

March 24, 1999

The Honorable Dan Burton, Chairman  
House Government Reform and Oversight Committee  
c/o Milt Copulos/Buth Clay  
Room 2157 RHOB  
Washington, DC 20515

3665 '99 SEP 17 12:29

Dear Congressman Burton:

Prior to last September's meeting of the Codex Committee on Nutrition and Food for Special Dietary Use, you and four other members of Congress strongly requested in writing that the FDA's Dr. Yetley remove the second paragraph from the US codex comments on agenda item #5 (vitamins and minerals), because it contradicted the first paragraph, and lent credence to the unscientific notion that "maximum upper potency limits" should be put on vitamins and minerals. Dr. Yetley not only **ignored** your written request, but John Hammell caught her doing so on videotape which has been put on the Life Extension Foundation's websight in the political section along with footage of John being forced to stop taping by the German Codex Chairman. A complete account of what happened is available at <http://www.iahf.com> under "breaking news."

From a standpoint of safety, there is no justification for attempting to apply a "Risk Assessment" document which was designed for evaluating toxic pharmaceutical drugs to dietary supplements, which have been well established through the National Assn of Poison Control Centers, and numerous other sources to be extraordinarily safe, even when consumed in doses much higher than the RDA. Orthomolecular physicians such as Bonnie Camo, M.D. have seen doses as high as three grams per day of niacin used in complete safety, while the National Academy of Sciences and the FDA are advocating a maximum upper potency limit of just 35mg, just because a few highly sensitive individuals experience a tingling sensation known as the "niacin flush" when taking niacin in higher doses. There is nothing unsafe about the niacin flush which actually helps circulation and is considered pleasurable by some.

It is obvious to consumers around the world that the FDA is attempting to use the highly unscientific and heavily prejudiced National Academy of Sciences document titled "A Risk Assessment Model for Establishing Upper Limits for Nutrients" as a means of moving beyond the consumer generated impasse at the Codex Committee on Nutrition and Foods for Special Dietary Use. The FDA has announced its intention to harmonize its regulations to emerging Codex standards in an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that was published in the Federal Register on July 7, 1997, vol. 62, #129 pp. 36243-36248.

**I urge you to call John Hammell and Bonnie Camo, MD, and other witnesses to a hearing before your Committee and I urge you to force the FDA to withdraw the second paragraph of its comments along with the NAS Risk Assessment document in keeping with current US law. Congress has spoken clearly on this with the passage of DSHEA, and most recently again in October of 1997 when dietary supplements were specifically EXEMPTED from the harmonization language in the FDA Reform Bill, which the FDA is obviously trying to circumvent. The FDA is famous for being a government agency that is out of control and SOMEBODY up there needs to rein them in.**

Sincerely,

*Carl Strawn*

Carl Strawn

99N-0391

C 61

**1999** Carl Strawn  
705 Town Lake Dr.  
Longview, TX 75601-4919



03 29 99 11:44

The Honorable Dan Burton, Chairman  
House Gov't Reform + Oversight Committee  
c/o Ruth Clay, Room 2157 RHOB  
Washington, D.C. 20515

