

**Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research**

**Summary Minutes of the Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs
Advisory Committee Meeting
March 10, 2011**

Topic: The committee discussed, in general, the use of historical-controlled trials for the approval of anticonvulsant monotherapy for seizures of partial origin for antiepileptic drug products that are already approved for adjunctive therapy. The committee also discussed how this may specifically apply to the approval of the supplemental new drug application (sNDA) 022115/S-006, LAMICTAL XR (lamotrigine extended-release tablets), sponsored by SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline, for monotherapy in patients 13 years of age and older with partial seizures who are receiving therapy with a single antiepileptic drug (AED).

These summary minutes for the March 10, 2011 Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee meeting were approved on March 25, 2011.

I certify that I attended the March 10, 2011 Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee meeting and that these minutes accurately reflect what transpired.

-signed-
Diem-Kieu H. Ngo, Pharm.D., BCPS
(Designated Federal Official)

-signed
Britt Anderson, M.D., Ph.D.
(Chair)

**Summary Minutes of the Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs
Advisory Committee Meeting
March 10, 2011**

The following is the final report of the Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee meeting held on March 10, 2011. A verbatim transcript will be available in approximately six weeks, sent to the Division and posted on the FDA website at

<http://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/PeripheralandCentralNervousSystemDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/ucm235850.htm>

All external requests for the meeting transcripts should be submitted to the CDER Freedom of Information Office.

The Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee of the Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, met on March 10, 2011, at the Hilton Washington DC/Silver Spring, The Ballrooms, 8727 Colesville Road, Silver Spring, Maryland. Prior to the meeting, the members and temporary voting members were provided the background materials from the FDA and GlaxoSmithKline. The meeting was called to order by Britt Anderson, M.D., Ph.D. (Acting Chair). The conflict of interest statement was read into the record by Diem-Kieu H. Ngo, Pharm.D., BCPS (Designated Federal Officer). There were approximately 100 people in attendance. There was one Open Public Hearing (OPH) speaker.

Issue: The committee discussed, in general, the use of historical-controlled trials for the approval of anticonvulsant monotherapy for seizures of partial origin for antiepileptic drug products that are already approved for adjunctive therapy. The committee also discussed how this may specifically apply to the approval of the supplemental new drug application (sNDA) 022115/S-006, LAMICTAL XR (lamotrigine extended-release tablets), sponsored by SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline, for monotherapy in patients 13 years of age and older with partial seizures who are receiving therapy with a single antiepileptic drug (AED).

Attendance:

Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee members present (voting):

Jeffrey A. Cohen, M.D.; Dean D. Kindler, M.D.

Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee members not present (voting):

Nathan B. Fountain, M.D.; Samuel A. Frank, M.D. (Consumer Representative); Pooja Khatri, M.D.; Ellen J. Marder, M.D.; Jason W. Todd, M.D.

Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee members present (non-voting):

Roy Twyman, M.D. (Industry Representative)

Temporary Members (voting): Britt Anderson, M.D., Ph.D. (Acting Chair); Lily Jung Henson, M.D., M.M.M., FAAN (Acting Consumer Representative); Marshall S. Balish, M.D., Ph.D.; Kevin E. Chapman, M.D.; Robert R. Clancy, M.D.; Thomas R. Fleming, Ph.D.; Andrew C. Leon, Ph.D.; Eli Mizrahi, M.D.; Phillip L. Pearl, M.D.; William H. Theodore, M.D.; David M. Treiman, M.D.; Hongyu Zhao, Ph.D.

Guest Speakers (non-voting): Jacqueline A. French, M.D.; Nancy R. Temkin, Ph.D.

FDA Participants (non-voting): Russell G. Katz, M.D.; Norman Hershkowitz, M.D., Ph.D.; Steven Dinsmore, D.O.; Xiang Ling, Ph.D.

Open Public Hearing Speakers: John M. Pellock, M.D. (American Epilepsy Society)

The agenda was as follows:

Call to Order and Opening Remarks

Britt Anderson, M.D., Ph.D.
Acting Chair
Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs
Advisory Committee

Introduction of Committee

Conflict of Interest Statement

Diem-Kieu H. Ngo, Pharm.D., BCPS
Designated Federal Officer

FDA Introductory Remarks

Russell Katz, M.D.
Director, Division of Neurology Products (DNP)
Office of Drug Evaluation I (ODE-I)
Office of New Drugs (OND), CDER, FDA

GUEST SPEAKER PRESENTATION

Historical Control: Withdrawal to
Monotherapy

Jacqueline A. French, M.D.
Professor, Department of Neurology
Director, Clinical Trials Consortium
New York University Comprehensive Epilepsy Center

Historical Control for Epilepsy
Conversion to Monotherapy:
Methodology

Nancy R. Temkin, Ph.D.
Professor, Biostatistics and Neurological Surgery
University of Washington

Clarifying Questions

BREAK

INDUSTRY PRESENTATION

Overview of Epilepsy and Lamotrigine

Thomas Thompson, M.D.
Director, Neurosciences Medicine Development Center
Physician Project Leader for Lamotrigine
GlaxoSmithKline LLC

Brief Review of the Historical
Control Studies

John Messenheimer, M.D.
Epilepsy Consultant
John Messenheimer, PLLC

LAM30055 – Design, Efficacy,
and Safety Results

John Messenheimer, M.D.

*Comparisons Between LAM30055,
US30/31, and the Historical Control
Studies*

John Messenheimer, M.D.

Summary and Conclusions

Thomas Thompson, M.D.

Statistical Considerations

Eugene M. Laska, Ph.D.
*Research Professor, Biostatistics
New York University Medical Center*

Clarifying Questions

FDA PRESENTATION

*Lamictal® XR™ (lamotrigine)
Historical Control Trial*

Xiang Ling, Ph.D.
*Mathematical Statistician
Division of Biostatistics 1, Office of Biostatistics
Office of Translational Science, CDER, FDA*

Clarifying Questions

LUNCH

Open Public Hearing

Panel Discussion/Questions

BREAK

Panel Discussion/Questions

Adjournment

Questions to the Committee:

1. Does the Committee believe that placebo-controlled monotherapy studies in patients with partial seizures are ethically acceptable? YES/NO/ABSTAIN

Committee Discussion: *Note: a vote was not taken for this question. The committee agreed that long-term outpatient placebo-controlled or pseudo placebo-controlled trials of the sort demonstrated by the historical control studies presented by French et al. would be ethically problematic in general but there may be an appropriate subset of patients who suffer from diseases with no available treatment or study types such as the short-term inpatient setting where a placebo or pseudo-placebo may be considered.. Please see the transcript for details of the Committee discussion.*

2. If the answer to Question 1 is “NO”, does the Committee believe that a historical control approach, of the sort proposed by French et al., can be acceptable under the specific circumstances in which a drug is known to be effective as adjunctive treatment? YES/NO/ABSTAIN

YES: 14 NO: 0 ABSTAIN: 0

Committee Discussion: *The committee unanimously agreed that a historical control approach, of the sort proposed by French et al., can be acceptable under the specific circumstances in which a drug is known to be effective as adjunctive treatment. Please see the transcript for details of the Committee discussion.*

3. If the answer to Question 2 is “YES”, please discuss the specific methodology utilized by French et al. (e.g. the propriety of combining the eight control groups into a single historical control, the specific statistical approach used to combine the groups, the appropriateness of using a prediction interval and the specific prediction interval used to establish effectiveness) and whether it is acceptable.

Committee Discussion: *The committee voiced concerns regarding the heterogeneity of the pseudo-placebo groups utilized by French et al to construct the historical control group., but concurred that it is acceptable as long as the inherent irregularities are addressed. Additionally, some of the committee members felt that it may have been problematic for the escape rates to be pooled into one aggregate rate. Please see the transcript for details of the Committee discussion.*

4. If the methodology is considered acceptable, what elements of a study using this approach are critical to consider, for example:
 - a. Matching demographics (age, race, duration/severity of epilepsy, nationality, etc.)
 - b. Initial concomitant antiepileptic drugs (AEDs)
 - c. Differences in conversion methods
 - d. Temporal trends in response
 - e. Dropouts
 - f. Any other elements

Committee Discussion: *The committee agreed that all of the following elements are important: matching demographics, initial concomitant antiepileptic drugs, differences in conversion methods, temporal trends in response, and dropouts. The committee also noted that investigators should match any proposed study sample to the known characteristics of the historical control populations. Additionally, it was noted that the five Stuart Pocock criteria for acceptability of historical control (Journal of Chronic Disease, 1976) should be met. Please see the transcript for details of the Committee discussion.*

5. Does the study under consideration fulfill the necessary criteria to allow for a determination of effectiveness? Specifically, please discuss:
 - a. Potential for bias due to the fact that all patients are receiving active treatment
 - b. Potential bias due to under-reporting of study endpoints
 - c. Number of background AEDs
 - d. The comparability of exit criteria in this study and in the historical control
 - e. United States (US) data vs. foreign data

Committee Discussion: *It was noted that a drug effect was evident despite the uncertainties that were inherent due to the open label bias, heterogeneity in the controls and the statistical adjustments which were made (prediction interval and lower limit 95% confidence interval). However, it was also noted that it is questionable if there is a drug effect if a need for preservation of effect of any previously approved drug is required. Please see the transcript for details of the Committee discussion.*

6. Has the sponsor submitted substantial evidence of effectiveness for Lamictal XR as monotherapy for the treatment of partial seizures? YES/NO/ABSTAIN

YES: 10 NO: 2 ABSTAIN: 1

- a. If “YES”, please discuss whether or not the fact that Lamictal **IR** is approved for monotherapy was critical to the decision.

Committee Discussion: *Note: one committee member was not present for the vote. The majority of the committee agreed that the sponsor submitted substantial evidence of effectiveness for Lamictal XR as monotherapy for the treatment of partial seizures. All of the committee members who voted “YES” stated that the fact that Lamictal IR is approved for monotherapy was critical to their vote. Please see the transcript for details of the Committee discussion.*

7. *Based on the discussions that transpired, the following question was added during the meeting:*
Assuming there is a very good match between the active treatment group and the historical controls, could you consider approval for a monotherapy indication for a drug that had adjunctive efficacy demonstrated but had not been examined in monotherapy using a different formulation?

Committee Discussion: *The committee agreed that they could recommend approval of a drug that had efficacy demonstrated for adjunctive therapy but had not been evaluated for monotherapy (using a different formulation) if there was a good match between the active treatment group and the historical controls. Please see the transcript for details of the Committee discussion.*

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:05 p.m.