Purpose

To provide progress updates for each working group and discuss next steps for the Steering Committee.

Participants

| F | 1 | כ | 1 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |

Industry

| Jill Adleberg | OC | Beatrice Biebuyck | BIO (Alexion) |
|-----------------|------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Josh Barton | CDER | Jennifer Boyer | BIO (Alkermes) |
| Steve Berman | CDER | Cartier Esham | BIO |
| Amanda Edmonds | OC | Jeffrey Francer | PhRMA |
| Joe Franklin | OC | Sascha Haverfield | PhRMA |
| Patrick Frey | CDER | Kay Holcombe | BIO |
| John Jenkins | CDER | Laurie Keating | BIO (Alnylam) |
| Chris Joneckis | CBER | Robert Metcalf | PhRMA (Eli Lilly) |
| Andrew Kish | CDER | Sandra Milligan | PhRMA (Merck) |
| Theresa Mullin | CDER | Paula Rinaldi | PhRMA (Novartis) |
| Mary Parks | CDER | Michelle Rohrer | BIO (Roche Genentech) |
| Grail Sipes | CDER | Mark Taisey | PhRMA (Amgen) |
| Graham Thompson | CDER | | |
| Terry Toigo | CDER | | |

The meeting discussion was focused on progress reports from each of the working groups, which includes Pre-Market, Financial, Regulatory Decision Tools, Post-Market, and Information Technology.

Pre-Market Group Progress Report

OIMT

Brad Wintermute

The Pre-Market working group noted that discussions were continuing on a number of possible areas of enhancement. This includes discussion of potential options to enhance meeting management to ensure FDA can provide input and advice to drug development programs through an increasing number of formal meetings requested by industry sponsors each year. The group also reported discussing a set of modifications to codify best practices and enhance flexibility within the NME Review Program; this may also include the addition of language regarding FDA's review activities associated with a controlled substances scheduling recommendation within the NME Review Program, where applicable.

Financial Group Progress Report

The Financial working group noted that discussions were continuing on a package of enhancements designed to improve the long-term stability of the program by enhancing the predictability of fee funds as well as enhancing capacity planning and resource management functions of the program. The group noted it had recently discussed potential options to improve PDUFA annual financial reports and would be discussing potential enhancements to the PDUFA workload adjuster.

Regulatory Decision Tools Group Progress Report

The Regulatory Decision Tools working group noted that discussions were continuing on proposed enhancements related to Patient-Focused Drug Development, the Benefit-Risk Framework, and a proposal to enhance processes and capacity for FDA to provide input on innovative clinical trials. The group noted that it would be discontinuing discussions related to a proposal to address statistical issues related to sub-group analysis.

Post-Market Group Progress Report

The Post-Market working group noted that discussions were continuing on proposals related to real world evidence and potential enhancements to the Sentinel System.

Information Technology Group Report

The Information Technology working group noted that discussion were continuing on draft language related to enhancing predictability of e-submission processes, as well as transparency and communications more generally related to FDA IT to support the process for the review of human drugs.

There were no other substantive proposals, significant controversies, or differences of opinion discussed at this meeting.