % 39.1%	_	_	99.9 <i>%</i> 99.3%	0.4%	40.7% 51.7%	49.4% 51.3%	_	_	_	0.8%	3.0%	_	94.3% 90.0%
	2009 (260)	30.0%	38.8%	27.7%	_	_	97.7%	- 0.470	37.7%	37.7%	0.4%	_	_	0.4 /8	0.8%	_	90.0 <i>%</i> 85.8%
	2009 (200) 2010 (369)	37.4%	44.7%	27.9%	_	_	97.3%	_	40.4%	40.4%	0.4 /0	_	0.3%	0.3%	0.0 /0	_	87.8%
	2011 (392)	33.7%	42.9%	27.6%	_	_	98.5%	_	47.2%	47.2%	_	_	0.070	0.3%	0.3%		92.3%
	P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0857	N/A	N/A	0.5188	0.9129	0.0004	0.0012	< 0.0001	N/A	0.4272	0.5115	0.0313	N/A	0.0081
	2002 (210)	2.4%	1.9%	4.8%	_	Not Tested	98.6%	Not Tested	1.4%	1.9%	_	_	_	_	_	_	18.6%
	2003 (224)	1.8%	3.1%	5.4%	_	Not Tested	96.4%	Not Tested	4.9%	4.9%	_	_	_	_	0.4%	_	20.5%
	2004 (194)	1.0%	3.1%	7.7%	-	Not Tested	97.4%	_	3.6%	3.6%	-	_	-	_	12.9%	_	25.3%
	2005 (226)	1.8%	4.0%	8.4%	-	-	97.8%	-	4.4%	5.8%	0.9%	-	-	0.4%	0.9%	_	34.1%
Ground	2006 (227)	0.9%	2.6%	5.7%	-	-	97.8%	-	4.0%	4.0%	-	-	-	1.3%	-	_	22.5%
Beef	2007 (205)	0.5%	2.0%	4.9%	-	-	98.0%	-	2.4%	2.4%	-	-	-	1.0%	-	_	32.7%
	2008 (202)	2.0%	4.0%	1.5%	-	-	99.0%	-	2.5%	3.0%	0.5%	-	-	-	4.0%	-	31.7%
	2009 (227)	0.9%	1.8%	5.3%	-	-	97.8%	-	2.6%	2.2%	-	-	0.4%	-	1.3%	-	21.1%
	2010 (285)	0.4%	0.7%	1.4%	-	-	98.9%	-	0.7%	0.7%	-	-	-	0.7%	0.4%	_	16.5%
	2011 (269)	-	1.5%	1.9%		_	97.4%	_	3.0%	3.0%	-	-	-	0.7%	-	-	18.2%
	P-value	0.0094	0.0823	0.0003	N/A	N/A	0.4080	N/A	0.0893	0.0375	0.6697	N/A	N/A	0.2135	0.0051	N/A	0.1401
	2002 (255)	2.7%	4.7%	10.6%	-	Not Tested	99.2%	Not Tested	9.0%	9.0%	-	-	-	0.4%	1.2%	-	80.4%
	2003 (313)	0.3%	4.8%	7.3%	-	Not Tested	98.1%	Not Tested	7.0%	7.0%		-	-	1.0%	_ 6.1%	-	78.0%
	2004 (313)	1.9%	2.6%	9.3%	-	Not Tested	94.9%	-	9.9%	9.9% 6.3%	0.3%	-	- 1.20/	0.6%		-	75.7%
Dork	2005 (320) 2006 (301)	1.6% 0.7%	3.1% 2.3%	7.8% 7.6%	-	-	95.3% 97.3%	_ 0.3%	5.9% 6.6%	6.3% 7.3%	0.3%	_	1.3%	1.3% 1.0%	2.5% 0.3%	-	86.3%
Pork	2008 (301) 2007 (263)	0.7%	2.3%	8.7%	_	_	97.3% 97.7%	0.3%	0.0% 9.1%	9.1%	_	_	_	0.4%	0.3%	_	81.4% 90.1%
Chop	2007 (203) 2008 (263)	0.8%	3.0%	10.3%	_	_	97.3%	_	8.0%	7.6%	_	_	0.4%	0.4%	4.6%	_	77.2%
	2008 (203) 2009 (259)	1.9%	2.7%	8.9%	_	_	97.3%	_	6.9%	6.6%	_	_	0.4%	1.2%	1.5%	_	83.8%
	2009 (259) 2010 (353)	1.4%	1.7%	6.8%	_	_	97.2%	_	4.5%	4.5%	_	_	0.3%	-	-	_	79.0%
	2010 (333) 2011 (334)	0.9%	2.4%	5.7%	_	0.3%	97.0%	_	4.5%	4.8%	_	_	-	0.9%	0.3%	_	79.3%
					N/A						N/A	N/A	0.9077			N/A	0.6908
	P-value	0.3377	0.0133	0.0832	N/A	N/A	0.8864	N/A	0.0074	0.0066	N/A	N/A	0.9077	0.5593	0.0233	N/A	0.6

² Percent non susceptible is reported for TGC and DAP as no CLSI breakpoint has been established. NARMS breakpoint established to determine resistance.

³ Data presented for all species except *E. faecalis*, which is considered intrinsically resistant to Quinupristin-Dalfopristin.

⁴ P-values calculated using a binary logistic random effects regression model to account for site variation. P-values are not available (N/A) for antimicrobials where resistance has only one level, i.e. zero, or when there is insufficient variation among the resistance observed. P-values < 0.05 indicate a trend.

Meat Type	Year (n)	Am	inoglycos	ides	Glyco- peptides	Glycyl- cycline	Lincos- amides	Lipo- peptides	Macr	olides	Nitro- furans	Oxazolidi- nones	Penicillins	Phenicols	Quino- Iones	Strepto- gramins	Tetra- cyclines
		GEN	KAN	STR	VAN	TGC	LIN	DAP	ERY	TYL	NIT	LZD	PEN	CHL	CIP	QDA	TET
	2002 (231)	3.0%	6.5%	16.9%	-	Not Tested	87.0%	Not Tested	25.5%	21.2%	54.5%	-	44.2%	-	13.0%	55.4%	56.7%
	2003 (248)	5.6%	10.5%	16.9%	-	Not Tested	86.7%	Not Tested	17.3%	12.5%	64.5%	-	51.2%	-	21.8%	59.7%	51.6%
	2004 (348)	4.3%	9.5%	8.3%	-	Not Tested	83.3%	4.0%	12.6%	10.3%	85.3%	-	39.1%	-	52.3%	31.6%	45.1%
	2005 (307)	6.2%	10.7%	14.0%	-	-	78.2%	1.6%	13.7%	12.4%	54.7%	0.3%	31.9%	-	33.9%	39.1%	54.4%
Retail	2006 (315)	6.0%	6.3%	3.8%	-	-	74.9%	1.6%	9.5%	7.9%	38.4%	-	22.2%	-	37.5%	36.5%	53.0%
Chicken	2007 (189)	9.5%	12.2%	3.7%	-	-	84.1%	0.5%	19.6%	19.0%	32.8%	-	12.2%	-	19.6%	57.1%	66.1%
	2008 (163)	11.7%	11.7%	6.7%	-	-	81.0%	_	22.1%	20.2%	46.0%	-	27.6%	0.6%	43.6%	54.6%	64.4%
	2009 (202)	6.9%	9.9%	30.2%	-	-	83.2%	6.4%	19.8%	19.3%	51.5%	-	23.3%	-	34.2%	50.0%	56.9%
	2010 (197)	6.1%	5.6%	26.4%	-	-	82.2%	6.6%	13.7%	12.2%	40.1%	-	24.4%	-	32.5%	28.9%	35.5%
	2011 (221)	9.5%	9.5%	27.1%	-	-	81.9%	3.2%	21.7%	20.4%	41.6%	-	18.6%	-	33.5%	32.1%	43.4%
	P-Value ³	0.0225	0.8697	<0.0001	N/A	N/A	0.0782	<0.0001	0.4212	0.1982	<0.0001	N/A	<0.0001	N/A	<0.0001	0.0001	0.0170
	2002 (89)	15.7%	39.3%	39.3%	-	Not Tested	94.4%	Not Tested	50.6%	36.0%	50.6%	-	66.3%	-	22.5%	82.0%	88.8%
	2003 (118)	12.7%	28.0%	32.2%	-	Not Tested	89.0%	Not Tested	44.1%	27.1%	52.5%	-	65.3%	-	39.0%	79.7%	91.5%
	2004 (172)	13.4%	35.5%	34.3%	-	Not Tested	88.4%	7.6%	43.0%	35.5%	66.9%	-	61.6%	-	53.5%	64.5%	86.6%
• • •	2005 (107)	12.1%	29.9%	34.6%	-	-	92.5%	4.7%	41.1%	29.9%	43.0%	-	59.8%	-	43.9%	63.6%	91.6%
Ground	2006 (139)	15.1%	33.8%	22.3%	-	-	97.8%	3.6%	44.6%	36.0%	22.3%	-	67.6%	-	37.4%	75.5%	92.8%
Turkey	2007 (65)	1.5%	7.7%	16.9%	-	-	92.3%		23.1%	13.8%	12.3%	-	60.0%	-	35.4%	76.9%	96.9%
	2008 (70)	10.0%	12.9%	17.1%	-	-	91.4%	5.7%	37.1%	12.9%	27.1%	-	61.4%	-	54.3%	68.6%	81.4%
	2009 (66)	18.2%	33.3%	51.5%	-	-	93.9%	9.1%	56.1%	24.2%	40.9%	-	69.7%	-	40.9%	69.7%	92.4%
	2010 (45)	6.7%	15.6%	28.9%	-	-	86.7%	20.0%	33.3%	15.6%	22.2%	-	48.9%	-	42.2%	57.8%	71.1%
	2011 (40)	10.0%	25.0%	55.0%	-	-	87.5%	7.5%	32.5%	22.5%	40.0%	-	75.0%	-	57.5%	55.0%	82.5%
	P-Value	0.6764	0.4573	0.0360	N/A	N/A	0.2591	0.2396	0.9028	0.1871	0.0003	N/A	0.0114	N/A	0.0349	0.1443	0.1136
	2002 (93)	1.1%	4.3%	3.2%	-	Not Tested	76.3%	Not Tested	11.8%	6.5%	18.3%	-	_	1.1%	12.9%	47.3%	22.6%
	2003 (112)	-	8.0%	2.7%	-	Not Tested	58.9%	Not Tested	8.9%	0.9%	36.6%	-	8.0%	-	33.0%	50.0%	28.6%
	2004 (162)	_ 0.8%	8.6%	5.6%	-	Not Tested	67.9%	0.6%	9.3%	5.6% 2.3%	51.9% 18.6%	-	3.1%	1.2%	27.2% 20.9%	6.2%	24.7% 28.7%
Ground	2005 (129)		3.9% 1.6%	1.6% 0.8%	-	_	74.4% 41.6%	_ 0.8%	4.7% 7.2%	2.3% 4.8%	12.8%	-	2.3%	_	20.9% 21.6%	7.8% 6.4%	28.7%
Beef	2006 (125) 2007 (70)	_	1.0%	0.0%	_	_	41.6% 55.7%	0.0%	7.2% 4.3%	4.8% 2.9%	4.3%	_	4.8% 1.4%	_	21.0% 10.0%	5.7%	20.0% 18.6%
Beer	2007 (70) 2008 (73)	_	_ 5.5%	_ 2.7%	_	_	75.3%	1.4%	4.3% 13.7%	2.9% 4.1%	20.5%	_	9.6%	_ 1.4%	26.0%	16.4%	28.8%
	2009 (59)	1.7%	6.8%	8.5%	_	_	79.7%	1.4 /0	5.1%	3.4%	16.9%	_	6.8%	-	18.6%	18.6%	39.0%
	2010 (61)	-	8.2%	3.3%	_	_	73.8%	_	6.6%	3.3%	6.6%	_	3.3%	1.6%	14.8%	-	27.9%
	2011 (82)	_	6.1%	6.1%	_	_	79.3%	1.2%	6.1%	3.7%	28.0%	_	3.7%	-	17.1%	11.0%	22.0%
	P-Value	0.7282	0.7993	0.3315	N/A	N/A	0.1927	0.4518	0.2139	0.6835	< 0.0001	N/A	0.1402	0.8169	0.0712	< 0.0001	0.6360
	2002 (93)	1.1%	3.2%	5.4%	-	Not Tested	90.3%	Not Tested	20.4%	9.7%	5.4%	_	3.2%	-	4.3%	24.7%	68.8%
	2003 (97)	_	2.1%	3.1%	_	Not Tested	89.7%	Not Tested	6.2%	2.1%	16.5%	_	1.0%	_	6.2%	64.9%	69.1%
	2004 (75)	_	2.7%	6.7%	_	Not Tested	84.0%	_	5.3%		37.3%	_	8.0%	_	17.3%	6.7%	72.0%
	2005 (75)	_	8.0%	6.7%	-	_	88.0%	_	9.3%	5.3%	10.7%	_	1.3%	_	9.3%	13.3%	56.0%
Pork	2006 (70)	1.4%	2.9%	2.9%	-	-	64.3%	_	7.1%	5.7%	4.3%	_	1.4%	_	4.3%	10.0%	54.3%
Chop	2007 (33)	-	3.0%	-	-	-	66.7%	-	3.0%	3.0%	9.1%	_	_	_	9.1%	3.0%	33.3%
	2008 (35)	-	5.7%	-	-	-	57.1%	2.9%	14.3%	5.7%	8.6%	_	_	-	14.3%	5.7%	45.7%
	2009 (26)	-	-	3.8%	-	-	84.6%	-	3.8%	-	11.5%	-	7.7%	-	7.7%	19.2%	50.0%
	2010 (32)	-	3.1%	3.1%	-	-	78.1%	3.1%	9.4%	3.1%	6.3%	-	6.3%	-	12.5%	3.1%	50.0%
	2011 (37)	-	5.4%	5.4%	-	-	73.0%	_	10.8%	5.4%	18.9%	-	2.7%	2.7%	10.8%	13.5%	48.6%
	P-Value	N/A	0.6597	0.4265	N/A	N/A	<0.0001	0.1153	0.1950	0.6115	0.9478	N/A	0.1513	N/A	0.0170	<0.0001	0.0005

Table 22.2 Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance among Enterococcus faecium by Meat Type, 2002-2011^{1,2}

² Percent non susceptible is reported for TGC and DAP as no CLSI breakpoint has been established. NARMS breakpoint established to determine resistance.

³ P-values calculated using a binary logistic random effects regression model to account for site variation. P-values are not available (N/A) for antimicrobials where resistance has only one level, i.e. zero, or when there is insufficient variation among the resistance observed. P-values < 0.05 indicate a trend.

Meat Type	Year (n)	Am	inoglycos	ides	Glyco- peptides	Glycyl- cycline	Lincos- amides	Lipo- peptides	Macr	olides	Nitro- furans	Oxazolidi- nones	Penicillins	Phenicols	Quino- Iones	Strepto- gramins	Tetra- cyclines
		GEN	KAN	STR	VAN	TGC	LIN	DAP	ERY	TYL	NIT	LZD	PEN	CHL	CIP	QDA	TET
	2002 (12)	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	-	Not Tested	100.0%	Not Tested	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	-	8.3%	-	8.3%	66.7%	83.3%
	2003 (28)	_	28.6%	42.9%	_	Not Tested	100.0%	Not Tested	67.9%	64.3%	10.7%	-	7.1%	-	-	82.1%	64.3%
	2004 (27)	_	3.7%	22.2%	_	Not Tested	92.6%	-	11.1%	11.1%	14.8%	-	25.9%	-	3.7%	7.4%	51.9%
	2005 (30)	10.0%	26.7%	23.3%	-	-	100.0%	3.3%	63.3%	60.0%	6.7%	-	-	3.3%	-	40.0%	46.7%
Retail	2006 (27)	3.7%	3.7%	18.5%	-	-	77.8%	-	14.8%	18.5%	7.4%	-	7.4%	-	14.8%	18.5%	33.3%
Chicken	2007 (22)	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	_	-	95.5%	-	45.5%	45.5%	-	-	4.5%	-	4.5%	40.9%	81.8%
	2008 (16)	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	_	-	100.0%	6.3%	37.5%	37.5%	-	-	-	-	-	18.8%	43.8%
	2009 (8)	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	_	-	100.0%	-	25.0%	25.0%	-	-	-	-	-	25.0%	62.5%
	2010 (24)	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	_	-	100.0%	16.7%	41.7%	41.7%	4.2%	-	8.3%	-	-	16.7%	50.0%
	2011 (18)	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%	-	-	100.0%	22.2%	16.7%	16.7%	5.6%	-	5.6%	-	-	5.6%	72.2%
	P-Value ³	0.1330	0.2489	0.0077	N/A	N/A	0.9757	0.0016	0.2656	0.3745	0.1747	N/A	0.1400	N/A	0.4836	< 0.0001	0.8685
	2002 (2)	-	-	50.0%	-	Not Tested	100.0%	Not Tested	-	-	50.0%	-	-	-	-	50.0%	100.0%
	2003 (3)	_	66.7%	-	_	Not Tested	100.0%	Not Tested	66.7%	66.7%	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%	_
	2005 (1)	-	-	-	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ground	2006 (3)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	-	-	100.0%	-	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	-	66.7%	-	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%
Turkey⁺	2007 (2)	-	-	_	_	-	100.0%	_	_	_	_	_	100.0%	-	100.0%	_	100.0%
	2010 (2)	-	-	-	_	-	50.0%	-	50.0%	50.0%	-	-	-	-	-	50.0%	50.0%
	2011 (2)	-	-	-	_	_	50.0%	_	50.0%	50.0%	-	-	_	_	-	50.0%	50.0%
	P-Value	N/A	0.3827	0.4607	N/A	N/A	0.3814	N/A	0.7550	0.7550	0.6070	N/A	0.2761	N/A	0.3689	0.9803	0.4965
	2002 (76)	-	-	2.6%	-	Not Tested	93.4%	Not Tested	19.7%	19.7%	-	-	-	1.3%	-	44.7%	60.5%
	2003 (84)	-	3.6%	3.6%	-	Not Tested	91.7%	Not Tested	15.5%	15.5%	-	-	-	-	-	60.7%	46.4%
	2004 (88)	-	-	_	-	Not Tested	85.2%	22.7%	8.0%	8.0%	6.8%	-	1.1%	-	1.1%	10.2%	53.4%
	2005 (82)	1.2%	1.2%	4.9%	-	-	98.8%	8.5%	17.1%	17.1%	4.9%	-	-	-	-	11.0%	65.9%
Ground	2006 (77)	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	-	-	81.8%	5.2%	14.3%	15.6%	-	-	-	-	-	5.2%	53.2%
Beef	2007 (57)	-	-	1.8%	-	-	96.5%	8.8%	17.5%	19.3%	-	-	-	-	1.8%	5.3%	52.6%
	2008 (49)	-	2.0%	_	-	-	91.8%	20.4%	12.2%	12.2%	-	-	-	-	-	4.1%	53.1%
	2009 (26)	-	-	_	-	-	88.5%	38.5%	3.8%	3.8%	-	-	-	-	-	7.7%	50.0%
	2010 (41)	-	-	_	-	-	95.1%	24.4%	14.6%	14.6%	-	-	-	-	-	7.3%	43.9%
	2011 (44)	-	-	-	-	-	84.1%	11.4%	13.6%	13.6%	2.3%	_	_	-	-	9.1%	38.6%
	P-Value	0.8661	0.3632	0.0908	N/A	N/A	0.2093	<0.0001	0.3442	0.3800	0.4018	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.8247	< 0.0001	0.0659
	2002 (12)	-	-	-	-	Not Tested	100.0%	Not Tested	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.0%	66.7%
	2003 (14)	-	-	-	-	Not Tested	100.0%	Not Tested	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	-	-	-	-	35.7%	14.3%
	2004 (14)	-	7.1%	_	-	Not Tested	71.4%	-	_	-	21.4%	-	7.1%	-	7.1%	-	35.7%
	2005 (4)	-	-	25.0%	-	-	100.0%	-	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	-	-	-	-	25.0%	50.0%
Pork	2006 (8)	-	-	_	-	-	87.5%	-	25.0%	25.0%	-	-	-	-	12.5%	-	50.0%
Chop	2007 (6)	-	-	16.7%	-	-	83.3%	-	33.3%	33.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	83.3%
	2008 (5)	_	-	-	-	-	100.0%	-	60.0%	40.0%	20.0%	-	-	-	-	20.0%	60.0%
	2009 (2)	_	-	-	-	-	100.0%	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	100.0%
	2010 (7)	-	-	-	-	_	100.0%	28.6%	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	85.7%
	2011 (2)	-	-	50.0%	-	_	100.0%	_	50.0%	50.0%	_	-	_	-	-	50.0%	50.0%
	P-Value	N/A	N/A	0.2869	N/A	N/A	0.7554	N/A	0.2678	0.3345	0.5479	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.8414	0.0938	0.1052

Table 22.3 Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance among *Enterococcus hirae* by Meat Type, 2002-2011^{1,2}

² Percent non susceptible is reported for TGC and DAP as no CLSI breakpoint has been established. NARMS breakpoint established to determine resistance.

³ P-values calculated using a binary logistic random effects regression model to account for site variation. P-values are not available (N/A) for antimicrobials where resistance has only one level, i.e. zero, or when there is insufficient variation among the resistance observed. P-values < 0.05 indicate a trend.

⁴ There were no *E. hirae* isolates among any NARMS retail ground turkey isolates in 2004, 2008 and 2009.

Year		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Tour	Retail Chicken	134	188	88	116	126	123	165	138	2010	186
Number of Isolates	Ground Turkey	294	289	260	339	291	261	271	260	369	392
Tested by Source	Ground Beef	210	224	194	226	227	205	202	227	285	269
	Pork Chop	255	313	313	320	301	263	263	259	353	334
Resistance Pattern ²	Isolate Source										
	Retail Chicken	0.7%	0.5%	_	0.9%	_	_	_	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%
1. No Resistance		1	1		1				1	1	1
Detected	Ground Turkey	1.4%	1.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	_	-	1.5%	2.2%	0.8%
		4	3	1	2	1			4	8	3
	Ground Beef	_	2.7%	1.5%	1.3%	1.8%	2.0%	0.5%	1.8%	0.7%	2.2%
			6	3	3	4	4	1	4	2	6
	Pork Chop	0.4%	-	0.6%	1.3%	-	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%
	-	1		2	4		1	1	1	1	2
	Retail Chicken	52.2%	47.9%	42.0%	50.0%	43.7%	45.5%	40.6%	43.5%	39.7%	41.4%
2. Resistance to \geq 3		70	90	37	58	55	56	67	60	85	77
Antimicrobial Classes	Ground Turkey	49.3%	54.3%	52.7%	43.4%	56.7%	67.0%	69.7%	50.0%	58.5%	60.2%
		145	157	137	147	165	175	189	130	216	236
	Ground Beef	4.8%	6.7%	10.8%	10.2%	7.5%	6.8%	5.4%	6.6%	2.5%	3.7%
		10	15	21	23	17	14	11	15	7	10
	Pork Chop	15.7%	9.9%	18.8%	14.4%	12.3%	16.3%	17.5%	14.7%	9.3%	8.4%
		40	31	59	46	37	43	46	38	33	28
	Retail Chicken	32.1%	19.1%	18.2%	20.7%	19.8%	22.8%	21.2%	21.7%	23.8%	23.1%
3. Resistance to ≥ 4		43	36	16	24	25	28	35	30	51	43
Antimicrobial Classes	Ground Turkey	17.7%	31.1%	22.3%	25.7%	22.7%	36.4%	42.4%	28.1%	29.5%	30.9%
		52	90	58	87	66	95	115	73	109	121
	Ground Beef	1.9%	3.1%	3.1%	4.4%	2.2%	1.5%	2.0%	1.3%	0.7%	1.5%
		4	7	6	10	5	3	4	3	2	4
	Pork Chon	4.7%	5.1%	5.8%	4.4%	3.3%	2.3%	4.9%	3.9%	2.5%	3.3%
		12	16	18	14	10	6	13	10	9	11
	Retail Chicken	_	0.5%	1.1%	0.9%	_	_	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%	_
4. Resistance to \geq 5	Retail Officken		1	1	1			2	2	2	_
Antimicrobial Classes	Ground Turkey	0.7%	0.7%	_	1.5%	0.3%	_	0.7%	_	_	0.8%
	Ground runkey	2	2	_	5	1	_	2	_	_	3
	Ground Boof	_	_		0.4%	0.4%	0.5%				0.7%
	Ground Beer	_	_	-	1	1	1	_	_	_	2
	Pork Chon	0.4%	0.6%	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	0.8%	_	0.6%
	FUIK CIIOP	1	2	3	4	2	1	2	2	_	2
	Potail Chickon	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
5. Resistance to ≥ 6	Retail Chicken	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	-
Antimicrobial Classes	Cround Turkey	0.3%			1.2%						
	Ground Beef Pork Chop Retail Chicken Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chop Retail Chicken Ground Turkey Ground Turkey Ground Beef	1	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
	One of D				0.4%						
	Ground Beet	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Dark Ohar										
	Pork Chop	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 23.1 Multidrug Resistance among Enterococcus faecalis Isolates by Antimicrobial Class, 2002-2011¹

¹ Dash indicates 0.0% resistance.

² Resistance pattern does not include QDA, as *E. faecalis* is considered intrinsically resistant.

Year		2002	2003	2004	2005	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2008	2009	2010	2011	
Number of Isolates Tested by Source	Retail Chicken Ground Turkey Ground Beef Pork Chop	231 89 93 93	248 118 112 97	348 172 162 75	307 107 129 75	139 125	65 70	163 70 73 35	202 66 59 26	197 45 61 32	221 40 82 37
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source	93	- 51	75	75	10	00	00	20	02	07
		3.5%	1.2%	1.1%	9.8%	10.8%	9.0%	4.9%	4.5%	6.1%	5.0%
1. No Resistance	Retail Chicken	8	3	4	30			-1.570	9	12	11
Detected				0.6%		-		2.9%	1.5%	6.7%	5.0%
	Ground Turkey	-	-	1	-	-		2	1	3	2
	One of Decif	16.1%	10.7%	9.9%	9.3%	40.0%	38.6%	8.2%	3.4%	11.5%	7.3%
	Ground Beef	15	12	16	12	50	27	6	2	7	6
	Pork Chop	4.3%	3.1%	1.3%	6.7%	21.4%	18.2%	17.1%	11.5%	15.6%	10.8%
	Рогк Спор	4	3	1	5	15	6	6	3	5	4
	Retail Chicken	71.9%	79.4%	75.9%	63.2%	53.3%	66.7%	63.8%	67.3%	50.8%	55.2%
2. Resistance to \ge 3		166	197	264	194	168	126	104	136	100	122
Antimicrobial Classes	Ground Turkey	86.5%	88.1%	91.9%	86.9%	93.5%	90.8%	85.7%	92.4%	80.0%	85.0%
		77	104	158	93	130	59	60	61	36	34
	Ground Beef	31.2%	40.2%	27.2%	15.5%	10.4%	7.1%	28.8%	20.3%	9.8%	20.7%
		29	45	44	20	13	5	21	12	6	17
	Pork Chop	33.3%	54.6%	41.3%	21.3%			17.1%	23.1%	12.5%	16.2%
	· •··· •···•	31	53	31	16	9	1	6	6	4	6
	Retail Chicken	49.4%	52.8%	53.2%	44.0%	36.5%	39.2%	51.5%	56.4%	38.1%	42.5%
3. Resistance to \geq 4		114	131	185	135	115	74	84	114	75	94
Antimicrobial Classes	Ground Turkey	78.7%	72.9%	82.6%	73.8%	82.0%	75.4%	80.0%	86.4%	66.7%	75.0%
		70	86	142	79	114	49	56	57	30	30
	Ground Beef	11.8%	18.8%	9.9%	6.2%	4.8%	4.3%	15.1%	13.6%	3.3%	7.3%
		11	21	16	8	6	3	11	8	2	6
	Pork Chop	8.6%	7.2%	12.0%	9.3%	4.3%	3.0%	5.7%	3.8%	9.4%	10.8%
		8 30.3%	7 35.5%	9 29.6%	7 28.7%	3 17.1%	1 16.9%	2 34.4%	1 41.1%	3 25.4%	4 24.0%
4. Resistance to ≥ 5	Retail Chicken	30.3% 70	35.5% 88	29.6% 103	28.7% 88	54	32	56 34.4%	41.1% 83	25.4% 50	24.0% 53
4. Resistance to 2 5 Antimicrobial Classes		66.3%	68.6%	63.4%	00 57.0%	58.3%	38.5%	55.7%	65.2%	46.7%	62.5%
Antimicropial classes	Ground Turkey	59	81	109	61	56.5% 81	25	39	43	40.7%	25
		5.4%	8.0%	5.6%	4.7%	4.0%	20	8.2%	1.7%	1.6%	3.7%
	Ground Beef	5	9	9	6	4.078	-	6	1.770	1.0 %	3.770
		4.3%	5.2%	4.0%	6.7%	4.3%		2.9%	3.8%	6.3%	8.1%
	Pork Chop	4	5	3	5	3	-	1	1	2	3
		12.1%	12.9%	15.5%	16.0%	10.5%	10.6%	23.3%	16.3%	12.2%	11.8%
5. Resistance to ≥ 6	Retail Chicken	28	32	54	49	33	20	38	33	24	26
Antimicrobial Classes	Creating Trusters	47.2%	43.2%	46.5%	38.3%	30.9%	15.4%	31.4%	48.5%	24.4%	50.0%
	Ground Turkey	42	51	80	41	43	10	22	32	11	20
	Crowned Doof		4.5%	4.3%		2.4%	_	4.1%	1.7%	1.6%	2.4%
	Ground Beef	-	5	7	-	3	-	3	1	1	2
	Bork Chon	1.1%	1.0%		2.7%	1.4%		2.9%	3.8%	3.1%	2.7%
	Pork Chop	1	1	_	2	1	_	1	1	1	1

Table 23.2 Multidrug Resistance among *Enterococcus faecium* Isolates by Antimicrobial Class, 2002-2011¹

¹ Dash indicates 0.0% resistance.

Table 04.4 MIO Distribution success	F		Detail Obtaine 0044
Table 24.1 MIC Distribution among	Enterococcus faecalis	s and <i>E. taecium</i> tr	om Retail Chicken, 2011

					Distribution among <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> and <i>E. faecium</i> from Retail Chicken, 2011 Distribution (%) of MICs (µg/ml) ⁴																		
Antimicrobial	Species	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8		32 ³	, 64	128	256	512	1024	2048	>2048
Aminoglycosides	Species	/01	/0IX		0.010				0.20	0.00	· ·	_	· ·	<u> </u>			•.			•			- 10 10
Gentamicin	faocalis	N/A	26.9	[20.7 - 33.9]														69.4	3.8	0.5	7.0	19.4	
Ochtamicin	faecium	N/A	9.5	[6.0 - 14.2]														90.5	5.0	0.0	0.5	9.1	
Kanamycin		N/A		[26.6 - 40.6]														65.6		1.1	0.5	32.8	
Ranarryon	faecium	N/A	9.5	[6.0 - 14.2]															14.0		0.5	9.1	
Streptomycin		N/A	19.4	[13.9 - 25.8]															11.0	80.7	0.0	3.2	16.1
e a opterny em	faecium	N/A		[21.4 - 33.5]																72.9	14.9	9.0	3.2
Glycopeptides				[]																	1		
Vancomycin	faecalis	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]						0.5	55.9	38.7	4.8										
5	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]						56.6		14.5											
Glycylcycline														•									
Tigecycline	faecalis	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]		10.8	43.6	38.2	7.5														
U	faecium	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]		22.6	61.1	12.2	4.1														
Lincosamides							-																
Lincomycin	faecalis	0.0	98.9	[96.2 - 99.9]							0.5	0.5			98.9								
,	faecium	0.0	81.9	[76.2 - 86.7]							17.7	0.5		1.8	80.1								
Lipopeptides												-											
Daptomycin	faecalis	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]						3.8	65.0	31.2											
. ,	faecium	N/A	3.2	[1.3 - 6.4]							3.2	19.0	74.7	3.2									
Macrolides											-												
Erythromicin	faecalis	45.7	35.5	[28.6 - 42.8]					14.0	4.8	21.5	23.7	0.5	0.5	34.9								
,	faecium	54.2	21.7	[16.5 - 27.7]					12.7	11.3	16.7	33.9	3.6	1.8	19.9								
Tylosin	faecalis	0.0	35.5	[28.6 - 42.8]							18.3	45.7					35.5						
	faecium	0.5	20.4	[15.3 - 26.3]							16.7	19.5	36.7	6.3	0.5	20.4							
Nitrofurans																							
Nitrofurantoin	faecalis	3.8	0.5	[0.0 - 3.0]										29.0	65.6	1.1	3.8	0.5					
	faecium	57.5	41.6	[35.1 - 48.4]												0.9	57.5	41.6					
Oxazolidinones																							
Linezolid	faecalis	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]								18.8											
	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]						1.4	77.4	21.3											
Penicillins																							
Penicillin	faecalis	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]								15.1											
	faecium	N/A	18.6	[13.7 - 24.3]					1.8	0.9	3.6	11.8	51.6	11.8	10.4	8.1							
Phenicols																							
Chloramphenicol		0.5	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]										57.5	0.5								
	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]								0.5	82.8	16.7									
Quinolones																							
Ciprofloxacin		41.4		[0.0 - 2.0]						2.2	56.5	41.4											
	faecium	53.9	33.5	[27.3 - 40.1]						1.8	10.9	53.9	24.4	9.1									
Streptogramins	- 5																						
Quinupristin-			.	100 0 00 						4	F 0	44.0		44.5									
Dalfopristin	taecium	44.3	32.1	[26.0 - 38.7]						17.7	5.9	44.3	5.4	14.0	5.4	7.2							
Tetracyclines	faaaka	0.0	<u> </u>								20.0				0.5	4.0	50.0						
Tetracycline		0.0		[56.1 - 70.4]							36.6	0.0	<u> </u>	E 4	0.5	4.3	58.6						
¹ Percent of isolates with	faecium			[36.8 - 50.3]							48.0	0.9	2.3	5.4	1.8	0.9	40.7						

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distributions are due to rounding. Percent (%) non-susceptible is reported rather than %R for daptomycin and tigecycline because there is no CLSI breakpoint established.

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate dilution ranges of the Sensititre plates. Breakpoints for susceptibility are indicated by single black bars and resistance double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded area indicate isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentration on the Sensititre plate. Numbers in the lowest tested concentrations represent isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

Table 24.2 MIC Distribution among	Futere cocce for colle	and F faction	fuence Outering Triples 0044
Table 74.7 Mill, Distribution among	Enterococcus taecaus	; and F taecillin	TROM GROUND LURKEV 2011
	Enter 0000000 racound		

					C Distribution among Enterococcus raecalls and E. raecium from Ground Turkey, 2011 Distribution (%) of MICs (μg/ml) ⁴ (6 CII ³ 0.015 0.03 0.06 0.125 0.25 0.50 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 1024 2048 >204																		
Antimicrobial	Species	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8		32	•	128	256	512	1024	2048	>2048
Aminoglycosides	opecies	701	/01																				
Gentamicin	faocalis	N/A	33.7	[29.0 - 38.6]														65.8	0.5	1	1.5	32.1	
	faecium	N/A	10.0	[2.8 - 23.7]														90.0	0.5		2.5	7.5	
																			I	I	2.5		
Kanamycin		N/A	42.9	[37.9 - 47.9]														56.4	0.3	0.5		42.9	
01	faecium	N/A	25.0	[12.7 - 41.2]														72.5	2.5	70 5	2.5	22.5	
Streptomycin		N/A	27.6	[23.2 - 32.3]																72.5	0.3	0.5	26.8
	faecium	N/A	55.0	[38.5 - 70.7]																45.0	12.5	25.0	17.5
Glycopeptides																							
Vancomycin		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]								33.7	3.8										
	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.8]					2.5	47.5	27.5	22.5											
Glycylcycline																							
Tigecycline	faecalis	N/A	0.3	[0.0 - 1.4]		2.0	27.3	61.2	9.2	0.3													
	faecium	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 8.8]		10.0	60.0	27.5	2.5														
Lincosamides								-															
Lincomycin	faecalis	0.5	98.5	[96.7 - 99.4]							1.0		0.5	0.3	98.2								
-	faecium	0.0	87.5	[73.2 - 95.8]							12.5		0.0	7.5	80.0								
Lipopeptides	lacolam	0.0	07.0	[10.2 00.0]							12.0			1.0	00.0								
Daptomycin	facalia	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]						4.1	72.5	23.5	- 1										
										4.1			05.0										
	faecium	N/A	7.5	[1.6 - 20.4]							5.0	22.5	65.0	1.5									
Macrolides																							
Erythromicin			47.2	[42.2 - 52.3]					20.4	3.3	11.0			0.3	46.9								
	faecium	40.0		[18.6 - 49.1]					20.0	7.5	5.0		12.5	7.5	25.0								
Tylosin	faecalis	0.0	47.2	[42.2 - 52.3]								35.0	0.3				47.2						
	faecium	0.0	22.5	[10.8 - 38.5]							17.5	22.5	37.5				22.5						
Nitrofurans																	_						
Nitrofurantoin	faecalis	2.6	0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]										35.7	61.2	0.5	2.6						
	faecium	60.0	40.0	[24.9 - 56.7]													60.0	40.0					
Oxazolidinones																	-						
Linezolid	faecalis	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]						0.5	78.8	20.7											
	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.8]						5.0		15.0											
Penicillins													• •	1									
Penicillin	faecalis	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]							2.6	14.8	82.7	1									
	faecium	N/A	75.0	[58.8 - 87.3]							2.0	5.0		25	20.0	55.0							
Phenicols	lacolam	1 1/7 1	75.0	[00:0 07:0]								0.0	17.0	2.0 1	20.0	00.0							
Chloramphenicol	faocalis	0.0	0.3	[0.0 - 1.4]									30.1	69.6			0.3						
	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]										20.0			0.5						
	laecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 0.0]									80.0	20.0									
Quinolones	faccalia	0E E	• •	10 0 1 AI						2.2	61.0	25.5	0.2										
Ciprofloxacin	laecalis	35.5	0.3	[0.0 - 1.4]					0.5	3.3		35.5											
	faecium	27.5	57.5	[40.9 - 73.0]					2.5	2.5	10.0	27.5	52.5	5.0									
Streptogramins																							
Quinupristin-																							
Dalfopristin	faecium	27.5	55.0	[38.5 - 70.7]						10.0	7.5	27.5	7.5	10.0	22.5	15.0							
Tetracyclines																							
Tetracycline	faecalis	0.3	92.3	[89.3 - 94.8]							7.4			0.3		3.3	89.0						
	faecium	0.0	82.5	[67.2 - 92.7]							17.5						82.5						

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution %'s are due to rounding. Percent (%) non-susceptible is reported rather than %R for daptomycin and tigecycline because there is no CLSI breakpoint established.

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate dilution ranges of the Sensititre plates. Breakpoints for susceptibility are indicated by single black bars and resistance double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded area indicate isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentration on the Sensititre plate. Numbers in the lowest tested concentrations represent isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

			i abie 4	24.3 MIC Dist		n amo	ng <i>En</i>	teroco	ccusi	aecan								.011					
											Dis	stribut	tion (%	%) of N	AICs (µg/ml)4						
Antimicrobial	Species	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024	2048	>2048
Aminoglycosides																							
Gentamicin	faecalis	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]														100.0					
	faecium	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 4.4]														100.0					
Kanamycin	faecalis	N/A	1.5	[0.4 - 3.8]														98.5	-		0.4	1.1	
	faecium	N/A	6.1	[2.0 - 13.7]														82.9	11.0		1.2	4.9	
Streptomycin	faecalis	N/A	1.9	[0.6 - 4.3]																98.1			1.9
	faecium	N/A	6.1	[2.0 - 13.7]																93.9	1.2	2.4	2.4
Glycopeptides																							
Vancomycin	faecalis	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]						0.7	55.8	40.9	2.6										
,	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.4]					6.1	78.1	9.8	6.1											
Glycylcycline									-	-		-		•		1							
Tigecycline	faecalis	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]		17.5	61.7	16.7	4.1														
	faecium	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 4.4]	1.2		57.3	15.9															
Lincosamides	lacolum		••	[0.0]		-0.0	0.10																
Lincomycin	faecalis	0.0	97.4	[94.7 - 98.9]							2.6			0.7	96.7								
Lincomyon	faecium		79.3	[68.9 - 87.4]							17.1	1.2	2.4	32.9									
Lipopeptides	laoolalli			[00.0 01.1]										0110									
Daptomycin	faecalis	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]					0.4	8.6	52.0	38.7	0.4										
Duptomyon	faecium	N/A	1.2	[0.0 - 6.6]					0.4	1.2	4.9	35.4	57.3	12									
Macrolides	laccium	IN/A	1.2	[0.0 - 0.0]						1.2	ч.5	55.4	57.5	1.2									
Erythromicin	faocalis	76.6	3.0	[1.3 - 5.8]					14.5	6.0	39.8	32.0	4.8		3.0								
Liythonnon	faecium	75.6		[2.0 - 13.7]					15.9				18.3	24	3.7								
Tylogin	faecalis		3.0	[1.3 - 5.8]					15.9	0.7	29.4	42.7 66.9	10.5	2.4	3.7	3.0							
T yiOSiTI		0.0 1.2	3.0 3.7							0.7		20.7	22.0	24.4	1.2	3.7							
Nitrofurono	faecium	1.2	3.1	[0.8 - 10.3]							17.1	20.7	32.9	24.4	1.2	3.7							
Nitrofurans Nitrofurantoin	faccolia	0.0	• •	10 0 1 41										17 1	82.2	0.7	1	-11					
Nilloluranion		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]										17.1	02.2		70 7	7	20.4				
Overalidinance	faecium	70.7	28.0	[18.7 - 39.1]												1.2	70.7		28.1				
Oxazolidinones	facalia	0.0	• •	10 0 1 41						0.4	60 E	27.2											
Linezolid		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]						0.4	62.5	37.2											
Deminilling	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.4]							58.5	41.5											
Penicillins	faaadia	N1/A	• •	10 0 4 41								10.0	04.4										
Penicillin		N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]					40.5	07	10	18.6	81.4	10 5	o 7								
Dhambaala	faecium	N/A	3.7	[0.8 - 10.3]					19.5	3.7	1.2	9.8	42.7	19.5	3.7								
Phenicols	(~ ~	o 7	10 4 0 71									40.5	55.0	. I		~ ~						
Chloramphenicol		0.0	0.7	[0.1 - 2.7]									43.5	55.8		0.4	0.4						
	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.4]									76.8	23.2									
Quinolones	<i>c v</i>			10 0 4 41						<u> </u>	47.0	10.4											
Ciprofloxacin		49.4		[0.0 - 1.4]					o –	3.4		49.4		• -									
	faecium	24.4	17.1	[9.7 - 27.0]					3.7	12.2	42.7	24.4	13.4	3.7									
Streptogramins	5																						
Quinupristin-																							
Dalfopristin	taecium	64.6	11.0	[5.1 - 19.8]						19.5	4.9	64.6	11.0										
Tetracyclines											- / -												
Tetracycline			18.2	[13.8 - 23.4]							81.8				0.4	0.7	17.1						
	faecium	0.0	22.0	[13.6 - 32.5]							78.1					1.2	20.7	7					

Table 24.3 MIC Distribution among Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium from Ground Beef, 2011

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution %'s are due to rounding. Percent (%) non-susceptible is reported rather than %R for daptomycin and tigecycline because there is no CLSI breakpoint established.

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate dilution ranges of the Sensititre plates. Breakpoints for susceptibility are indicated by single black bars and resistance double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded area indicate isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentration on the Sensititre plate. Numbers in the lowest tested concentrations represent isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

			Tuble	24.4 MIC Di		on an	ong L		00000	74000				%) of I				<u> </u>					
Antimicrobial	Cuasias	o/ 1 ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0 1 2 5	0 25	0 50	1	2	4	8		32		128	256	512	1024	2048	>2048
	Species	%I	% R	[95% CI]	0.015	0.05	0.00	0.125	0.25	0.50	-			0	10	52	04	120	230	512	1024	2040	~2040
Aminoglycosides	f !!.	N1/A	• •															00.4		1			
Gentamicin		N/A	0.9	[0.2 - 2.6]														99.1				0.9	
1/ march	faecium			[90.5 - 100.0]														100.0		۱ I			
Kanamycin		N/A	2.4	[1.0 - 4.7]														97.3	0.3			2.4	
Oteantanovaia	faecium	N/A	5.4	[0.7 - 18.2]														83.8	10.8	04.0		5.4	
Streptomycin		N/A	5.7	[3.5 - 8.7]																94.3	.		5.7
Chronnentidee	faecium	N/A	5.4	[0.7 - 18.2]																94.6	2.7	2.7	
Glycopeptides	faccolio	0.0	• •	10 0 1 11						0.2	61.4	26.0	15	1	1								
Vancomycin		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]						0.3 89.2	61.4	36.8	1.5										
Chrondonaline	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 9.5]						89.2	10.8												
Glycylcycline	f !!.	N1/A	• •	10 0 4 71		4.5	24.4	50.0	40.5	0.0													
Tigecycline		N/A	0.3	[0.0 - 1.7]		4.5	34.1	50.6	10.5	0.3													
	faecium	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 9.5]		13.5	48.7	29.7	8.1														
Lincosamides	f !!.	~ ~	07.0	IQ 4 C 00 Cl							2.0				07.0								
Lincomycin		0.0	97.0	[94.6 - 98.6]							3.0	0.7	- 4	20.4	97.0								
Linenentidee	faecium	5.4	73.0	[55.9 - 86.2]							18.9	2.7	5.4	32.4	40.5								
Lipopeptides	f !!.	N1/A	• •	10 0 4 41					0.0	4.0	52.0	44.0	0.0										
Daptomycin		N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]					0.3	4.2	53.6		0.3										
	faecium	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 9.5]						2.7	13.5	59.5	24.3										
Macrolides	f	70 7		10 F 7 01					10.0	0.0	44.0	04.0	0.4	1									
Erythromicin		73.7		[2.5 - 7.3]					12.0	9.9	41.0		8.4		4.5								
T 1	faecium	89.1		[3.0 - 25.4]							21.6			2.7	8.1								
I yiosin	faecalis	0.0	4.8	[2.8 - 7.7]						1.2	34.4		0.9	07.0			4.8						
	faecium	0.0	5.4	[0.7 - 18.2]							8.1	24.3	35.1	27.0			5.4						
Nitrofurans	faaak	~ ~	• •	10 0 4 41									0.0	04.0	74.0	0.0	1	1					
Nitrofurantoin		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]									0.3	24.9	74.3	0.6	01 1	40.0					
Oxazolidinones	faecium	81.1	18.9	[8.0 - 35.2]													01.1	18.9					
Linezolid	faccolia	0.0	0.0	10 0 1 11							76.4	22.7		1									
Linezoliu		0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]								23.7 32.4											
Penicillins	faecium	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 9.5]							67.6	32.4											
Penicillin	faccalia	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]							0.3	24.0	74.6	03									
Feniciliin	faecium	N/A		• •					35.1			24.9 18.9			2.7								
Phenicols	laecium	IN/A	2.7	[0.1 - 14.2]					55.1		15.5	10.9	24.5	5.4	2.1								
Chloramphenicol	faocalis	0.0	0.9	[0.2 - 2.6]									56.6	42.5		0.3	0.6						
Chioramphenicol	faecium	0.0	2.7	[0.2 - 2.0] [0.1 - 14.2]										10.8		2.7	0.0						
Quinolones	laccium	0.0	2.1	[0.1 - 14.2]									00.5	10.0	I I	2.1							
Ciprofloxacin	faocalis	33.2	0.2	[0.0 - 1.7]						6.3	60.2	33.2	0.3										
Cipronoxacin	faecium		10.8	[3.0 - 25.4]						10.8		43.2											
Streptogramins	accium	чJ.2	10.0	[0.0 - 20.4]						10.0	55.1	4J.2	10.0										
Quinupristin-	faccalia ⁵																						
Dalfopristin		50 5	13.5	[4.5 - 28.8]						8.1	18.9	59.5	135										
Tetracyclines	accium	55.5	10.5	[1.0 20.0]						0.1	10.3	55.5	10.0										
Tetracycline	faecalis	0.0	79.3	[74.6 - 83.6]							20.4	0.3				3.6	75.8						
rendeyelline	faecium		48.6	[31.9 - 65.6]							20.4 51.4	0.0				2.7	46.0						
	accium	0.0	40.0	[01.0 - 00.0]							UI. 1					4 .1	-0.0						

Table 24.4 MIC Distribution among Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium from Pork Chop, 2011

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution %'s are due to rounding. Percent (%) non-susceptible is reported rather than %R for daptomycin and tigecycline because there is no CLSI breakpoint established.


³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate dilution ranges of the Sensititre plates. Breakpoints for susceptibility are indicated by single black bars and resistance double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded area indicate isolates with MICs greater than the highest concentration on the Sensititre plate. Numbers in the lowest tested concentrations represent isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints were used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

	Ret	tail Chic	ken	Gro	ound Tu	rkey	Gi	round B	eef	P	ork Cho	р
Year	Ν	n	%	Ν	n	%	Ν	n	%	Ν	n	%
2002	390	282	72.3%	395	304	77.0%	399	295	73.9%	390	184	47.2%
2003	477	396	83.0%	447	333	74.5%	470	311	66.2%	479	218	45.5%
2004	476	400	84.0%	466	376	80.7%	480	338	70.4%	478	232	48.5%
2005	468	393	84.0%	470	396	84.3%	468	316	67.5%	465	205	44.1%
2006	475	418	88.0%	466	388	83.3%	471	295	62.6%	472	182	38.6%
2007	342	299	87.4%	338	315	93.2%	343	256	74.6%	356	152	42.7%
2008	360	306	85.0%	360	300	83.3%	360	250	69.4%	360	146	40.6%
2009	360	315	87.5%	360	306	85.0%	360	247	68.6%	360	147	40.8%
2010	460	357	77.6%	460	369	80.2%	460	269	58.5%	460	183	39.8%
2011	480	341	71.0%	480	368	76.7%	480	215	44.8%	480	146	30.4%
Total	4288	3507	81.8%	4242	3455	81.4%	4291	2792	65.1%	4300	1795	41.7%

Table 25. Escherichia coli by Meat Type, 2002-2011





		_			β-Lactam/β-Lactamase				Folate P	athway					_	Tetra-
		Am	inoglycos	ides	Inhibitor Combinations		Cephems		Inhibi		Macrolides	Penicillins	Phenicols	Quin	olones	cyclines
		GEN	KAN	STR	AMC	TIO	AXO	FOX	FIS ²	СОТ	AZI ³	AMP	CHL	CIP	NAL	TET
Meat Type	Year (N)	(MIC ≥ 16)	(MIC ≥ 64)	$(MIC \ge 64)$	(MIC ≥ 32)	(MIC ≥ 32)	(MIC ≥ 4)	(MIC ≥ 32)	(MIC ≥ 512)	(MIC ≥ 4)	(MIC > 16)	(MIC ≥ 32)	(MIC ≥ 512)	$(MIC \ge 4)$	(MIC ≥ 32)	(MIC ≥ 16)
	2002 (282)	23.1%	6.0%	49.3%	12.1%	7.1%	7.8%	11.0%	32.3%	3.6%		21.6%	0.7%	_	2.8%	46.1%
	2003 (396)	29.3%	6.8%	56.1%	13.6%	7.6%	9.1%	9.3%	38.4%	7.1%		25.3%	-	-	4.0%	42.9%
	2004 (400)	30.0%	6.8%	56.8%	10.0%	5.8%	6.5%	8.3%	41.3%	4.3%		17.0%	1.8%	-	7.0%	48.0%
	2005 (393)	37.7%	7.1%	50.6%	12.2%	8.7%	10.2%	11.2%	48.1%	7.4%		24.7%	0.5%	-	6.6%	46.6%
	2006 (418)	37.3%	11.5%	48.1%	11.5%	8.6%	9.1%	11.2%	46.9%	8.9%		20.1%	2.6%	-	5.0%	50.5%
	2007 (299)	34.4%	9.0%	46.8%	7.4%	6.0%	6.4%	7.4%	42.1%	5.0%		18.1%	2.0%	-	3.0%	40.5%
	2008 (306)	34.0%	6.9%	43.8%	11.8%	10.8%	11.1%	11.8%	39.2%	3.6%		23.5%	1.0%	-	2.9%	43.8%
	2009 (315)	34.3%	5.4%	38.1%	13.3%	11.7%	12.4%	13.3%	40.6%	2.2%		22.2%	0.6%	0.3%	2.9%	41.6%
	2010 (357)		6.2%	39.2%	6.7%	5.6%	6.4%	6.7%	38.9%	4.2%		16.5%	1.4%	0.3%	3.6%	38.9%
	2011 (341)	38.4%	5.6%	43.4%	14.1%	12.3%	12.6%	13.2%	44.3%	2.3%	-	26.4%	1.2%	-	2.3%	40.8%
	P-value ⁴	0.0375	0.2095	< 0.0001	0.7749	0.0037	0.0253	0.0417	0.6831	0.3115	N/A	0.8219	0.5444	0.1929	0.0280	< 0.0001
	2002 (304) 2003 (333)	27.0% 29.7%	13.2% 16.8%	57.6% 54.7%	5.6% 3.0%	1.0% 0.3%	1.3% 0.3%	3.3% 1.2%	48.0% 51.7%	4.0% 6.9%		31.3%	0.3%	_ 0.3%	4.3% 11.7%	77.0% 77.8%
	2003 (333) 2004 (376)	29.7%	16.0%	54.7% 49.2%	5.3%	0.3%	0.3% 1.3%	4.5%	48.4%	0.9% 3.7%		35.7% 33.2%	3.6% 0.8%	0.3%		74.2%
	2004 (378) 2005 (396)	29.5%	11.4%	49.2% 43.4%	3.8%	1.1%	2.3%	4.5% 3.3%	48.0%	5.1%		38.1%	4.0%	0.8%	10.6% 10.4%	74.2%
	2005 (398) 2006 (388)	29.6%	14.7%	43.8%	6.7%	3.1%	3.1%	6.2%	48.5%	8.0%		42.0%	2.3%	0.5%	5.2%	76.5%
	2000 (300) 2007 (315)	27.0%	15.6%	44.8%	6.3%	6.0%	6.0%	6.3%	48.9%	7.9%		48.3%	2.9%	0.3%	2.2%	80.0%
	2008 (300)	37.0%	19.0%	57.3%	8.3%	3.7%	3.7%	6.3%	51.0%	5.3%		58.0%	3.7%	-	3.7%	85.7%
	2009 (306)	37.9%	20.6%	57.5%	9.8%	6.2%	6.9%	7.8%	53.9%	5.9%		56.2%	3.3%	0.7%	2.6%	82.0%
	2010 (369)	24.9%	21.4%	47.7%	10.0%	7.9%	8.9%	9.2%	44.7%	5.1%		52.6%	3.5%	0.5%	2.7%	69.4%
	2011 (368)	32.6%	24.7%	60.3%	13.0%	9.8%	10.1%	12.5%	51.9%	4.3%	0.3%	51.6%	4.9%	_	1.6%	79.9%
	P-value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.1378	<0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0841	0.0134	N/A	< 0.0001	0.0071	0.3992	< 0.0001	0.8279
	2002 (295)	0.3%	2.4%	9.5%	2.0%	-	-	1.4%	9.8%	0.7%		6.1%	1.0%	-	-	30.9%
	2003 (311)	1.0%	2.9%	9.0%	2.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	10.3%	0.3%		5.1%	2.3%	-	1.0%	25.1%
	2004 (338)	0.6%	2.4%	11.8%	3.9%	0.9%	1.5%	1.2%	13.0%	0.6%		5.3%	3.6%	_	1.5%	22.8%
	2005 (316)	-	0.6%	5.4%	1.3%	0.6%	1.9%	1.0%	7.0%	0.6%		3.5%	1.6%	-	1.3%	16.5%
	2006 (295)	4.1%	4.7%	14.2%	2.4%	1.0%	1.7%	2.0%	12.5%	1.4%		9.2%	1.4%	-	0.7%	25.4%
	2007 (256)	-	1.6%	6.3%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	9.4%	1.2%		6.6%	3.9%	-	0.4%	21.9%
	2008 (250)	2.0%	4.0%	10.4%	2.4%	1.6%	1.6%	2.4%	11.6%	2.0%		6.4%	0.8%	-	0.4%	24.0%
	2009 (247)	0.8%	2.0%	8.1%	1.6%	0.8%	0.8%	1.6%	7.7%	2.0%		4.9%	2.4%	-	0.4%	18.6%
	2010 (269)	0.4%	3.7%	9.3%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	12.6%	0.7%		4.8%	2.6%	-	-	22.7%
	2011 (215)	0.5%	1.4% 0.7576	6.5% 0.2607	0.5% 0.0213	0.9%	0.5%	0.5%	7.9% 0.6950	2.3% 0.0306	— N/A	3.7%	1.4% 0.9515	_ N/A	- 0.0896	17.7% 0.0041
	P-value 2002 (184)	1.1%	5.4%	22.3%	5.4%	0.1012 0.5%	0.5%	0.8998 3.3%	12.5%	1.1%	IN/A	0.2778 13.6%	1.6%	- N/A	0.5%	52.7%
	2002 (104) 2003 (218)	1.4%	3.4 % 8.7%	22.3 <i>%</i> 19.7%	5.1%	0.9%	0.9%	2.3%	15.1%	2.8%		13.3%	4.1%	_	0.5%	46.3%
	2003 (210) 2004 (232)	1.3%	8.2%	21.1%	5.6%	0.4%	0.3%	2.2%	19.4%	3.9%		15.1%	4.3%	_	0.570	56.0%
	2004 (202)	-	7.3%	13.2%	2.9%	-	0.5%	1.5%	14.1%	1.5%		16.1%	3.4%	_	1.5%	45.9%
	2006 (182)	1.1%	6.0%	13.7%	2.2%	_	0.6%	1.6%	20.3%	2.2%		15.9%	6.6%	_	0.5%	52.7%
	2007 (152)	1.3%	4.6%	13.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	11.8%	1.3%		15.8%	3.9%	_	-	50.0%
	2008 (146)	1.4%	6.2%	19.9%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	16.4%	6.2%		15.1%	3.4%	_	_	54.8%
	2009 (147)	4.1%	6.1%	19.7%	6.8%	6.8%	6.8%	6.8%	14.3%	2.7%		11.6%	4.8%	_	_	46.9%
	2010 (183)	2.7%	7.7%	19.7%	2.2%	-	_	0.5%	16.4%	3.8%		19.1%	1.6%	_	0.5%	44.3%
	2011 (146)	0.7%	1.4%	15.1%	_	_	_	_	10.3%	3.4%	_	13.0%	2.7%	_	_	46.6%
	P-value	0.0477	0.0895	0.2094	0.0105	0.1461	0.2000	0.2765	0.2305	0.2315	N/A	0.6262	0.7389	N/A	0.4151	0.0682

Table 26. Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance among *Escherichia coli* by Meat Type, 2002-2011¹

² Sulfisoxazole replaced Sulfamethoxazole on NARMS panel in 2004.

³ Data for Azithromycin available beginning in 2011.

⁴ P-values calculated using a binary logistic random effects regression model to account for site variation. P-values are not available (N/A) for antimicrobials where resistance has only one level, i.e. zero, or when there is insufficient variation among the resistance observed. P-values < 0.05 indicate a trend.





Figure 13. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Chicken Breast and Ground Turkey, 2002-2011

Figure 14. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Ground Beef and Pork Chop, 2002-2011






Figure 15. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Retail Chicken and Ground Turkey, 2002-2011

Figure 16. Temporal Variation in Resistance to Selected Antimicrobials in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Ground Beef and Pork Chop, 2002-2011

AMP




Table 27. Multidrug Resistance Patterns a	among <i>Escherichia coli</i> Isolates by	⁷ Year, 2002-2011 ¹

Year		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Number of Isolates	Retail Chicken Ground Turkey	282 304	396 333	400 376	393 397	418 388	299 315	306 300	315 306	357 369	341 368
Tested by Source	Ground Beef Pork Chop	295 184	311 218	338 232	316 205	295 182	256 152	250 146	247 147	269 183	215 146
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source										
1. At Least ACSSuT ²	Retail Chicken	0.4% 1	_	1.3% 5	0.3% 1	1.4% 6	2.0% 6	1.0% 3	0.6% 2	1.1% 4	1.2% 4
Resistant	Ground Turkey	_	2.7% 9	0.5%	1.8% 7	0.8%	1.9% 6	2.0% 6	2.3% 7	2.2% 8	3.0% 11
		0.3%	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	-	_	0.4%	0.9%
	Ground Beef	1 0.5%	3 1.4%	5 1.3%	2 1.0%	1 1.1%	1 0.7%	1.4%	2.0%	1 0.5%	2
	Pork Chop	1	3	3	2	2	1	2	3	1	
2. At Least ACT/S ³	Retail Chicken	-	-	0.3% 1	-	-	0.3% 1	-	-	0.3% 1	-
Resistant	Ground Turkey	-	0.9% 3	-	0.8% 3	0.3% 1	0.3% 1	-	0.3% 1	1.1% 4	-
	Ground Beef	-	-	-	0.3% 1	0.3% 1	-	-	_	-	-
	Pork Chop	0.5% 1	_	0.4% 1	0.5% 1	_	_	_	0.7% 1	_	_
3. At Least ACSSuTAuCx ⁴	Chicken Breast	0.4% 1	_	1.0% 4	0.3% 1	1.0% 4	0.7% 2	0.7% 2	0.6% 2	0.8% 3	1.2% 4
Resistant	Ground Turkey	_	0.3% 1	-	0.3% 1	_	1.3% 4	1.3% 4	1.0% 3	1.1% 4	2.2% 8
	Ground Beef	_	_	0.9% 3	0.3%	_	_	_	_	0.4% 1	_
	Pork Chop	_	0.5% 1	0.4% 1	-	_	0.7% 1	0.7% 1	2.0% 3	_	_
4. At Least Ceftriaxone and	Retail Chicken	0.7% 2	0.5% 2	1.5% 6	0.3% 1	0.2% 1	-	1.0% 3	1.0% 3	0.3% 1	-
Nalidixic Acid Resistant	Ground Turkey	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	_	0.6% 2	_	_	_	0.3%
	Ground Beef	_	_	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%		_	0.4%	_	_
	Pork Chop	0.5% 1	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	_

¹ Dashes indicate 0.0% resistance.

² ACSSuT = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole/sulfisoxazole, and tetracycline.

 3 ACT/S = ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

⁴ ACSSuTAuCx = ACSSuT, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and ceftriaxone.

Year		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	Retail Chicken	282	396	400	393	418	299	306	315	357	341
Number of Isolates	Ground Turkey	304	333	376	396	388	315	300	306	369	368
Tested by Source	Ground Beef	295	311	338	316	295	256	250	247	269	215
	Pork Chop	184	218	232	205	182	152	146	147	183	146
Resistance Pattern	Isolate Source										
		27.0%	21.7%	20.8%	20.6%	23.7%	29.1%	33.3%	34.3%	33.3%	25.2%
1. No Resistance	Retail Chicken	76	86	83	81	99	87	102	108	119	86
Detected		17.1%	15.9%	19.1%	16.2%	16.0%	13.0%	8.3%	11.8%	17.3%	13.3%
	Ground Turkey	52	53	72	64	62	41	25	36	64	49
		64.4%	70.7%	73.1%	80.4%	71.5%	77.0%	73.2%	78.1%	76.6%	79.5%
	Ground Beef	190	220	247	254	211	197	183	193	206	171
		43.5%	49.5%	37.9%	49.3%	42.9%	48.0%	43.8%	51.0%	50.8%	52.1%
	Pork Chop	80	108	88	101	78	73	64	75	93	76
		34.8%	38.9%	35.3%	45.0%	43.3%	33.8%	36.6%	37.5%	28.6%	37.5%
2. Resistance to ≥ 3	Retail Chicken	98	154	141	177	181	101	112	118	102	128
Antimicrobial Classes		53.3%	53.5%	51.9%	52.5%	55.2%	57.5%	63.7%	66.3%	55.3%	64.4%
	Ground Turkey	162	178	195	208	214	181	191	203	204	237
		8.1%	6.4%	10.4%	5.4%	11.5%	9.0%	11.2%	6.9%	11.5%	6.0%
	Ground Beef	24	20	35	17	34	23	28	17	31	13
		16.8%	16.5%	21.1%	16.1%	15.9%	15.1%	17.8%	15.0%	17.5%	8.9%
	Pork Chop	31	36	49	33	29	23	26	22	32	13
		11.3%	11.1%	12.5%	12.2%	14.6%	10.4%	13.7%	13.7%	10.6%	13.5%
 Resistance to ≥ 4 	Retail Chicken	32	44	50	48	61	31	42	43	38	46
Antimicrobial Classes		20.1%	26.1%	24.5%	24.0%	25.8%	27.0%	32.3%	38.9%	28.2%	34.5%
	Ground Turkey	61	87	92	95	100	85	97	119	104	127
		1.7%	3.9%	4.7%	1.9%	5.8%	4.7%	4.4%	3.6%	3.0%	1.9%
	Ground Beef	5	12	16	6	17	12	11	9	8	4
		4.3%	6.0%	7.8%	4.9%	7.7%	3.3%	7.5%	10.9%	6.0%	2.1%
	Pork Chop	8	13	18	10	14	5	11	16	11	3
		4.6%	5.8%	6.0%	5.9%	7.4%	5.7%	8.2%	6.3%	4.5%	6.5%
4. Resistance to ≥ 5	Retail Chicken	13	23	24	23	31	17	25	20	16	22
Antimicrobial Classes		3.6%	7.8%	6.9%	6.3%	5.7%	4.1%	6.3%	7.8%	6.5%	10.9%
	Ground Turkey	11	26	26	25	22	13	19	24	24	40
		0.3%	2.6%	2.7%	1.0%	2.4%	0.4%	2.0%	1.2%	0.7%	0.9%
	Ground Beef	1	8	9	3	7	1	5	3	2	2
		3.3%	2.8%	2.2%	1.5%	3.3%	1.3%	4.1%	5.4%	1.1%	_
	Pork Chop	3	6	5	3	6	2	6	8	2	
		2.8%	2.8%	3.3%	3.6%	5.3%	3.3%	6.2%	4.4%	1.7%	3.5%
5. Resistance to ≥ 6	Retail Chicken	8	11	13	14	22	10	19	14	6	12
Antimicrobial Classes		1.6%	1.8%	3.2%	1.8%	3.1%	2.9%	4.0%	3.6%	3.5%	6.5%
	Ground Turkey	5	6	12	7	12	9	12	11	13	24
		0.3%	0.6%	2.1%	0.6%	1.7%	_	1.6%	0.4%	0.4%	_
	Ground Beef	1	2	7	2	5		4	1	1	
		1.1%	1.8%	0.4%	0.5%	1.1%	0.7%	2.1%	4.1%	0.5%	-
	Pork Chop	2	4	1	1	2	1	3	6	1	

Table 28. Multidrug Resistance among *Escherichia coli* Isolates by Antimicrobial Class, 2002-2011^{1,2}

¹ Dashes indicate 0.0% resistance.

² NARMS Retail Meat Reports 2002-2010 included amikacin in the multidrug resistance patterns. Begining in 2011, multidrug resistance patterns contain azithromycin.
 Because resistance to azithromycin and amikacin are less than 1%, the multidrug resistance patterns are comparable across years.

- ----

			Table	29.1 MIC Di	stributi	on am	ong E	scheri	chia co							1					
	.			•								on (%)					~ ~	400		- 40	
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%I ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides	2002 (282)	3.2	23.1	[18.3 - 28.4]					3.6	46.1	20.2	2.5	1.4	3.2	9.2	13.8					
Gentamicin	2002 (202) 2003 (396)		29.3	[24.9 - 34.0]					3.5	43.9	20.2	1.5	0.3	1.3	10.6	18.7					
	2004 (400)		30.0	[25.5 - 34.8]					5.8	43.3	14.8	2.5	1.0	2.8	10.0	20.0					
	2005 (393)	2.8	37.7	[32.9 - 42.7]					3.8	36.6	17.0	1.3	0.8	2.8	17.6	20.1					
	2006 (418)		37.3	[32.7 - 42.2]					2.4	36.1	18.7	2.4	1.2	1.9	12.2	25.1					
	2007 (299) 2008 (306)		34.4 34.0	[29.1 - 40.1] [28.7 - 39.6]					2.3	43.5 15.7	14.4 45.8	2.3 2.9	1.0 0.3	2.0 1.3	14.4 3.6	20.1 30.4					
	2009 (300)		34.3	[29.1 - 39.8]					2.9	32.4	26.3	1.9	0.5	2.2	8.6	25.7					
	2010 (357)		31.9	[27.1 - 37.0]					3.4	39.8	19.6	1.7	0.3	3.4	14.0	17.9					
	2011 (341)		38.4	[33.2 - 43.8]					0.3	29.6	28.4	1.8	0.6		9.7	28.7					
Kanamycin	2002 (282)		6.0	[3.6 - 9.5]										91.5	2.5	10		6.0			
	2003 (396) 2004 (400)		6.8 6.8	[4.5 - 9.8] [4.5 - 9.7]										84.1 81.8	7.8 10.5	1.3 1.0	0.5	6.3 6.8			
	2005 (393)		7.1	[4.8 - 10.1]										84.0	7.9	1.0		7.1			
	2006 (418)		11.5	[8.6 - 14.9]										77.5	10.0	1.0	0.5	11.0			
	2007 (299)		9.0	[6.0 - 12.9]										81.9	8.4	0.7	0.7	8.4			
	2008 (306)		6.9	[4.3 - 10.3]										74.8	15.7	2.6	0.3	6.5			
	2009 (315) 2010 (357)		5.4 6.2	[3.2 - 8.5] [3.9 - 9.2]										83.2 89.4	11.1 3.9	0.3 0.6	0.6 0.3	4.8 5.9			
	2010 (337) 2011 (341)		5.6	[3.9 - 9.2] [3.4 - 8.6]										89.7	4.1		0.3	5.3			
Streptomycin			49.3	[43.3 - 55.3]												50.7	11.4	37.9			
	2003 (396)	N/A	56.1	[51.0 - 61.0]												44.0	15.2	40.9			
	2004 (400)		56.8	[51.7 - 61.7]												43.3	13.0	43.8			
	2005 (393)		50.6	[45.6 - 55.7]												49.1 51.9	17.8 18.7	33.1 29.4			
	2006 (418) 2007 (299)		48.1 46.8	[43.2 - 53.0] [41.1 - 52.7]												53.2	18.1				
	2008 (306)		43.8	[38.2 - 49.6]												56.2	13.7				
	2009 (315)		38.1	[32.7 - 43.7]												61.9	16.5	21.6			
	2010 (357)		39.2	• •												60.8	11.2				
β-Lactams/	2011 (341)	N/A	43.4	[38.1 - 48.8]												56.6	17.6	25.8			
β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor																					
Combinations																					
	2002 (282)		12.1	[8.5 - 16.4]							3.2		47.9	12.4	3.2	6.0	6.0				
Clavulanic Acid	. ,		13.6 10.0	[10.4 - 17.4]							2.3 1.8	21.2	45.7 51.3	15.7 14.8	1.5	4.3 7.3	9.3 2.8				
	2004 (400) 2005 (393)		12.2	[7.2 - 13.4] [9.1 - 15.9]							2.8		47.3	19.1	0.5 1.8	9.9	2.0				
	2006 (418)		11.5	[8.6 - 14.9]							1.4		50.0	13.2	0.7	8.1	3.3				
	2007 (299)		7.4	[4.7 - 10.9]							1.7	31.4	47.5	11.7	0.3	7.0	0.3				
	2008 (306)		11.8	[8.4 - 15.9]							2.3		41.8	19.9	2.9	7.5	4.3				
	2009 (315)		13.3	[9.8 - 17.6]							2.5		46.0	13.7	1.0	9.2	4.1				
	2010 (357) 2011 (341)		6.7 14.1	[4.4 - 9.8] [10.6 - 18.2]							4.8 2.6		44.0 44.6	12.0 16.1	1.4 0.3	5.9 11.1	0.8 2.9				
Cephems	2011 (041)	0.0	14.1	[10.0 10.2]							2.0	22.0	44.0	10.1	0.0		2.5				
Ceftiofur	2002 (282)	0.4	7.1	[4.4 - 10.7]				6.4	48.9	29.8	6.0	1.4	0.4	5.3	1.8						
	2003 (396)		7.6	[5.2 - 10.6]				4.0	43.2	39.4	3.3	1.0	1.5	4.8	2.8						
	2004 (400)		5.8	[3.7 - 8.5]				4.8	50.5	35.3	2.8	0.5	1.0	4.3	1.5						
	2005 (393) 2006 (418)		8.7 8.6	[6.1 - 11.9] [6.1 - 11.7]				2.0 1.2	38.7 25.6	46.3 60.3	2.3 1.9	0.5 2.2	1.5 0.2	6.7 5.5	2.0 3.1						
	2000 (418) 2007 (299)		6.0	[3.6 - 9.3]				0.7	37.1	54.5	0.3	1.0	0.2	3.3	2.7						
	2008 (306)		10.8	[7.5 - 14.8]				1.3	22.9	58.5	5.9	0.3	0.3	7.5	3.3						
	2009 (315)		11.7	[8.4 - 15.8]				2.5	28.3	54.6	1.3	1.0	0.6	6.3	5.4						
	2010 (357)		5.6	[3.5 - 8.5]				3.4	45.4	42.0	2.5		1.1	5.0	0.6						
Ceftriavone	2011 (341) 2002 (282)		12.3 7.8	[9.0 - 16.3] [5.0 - 11.6]				0.6	33.1 87.6	51.3 1.8	2.1 2.5	0.3 0.4	0.3 1.8	5.9 3.9	6.5 2.1						
Centraxone	2002 (202) 2003 (396)		9.1	[6.4 - 12.4]					87.1	1.0	2.5	0.3	1.5	3.5	3.5	0.5					
	2004 (400)		6.5	[4.3 - 9.4]					90.0	1.3	2.0	0.3		3.5	2.0	1.0					
	2005 (393)		10.2	[7.4 - 13.6]					87.0	0.8	1.8	0.3	1.0	5.9	2.5	0.3	0.5				
	2006 (418)		9.1	[6.5 - 12.3]					88.5	0.7	1.4	0.2		4.3	3.8	0.2	0.7				
	2007 (299)		6.4 11 1	[3.9 - 9.7] [7 8 - 15 2]					92.6 88.6		1.0	0.3	0.3 0.7	3.0 5.9	2.3 4.3	0.3 0.3	0.3				
	2008 (306) 2009 (315)		11.1 12.4	[7.8 - 15.2] [9.0 - 16.5]					86.3	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.7	5.9 5.7	4.3 4.4	0.3 1.9					
	2010 (357)		6.4	[4.1 - 9.5]					92.7	0.6	0.3		0.6	2.8	3.1						
	2011 (341)	0.0	12.6	[9.3 - 16.6]					85.9	0.3	1.2			2.9	9.1	0.6					
Cefoxitin	2002 (282)		11.0	[7.6 - 15.2]							1.1		52.5	14.2	5.0	11.0					
	2003 (396)		9.3	[6.7 - 12.6]							0.2		50.5	25.8	3.8	9.3	4.5				
	2004 (400) 2005 (393)		8.3 11.2	[5.7 - 11.4] [8.3 - 14.7]							0.3 1.0	15.5 24.9	53.0 49.9	20.8	2.3 1.5	3.8 4.3	4.5 6.9				
	2005 (333) 2006 (418)		11.2	[8.4 - 14.7]							0.2		4 3.3 57.2		2.4	3.8	7.4				
	2007 (299)		7.4	[4.7 - 10.9]							0.3		61.2		1.3	2.0	5.4				
	2008 (306)		11.8	[8.4 - 15.9]							1.3	8.8	57.2		2.3	3.9	7.8				
	2009 (315)		13.3	[9.8 - 17.6]							1.0		61.0	9.8 12.6	2 5	3.5	9.8 2 0				
	2010 (357) 2011 (341)		6.7 13.2	[4.4 - 9.8] [9.8 - 17.3]							1.4	21.8 13.2	54.9 61.9		2.5 1.2	2.8 3.5	3.9 9.7				
¹ Percent of isolates with in					· .	P 4 4						10.2	51.3	10.0	1.4	0.0	3.1				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

¹ Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.
 ³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.
 ⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

Table 29.1 MIC Distribution among Escherichia coli from Retail Chicken, 2002-2011 continued

	-	able	23.1	MIC Distribu	ition an	nong	Esche	ncina (.0// 110						4		1				
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	o/ 1 ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0 015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0 25		ributic 1	on (%) 2	01 IVII 4	CS (µ 8	g/ml)⁴ 16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
	rour (ii)	/01	/0R	[95 /6 CI]	0.010				0.20				_								
Folate Pathway Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (282)	NI/A	32.3	[26.8 - 38.1]											66.0	1.42		0.35	1		32.3
Gunamotrioxazolo	2002 (202)		38.4	[33.6 - 43.4]											59.8	1.3	0.5	0.00			38.4
Sulfisoxazole			41.3	[36.4 - 46.2]											48.5	6.3	4.0			41.3	
	2005 (393)		48.1	[43.1 - 53.2]											39.4	9.2	2.8	0.3	0.3	48.1	
	2006 (418)		46.9	[42.0 - 51.8]											33.0	18.2	1.9			46.9	
	2007 (299)		42.1												41.8	14.7	1.3			42.1	
	2008 (306)		39.2	[33.7 - 44.9]											47.1	13.4	0.3			39.2	
	2009 (315) 2010 (357)		40.6 38.9	[35.2 - 46.3] [33.8 - 44.2]												16.5 25.2	1.9 0.8	0.6		40.6 38.9	
	2010 (337) 2011 (341)		44.3	[38.9 - 49.7]												14.1	1.5	0.0	0.3		
Trimethoprim-			3.5	[1.7 - 6.4]				82.6	6.4	6.0	0.4	1.1	1	3.6	00.0		1.0		0.0		
Sulfamethoxazole			7.1	[4.7 - 10.1]				83.6	5.3	2.3	1.3	0.5		7.1							
	2004 (400)	N/A	4.3	[2.5 - 6.7]				85.5	7.0	2.5	0.5	0.3		4.3							
	2005 (393)		7.4	[5.0 - 10.4]				66.2	17.3	6.4	2.5	0.3	0.5	6.9							
	2006 (418)		8.9	[6.3 - 12.0]				58.1	18.9	9.8	3.3	1.0	1.0	7.9							
	2007 (299) 2008 (306)		5.0 3.6	[2.8 - 8.1] [1.8 - 6.3]				51.8 69.0	28.4 20.6	9.7 4.6	4.7 1.6	0.3 0.7	0.3	4.7 3.6							
	2008 (300) 2009 (315)		2.2	[0.9 - 4.5]				78.1	13.0	4.1	1.6	1.0		2.2							
	2010 (357)		4.2	[2.4 - 6.8]				77.6	10.4	5.6	1.1	1.1	0.6	3.6							
	2011 (341)		2.3	[1.0 - 4.6]				77.4	15.0	3.5	1.2	0.6		2.3							
Macrolides	, ,											•									
Azithromycin	2011 (341)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]						0.3	0.9	22.0	71.0	5.9							
Penicillins																					
Ampicillin	2002 (282)		21.6	[17.0 - 26.9]							6.0		39.0	5.3	0.4	0.4	21.3				
	2003 (396) 2004 (400)		25.3 17.0	[21.0 - 29.8] [13.4 - 21.0]							1.5 6.7		43.9 34.0	4.5 1.7	0.3 0.3	0.5 0.3	24.7 16.8				
	2004 (400) 2005 (393)		24.7	[20.5 - 29.3]							5.9		31.8	1.5	0.8	0.3	24.4				
	2006 (418)		20.1	• •							8.1	39.7		1.4	0.5	•.•	20.1				
	2007 (299)			[13.9 - 22.9]							6.4		28.4	0.3		0.3	17.7				
	2008 (306)	0.0	23.5	[18.9 - 28.7]							5.9	35.6	33.3	1.6		0.3	23.2				
	2009 (315)		22.2	[17.8 - 27.2]							9.2			1.0			22.2				
	2010 (357)		16.5	[12.8 - 20.8]							13.4		21.3	0.3	0.3		16.5				
Phenicols	2011 (341)	0.0	26.4	[21.8 - 31.4]							8.5	43.1	21.4	0.6		ļ	26.4				
Chloramphenicol	2002 (282)	18	0.7	[0.1 - 2.5]								3.9	41.5	52.1	1.8	1	0.7				
emerampriemeer	2003 (396)		0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]								1.5	25.5	69.4	3.5		•				
	2004 (400)		1.8	[0.7 - 3.6]								3.3	34.5	58.0	2.5	0.3	1.5				
	2005 (393)	2.0	0.5	[0.1 - 1.8]								2.5	41.2	53.7	2.0		0.5				
	2006 (418)		2.6	[1.3 - 4.7]								1.0	39.5	56.0	1.0	0.2	2.4				
	2007 (299)		2.0	[0.7 - 4.3]								1.0	35.8	59.9	1.3	0.7	1.3				
	2008 (306)		1.0	[0.2 - 2.8]								1.6 7.3	42.5	53.9 33.7	1.0		1.0				
	2009 (315) 2010 (357)		0.6 1.4	[0.1 - 2.3] [0.5 - 3.2]								7.3 5.0	57.5 56.0	37.0	1.0 0.6		0.6 1.4				
	2010 (307)		1.2	[0.3 - 3.0]								5.9	59.2		0.0		1.2				
Quinolones				[]												1					
Ciprofloxacin	2002 (282)	0.4	0.0	[0.0 - 1.3]	90.4	6.4	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.4									
	2003 (396)		0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]	92.9	3.0		2.3	1.5	0.3											
	2004 (400)		0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]	90.3	2.3	0.5	1.7	4.0	1.2											
	2005 (393)		0.0	[0.0 - 0.9]	84.2	4.8	2.3	3.8	4.6	0.3											
	2006 (418) 2007 (299)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 0.9] [0.0 - 1.2]	93.3 96.7	1.7 0.3	0.2	1.2 1.0	2.9 1.7	0.7 0.3											
	2007 (235)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]	93.8	2.9		0.3	2.6	0.3											
	2009 (315)		0.3	[0.0 - 1.8]	96.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	2.2					0.3							
	2010 (357)	0.0	0.3	[0.0 - 1.6]	95.0	1.4		0.6	2.5		0.3			0.3							
	2011 (341)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.1]	96.8	0.6		1.2	1.5												
Nalidixic Acid	· · ·		2.8	[1.2 - 5.5]							1.1		72.3	5.7	0.4		2.8				
	2003 (396)		4.0	[2.3 - 6.5]							4.0		43.2	1.3		0.3	3.8				
	2004 (400)		7.0	[4.7 - 10.0] [4.4 - 9.5]							6.5		23.2	0.3 2.0	1.0	0.3 0.5	6.8				
	2005 (393) 2006 (418)		6.6 5.0	[4.4 - 9.5] [3.1 - 7.6]						0.5	8.1 6.9	66.4 72.5	15.8 14 8	2.0	1.0 0.2	0.5	6.1 5.0				
	2000 (410) 2007 (299)		3.0	[1.4 - 5.6]						0.0	11.0	78.6	7.4		0.2		3.0				
	2008 (306)		2.9	[1.4 - 5.5]						1.0		70.3		0.3		0.3	2.6				
	2009 (315)		2.9	[1.3 - 5.4]						1.0		74.0	4.4		0.3	0.3	2.5				
	2010 (357)	N/A	3.6	[2.0 - 6.1]						1.7		70.6	7.0				3.6				
	2011 (341)	N/A	2.3	[1.0 - 4.6]							19.1	71.9	6.4		0.3	0.3	2.1				
Tetracyclines	2002 (222)		40.4	[40.0 50.4]									50.0	4.4			42.0				
Tetracycline			46.1 12 9	[40.2 - 52.1]									52.8	1.1	1.1 0.8	1.4	43.6				
	2003 (396) 2004 (400)		42.9 48.0	[38.0 - 48.0] [43.0 - 53.0]									55.6 51.2	1.5 0.8	0.8 0.5	1.0 3.3	41.2 44.3				
	2004 (400) 2005 (393)		46.6	[43.0 - 53.0] [41.5 - 51.6]									51.2	2.0	0.0	3.3 2.8	44.3				
	2005 (393) 2006 (418)		50.5	[45.6 - 55.4]									47.4	2.0	1.2	4.8	44.5				
	2007 (299)		40.5										57.2	2.3		2.3	38.1				
	2008 (306)	0.7		[38.2 - 49.6]									55.6	0.7	1.0	2.3	40.5				
	2009 (315)		41.6	[36.1 - 47.2]									57.1	1.3	1.0	2.2	38.4				
	2010 (357)		38.9	[33.8 - 44.2]									59.9	1.1	0.3	0.8	37.8				
	2011 (341)	1.2	40.8	[35.5 - 46.2]									58.1	1.2		2.1	38.7				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

4 Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensitive plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensitive plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

Table 29.2 MIC Distribution among	Escherichia coli from	Ground Turkey	, 2002-2011

			Table 2	29.2 MIC Di	stributi	on am	ong E	scheric	hia co				-								
	Veer (n)	1			0.045	0.02	0.00	0 405	0.05			• • •		lCs (µ	• •		64	400	250	540	4004
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l'	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides Gentamicin	2002 (304)	13	27.0	[7.7 - 25.0]					5.9	47.4	16.5	1.6	0.3	1.3	12.2	14.8					
Containion	2003 (333)		29.7	[15.5 - 31.6]					5.1	42.3	18.3	2.1	0.9	1.5	10.5	19.2					
	2004 (376)		29.3						4.8	42.6	19.1	2.1		2.1	12.5	16.8					
	2005 (396)		27.5	[20.5 - 33.8]					4.0	46.2	17.2	2.0	4.0	3.0	12.4	15.2					
	2006 (388) 2007 (315)		29.6 27.0	[22.0 - 36.6] [22.2 - 32.2]					0.8 5.4	42.3 43.2	20.4 18.1	2.3 0.3	1.0 0.6	3.6 5.4	11.9 15.2	17.8 11.7					
	2007 (313) 2008 (300)		37.0	[31.5 - 42.7]					0.3	15.3	39.3	6.3	0.0	1.7	7.0	30.0					
	2009 (306)		37.9	[32.4 - 43.6]					1.6	27.1	29.1	1.6	0.7	2.0	12.8	25.2					
	2010 (369)		24.9						4.3	45.0	18.7	1.1	2.2	3.8	11.7	13.3					
Kanamusin	2011 (368)		32.6	[27.8 - 37.7]						29.6	31.3	1.4	0.3	4.9	8.7	23.9	0.2	40.0			
Kanamycin	2002 (304) 2003 (333)		13.2 16.8	[10.7 - 29.7] [19.3 - 36.3]										82.2 74.2	3.6 7.5	1.0 1.5	0.3 0.3	12.8 16.5			
	2003 (300)			[12.3 - 25.7]										75.0	6.9	2.1	0.3	15.7			
	2005 (396)			[14.7 - 26.8]										84.1	4.0	0.5	0.3	11.1			
	2006 (388)		14.7	[9.9 - 21.6]										78.4	5.9	1.0	0.8	13.9			
	2007 (315)		15.6 19.0	[11.7 - 20.0] [14.7 - 23.9]										80.3 69.0	3.8 10.7	0.3 1.3	0.3	15.6 18.7			
	2008 (300) 2009 (306)			[14.7 - 25.9] [16.2 - 25.6]										76.1	3.3	1.5	0.5	20.6			
	2010 (369)		21.4	[17.3 - 26.0]										77.8	0.8			21.4			
	2011 (368)		24.7											73.4	1.9			24.7			
Streptomycin			57.6	[26.8 - 49.9]												42.4	23.0	34.5			
	2003 (333) 2004 (376)		54.7 49.2	[36.3 - 55.2] [26.7 - 42.9]												45.3 50.8	17.7 18.6	36.9 30.6			
	2004 (370) 2005 (396)		43.4	• •												56.6	19.2				
	2006 (388)		43.8	[33.2 - 48.9]												56.2	19.8				
	2007 (315)		44.8	[39.2 - 50.4]												55.2	23.2				
	2008 (300)		57.3													42.7	14.7	42.7			
	2009 (306) 2010 (369)		57.5 47.7	[51.8 - 63.1] [42.5 - 52.9]												42.5 52.3		39.5 25.7			
	2011 (368)			[55.1 - 65.4]													27.2				
β-Lactams/																1					
β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor Combinations																					
	2002 (304)	4.3	5.6	[5.7 - 21.8]							1.6	18.1	46.1	24.3	4.3	4.6	1.0				
Clavulanic Acid			3.0	[6.2 - 18.7]							3.0		45.6	27.0	6.0	1.5	1.5				
	2004 (376)		5.3	[3.9 - 13.4]							1.3		41.8	28.2	3.5	4.5	0.8				
	2005 (396)		3.8	[5.1 - 13.8]							4.8		42.7	31.3	5.1	2.8	1.0				
	2006 (388) 2007 (315)		6.7 6.3	[2.2 - 9.7] [3.9 - 9.6]							2.3 1.3		41.0 34.9	31.4 31.7	6.2 9.5	6.2 4.4	0.5 1.9				
	2008 (300)		8.3	[5.5 - 12.1]								8.0	29.7	32.7	21.3	6.7	1.7				
	2009 (306)		9.8	[6.7 - 13.7]							1.6		31.0	32.7	14.4	6.5	3.3				
	2010 (369)		10.0	[7.2 - 13.6]							2.4	15.4		32.2	9.2	9.2	0.8				
Cephems	2011 (368)	12.8	13.0	[9.8 - 16.9]							1.9	13.6	32.1	26.6	12.8	9.5	3.5				
	2002 (304)	0.0	1.0	[3.0 - 16.8]				5.3	57.6	33.2	2.6	0.3		1.0							
	2003 (333)		0.3	[0.5 - 7.5]				4.2	55.3	38.7	1.2	0.3		0.3							
	2004 (376)		1.1	[2.0 - 9.9]				1.9	47.9	45.2	2.4	1.3	0.3	0.5	0.5						
	2005 (396)		1.8	[3.8 - 11.8]				1.3	51.3	41.7	2.0	1.8	0.2	0.8	1.0						
	2006 (388) 2007 (315)		3.1 6.0	[2.2 - 9.7] [3.7 - 9.3]				1.0	26.8 31.7	62.9 61.0	5.7 1.3	0.5		0.8 2.2	2.3 3.8						
	2008 (300)		3.7	[1.8 - 6.5]				0.7	17.7	71.0	4.7	1.7	0.7	1.0	2.7						
	2009 (306)		6.2	[3.8 - 9.5]				2.0	29.1	57.8	3.6	0.7	0.7	3.6	2.6						
	2010 (369)		7.9	[5.3 - 11.1]				1.4	41.7	45.3	2.2	0.5	1.1	5.4	2.4						
Ceftriaxone	2011 (368) 2002 (304)		9.8 1.3	[6.9 - 13.3] [0.4 - 3.3]				1.9	38.6 95.7	45.9 2.3	1.4 0.7	2.2	0.3 0.7	3.0 0.7	6.8						
Centraxone	2002 (304) 2003 (333)		0.3	[0.0 - 1.7]					97.9	0.3	1.2	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.3						
	2004 (376)	0.0	1.3	[0.4 - 3.1]					95.5	1.3	1.9			0.8	0.3	0.3					
	2005 (396)		2.3	[1.0 - 4.3]					93.7	1.8	2.0	0.3		1.0	1.0	0.3					
	2006 (388)		3.1	[1.6 - 5.3] [3.7 - 9.3]					93.6 93.3	1.8 0.6	1.3	0.3		0.5 1.3	1.5 3.2	0.8 1.3	0.3 0.3				
	2007 (315) 2008 (300)		6.0 3.7	[3.7 - 9.3] [1.8 - 6.5]					93.3 93.0	0.8	2.0	1.0		2.0	3.∠ 1.3	0.3	0.5				
	2009 (306)		6.9	[4.3 - 10.3]					91.2	0.7	1.3			3.6	2.9	0.3					
	2010 (369)		8.9	[6.2 - 12.3]					90.0	0.3	0.5	0.3	1.1	3.5	3.5	0.5	0.3				
o r	2011 (368)		10.1	[7.2 - 13.6]					87.0	0.3	2.2	0.5	E7 0	2.2	6.8	1.1					
Ceroxitin	2002 (304) 2003 (333)		3.3 1.2	[1.6 - 6.0] [0.5 - 7.5]							0.3		57.6 60.4	19.7 22.2	2.3 3.3	3.3 1.2					
	2003 (333) 2004 (376)		4.5	[0.0 - 7.0]							0.8		55.8	16.0	0.8	2.7	1.9				
	2005 (396)		3.3	[3.8 - 11.8]							2.0	35.9	47.2	10.6	1.0	1.3	2.0				
	2006 (388)		6.2	[2.2 - 9.7]							0.3		60.3		2.3	2.6	3.6				
	2007 (315)		6.3	[3.9 - 9.6]							0.3		61.9 59.3	14.0	0.6	1.6	4.8				
	2008 (300) 2009 (306)		6.3 7.8	[3.9 - 9.7] [5.1 - 11.4]							0.3 1.0			17.7 11.4	1.7 1.6	2.0 3.3	4.3 4.6				
																4.1					
	2010 (369)	1.6	9.2	[6.5 - 12.6]							0.3	21.7	56.1	11.1	1.6	4.1	5.1				

² Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility. IVA used when there is no intermediate breakpoint established.
 ² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.
 ³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.
 ⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

Table 29.2 MIC Distribution among	Ecohorichia coli from Group	d Turkov 2002 2011 continued
	Escherichia con Itolli Gioul	

	I	able	29.2	MIC Distribu	tion an	nong I	Esche	richia c	oli fro						4		<u> </u>				
			•	2								• • •		••	g/ml)⁴						
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Folate Pathway	0000 (004)		• •	[44.0.04.0]											40.0	4.0	1.0		- 1		40.0
Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (304) 2003 (333)		3.9 6.9	[11.8 - 31.2] [24.8 - 42.8]											49.3 45.9	1.6 2.1	1.0		0.3		48.0 51.7
Sulfisoxazole			48.4	[20.9 - 36.3]											44.4	3.2	4.0		0.0	48.4	•
	2005 (396)		48.0	[27.6 - 41.8]											33.1	14.4	4.5			48.0	
	2006 (388)		48.5	[24.9 - 39.9]											25.3	23.2	2.8		0.3	48.5	
	2007 (315)		48.9	[43.2 - 54.6]												14.6	1.9		0.3	48.9	
	2008 (300) 2009 (306)		51.0 53.9	[45.2 - 56.8] [48.2 - 59.6]												14.7 15.0	0.3 1.6			51.0 53.9	
	2010 (369)		44.7	[39.6 - 49.9]												21.1	3.0	0.3		44.7	
	2011 (368)		51.9	[46.7 - 57.1]												17.4	0.8			51.9	
Trimethoprim-			3.9	[0.0 - 7.3]				77.3	13.5	4.9	0.3			4.0							
Sulfamethoxazole			6.9	[0.0 - 3.2]				81.7	7.5	3.0	0.6	0.3		6.9							
	2004 (376) 2005 (396)		3.7 5.1	[0.0 - 2.6] [0.0 - 3.0]				83.8 69.4	9.3 18.2	2.7 5.8	0.5 1.3	0.3	0.3	3.7 4.8							
	2006 (388)		8.0	[0.0 - 2.3]				61.1	17.8	7.2	4.4	1.5	0.5	7.5							
	2007 (315)		7.9	[5.2 - 11.5]				44.1	35.2	9.2	1.9	1.6		7.9							
	2008 (300)		5.3	[3.1 - 8.5]				55.0	24.0	10.3	3.7	1.7		5.3							
	2009 (306)		5.9	[3.5 - 9.1]				69.3	16.3	6.2	1.0	1.3	0.5	5.9							
	2010 (369) 2011 (368)		5.1 4.3	[3.1 - 7.9] [2.5 - 7.0]				75.3 70.1	13.0 19.3	3.8 4.6	1.6 1.1	1.1 0.5	0.5	4.6 4.3							
Macrolides	2011 (300)	IN/A	4.5	[2:0 7:0]				70.1	10.0	4.0	1.1	0.01	1	4.0							
Azithromycin	2011 (368)	N/A	0.3	[0.0 - 1.5]						0.3	2.2	27.2	63.3	6.5	0.3	0.3					
Penicillins																					
Ampicillin	2002 (304)		31.3	[8.7 - 26.6]							0.7		36.8	3.0	0.7		31.3				
	2003 (333) 2004 (376)		35.7 33.2	[20.8 - 38.2] [14.1 - 28.0]							3.0 6.4		40.5 26.9	1.5	0.3	0.3 0.8	35.4 32.4				
	2004 (370) 2005 (396)		38.1	[20.5 - 33.8]							5.6		19.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	38.1				
	2006 (388)		42.0	[19.2 - 33.3]							4.1	35.6	18.3			0.3	41.8				
	2007 (315)		48.3	[42.6 - 53.9]							4.1		13.3		0.3	0.3	47.9				
	2008 (300)		58.0	[52.2 - 63.6]							2.0		19.3	o -			58.0				
	2009 (306) 2010 (369)		56.2 52.6	[50.4 - 61.8] [47.3 - 57.8]							2.6 6.8	26.8 30.1	13.4 9.8	0.7 0.5	0.3 0.3		56.2 52.6				
	2010 (309) 2011 (368)		51.6	[46.4 - 56.8]							4.6		9.0 12.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	51.1				
Phenicols	_0(000)	0.0	••	[0110		0.0		0.0	•				
Chloramphenicol			0.3	[0.0 - 7.3]								3.0	42.1	53.3	1.3		0.3				
	2003 (333)		3.6	[0.0 - 4.8]								1.2	24.0	68.8	2.4	0.6	3.0				
	2004 (376) 2005 (396)		0.8 4.0	[0.8 - 7.1] [0.0 - 3.0]								1.3 0.5	36.7 34.1	60.4 58.8	0.8 2.5		0.8 4.0				
	2005 (396) 2006 (388)		2.3	[0.0 - 3.0]								1.0	42.3	53.1	1.3		2.3				
	2007 (315)		2.9	[1.3 - 5.4]								0.3	38.1	57.8	1.0		2.9				
	2008 (300)		3.7	[1.8 - 6.5]								1.7	43.3	50.3	1.0		3.7				
	2009 (306)		3.3	[1.6 - 5.9]								4.6	52.0	39.9	0.3	0.3	2.9				
	2010 (369)		3.5	[1.9 - 5.9]								4.1	55.0	36.3	1.1	0.3	3.3				
Quinolones	2011 (368)	0.5	4.9	[2.9 - 7.6]								3.5	54.1	37.0	0.5		4.9				
Ciprofloxacin	2002 (304)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 4.9]	90.1	5.6		1.0	2.3	0.3	0.7										
	2003 (333)	0.0	0.3	[0.0 - 3.2]	83.5	3.9	0.6	4.2	6.3	1.2				0.3							
	2004 (376)			[0.0 - 2.6]	84.3	3.5	0.8	2.9	7.4	0.3				0.8							
	2005 (396)		0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]	81.3	4.8	1.3	4.0	8.6	0 F											
	2006 (388) 2007 (315)		0.5 0.3	[0.0 - 2.3] [0.0 - 1.8]	91.8 96.5	2.6 1.3	0.3	2.1 1.0	2.3 1.0	0.5				0.5 0.3							
	2007 (313) 2008 (300)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.0]	90.5 92.7	3.3		0.3	3.7					0.5							
	2009 (306)		0.7	[0.1 - 2.3]	93.8	3.6		0.3	1.6				0.3	0.3							
	2010 (369)		0.5	[0.1 - 1.9]	94.6	2.4		0.3	2.2				0.3	0.3							
	2011 (368)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.0]	95.4	2.7	0.3	1.6			07	10.1	70.7	0.0	- 1		4.0				
Nalidixic Acid	2002 (304) 2003 (333)		4.3 11.7	[3.0 - 16.8] [1.4 - 9.9]						0.3	0.7 3.0	16.1	72.7 41.4	6.3 1.5	0.3		4.3 11.7				
	2003 (333) 2004 (376)		10.6	[0.0 - 2.6]						0.5	3.7		21.5	1.6	0.5	0.5	10.1				
	2005 (396)		10.4	[0.1 - 3.9]							7.1			1.8	0.8	0.8	9.6				
	2006 (388)		5.2	[0.0 - 2.3]						0.3	3.3	74.0		0.3	0.3	0.3	4.9				
	2007 (315)		2.2	[0.9 - 4.5]								76.5				0.3	1.9				
	2008 (300)		3.7	[1.8 - 6.5]						07		74.7					3.7				
	2009 (306) 2010 (369)		2.6 2.7	[1.1 - 5.1] [1.3 - 4.9]						0.7 0.5		71.2 71.8		0.3		0.3	2.6 2.4				
	2010 (369) 2011 (368)		1.6	[1.3 - 4.9] [0.6 - 3.5]						0.3			7.3	0.0		0.0	1.6				
Tetracyclines	. ,			[]																	
Tetracycline			77.0	[43.4 - 67.0]									22.7	0.3	0.3	1.6	75.0				
	2003 (333)	0.9	77.8	[30.4 - 49.1]									21.3	0.9	0.3	0.9	76.6				
	2004 (376)			[47.8 - 64.6]									25.3	0.5		6.9	67.3				
	2005 (396) 2006 (388)			[32.7 - 47.4] [47.9 - 63.8]									21.7 23.2	0.3 0.3	0.3	2.0 1.8	76.0 74.5				
	2006 (388) 2007 (315)			[47.9 - 63.8] [75.2 - 84.3]									20.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	74.5 75.9				
	2007 (315) 2008 (300)			[73.2 - 84.3]									14.0	0.3		1.0	84.7				
	2009 (306)			[77.3 - 86.2]									18.0			3.9	78.1				
	2010 (369)			[64.4 - 74.0]									30.1	0.5	0.3	2.4	66.7				
¹ Percent of isolates with in	2011 (368)			[75.4 - 83.9]									20.1		0.8	4.6	74.5				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

 3 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin.

Table 29.3	MIC Distribution among	Escherichia coli from	Ground Beef.	2002-2011

			Table	29.3 MIC E	Distribu	tion ar	nong	Escher	ichia d												
											ributio	on (%)) of M	ICs (µ	g/ml)ʻ	4					
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides	2002 (205)	0.0	• •	IO 0 4 07					6.0	60.0	10.2	2.4	0.7	1	0.2						
Gentamicin	2002 (295) 2003 (311)		0.3 1.0	[0.0 - 1.9] [0.2 - 2.8]					6.8 4.2	69.8 62.7	19.3 28.0	3.1 3.5	0.7	0.6	0.3 0.6	0.3					
	2004 (338)		0.6	[0.1 - 2.1]					9.2	67.8	20.7	1.8				0.6					
	2005 (316)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]					6.3	65.2	26.3	2.2									
	2006 (295) 2007 (256)		4.1 0.0	[2.1 - 7.0] [0.0 - 1.4]					1.0 3.5	64.1 66.8	23.1 25.4	6.1 2.7	0.4	1.7 1.2	2.0	2.0					
	2007 (250) 2008 (250)		2.0	[0.7 - 4.6]					0.0	26.0	68.0	4.0	0.4	1.2	0.4	1.6					
	2009 (247)	0.4	0.8	[0.1 - 2.9]					3.2	47.8	45.3	2.4		0.4	0.4	0.4					
	2010 (269)		0.4	[0.0 - 2.1]					4.1	67.7 47.9	25.7 49.3	1.9 2.3	0.4		0.5	0.4					
	2011 (215) 2002 (295)		0.5 2.4	[0.0 - 2.6] [1.0 - 4.8]						47.5	49.5	2.5		96.6	1.0		0.3	2.0			
	2003 (311)		2.9	[1.3 - 5.4]										93.2	3.9			2.9			
	2004 (338)		2.4	[1.0 - 4.6]										95.6	2.1			2.4			
	2005 (316) 2006 (295)		0.6 4.7	[0.1 - 2.3] [2.6 - 7.8]										98.1 92.2	1.3 2.7	0.3	0.7	0.6 4.1			
	2000 (235) 2007 (256)		1.6	[2.0 - 7.0]										97.7	0.8	0.5	0.7	1.6			
	2008 (250)		4.0	[1.9 - 7.2]										94.4	1.2	0.4		4.0			
	2009 (247)		2.0	[0.7 - 4.7]										97.6 95.9	0.4 0.4		0.8	1.2 3.7			
	2010 (269) 2011 (215)		3.7 1.4	[1.8 - 6.7] [0.3 - 4.0]										95.9 98.6	0.4			3.7 1.4			
Streptomycin			9.5	[6.4 - 13.4]												90.5	5.4	4.1			
	2003 (311)		9.0	[6.1 - 12.7]												91.0	3.5	5.5			
	2004 (338) 2005 (316)		11.8 5.4	[8.6 - 15.8] [3.2 - 8.5]												88.2 94.6	4.7 3.5	7.1 1.9			
	2006 (295)		14.2	[10.5 - 18.8]												85.8	6.1	8.1			
	2007 (256)		6.3	[3.6 - 10.0]												93.8	2.0	4.3			
	2008 (250) 2009 (247)		10.4 8.1	[6.9 - 14.9] [5.0 - 12.2]												89.6 91.9	3.6 2.4	6.8 5.7			
	2009 (247) 2010 (269)		9.3	[6.1 - 13.4]												90.7	5.2	4.1			
	2011 (215)		6.5	[3.6 - 10.7]												93.5	3.7	2.8			
β-Lactams/ β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor																					
Combinations																					
Amoxicillin- Clavulanic Acid			2.0	[0.7 - 4.4]							3.7 7.4		61.7 62.4	10.2 7.7	0.3	1.4 1.6	0.7 0.6				
Clavulariic Aciu	2003 (311) 2004 (338)		2.3 3.8	[0.9 - 4.6] [2.1 - 6.5]							4.4		60.9	7.1	0.6 0.3	3.6	0.8				
	2005 (316)		1.3	[0.3 - 3.2]							9.8		60.8	7.9		0.6	0.6				
	2006 (295)		2.4	[1.0 - 4.8]							1.4		64.1	11.9	1.4	2.0	0.3				
	2007 (256) 2008 (250)		0.8 2.4	[0.1 - 2.8] [0.9 - 5.2]							4.7 2.0		59.0 57.6	10.5 17.2	2.0	0.8 0.8	1.6				
	2009 (247)		1.6	[0.4 - 4.1]							5.7		59.5	6.5		1.6					
	2010 (269)		1.1	[0.2 - 3.2]							5.6	29.4		5.2		0.7	0.4				
Cephems	2011 (215)	0.0	0.5	[0.0 - 2.6]							4.7	32.6	55.8	6.5		0.5					
	2002 (295)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]				11.9	60.7	26.4	0.7	0.3									
	2003 (311)		0.3	[0.0 - 1.8]				11.3	55.3	31.5	1.6			0.3							
	2004 (338)		0.9	[0.2 - 2.6] [0.1 - 2.3]				5.0 8.5	49.4 54.4	41.7 32.9	2.1 1.6	0.3 1.0	0.6 1.0	0.3	0.9 0.3						
	2005 (316) 2006 (295)		0.6 1.0	[0.1 - 2.3]				0.7	31.9	64.1	2.0	1.0	0.3	0.7	0.3						
	2007 (256)	0.0	0.8	[0.1 - 2.8]				5.1	43.0	51.2				0.4	0.4						
	2008 (250)		1.6	[0.4 - 4.0]				3.2 7.3	24.0 39.3	69.2 51.8	1.6 0.4	0.4 0.4		0.8	0.8 0.8						
	2009 (247) 2010 (269)		0.8 1.1	[0.1 - 2.9] [0.2 - 3.2]				7.4	59.5 54.3	37.2	0.4	0.4		1.1	0.0						
	2011 (215)	0.0	0.9	[0.1 - 3.3]				4.2	44.2	50.2	0.5			0.5	0.5						
Ceftriaxone	. ,		0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]					99.3 08.4	0.3	0.2	0.3		0.2							
	2003 (311) 2004 (338)		0.3 1.5	[0.0 - 1.8] [0.5 - 3.4]					98.4 95.9	0.6 1.8	0.3 0.6	0.3 0.3		0.3 0.3	0.6	0.6					
	2005 (316)		1.9	[0.7 - 4.1]					94.6	1.6	1.6		0.6	0.6	0.6	0.3					
	2006 (295)		1.7	[0.6 - 3.9]					97.6	0.3	0.3		0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3					
	2007 (256) 2008 (250)		0.8 1.6	[0.1 - 2.8] [0.4 - 4.0]					99.2 98.0			0.4		0.8	0.4 0.4	0.4 0.4					
	2000 (230) 2009 (247)		0.8	[0.1 - 2.9]					98.4		0.8	J.7		5.0	0.4	0.4					
	2010 (269)		1.1	[0.2 - 3.2]					98.5	0.4					1.1						
	2011 (215) 2002 (295)		0.5 1.4	[0.0 - 2.6] [0.4 - 3.4]					99.1	0.5	1.7	227	57.6	14.6	0.5 1.0	1.4					
	2002 (295) 2003 (311)		1.4 0.3	[0.4 - 3.4] [0.0 - 1.8]							1.7		57.6 56.3	14.0	2.6	0.3					
	2004 (338)	1.8	1.2	[0.3 - 3.0]							4.1	30.2	53.8	8.9	1.8	0.3	0.9				
	2005 (316)		0.9	[0.2 - 2.7]							7.9		46.2	7.3 16.6	0.3	0.3	0.6				
	2006 (295) 2007 (256)		2.0 0.8	[0.7 - 4.4] [0.1 - 2.8]						0.4	0.3 2.3		66.8 66.8	16.6 9.8	1.7 1.2	0.3	1.7 0.8				
	2007 (250) 2008 (250)		2.4	[0.9 - 5.2]						J. T	2.0		62.8	10.4	0.4	0.4	2.0				
	2009 (247)		1.6	[0.4 - 4.1]							2.0	30.4	57.1	8.9		0.8	0.8				
	2010 (269) 2011 (215)		1.1 0.5	[0.2 - 3.2] [0.0 - 2.6]							3.0 0.5		56.5 59.5			0.7	0.4 0.5				
¹ Percent of isolates with in	· · · /										0.0	∠0.0	J9.0	10.7			0.5				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

Table 29.3 MIC Distribution among	g Escherichia coli from Ground Beef, 2002-2011 continued
	g Escherichia con nom Ground Beer, 2002-2011 continued

		lable	e 29.3	MIC Distrib	oution a	mong	Esch	erichia	coli fr				•								
				_											g/ml)⁴						
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Folate Pathway	0000 (005)	N1/A	• •	[6 7 42 0]											00.4	1.00			0.24		
Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (295) 2003 (311)		9.8 10.3	[6.7 - 13.8] [7.1 - 14.2]											88.1 89.1	1.69 0.6			0.34	0.3	9.8 10.0
Sulfisoxazole			13.0	[9.6 - 17.1]											84.6	0.0	2.4			13.0	10.0
	2005 (316)		7.0	[4.4 - 10.4]											75.3	13.6	4.1			7.0	
	2006 (295)		12.5	[9.0 - 16.9]											58.6	27.1	0.7	0.3	0.7	12.5	
	2007 (256)		9.4	[6.1 - 13.6]											75.4	15.2	0.4			9.4	
	2008 (250) 2009 (247)		11.6 7.7	[7.9 - 16.2] [4.7 - 11.8]											80.4 70.4	7.6 19.0	0.4 2.8			11.6 7.7	
	2010 (269)		12.6	[8.9 - 17.2]											56.5	30.5	0.4			12.6	
	2011 (215)		7.9	[4.7 - 12.4]											67.9	21.9	0.9	0.9	0.5	7.9	
Trimethoprim-			0.7	[0.1 - 2.4]				93.6	3.4	2.4				0.7							
Sulfamethoxazole			0.3	[0.0 - 1.8]				97.4	1.3 2.1	1.0	0.0			0.3 0.6							
	2004 (338) 2005 (316)		0.6 0.6	[0.1 - 2.1] [0.1 - 2.3]				97.0 89.6	2.1 8.5	0.9	0.3 0.3			0.6							
	2006 (295)		1.4	[0.4 - 3.4]				84.1	10.8	2.4	1.4		0.3	1.0							
	2007 (256)	N/A	1.2	[0.2 - 3.4]				73.8	24.2	0.4	0.4		0.4	0.8							
	2008 (250)		2.0	[0.7 - 4.6]				80.0	13.6	4.0	0.4			2.0							
	2009 (247)		2.0 0.7	[0.7 - 4.7]				93.9 91.5	3.6 7.1	0.4 0.7				2.0 0.7							
	2010 (269) 2011 (215)		2.3	[0.1 - 2.7] [0.8 - 5.3]				91.5 94.4	3.3	0.7				2.3							
Macrolides	2011 (210)	1.07.0	2.0	[0:0 0:0]				• • • •	0.0				11								
Azithromycin	2011 (215)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]							0.5	12.6	69.3	17.2	0.5						
Penicillins																					
Ampicillin	2002 (295) 2003 (311)		6.1 5.1	[3.7 - 9.5] [3.0 - 8.2]							4.8 8.4		51.9 52.4	4.8 5.5	0.3 0.3	2.0	4.1 5.1				
	2003 (311) 2004 (338)		5.3	[3.2 - 8.3]							8.9		37.9	0.9	0.3	0.3	5.0				
	2005 (316)		3.5	[1.8 - 6.1]							14.9		30.1	0.6	1.3		3.5				
	2006 (295)	0.7	9.2	[6.1 - 13.0]							5.1	46.4		1.0	0.7		9.2				
	2007 (256)		6.6	[3.9 - 10.4]							11.3		32.4	0.4		0.4	6.3				
	2008 (250) 2009 (247)		6.4 4.9	[3.7 - 10.2] [2.5 - 8.3]							4.8	41.2 51.4	45.6 27 0	2.0		0.4	6.0 4.9				
	2009 (247) 2010 (269)		4.9	[2.6 - 8.1]							13.0		28.6	0.7			4.8				
	2011 (215)		3.7	[1.6 - 7.2]							12.6		27.4	0.5			3.7				
Phenicols												_									
Chloramphenicol			1.0	[0.2 - 2.9]								0.3	30.2	67.8	0.7	4.0	1.0				
	2003 (311) 2004 (338)		2.3 3.6	[0.9 - 4.6] [1.8 - 6.1]								1.0 0.3	15.4 26.9	76.2 68.3	5.1 0.9	1.3 0.3	1.0 3.3				
	2004 (338) 2005 (316)		1.6	[0.5 - 3.7]								1.9	36.7	58.5	1.3	0.3	1.3				
	2006 (295)		1.4	[0.4 - 3.4]								1.0	32.5	64.4	0.7	0.3	1.0				
	2007 (256)	1.6	3.9	[1.9 - 7.1]								1.6	32.8	60.2	1.6		3.9				
	2008 (250)		0.8	[0.1 - 2.9]								2.8	32.4	62.4	1.6		0.8				
	2009 (247) 2010 (269)		2.4 2.6	[0.9 - 5.2] [1.1 - 5.3]								6.5 4.1	50.2 53.9	40.5 39.0	0.4 0.4	0.4	2.4 2.2				
	2010 (203) 2011 (215)		1.4	[0.3 - 4.0]								2.3		53.0	0.4	0.4	1.4				
Quinolones	- (-)																				
Ciprofloxacin			0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]	95.3	4.8															
	2003 (311)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]	95.5	3.5		0.6	0.3	0.3											
	2004 (338) 2005 (316)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 1.1] [0.0 - 1.2]	94.4 90.2	3.8 4.1	1.9	0.6 2.2	0.9 1.3	0.3	0.3										
	2006 (295)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]	98.0	1.4	1.0	0.3	0.3		0.0										
	2007 (256)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]	99.2				0.8												
	2008 (250)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.5]	97.6	2.0			0.4												
	2009 (247)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.5]	97.6	1.6			0.4	0.4											
	2010 (269) 2011 (215)		0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 1.4] [0.0 - 1.7]	100.0 100.0																
Nalidixic Acid			0.0	[0.0 - 1.2]	100.0						1.0	15.6	80.7	2.7	- 1						
	2003 (311)		1.0	[0.2 - 2.8]							1.6	44.1	51.1	2.3			1.0				
	2004 (338)		1.5	[0.5 - 3.4]							3.0		26.9	1.2		0.9	0.6				
	2005 (316)		1.3	[0.3 - 3.2]						0.3	6.3		17.1	1.3	2.8	0.9	0.3				
	2006 (295) 2007 (256)		0.7 0.4	[0.1 - 2.4] [0.0 - 2.2]						0.4	4.7 7.4		20.0 11.3	0.4			0.7 0.4				
	2007 (250) 2008 (250)		0.4	[0.0 - 2.2]						0.8		83.6		0.4			0.4				
	2009 (247)		0.4	[0.0 - 2.2]						1.2	10.9	80.6	6.5	0.4			0.4				
	2010 (269)		0.0	[0.0 - 1.4]						1.1	12.3	79.6	7.1	~							
Totracyalinas	2011 (215)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]							12.1	80.9	6.5	0.5							
Tetracyclines Tetracycline	2002 (205)	4 8	30.8	[25.6 - 36.5]									64.4	4.8	4.4	2.0	24.4				
i chaoyoline	2002 (293) 2003 (311)			[20.4 - 30.3]									71.4	3.5	2.6	1.0	21.5				
	2004 (338)	6.5	22.8	[18.4 - 27.6]									70.7	6.5	2.7	1.2	18.9				
	2005 (316)			[12.5 - 21.0]									77.2	6.3	1.6	0.6	14.2				
	2006 (295)			[20.6 - 30.8]									67.1	7.5	2.0	4.1	19.3				
	2007 (256)			[17.0 - 27.4]									73.8	4.3	1.6 0.8	2.3	18.0				
	2008 (250) 2009 (247)			[18.8 - 29.8] [14.0 - 24.0]									72.8 76.5	3.2 4.9	0.8 1.2	2.8 0.8	20.4 16.6				
	2010 (269)			[17.8 - 28.2]									75.1		1.9	1.5	19.3				
-	2011 (215)	3.7	17.7	[12.8 - 23.4]									78.6		1.9	2.3	13.5				
¹ Percent of isolates with in	tormodiato que	contibili	4. NI/A	and when there is	no intormo	diata hr	acknoint	octablicho	d					_	_	_	_	_	_		

¹ Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility. N/A used when there is no intermediate breakpoint established.
² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

 ³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.
 ⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

Table 29.4	MIC Distribution	among Escherichia	coli from Pork	Chop. 2002-2011

			Table	29.4 MIC I	Distribu	tion a	mong	Escher	richia e												
										Distr	ibutio	on (%)	of MI	Cs (µ	g/ml)⁴						
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	% ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Aminoglycosides																					
Gentamicin		0.0	1.1	[0.1 - 3.9]					4.9	66.3	21.2	6.0	0.5		1.1						
	2003 (218)	0.0	1.4	[0.3 - 4.0]					3.7	53.2	36.2	5.0	0.5		0.5	0.9					
	2004 (232)	0.4	1.3	[0.3 - 3.7]					10.3	57.8	26.7	3.4		0.4		1.3					
	2005 (205)	1.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.8]					6.8 2.7	56.1 47.8	34.1 41.2	2.0 4.4	1.1	1.0	0.5	0.5					
	2006 (182)	1.6	1.1 1.3	[0.1 - 3.9] [0.2 - 4.7]					2.7 4.6	47.0 54.6	32.9	4.4 5.9	1.1	1.6 0.7	0.5 0.7	0.5					
	2007 (152) 2008 (146)	0.7 0.7	1.3	[0.2 - 4.7]					4.0	22.6	62.3	12.3	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7					
	2009 (140)	0.0	4.1	[0.2 - 4.3]					2.7	47.6	42.9	2.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	4.1					
	2010 (183)	1.6	2.7	[0.9 - 6.3]					4.4	49.2	38.8	2.7	0.5	1.6	1.6	1.1					
	2011 (146)	0.0	0.7	[0.0 - 3.8]					0.7	37.0	58.9	2.0	0.7		0.7						
Kanamycin	. ,	0.5	5.4	[2.6 - 9.8]										92.9	1.1	0.5		5.4			
	2003 (218)	0.0	8.7	[5.3 - 13.3]										89.9	1.4			8.7			
	2004 (232)	0.0	8.2	[5.0 - 12.5]										89.2	2.6			8.2			
	2005 (205)	0.0	7.3	[4.2 - 11.8]										92.7			1.5	5.9			
	2006 (182)	0.0	6.0	[3.1 - 10.6]										91.2	2.7			6.0			
	2007 (152)	0.0	4.6	[1.9 - 9.3]										94.1	1.3		0.7	3.9			
	2008 (146)	0.0	6.2	[2.9 - 11.4]										91.8	2.1			6.2			
	2009 (147)	0.0	6.1	[2.8 - 11.3]										91.8	2.0			6.1			
	2010 (183)	0.0	7.7	[4.2 - 12.5]										92.4 98.0	0.7			7.7 1.4			
Stroptomycin	2011 (146)	0.0 N/A	1.4 22.3	[0.2 - 4.9] [16.5 - 29.0]										90.0	0.7	77.7	10.9	11.4			
Streptomycin	2002 (184) 2003 (218)	N/A	22.3 19.7	[10.3 - 29.0]												80.3	6.9	12.8			
	2003 (218) 2004 (232)	N/A	21.1	[14.7 - 25.0]												78.9	8.6	12.5			
	2004 (202) 2005 (205)	N/A	13.2	[8.9 - 18.6]												86.8	7.3	5.9			
	2006 (182)	N/A	13.7	[9.1 - 19.6]												86.3	7.7	6.0			
	2007 (152)	N/A	13.8	[8.8 - 20.3]												86.2	7.9	5.9			
	2008 (146)	N/A	19.9	[13.7 - 27.3]												80.1	5.5	14.4			
	2009 (147)	N/A	19.7	[13.6 - 27.1]												80.3	7.5	12.2			
	2010 (183)	N/A	19.7	[14.2 - 26.2]												80.3	8.2	11.5			
	2011 (146)	N/A	15.1	[9.7 - 21.9]												84.9	6.9	8.2			
β-Lactams/																					
β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor																					
Combinations Amoxicillin-	2002 (184)	0.5	5.4	[2.6 - 9.8]							1.6	23.9	56.0	12.5	0.5	4.4	1.1				
Clavulanic Acid		0.5	5.0	[2.5 - 8.8]							3.2	17.9		19.3	0.5	2.8	2.3				
	2004 (232)	0.4	5.6	[3.0 - 9.4]							4.3			15.5	0.4	4.7	0.9				
	2005 (205)	0.5	2.9	[1.1 - 6.3]							2.9		52.2	20.5	0.5	2.0	1.0				
	2006 (182)	3.3	2.2	[0.6 - 5.5]								23.1	59.3	12.1	3.3	2.2					
	2007 (152)	0.0	0.7	[0.0 - 3.6]							1.3	18.4	63.8	15.8		0.7					
	2008 (146)	0.7	3.4	[1.1 - 7.8]							1.4	20.6	42.5	31.5	0.7	3.4					
	2009 (147)	0.0	6.8	[3.3 - 12.2]							5.4	32.0	46.9	8.8		5.4	1.4				
	2010 (183)	1.1	2.2	[0.6 - 5.5]							3.3	30.6	48.1	14.8	1.1	1.6	0.5				
. .	2011 (146)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]							1.4	33.6	52.7	12.3							
Cephems	0000 (404)	0.0		[0 0 2 0]				74	64.4	07.0	0.5	0.5		0.5							
Centiotur	2002 (184)	0.0	0.5	[0.0 - 3.0]				7.1	64.1	27.2	0.5	0.5		0.5							
	2003 (218) 2004 (232)	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.4	[0.1 - 3.3] [0.0 - 2.4]				5.5 7.3	53.7 51.7	38.1 39.7	1.8 0.9			0.9 0.4							
	2004 (232) 2005 (205)	0.0 1.0	0.4	[0.0 - 2.4]				3.4	58.5	34.6	2.0	0.5	1.0	J.4							
	2005 (203) 2006 (182)	0.5	0.0	[0.0 - 1.0]				0.5	41.2	53.8	3.8	5.5	0.5								
	2000 (102) 2007 (152)	0.0	0.7	[0.0 - 3.6]				1.3	50.0	48.0	2.0		5.5		0.7						
	2008 (146)	0.0	3.4	[1.1 - 7.8]				0.7	29.5	64.4	2.1				3.4						
	2009 (147)	0.0	6.8	[3.3 - 12.2]				10.2	42.2	39.5	1.4			3.4	3.4						
	2010 (183)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]				10.9	49.7	37.2	2.2										
	2011 (146)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]				4.1	50.0	45.9			ιI								
Ceftriaxone		0.0	0.5	[0.0 - 3.0]					97.8	1.1	0.5			0.5							
	2003 (218)	0.0	0.9	[0.1 - 3.3]					97.7	0.9	0.5			0.5	0.5						
	2004 (232)	0.0	0.4	[0.0 - 2.4]					97.0	1.7	0.9				0.4						
	2005 (205)	0.0	0.5	[0.0 - 2.7]					96.1 97.8	2.4 0.5	1.0 1 1			0.6	0.5						
	2006 (182) 2007 (152)	0.0 0.0	0.5 0.7	[0.0 - 3.0] [0.0 - 3.6]					97.8 99.3	0.5	1.1			0.0	0.7						
	2007 (152) 2008 (146)	0.0	3.4	[0.0 - 3.6] [1.1 - 7.8]					99.3 96.6						2.7	0.7					
	2008 (140) 2009 (147)	0.0	5.4 6.8	[3.3 - 12.2]					93.2					3.4	2.7	0.7					
	2010 (183)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]					98.4	1.1	0.5										
	2011 (146)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]					100.0		-										
Cefoxitin	2002 (184)	1.6	3.3	[1.2 - 7.0]								20.1	58.2	16.9	1.6	3.3					
	2003 (218)		2.3	[0.7 - 5.3]								12.4	54.1	28.0	3.2	2.3					
	2004 (232)	0.4	2.2	[0.7 - 5.0]						0.9	2.6	26.7	59.9	7.3	0.4	1.3	0.9				
	2005 (205)		1.5	[0.3 - 4.2]							1.5		55.6		0.5	0.5	1.5				
	2006 (182)		1.6	[0.3 - 4.7]									68.7		2.7	1.6					
	2007 (152)		0.7	[0.0 - 3.6]							0.7		63.8			0.7					
	2008 (146)	2.7	3.4	[1.1 - 7.8]							. -		63.7		2.7	0.7	2.7				
	2009 (147)		6.8	[3.3 - 12.2]							2.0	28.6		6.8	0.7	3.4	3.4				
	2010 (183)		0.5	[0.0 - 3.0]							0.5	34.4		4.9	1.6		0.5				
	2011 (146)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]								26.0	65.8	8.2							

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

Table 29.4 MIC Distribution among	Escherichia coli from Pork Chor	0. 2002-2011 continued
		,

		Tab	e 29.4	MIC Distril	bution a	mong	Esch	erichia	COII T						4	lea					
Antimicrobial	Year (n)	0/1	0/ 52	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0 1 2 5	0.25		ributic 1	on (%) 2	of MI 4	Cs (µı́ 8	g/ml)" 16	32	64	129	256	512	1024
Folate Pathway	i cai (II)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI]°	0.015	0.03	0.00	0.123	0.20	0.50		2	4	0	10	32	04	120	200	512	1024
Sulfamethoxazole	2002 (184)	N/A	12.5	[8.1 - 18.2]											83.2	3.26	0.5	0.54	1	1	12.5
eunamenazoro	2003 (218)	N/A	15.1	[10.7 - 20.6]											83.5	0.9	0.5	0.0.			15.1
Sulfisoxazole	2004 (232)	N/A	19.4	[14.5 - 25.1]											69.8	3.0	6.9	0.4	0.4	19.4	
	2005 (205)	N/A	14.1	[9.7 - 19.7]											62.4	18.0	4.4	0.5	0.5	14.2	
	2006 (182)	N/A N/A		[14.7 - 26.9]											48.4 72.4	28.6 15.1	1.1 0.7	0.5	1.1	20.3	
	2007 (152) 2008 (146)	N/A	11.8 16.4	[7.2 - 18.1] [10.8 - 23.5]												17.8	0.7			11.8 16.4	
	2009 (147)	N/A	14.3	[9.1 - 21.0]												27.2	4.8			14.3	
	2010 (183)	N/A	16.4	[11.3 - 22.6]											53.0	29.5	1.1			16.4	
	2011 (146)	N/A	10.3	[5.9 - 16.4]											72.6	15.8		1.4		10.3	
Trimethoprim-		N/A	1.1	[0.1 - 3.9]				88.6 92.2	4.4 3.2	5.4 1.4	0.5 0.5		0.5	0.5 2.8							
Sulfamethoxazole	2003 (218) 2004 (232)	N/A N/A	2.8 3.9	[1.0 - 5.9] [1.8 - 7.2]				92.2	3.2 2.2	0.9	0.5			2.0 3.9							
	2005 (205)	N/A	1.5	[0.3 - 4.2]				75.1	18.0	4.4	1.0			1.5							
	2006 (182)	N/A	2.2	[0.6 - 5.5]				73.1	15.4	8.2	1.1			2.2							
	2007 (152)	N/A	1.3	[0.2 - 4.7]				65.1	29.6	2.6	0.7	0.7		1.3							
	2008 (146)	N/A	6.2	[2.9 - 11.4]				68.5	21.2	2.1	0.7	1.4		6.2							
	2009 (147) 2010 (183)	N/A N/A	2.7 3.8	[0.7 - 6.8] [1.6 - 7.7]				88.4 88.5	6.1 6.6	2.7 1.1				2.7 3.8							
	2010 (183) 2011 (146)	N/A	3.4	[1.0 - 7.7]				89.0	6.2	1.4			0.7	2.7							
Macrolides									-												
Azithromycin	2011 (146)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]							2.7	21.2	61.6	13.7	0.7						
Penicillins	0000 (404)	4.0	100	10 0 40 47							4.4	20.4	47.0	E 4	10		12.0				
Ampicillin	2002 (184) 2003 (218)	1.6 1.4	13.6 13.3	[9.0 - 19.4] [9.1 - 18.5]							1.1 1.8	30.4 25.7	47.8 52.8	5.4 5.0	1.6 1.4		13.6 13.3				
	2003 (218) 2004 (232)	0.9	15.1	[10.7 - 20.4]							12.9	44.4	52.0 25.0	5.0 1.7	0.9	0.9	14.2				
	2005 (205)	2.4	16.1	[11.3 - 21.9]							9.3		28.3	3.4	2.4	2.0	14.1				
	2006 (182)	1.6	15.9	[10.9 - 22.1]							3.8	47.8	30.2	0.5	1.6	1.6	14.3				
	2007 (152)	0.0	15.8	[10.4 - 22.6]							5.9	48.0	28.9	1.3			15.8				
	2008 (146)	0.0 0.0	15.1 11.6	[9.7 - 21.9] [6.9 - 17.9]							8.2 12.9	30.8 52.4	42.5 21.8	3.4 1.4			15.1 11.6				
	2009 (147) 2010 (183)	0.0	19.1	[13.7 - 25.6]							9.8	49.7	19.7	1.4	0.5	0.5	18.6				
	2011 (146)	0.0	13.0	[8.0 - 19.6]							11.0	46.6		1.4			13.0				
Phenicols												_									
Chloramphenicol		2.2	1.6	[0.3 - 4.7]								0.5	31.5	64.1	2.2	1.6					
	2003 (218) 2004 (232)	6.9 0.9	4.1 4.3	[1.9 - 7.7] [2.1 - 7.8]								0.9 0.9	15.1 34.1	72.9 59.9	6.9 0.9	2.3 1.3	1.8 3.0				
	2004 (232) 2005 (205)	2.4	3.4	[1.4 - 6.9]								2.9	35.1	56.1	2.4	2.0	1.5				
	2006 (182)	1.1	6.6	[3.5 - 11.2]								0.5	33.0	58.8	1.1	2.7	3.8				
	2007 (152)	1.3	3.9	[1.5 - 8.4]								0.7	27.0	67.1	1.3	0.7	3.3				
	2008 (146)	3.4	3.4	[1.1 - 7.8]								0.7	33.6	58.9	3.4	0.7	2.7				
	2009 (147)	1.4	4.8	[1.9 - 9.6]								6.8	55.8 50.8	31.3	1.4	1.4	3.4				
	2010 (183) 2011 (146)	2.7 0.0	1.6 2.7	[0.3 - 4.7] [0.8 - 6.9]								8.2 8.2	50.8 45.9	36.6 43.2	2.7	1.1 1.4	0.5 1.4				
Quinolones	2011 (140)	0.0	2.1	[0.0 0.0]								0.2	40.0	40.2	I	1.4	1.4				
Ciprofloxacin	2002 (184)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]	96.2	2.7	1.1														
	2003 (218)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.7]	96.3	3.2			0.5												
	2004 (232)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6] [0.0 - 1.8]	97.8 91.2	0.9	0.4 1.0	0.4 2.4	0.4 0.5												
	2005 (205) 2006 (182)	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 1.8]	91.2 97.8	4.9 1.6	1.0	2.4	0.5												
	2007 (152)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.4]	99.3	0.7			0.0												
	2008 (146)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]	97.3	2.7															
	2009 (147)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]	99.3	0.7															
	2010 (183)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 2.0]	96.7 100.0	2.2	0.5		0.5												
Nalidixic Acid	2011 (146) 2002 (184)	0.0 N/A	0.0 0.5	[0.0 - 2.5] [0.0 - 3.0]	100.0						2.2	16.9	74.5	5.4	0.5	0.5					
	2002 (104) 2003 (218)	N/A	0.5	[0.0 - 2.5]							2.8	44.5	50.0	2.3	5.5		0.5				
	2004 (232)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 1.6]							9.9		19.4	1.3	0.9						
	2005 (205)	N/A	1.5	[0.3 - 4.2]							9.8		18.0	2.4	1.0	1.5					
	2006 (182)	N/A	0.5	[0.0 - 3.0]						07	9.9		12.6	1.1			0.5				
	2007 (152) 2008 (146)	N/A N/A	0.0 0.0	[0.0 - 2.4] [0.0 - 2.5]						0.7	11.2 8.9	77.0 72.6	11.2 16.4	2.1							
	2008 (140) 2009 (147)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]						4.8		68.7		۲.۱							
	2010 (183)	N/A	0.5	[0.0 - 3.0]						3.3	18.6	67.2	9.3	1.1			0.5				
_ ,	2011 (146)	N/A	0.0	[0.0 - 2.5]						1.4	14.4	74.7	9.6								
Tetracyclines	2002 (40.4)	0.5	E0 7	[45.0 00.4]									46.7	05	2.2	1.0	40.0				
Tetracycline	2002 (184) 2003 (218)	0.5 0.9	52.7 46.3	[45.2 - 60.1] [39.6 - 53.2]									46.7 52.8	0.5 0.9	2.2 1.8	1.6 0.9	48.9 43.6				
	2003 (218) 2004 (232)	0.9 2.2	46.3 56.0	[39.6 - 55.2] [49.4 - 62.5]									41.8	0.9 2.2	1.0	6.0	43.0 50.0				
	2004 (202)	1.0	45.9	[38.9 - 52.9]									53.2	1.0		2.4	43.4				
	2006 (182)	0.5	52.7	[45.2 - 60.2]									46.7	0.5	1.6	4.9	46.2				
	2007 (152)	1.3	50.0	[41.8 - 58.2]									48.7	1.3	1.3	3.3	45.4				
	2008 (146)	1.4	54.8	[46.4 - 63.0]									43.8	1.4	1.4	3.4	50.0				
	2009 (147) 2010 (183)	2.7 2.7	46.9 44.3	[38.7 - 55.3] [36.9 - 51.8]									50.3 53.0	2.7 2.7	1.6	4.1 3.3	42.9 39.3				
	2010 (183) 2011 (146)	2.7	46.6	[38.3 - 55.0]									50.7	2.7		5.5 5.5	41.1				

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution are due to rounding.

³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method.

⁴ Unshaded areas indicate the dilution range of the Sensititre plates used to test isolates. Susceptibility breakpoints are indicated by single black vertical bars and resistance breakpoints are double red vertical bars. Numbers in shaded areas indicate % of isolates with MIC's greater than the highest concentrations on the Sensititre plate. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent % of isolates with MIC's equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration. CLSI breakpoints used when available. There are no CLSI breakpoints for streptomycin or azithromycin.

	Isolate Source											n (%)	of MI	Cs (µ	g/ml) ⁴	Ļ					
Antimicrobial	(# of Isolates)	%l ¹	%R ²	[95% CI] ³	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.125	0.25	0.50	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
β-Lactam/β-Lactamase																					
Inhibitor Combinations																					
Piperacillin-tazobactam	Retail Chicken (43)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.2]							2.3	37.2	58.1	2.3							
	Ground Turkey (37)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 9.5]								35.1	51.4	13.5							
	Ground Beef (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]								66.7	33.3								
	Pork Chop (0)	N/A	N/A	N/A																	
Penems																					
Imipenem	Retail Chicken (43)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 8.2]				65.1	30.2	4.7											
	Ground Turkey (37)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 9.5]				59.5	37.8	2.7											
	Ground Beef (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 70.8]				100.0													
	Pork Chop (0)	N/A	N/A	N/A																	
Cephems																					
Cefepime	Retail Chicken (43)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 100.0]				23.3	65.1	11.6											
	Ground Turkey (37)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 100.0]				8.1	64.9	27.0											
	Ground Beef (3)	0.0	0.0	[0.0 - 100.0]			66.7		33.3												
	Pork Chop (0)	N/A	N/A	N/A																	
																1					
Cefotaxime	Retail Chicken (43)	20.9	97.7	[87.7 - 99.9]								2.3	4.7	72.1	20.9						
	Ground Turkey (37)	32.4	100.0	[90.5 - 100.0]								_	13.5	54.1	32.4						
	Ground Beef (3)	0.0	33.3	[0.8 - 90.6]			66.7							33.3							
	Pork Chop (0)	N/A	N/A	N/A																	
Ceftazidime	Retail Chicken (43)	25.6	72.1	[56.3 - 84.7]									2.3	25.6	65.1	7.0					
001112101110	Ground Turkey (37)	24.3	73.0	[55.9 - 86.2]									2.7	24.3	59.5						
	Ground Beef (3)	0.0	33.3	[0.8 - 90.6]				66.7					2	21.0	33.3	10.0					
	Pork Chop (0)	N/A	N/A	[0.0 00.0] N/A				00.7							00.0						
Monobactam			10/A												II			_			
	Retail Chicken (43)	46.5	0.0	[0.0 - 24.3]							2.3	2.3	48.8	46.5							
	Ground Turkey (37)	48.6	5.4	[0.7 - 43.8]							2.0	2.3 5.4	40.5		5.4						
	Ground Beef (3)	40.0 33.3	0.0	[0.7 - 43.8] [0.0 - 78.4]			66.7					J. 4	-+0.5	33.3	J.4						
		33.3 N/A	0.0 N/A	[0.0 - 78.4] N/A			00.7							33.3							
	Pork Chop (0)	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A																	

Table 30. Other Resistance among all Ceftiofur/Ceftriaxone Resistant Escherichia coli (Surveillance for ESBL-producing isolates), 2011

¹ Percent of isolates with intermediate susceptibility

² Percent of isolates with resistance. Discrepancies between %R and sums of distribution %'s, to the right of the double vertical bars, are due to rounding


³ 95% confidence intervals for percent resistant (%R) were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method

⁴ The unshaded areas indicate the range of dilutions tested for each antimicrobial. Single vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for susceptibility, while double vertical bars indicate the breakpoints for resistance. Numbers in the shaded areas indicate the percentages of isolates with MICs greater than the highest tested concentrations. Numbers listed for the lowest tested concentrations represent the percentages of isolates with MICs equal to or less than the lowest tested concentration

A-1a. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella IIIa 18:z4,z32:-

Xbal/Bini	PFGE-Xbal	PFGE-Bini	AMC AMP	FOX	AXO	GEN	KAN	STR	SUL	TET COT	DIT	CVM #	State	Source	Date Isolated	Serotype
ī 11			1.00	2.1							1	N29301	CA	Ground Turkey	01/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11		11 1									1	N29306	CA	Ground Turkey	03/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11											1	N29347	OR	Ground Turkey	03/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11		11 1									1	N29354	CA	Ground Turkey	04/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11											1	N29355	CA	Ground Turkey	04/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11										21		N31389	CA	Ground Turkey	08/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11		11 1										N31390	CA	Ground Turkey	08/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11		11 1									10	N31597	OR	Ground Turkey	08/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11		11										N31835	со	Ground Turkey	05/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11		11. 1										N32747	CA	Ground Turkey	09/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11												N32748	CA	Ground Turkey	10/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11												N32767	NM	Ground Turkey	08/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11												N37901	co	Chicken Breast	: 09/11	Illa 18:z4,z32:-
11		11 1									- 9	137930	NM	Ground Turkey	12/11	IIIa 18:z4,z32:-






A-1e. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Enteritidis

Xbal/Bln1 66 - 86 - 60 100	PFGE-Xbal	PFGE-Bini	AMP AZI FOX AZI AXO CHL CIP CHL CIP STR SUL TTET TTO TIO	CVM #	State	Source	Date Isolated	Serotype
11				N30639	GA	Chicken Breast	04/11	Enteritidis
_ 11				N37920	MN	Chicken Breast	11/11	Enteritidis
				N37947	OR	Chicken Breast	12/11	Enteritidis
				N29328	ÖR	Chicken Breast	01/11	Enteritidis
				N29358	co	Chicken Breast	03/11	Enteritidis
				N29385	OR	Ground Turkey	04/11	Enteritidis
				N30659	MD	Chicken Breast	04/11	Enteritidis
11				N30675	NM	Chicken Breast	05/11	Enteritidis
11				N31396	GA	Chicken Breast	07/11	Enteritidis
				N31397	GA	Chicken Breast	07/11	Enteritidis
				N31405	OR	Chicken Breast	07/11	Enteritidis
				N32062	PA	Chicken Breast	08/11	Enteritidis
14				N32752	MN	Chicken Breast	09/11	Enteritidis
1.				N37902	CO	Chicken Breast	10/11	Enteritidis
				N37904	CO	Chicken Breast	10/11	Enteritidis
11				N37924	NM	Chicken Wing	11/11	Enteritidis
				N37936	NY	Chicken Breast	10/11	Enteritidis
				N38233	MD	Chicken Breast	11/11	Enteritidis
				N30658	MD	Chicken Breast	04/11	Enteritidis
				N30691	NY	Chicken Breast	05/11	Enteritidis
				N31380	CA	Chicken Breast	06/11	Enteritidis
- 11				N37909	СТ	Chicken Breast	11/11	Enteritidis

A-1f. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Hadar



A-1g. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Heidelberg

Xbal/Bini	PFGE-Xbal	PFGE-Binl	AMC AMP AZI FOX AXO CHL	CIP GEN KAN NAL STR SUL	TET COT TIO	CVM #	State	Source	Date Isolated	Serotype
1						N30665	MN	Ground Turkey	06/11	Heidelberg
						N30682	NM	Ground Turkey	06/11	Heidelberg
						N30683	NM	Ground Turkey	06/11	Heidelberg
1						N29312	GA	Ground Turkey	02/11	Heidelberg
						N29307	CO	Chicken Breast	01/11	Heidelberg
_ 1						N29365	NM	Chicken Breast	01/11	Heidelberg
						N29375	NM	Chicken Breast	03/11	Heidelberg
						N37914	GA	Chicken Breast	11/11	Heidelberg
						N29356	CO	Chicken Breast	02/11	Heidelberg
						N31830	CO	Chicken Breast	04/11	Heidelberg
L 1						N29303	CA	Chicken Breast	02/11	Heidelberg
						N29329	OR	Chicken Breast	02/11	Heidelberg
						N31386	CA	Chicken Breast	08/11	Heidelberg
						N29300	CA	Chicken Breast	01/11	Heidelberg
						N37899	CA	Chicken Breast	12/11	Heidelberg
1 1						N32772	NM	Ground Turkey	09/11	Heidelberg
						N38230	GA	Ground Turkey	12/11	Heidelberg
						N30677	NM	Ground Turkey	05/11	Heidelberg
						N29341	MN	Ground Turkey	03/11	Heidelberg
1						N29362	CO	Ground Turkey	03/11	Heidelberg
					0.00	N29368	NM	Ground Turkey	01/11	Heidelberg
					1	N30643	GA	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg
					100	N30644	GA	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg
- 1						N30660	MD	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg
						N30661	MD	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg
						N30667	NM	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg
						N30684	NM	Ground Turkey	06/11	Heidelberg
						N31833	CO	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg
						N32052	NY	Ground Turkey	07/11	Heidelberg
						N32754	MN	Ground Turkey	09/11	Heidelberg
						N37907	CO	Ground Turkey	11/11	Heidelberg
						N32761	NM	Ground Turkey	07/11	Heidelberg
I1					· · · · ·	N29348	PA	Ground Turkey	02/11	Heidelberg
[[¹						N31844	MD	Ground Turkey	08/11	Heidelberg
						N32778	NM	Ground Turkey	10/11	Heidelberg
						N30678	NM	Ground Turkey	05/11	Heidelberg
1 1			22 65	이야 같이 좋아.	0.57	N37938	NY	Pork Chop	10/11	Heidelberg
4.1						N30670	NM	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg
μi						N32756	MN	Ground Turkey	09/11	Heidelberg
L						N30671	NM	Ground Turkey	04/11	Heidelberg


A-1h. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Infantis

Xbal/Bini	PFGE-Xbal	PFGE-Bini	AMC	AMP	AZI	FOX	AXO	CHL	GEN	KAN	NAL	STR	SUL	TET	COT	TIO	CVM #	State	Source	Date Isolated	Serotype
																	N29304	CA	Chicken Breast	03/11	Infantis
							Ċ.								1		N31594	GA	Chicken Breast	08/11	Infantis
																	N38188	NM	Pork Chop	08/11	Infantis
																	N37921	NM	Chicken Wing	11/11	Infantis
- 1																	N35518	TN	Ground Beef	11/11	Infantis
																	N37915	GA	Ground Beef	11/11	Infantis
																	N37916	GA	Ground Beef	11/11	Infantis
L [B														N32760	NM	Chicken Wing	07/11	Infantis
					9					9-	1						N29379	NM	Ground Turkey	03/11	Infantis


A-1i. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Kentucky

Xbal/BlnI			AMC AMP AZI FOX AZI COP COP GEN KAN NAL STR SUL	5 0			Date	
	PFGE-Xbal	PFGE-Bini	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	OF	CVM # St	and the second second	Isolated	Serotype
H					N31595 C		08/11	Kentucky
					N32771 N		09/11	Kentucky
					N30674 N		05/11 11/11	Kentucky
					N37919 M N29325 C		01/11	Kentucky
							12/11	Kentucky
					N37898 C N38231 M		11/11	Kentucky Kentucky
				1	N38232 M		11/11	Kentucky
				-	N38235 M	En la companya companya	11/11	Kentucky
2.					N38236 M		11/11	Kentucky
					N38238 M		11/11	Kentucky
					N38239 M		11/11	Kentucky
					N38240 M		11/11	Kentucky
					N37928 N		12/11	Kentucky
					N37929 N		12/11	Kentucky
					N29383 C	김 배요 물건을 잘 잘 하는 것 ~~	04/11	Kentucky
					N37948 C		12/11	Kentucky
					N29359 C		03/11	Kentucky
				1	N29336 M		02/11	Kentucky
					N31592 C		08/11	Kentucky
					N29363 C		04/11	Kentucky
				1	N32753 M		09/11	Kentucky
					N37903 C		10/11	Kentucky
					N37942 N		11/11	Kentucky
					N31382 C		07/11	Kentucky
					N31383 C		07/11	Kentucky
					N31384 C		07/11	Kentucky
					N31385 C		07/11	Kentucky
					N37894 C	A Chicken Breast	11/11	Kentucky
					N37900 C	O Chicken Breast	09/11	Kentucky
					N32749 G	A Chicken Wing	10/11	Kentucky
					N32765 N	and the second second	08/11	Kentucky
					N32769 N	M Chicken Wing	09/11	Kentucky
					N37923 N	M Chicken Wing	11/11	Kentucky
					N37927 N	M Chicken Wing	12/11	Kentucky
					N32766 N	M Chicken Wing	08/11	Kentucky
					N37922 N	M Chicken Wing	11/11	Kentucky
					N37895 C	A Chicken Breast	11/11	Kentucky
					N32770 N	M Chicken Wing	09/11	Kentucky
					N30680 N	M Chicken Breast	06/11	Kentucky
					N32775 N	M Chicken Breast	10/11	Kentucky
					N37905 C		10/11	Kentucky
					N32764 N	M Chicken Breast	08/11	Kentucky
				-	N32774 N		10/11	Kentucky
					N32777 N		10/11	Kentucky
					N29370 N		02/11	Kentucky
					N30681 N		06/11	Kentucky
				_	N32064 P		08/11	Kentucky
					N29302 C		02/11	Kentucky
Ļ					N37917 M	N Chicken Breast	10/11	Kentucky







A-1k. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Saintpaul



A-11. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Schwarzengrund



A-1m. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Senftenberg



A-1n. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Thompson

			Antibiogram
Xbal/Bini 응 응 문	PFGE-Xbal	PFGE-Bin1	Date Date Isolated Serotype
		THE REAL PROPERTY AND	N32780 TN Ground Turkey 07/11 Thompson
			N32781 TN Ground Turkey 07/11 Thompson
			N37925 NM Ground Turkey 11/11 Thompson
			N29305 CA Chicken Breast 03/11 Thompson

A-10. PFGE Profiles for Salmonella Typhimurium

Interaction Piele Sub	Xbal/Bln1	2.2.2	24	AZI AZO AXO CHL CHL KAN NAL SIR SUL TIO COT	1.2.2.5		Date
		PFGE-Xbal	PFGE-Bini _ 중 :				Isolated Serotype
					the second second second		
					N29324		02/11 Typhimurium var. O 5 -
	ЪГЬ						
10224 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10					1. S.		
Image: Second			10 100 1 1 1 011 -				
Image: Strain	L L						
Image: Section of the sectio							
Image: State in the s							
Image: Section of the sectio					N29342	NY Chicken Breast	03/11 Typhimurium var. O 5
Image: Section of the section of th							
1 1							
Image: Section of the section of th							
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section							
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							
Image: Section of the sectio							
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 1 <td< td=""><td>1044</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	1044						
Image: Section of the section of th							
Image: State in the s)) 101 - 1 11 11 -		N29320	NY Chicken Breast	
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: Section 2 Image: S							
Image: Section of the sectio							
Image:							
Image: Section 1 Image: Section 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>and the second second</td><td></td><td></td></td<>					and the second second		
Image: Section of the section of th					N30692	NY Chicken Breast	05/11 Typhimurium var. O 5
1 1							
Image: Section of the sectio							
No.2434 NY Chicken Breast 0.011 Typhimutumvar: 0.5 N30667 NY Chicken Breast 0.011 Typhimutumvar: 0.5 N30667 NY Chicken Breast 0.011 Typhimutumvar: 0.5 N30667 NY Chicken Breast 0.011 Typhimutumvar: 0.5 N30607 NY Chicken Breast 0.011 Typhimutumvar: 0.5					A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT. CONTRACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTA		
Image: Section of the section of th							
N2833 MD Checken Presat 0111 TypImurum var. 05 N2833 MD Checken Presat 0111 TypImurum var. 05 N2831 MD Checken Presat 0111 TypImurum var. 05 N2831 MD Checken Presat 0111 TypImurum var. 05 N2831 MD Checken Presat 0111 TypImurum var. 05 N2832 MD Checken Presat 1111 TypImurum var. 05 N2835 MD Checken Presat 1111 TypImurum var. 05 N2836 MD Checken Presat 0111 TypImurum var. 05 N2836							
Number Number<					And the second second second		
H22001 PA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhinutum var. 6.6. H22014 H1							
Image: Construction of the construc							
Image: Second					N29314	MD Chicken Breast	01/11 Typhimurium var. O 5
N2933 CT Okichen Breast 1111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2935 CT Okichen Breast 1111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2935 CT Okichen Breast 1111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2936 M Okichen Breast 1111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2936 M Okichen Breast 1111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2936 M Okichen Breast 0111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2934 M Okichen Breast 0111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2934 M Okichen Breast 0111 Typinnuum var. 0.6. N2935							
N3789 CA Chicken Breast 1111 Typhimutum var. 0.5 N3789 CA Chicken Breast 1111 Typhimutum var. 0.5 N3784 NY Chicken Breast 0711 Typhimutum var. 0.5 N3834 NY Chicken Breast 0711 Typhimutum var. 0.5 N3835 NY Chicken Breast 07111 Typhimutum var. 0.5							
N37097 CA: Chcken Breast 11/11 Typinmutum var. 0.5 N37097 CA: Chcken Breast 12/11 Typinmutum var. 0.5 N37097 CA: Chcken Breast 02/11 Typinmutum var. 0.5 N37097 N1 Marken Breast 02/11 Typinmutum var. 0.5 N32045 NY Chcken Breast 02/11 Typinmutum var. 0.5 N3205 NY Chcken Breast 02/11 Typinmutum var. 0.5 N3205 NY Chcken Breast 02/11 Typinmutum var. 0.5 N3205 <t< td=""><td> H H _</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	H H _						
No.5519 TN. Chicken Breast 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32049 NY Chicken Breast 02/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32050 NY Chicken Breast 02/11 Typhimurium v							
Image: Construction of the construc					Country of the state		
Image: Section of the section of th					the second state and a few		
1 1							
Image: Second							
N2344 NY Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N3204 NY Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N3204 NY Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium 07/11 Typhimurium N3205 NY Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium 07							03/11 Typhimurium
Image: Second							
1 1					the second second second		
Image: Second Section							
1 1	-44					NY Chicken Breast	05/11 Typhimurium var. O 5
1 1							
Image: Second							
Image: Construction of the construc							
Image: Constraint of the state of the s					2	CT Chicken Breast	07/11 Typhimurium var. O 5
N37939 NY Chicken Breast N32059 NY Chicken Breast N32057 NM Chicken Breast N37949 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O5 N37946 NY Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O5 N37946 NY Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O5 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O5 N37960 M Chicken Breast N32068 MN Chicken Breast N32068 MN Chicken Breast N32068 MN Chicken Breast N32757 NM Chicken Breast N32757 NM Chicken Breast N32759 NM Chicken Breast N32757 NM Chicken Breast N32767 Chicken Breast N32767 NM Chicken Breast N32769 NM Chicken Breast N32767 NM Chicken Breast N32768 OR Pork Chop N311 Typhimurium N32768 OR Pork Chop N31407 OR Pork Chop N3		1 11 100 1111					
N32059 NY Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N29350 PA Pork Chop 02/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N3672 NM Pork Chop 04/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N37946 NY Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32063 PA Chicken Breast 08/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32063 PA Chicken Breast 06/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32063 PA Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32757 NM Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32767 NM Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N327937 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N327938 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N32746 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N32746 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium							
N29350 PA Pork Chop 02/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N30672 NM Pork Chop 04/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N37946 NY Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N32063 PA Chicken Breast 08/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N32064 MN Chicken Breast 08/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N32757 NM Chicken Wing 07/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N32950 M Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N32951 M Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N32953 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N32954 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N31406 OR Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium var. 05 N3140	100						
N37946 NY Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium N37949 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32063 PA Chicken Breast 08/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32759 NM Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N32759 NM Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N29337 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N29338 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N32766 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium							
N37949 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32063 PA Chicken Breast 08/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32064 MN Chicken Breast 06/11 Typhimurium var. O.5 N32757 NM Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N32757 NM Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N29337 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N29338 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N3276 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N3276 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N3276 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium					N30672		
N37950 OR Pork Chop 12/11 Typhimurium var. 0.5							
N32063 PA Chicken Breast N32064 MN Chicken Breast N32757 NM Chicken Breast N32757 NM Chicken Wing N32759 NM Chicken Wing N32759 NM Chicken Wing N32759 NM Chicken Breast N32750 NM Chicken Breast N32750 NM Chicken Breast N32750 NM Ground Turkey N29338 MN Ground Turkey N32760 CA Chicken Breast N31406 OR Ground Turkey N31407 OR Pork Chop N31407 OR Pork Chop							
N30664 MN Chicken Breast N32757 NM Chicken Wing N32757 NM Chicken Breast N29337 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N29338 MN Ground Turkey N32746 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31408 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium							
N32759 NM Chicken Breast 07/11 Typhimurium N29337 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N32746 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Port Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Port Chop 07/11 Typhimurium							06/11 Typhimurium var. O 5
N29337 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N29338 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N32746 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium							
N29338 MN Ground Turkey 02/11 Typhimurium N32746 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium							
N32746 CA Chicken Breast 09/11 Typhimurium N31406 OR Ground Turkey 07/11 Typhimurium N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31408 OB Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium							
N31407 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium N31408 OB Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurium					and the state of the		
N31408 OR Pork Chop 07/11 Typhimurjum							
N31408 OR Pork Chop 0771 Typnimunum 80							
					1408	OIX TOIK OHOP	80

A2. NARMS Retail Meat Log Sheet Example

State Month Year			d by (Initials):	Send origina	lick to email logsheet end original log sheet with isolates to CVM and CDC. eep a copy for your records. Thank you.								
Accession # (optional)	Sample ID	Store Name	Store Address	Organic?	Cut / Ground in store?	Sell-by date	Purchase date	Process date	Brand Code	Brand Name - (for sites only)			

Accession # (optional)	Salmonella - Growth?	Salmonella serotype	Salmonella Isolate ID	Performed PFGE?	Sent PFGE to Pulsenet?	Campylobacter Growth?	Campy species?	Campylobacter · Isolate ID	E. coli Growth?	E. coli Isolate ID	Enterococcus Growth?	Enterococcus Isolate ID	Establishment # (for CVM)

SUBJECT NARMS Retail Food Surveillance Monthly Log Sheets

A3. Antimicrobial Resistance Trend Analysis

The purpose of the trend statistic is to model the association between antimicrobial resistances across the study years for all drugs tested. Beginning with the 2010 NARMS Retail Meat Report, the Cochran-Armitage (CA) trend statistic was replaced by trend statistics calculated from a binary logistic random effects regression model. As a result, the antimicrobial resistance (binary: resistant vs. not resistant) of tested isolates for a particular source (retail chicken, ground turkey, ground beef or pork chop) was analyzed using a logistic random effect model with year (2002 through 2011) as a fixed effect to detect trend and laboratory site as a random effect. Logistic random effects models not only provide equivalent trend test statistics to the CA method, but also increase the validity of the model by allowing us to deal with any sampling differences that may occur among the 11 participating public health laboratories.