Bibliography of menthol references received from the public prior to September 1, 2010 that were not in the menthol white papers with an explanation as to why the reference was not relevant.

*If you would like full copies of any of the articles below, please let the TPSAC staff (TPSAC@fda.hhs.gov) know and we will get available articles to you.

   a. This is about menthol alone and the white papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. Not specific to menthol (didn’t evaluate menthol as independent variable) so not included.

   a. This a review and we are focusing on primary sources. Already have references citing GRAS.

   a. This does not evaluate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. Not specific to menthol.

   a. This is a conference abstract so not appropriate to include.

   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This is a review. We are focusing on primary sources.

a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. Not specific to menthol and tobacco so not included.

   a. This is a review. We are focusing on primary sources.

   a. This is a review. We are focusing on primary sources.

   a. Not menthol specific. Already have examples for menthol being generally safe and not a mutagen by itself.

   a. Doesn’t mention menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as independent variable.

   a. Didn’t investigate role of menthol so didn’t include.

   a. Didn’t investigate menthol as independent variable.

   a. Menthol not examined as an independent variable.

   a. In NCI1 bibliography but not appropriate because industry documents related to foreign sales isn’t considered within scope.

   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. Menthol pyrolysis. Didn’t feel it added information.
   a. No mention of menthol. Menthol not evaluated as an independent variable.
   a. No mention of menthol. Menthol not evaluated as an independent variable.
   a. Using peer-reviewed literature. Already have example references that menthol is generally safe when used in foods.
   a. This is a review and we are focusing on primary sources. Have specific examples of menthol as generally safe as a food and cosmetic additive so this was not necessary. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco
   a. This is a review and we are focusing on primary sources.
   a. This is a review and we are focusing on primary sources.
   a. Didn’t examine menthol.
a. Didn’t contain any search terms in title or abstract. No mention of menthol.

   a. Didn’t mention menthol so didn’t analyze menthol as an independent factor - not included.

   a. Didn’t mention menthol so didn’t analyze menthol as an independent factor - not included.

   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

34. Berggren U, Berglund K, Fahlke C, Aronsson E, Eriksson M, Balldin J. Tobacco use is associated with more severe alcohol dependence, as assessed by the number of DSM-IV criteria, in Swedish male type 1 alcoholics. Alcohol Alcohol. 2007 May-Jun;42(3):247-51.
   a. Didn’t contain any search terms in title or abstract. No mention of menthol.

   a. No mention of menthol

   a. This is a plenary, so not appropriate

   a. Plenary, so not appropriate.

   a. This is a review and we are focusing on primary sources. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco

   a. This is a review and we are focusing on primary sources. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco


a. This is about metabolism of menthol, which is not the focus of this paper (focus on menthol+tobacco).


a. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. No mention of menthol so menthol was not examined as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. Tried to find in Pub Med. Not enough information to find an article.


a. No mention of menthol so not investigated as an independent variable and not included.


a. This is a review. Focusing on primary sources.


a. This is a website, not an original source, so not used.


a. No mention of menthol.


a. No mention of menthol. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

49. Carmella SG, Yoder A, Hecht SS. Combined analysis of r-1,t-2,3,c-4-tetrahydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophenanthrene and 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-

a. No mention of menthol. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. This is focused on design with lists of ingredients and quantity. Not appropriate for these topics.


a. Have specific examples of menthol as generally safe as a food and cosmetic additive so this was not necessary. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco.


a. This is a book (review) and white papers focus on primary sources so not included.


a. Not peer reviewed literature so not appropriate to include.


a. Demographics paper was original analyses by CDC.


a. Didn’t look at menthol+tobacco separately.


a. Didn’t look at menthol+tobacco separately.


a. Demographics paper was original analyses by CDC. Didn’t look at menthol separately.


a. Demographics paper was original analyses by CDC.


a. Not peer-reviewed so not included.


a. This commentary doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. The word “menthol” does not appear anywhere in the text of this article. It is not specific to menthol and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. This is meta-analysis doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. The word “menthol” does not appear anywhere in the text of this article. It is not specific to menthol and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. No mention of menthol. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. No mention of menthol. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. Didn’t fit because didn’t discuss levels of menthol. Focused on effects.


a. This is menthol specific whereas the white papers focus on menthol+tobacco. Plus, it is a review.


a. It is not specific to menthol and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. No mention of menthol. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. There is no mention on menthol in this article.
   a. This is menthol alone whereas the white papers focus on menthol+tobacco.
70. Coffman BL, King CD, Rios GR, Tephly TR. The glucuronidation of opioids, other xenobiotics, and androgens by human UGT2B7Y(268) and UGT2B7H(268). Drug Metab Dispos. 1998 Jan;26(1):73-7.
   a. Deals with metabolism of menthol alone, not in reference to tobacco or nicotine. Focus is on menthol+tobacco, so not included.
   a. Couldn’t locate bibliographic info in Pub Med, and tried to find directly at The Toxicologist through FDA online journals. Vol 10 is 1988 (not 1990). Did a search of “Coggins” as author name in this journal but this article wasn’t found.
   a. This is a review and white papers focus on primary sources.
   a. This is a conference abstract. Published abstracts were not included out of concern that, due to the lack of details, those studies could not adequately be assessed.
   a. This was design features, so menthol was not evaluated as an independent factor.
   a. This is a review whereas the papers focus on primary sources.
   a. No mention of menthol so not included.
a. This wasn’t specific to menthol and tobacco, so it was not included.
   a. Menthol not mentioned so not included.
   a. This is not peer-reviewed. Tried link, but it didn’t work.
   a. Not published so not appropriate to use.
81. Czech Republic: Decree No. 325, Ministry of Agriculture (December 10, 1997).
   a. Using peer-reviewed literature. Already have references that menthol is generally safe when used in foods.
   a. No mention of menthol.
   a. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. No mention of keywords in title or abstract. Menthol not evaluated as an independent variable.
   a. Demographics paper is original analyses by CDC.
   a. Demographics paper is original analyses by CDC.
   a. No mention of menthol. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. No mention of menthol. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. This is not specific to menthol (which is not mentioned).
   a. This is a review. We used primarily original sources so this was not included.
   a. No mention of menthol so not included.
   a. Menthol was not investigated as an independent variable.
   a. This is menthol alone and we are focusing on menthol + tobacco.
This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This is a review and we are focusing on primary sources.

   a. This is a review, and we are focusing on original sources, plus no mention of menthol

   a. No mention of menthol.

   a. No mention of menthol.

   a. No mention of menthol.

   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This is a review and we are focusing primarily on original sources.

106. Fagerström KO. Measuring degree of physical dependence to tobacco smoking with reference to individualization of treatment. Addict Behav. 1978;3(3-4):235-41.
   a. Methods with no mention of menthol.

107. Fagerstrom KO, Schneider NG. Measuring nicotine dependence: a review of

a. This is a review whereas the white papers focus on primary sources.


a. This is a commentary/review and is not about menthol.


a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. The demographics white paper was focused on original CDC analyses.


a. Have specific examples of menthol as generally safe as a food and cosmetic additive so this was not necessary. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco.


a. Have specific examples of menthol as generally safe as a food and cosmetic additive so this was not necessary. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco.


a. Have specific examples of menthol as generally safe as a food and cosmetic additive so this was not necessary. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco.


a. Have specific examples of menthol as generally safe as a food and cosmetic additive so this was not necessary. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco.


a. Using peer-reviewed literature. Already have references that menthol is generally safe when used in foods.

a. Didn’t need market share information citation so not used.

   a. Sales trends didn’t fit into the perceptions white paper.

   a. No mention of menthol so not investigated as an independent variable.

   a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include

   a. More African-Americans consumed menthol cigarettes but menthol was not evaluated as an independent variable.

   a. This is a review. Since papers focus on primary sources, this was not included.

   a. Have other specific examples of menthol as generally safe as a food and cosmetic additive so this was not necessary. Also focusing on menthol + tobacco.

   a. This doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable so not included.

   a. This article doesn’t mention menthol and doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This article doesn’t mention menthol and doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

126. Foster RW, Jubber AS, Hassan NA, Franke B, Vernillet L, Denouel J, Carpenter JR, Small RC. Trials of the bronchodilator activity of the xanthine analogue SDZ MKS 492 in healthy volunteers during a methacholine challenge
   a. This is menthol alone whereas the white papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. This doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable so not included.

   a. Using peer-reviewed literature. Already have references that menthol is generally safe when used in foods.

   a. Not yet in peer reviewed journal so not appropriate to include.

   a. This is a conference abstract. Published abstracts were not included out of concern that, due to the lack of details, those studies could not adequately be assessed.

   a. No mention of menthol so not included.

   a. No mention of menthol so not included.

   a. This is specific to menthol alone and papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. Conference summary so not appropriate to include.

   a. This is on menthol metabolism alone. Reviews focus on
menthol+tobacco, so not included.

   a. This is a review that focuses on menthol along whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. Focus is on menthol+tobacco, not as a cold medication.

   a. No mention of menthol so not investigated as independent variable and not included.

   a. Not included because article was not in English (which was a requirement)

   a. Demographics paper was mainly original analyses by CDC.

   a. This is specific to menthol alone whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. This is on menthol metabolism alone. Reviews focus on menthol+tobacco, so not included.

   a. This is a book (review). The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.

   a. This is specific to menthol alone whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. This is specific to menthol alone whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. This is not in English so not included.

   a. Menthol was not examined as an independent factor, so this was not considered appropriate for inclusion.

   a. The focus is on menthol + tobacco, rather than menthol as food ingredient. We already have references to support that menthol is considered safe as a food additive so this is not being used.

   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. Not in Pub Med’s peer-reviewed literature

   a. No mention of menthol and no investigation of menthol as independent variable so not included.

   a. The focus is on menthol + tobacco. We already have references to support that menthol is not considered a mutagen so this is not being used.

   a. No mention on menthol. Menthol not evaluated as an independent variable.
   a. This is a review. We are focusing on original sources so this was not included.

   a. Didn’t evaluate menthol.

   a. This is general to smoking and does not differentiate menthol from non-menthol.

   a. This is a review and we are going with original sources. Also deals with menthol alone whereas the reviews are menthol+tobacco

   a. This is a general methodology paper, so not appropriate to include.

   a. This is a general methodology paper, so not appropriate to include.

   a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.

   a. This is a review. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.

   a. No mention of menthol so not included.

   a. Part of NCI bibliography. Already being used
a. No mention of menthol so not included.

a. Focus is on menthol + tobacco. There are many references that suggest cytotoxicity of menthol in tobacco-associated disease states, which is the focus of the paper.

a. Didn’t examine menthol as an independent variable.

a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. This is a review/commentary so not included.

a. This is a plenary, so not appropriate

a. Menthol is not mentioned and is not investigated as an independent variable so not included.

a. This is a paper about general methodology.

a. This is a review article. We are focusing on primary sources so this was not used.

a. Using peer-reviewed literature. Already have references that menthol generally safe when used in foods.

174. Hurt RD, Patten CA. Treatment of tobacco dependence in alcoholics. Recent
   a. Didn’t mention menthol and didn’t evaluate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. No mention of menthol.

   a. This is a review. White papers focus on primary sources so not included.

   a. This is a review. White papers focus on primary sources so not included.

   a. Metabolism of menthol alone. Papers focus on menthol+tobacco, so not included.

   a. Didn’t mention menthol and didn’t evaluate menthol as an independent variable so not included.

   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not measure menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. Doesn’t mention menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. Doesn’t mention menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. Doesn’t mention menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.
   a. This is a review and we are focusing on primary sources.

   a. Didn’t find this through Pub Med or the FDA Library.

   a. Couldn’t find in Pub Med, or online via FDA library. Tried to find using Google Scholar but only found the abstract in french.

   a. This is a conference abstract. Published abstracts were not included out of concern that, due to the lack of details, those studies could not adequately be assessed.

   a. This is a press release and not a full article

   a. Although subjects were classified as either being menthol or non-menthol smokers, menthol was not investigated as an independent variable (subject variable only).

   a. There is no mention on menthol in this article.

   a. No mention of menthol so not included.

   a. No mention of menthol, so not investigated as independent variable and not included.

   a. This is a review. We are focusing on primary sources.
   a. This is a review. We are focusing on primary sources.
   a. Demographics paper has original CDC analyses. Also not separated by menthol.
   a. Demographics paper has original CDC analyses. Also not separated by menthol.
   a. This is specific to menthol alone whereas the white papers focused on menthol+tobacco.
   a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. There is no mention on menthol in this article.
   a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
   a. No mention of menthol. Didn’t evaluate menthol as an independent variable.
   a. This is not menthol specific and didn’t fit with the perceptions white paper.

a. This is specific to menthol alone whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco.


a. This is a conference abstract, which are not included.


a. This is a review article and also does not focus on menthol x tobacco interaction.


a. No mention of menthol so not included.


a. No mention of menthol so not included.


a. No mention of menthol so didn’t investigate as independent variable and not included.


a. This is not peer-reviewed and is also menthol by itself, not menthol+tobacco.


a. Didn’t evaluate menthol as an independent variable so not included.


a. No mention of menthol.

a. No mention of menthol so not included. Doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

213. Li, Q., et al., Mentholated Cigarettes and Indicators of Nicotine Dependence, Presented at the May 2005 National Conference on Tobacco or Health, Abstract #12437.
   a. This is a conference abstract. Published abstracts were not included out of concern that, due to the lack of details, those studies could not adequately be assessed.

   a. This is a conference abstract. Published abstracts were not included out of concern that, due to the lack of details, those studies could not adequately be assessed.

   a. This is not yet in a peer-reviewed journal so not appropriate to include.

   a. This is a decision-making paper that is not particular to tobacco or menthol.

   a. Doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. Doesn’t mention menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. This is a review about menthol alone whereas we are focusing on original sources and menthol + tobacco. It is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. Papers didn’t discuss taste differences between ethnicities. Didn’t investigate independent role of menthol in the bitter taste gene.
   a. Doesn’t mention menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. Doesn’t mention menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. Doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

   a. A review (focusing on primary sources) and also no mention of menthol.

   a. This was not with mentholated tobacco, and menthol was not tested independently, so it was not deemed appropriate.

   a. Did not evaluate menthol as an independent variable and was thus not appropriate to include.

   a. This is a review about menthol alone whereas we are focusing on original sources and menthol + tobacco. It is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This is about menthol alone and we are focusing on menthol + tobacco. It is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. No mention of menthol. Menthol not examined as an independent variable.

230. Mendes, P., Liang, Q., Frost-Pineda, K., Munjal, S., Walk, R.A. & Roethig,
   a. Mentions that some smoked menthol brands but analysis not specific for menthol.

   a. Not specific to menthol, and also we are focusing on menthol + tobacco.

   a. No mention of menthol.

   a. The papers didn't discuss amount of menthol in cigarettes and cigarette smoke, except to identify the effect of pyrolysis on menthol entering the body, so not included.

   a. Focusing on menthol + tobacco. Already have several sources that suggest that menthol worsens cytotoxicity in tobacco-associated diseased cells.

   a. This is a commentary/review, not a primary source.

   a. This article does not measure menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This article does not measure menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This article doesn't have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. This was generally about insula damage and spontaneous cessation of smoking. It did not mention menthol.

   a. This is a paper on decision making and is not directly related to mentholated tobacco.

   a. Specific to menthol whereas papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

   a. Not peer-reviewed research so this is not appropriate for inclusion.

   a. Not peer-reviewed research so this is not appropriate for inclusion.

   a. Dr. Caraballo wrote his own chapter and performed his own analyses.

   a. This is a review. Focusing on primary articles.

   a. This doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable so not included.

   a. This article discussing interpretation of ongoing tobacco use doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. The word “menthol” does not appear anywhere in the text of this article. It is not specific to menthol and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This is a conference paper so it is not included.

   a. Not including conference abstracts

260. Novotny TE, Warner KE, Kendrick JS, Remington PL. Smoking by blacks
   a. Menthol is included in the study and is not evaluated as an independent variable.
   a. This is a review and focusing on primary sources so not included.
   a. This article did not examine menthol as a flavor entity. The tested cigarettes were the following flavors: Twist, Crema, Dark Mint, Mandarin Mint, Izmir Stinger, Warm Winter Toffee, Winter MochaMint). It was not appropriate to include.
   a. Didn’t contain any search terms in title or abstract. Menthol was not examined as an independent factor so it was not appropriate to include.
   a. Specific for menthol whereas papers focus on menthol+tobacco.
   a. There is no mention of menthol, so menthol was not examined as an independent variable and not included.
   a. Specific for menthol whereas papers focus on menthol+tobacco.
   a. Didn’t contain any search terms in title or abstract. No mention of menthol.
   a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.
interaction of menthol with nicotine in vitro and in vivo test systems. The Toxicologist, 2010;114(1):97.

a. Looked promising, but couldn’t find in Pub Med or Google Scholar. Plus, according to their website, “The Toxicologist is an official publication of the Society of Toxicology and contains the abstracts of the SOT Annual Meeting” and conference abstracts aren’t included.


a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. This is about menthol alone and we are focusing on menthol + tobacco. It is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. There is no mention of menthol so not included.


a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.


a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.


a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.


a. This is about menthol alone and we are focusing on menthol + tobacco. It is therefore not an appropriate article to include.


a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.

278. Pleis JR, Lucas JW, Ward BW. Summary health statistics for U.S. Adults:
a. The demographics paper is original analyses by CDC.

a. This is not a peer-reviewed journal so not appropriate to include.

   a. Menthol was not mentioned and was therefore not included.

a. This article doesn’t have any of the search terms in the title or abstract. It does not investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. No mention of menthol.

a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.

a. This is a review and not specific to menthol.

a. Model development, no mention of menthol.

a. Focusing on menthol+tobacco. No animal studies were included in lung function and respiration.

a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.

a. Review papers were generally not used – focused on primary sources.

a. This is a review, and also focuses on menthol alone (not menthol+tobacco).


a. No mention of menthol. Focusing on menthol+tobacco so not included.

a. This is a conference abstract so not appropriate to include.


a. This is a review article. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included.

a. This is a case study of someone with a genetic mutation that affects metabolism of bilirubin; urinary menthol glucuronides were normal. Papers focused on menthol + tobacco so not included.

a. Not about menthol (no mention of menthol)

a. This paper tested multiple flavorants, not menthol individually, so not appropriate

a. This is a book/review. Focusing on primary sources.

a. No mention of menthol.

a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. No mention of menthol. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. Didn’t investigate role of menthol (used non-menthol nic and denic cigarettes).

a. No including conference abstracts

a. Not included because effect on chemical composition of smoke was not discussed.

a. This article was included on page 12 for the tar and nicotine yield findings, however it does not contain behavioral topography results.

a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. Demographics of menthol use paper is primary analysis and not a white paper review

309. SAMHSA, HHS, Results from the 2005 National Survey on Drug Use and

a. Demographics of menthol use paper is primary analysis and not a white paper review.

   a. This is about menthol alone and we are focusing on menthol + tobacco. It is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

   a. This didn’t show up in PubMed or directly at the Tobacco Control (OVID) website through authors search or article title search.

   a. No mention of menthol.

   a. This is a review. We primarily relied on primary sources.

   a. This didn’t fit with marketing and we don’t have a packaging white paper.

a. No mention of menthol.

   a. No mention of menthol

   a. No mention of menthol

320. Siahpush M, Yong HH, Borland R, Reid JL, Hammond D. Smokers with financial stress are more likely to want to quit but less likely to try or succeed: findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey. Addiction. 2009 Aug;104(8):1382-90. Epub 2009 May 12.
   a. No mention of menthol.

   a. No mention of menthol so not investigated as tobacco+menthol.

   a. Using peer-reviewed literature. Already have references that menthol is generally safe when used in foods.

   a. This is not directly related to mentholated tobacco.

   a. No mention of menthol so not included.

   a. This is on menthol metabolism. Papers are focused on menthol + tobacco, so not included.

   a. All smokers smoked non-menthol cigarettes. Didn’t investigate menthol as an independent variable.

Menthol is not mentioned.

   a. No mention of menthol so not included.

   a. Focus is on menthol+tobacco. Have several references that menthol has cytotoxic effects in tobacco associated disease cells.

   a. This is a review. We are focusing on primary sources.

   a. No mention of menthol so not included.

   a. Review and no mention of menthol so not included.

   a. Demographics of menthol use paper is primary analysis and not a white paper review.

   a. This is a review whereas the white papers focus on primary sources.

   a. This doesn’t deal with menthol specifically.

   a. Menthol was not evaluated as an independent variable.

   a. Menthol not mentioned and was not evaluated as an independent variable.

  a. This is a review. We are focusing on primary sources.
  a. Specific to menthol whereas the papers focused on menthol+tobacco.
340. Thun, M.J., Apicella, L.F., Henley, Smoking vs other risk factors as the cause of smoking-attributable deaths. JAMA 2000, 284, 706-712
  a. Menthol not mentioned and was not evaluated as an independent variable.
  a. Menthol not mentioned and was not evaluated as an independent variable.
  a. This is a review. This paper is relying on primary sources.
  a. This paper investigates menthol effects on glucuronidation. Papers focus on menthol+tobacco so not included.
  a. This is a review. This paper is relying on primary sources. Also doesn’t mention menthol.
  a. Social networks of smokers was not a topic covered in the white papers.
  a. Using peer-reviewed literature. Already have references that menthol is generally safe when used in foods.
  a. Focusing on menthol+tobacco. Have several references that menthol is cytotoxic in tobacco-associated disease cells.
348. Urbán R, Demetrovics Z. Smoking outcome expectancies: A multiple

a. Model assessment, no mention of menthol.


a. Not related to smoking or menthol.


a. This is a review and white papers focus on primary sources so not included.


a. This is a review and white papers focus on primary sources so not included.


a. This is a review and white papers focus on primary sources so not included.


a. This is a review and white papers focus on primary sources so not included.

354. US Dept of Health and Human Services, Office of Applied Studies (2009). Results from the 2008 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: National findings; available at: http://oas.samhsa.gov/NSDUH/2k8NSDUH/2k8results.cfm#Ch4

a. The demographics chapter relied on original analyses.


a. This a review. We are using primary sources, so this was not included.


a. This a review. We are using primary sources, so this was not included.

a. This a review. We are focusing on primary sources, so this was not included

   a. No mention of menthol so not included

   a. This a review. We are using primary sources, so this was not included

   a. This a review. We are using primary sources, so this was not included.

   a. Couldn’t find at the FDA library. If a book review, it is not appropriate.

   a. No mention of menthol so didn’t investigate as independent variable and not included.

   a. This is about nicotine alone. This was not included because it wasn’t the interaction between nicotine and menthol.

   a. No mention of menthol so didn’t investigate as independent variable and not included.

   a. This is a review article/metaanalysis. The white papers focus on primary sources, so this was not included

   a. This is not specific to menthol.

a. This article doesn’t mention menthol and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

368. Wiener D, Fang JL, Dossett N, Lazarus P. Correlation between UDP-
glucuronosyltransferase genotypes and 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-
butanone glucuronidation phenotype in human liver microsomes. Cancer Res.
2004 Feb 1;64(3):1190-6.

a. Th This article doesn’t mention menthol and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. No mention of menthol so didn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

a. This is a plenary, so not appropriate for inclusion.

a. This is menthol alone whereas we are focusing on menthol + tobacco.

a. This is not directly relevant to the topics being discussed (not discussing bone height).

374. Wright CE, Bowen WP, Grattan TJ, Morice AH. Identification of the L-
menthol binding site in guinea-pig lung membranes. Br J Pharmacol. 1998
a. This is menthol alone whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco

a. Specific to menthol whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco.

a. This article doesn’t investigate menthol as an independent factor and is therefore not an appropriate article to include.

Specific to menthol whereas the papers focus on menthol+tobacco.


Focusing on menthol+tobacco. Already have citations that menthol alone is generally not considered cancer-causing.