



Circulatory Systems Devices Panel of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee, FDA

ACC Testimony
Presented by James T Dove, MD
President-Elect ACC

Prepared with the assistance of Marc Shelton, MD,FACC; David Moliterno, MD,FACC, and Douglas Weaver, MD,FACC



What we heard

- RCT, Registries, meta-analysis
- Definitions are a problem
- Event rates are low
- Studies are under powered
- ST DES/BMS essentially comparable to 1 year
- > 1 year signal for increased ST- ? For how long
- Thienopyridines – good but for how long
- Off label use- there is not a good BMS group for comparison- have not really compared to CABG



Late ST for DES is Multifactorial

- Patient compliance issues
- Lesion types
 - Ostial
 - Bifurcation lesions
 - Prior brachytherapy
- Patient specific characteristics
 - Resistance
 - Hypersensitivity
 - Low EF
 - Renal failure
 - Diabetes



What we also know

- The occurrence of late events in non target vessel events occurs more often than late events in target vessels after the first year
- Both BMS/DES are huge improvements over POBA
- Data hysteria
 - .05 5 times > .01



What we also know

- The occurrence of non target vessel events is greater than late target events after the first year.
- Both BMS/DES are a huge improvement over POBA
- Data hysteria
 - .05 5 times > .01
 - .005 5 times >.001



What we also know

- The occurrence of non target vessel events is greater than late target events after the first year
- Both BMS/DES are huge improvement over POBA
- Data hysteria
 - .05 5 times > .01
 - .005 5 times > .001
 - .0005 5 times > .0001



What is needed

- Short-term strategies for current DES
 - Reiteration of patient selection: best treatment - medical, PCI or CABG
 - Reiteration of the approved indications for DES and the limited information for non-approved indications and late events
 - Recommendations on duration of anti-platelet therapy
 - Strategies to improve patient compliance
 - Education of all healthcare personnel on need to continue anti-platelet agents among patients with DES



What is needed

- Near term strategy
 - Better define patient selection - particularly off label
 - Informed consent - medical, PCI, CABG
 - Risk/benefit discussion
 - Carefully look at what we know and don't know
 - Design trials to find out best therapy



What is needed

- Longitudinal database
 - Unique patient identifier
 - Common data standards
 - Independent funding – consideration for surcharge on devices and pharmaceuticals or similar strategy
 - Built on platform similar to STS and ACC databases – 7.5 Million patient records
 - ACC NCDR database is considering longitudinal data collection



What is the pay back

- Most exciting issue to discuss at this meeting
- It will benefit more patients than anything we have discussed so far
- Positive data collection will provide more useful information than negative data
- Unique patient identifier is essential for EHR and fulfillment of quality potential
- Beyond the scope of this panel – Not really
- We need longitudinal data on devices, pharmaceuticals and procedures