

BEFORE THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Dockets Management Branch
Food and Drug Administration
Department of Health and Human Services
5630 Fishers Lane
Room 1061
Rockville, Maryland 20875

02P-0171 - 07-31-2002

Mr. Gene Koprowski, Docket No. 02P-0171

July 31, 2002

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to respond to the misleading response to the above-captioned petition, Docket No. 02P-0171, written by counsel for the National Abortion Federation, a trade group for abortion purveyors.

(See: <http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/dailys/02/May02/051002/8004608a.pdf>.)

The abortionists' high-priced Washington D.C. lawyers are *misrepresenting* what I wrote in my Petition -- just as their unethical client *misrepresents* the truth in its advertising about the side-effects of RU-486.

I completely refute their analysis and interpretation of what I wrote. In fact, I was asking that *misleading Third Party advertising*, such as the *NAF has engaged in*, be regulated by the FDA, or another agency which also has jurisdiction over the matter. Perhaps the esteemed counsel for the abortionists' cannot comprehend the English language very well -- but the sentence in my Petition which states this clearly infers that if the FDA believes there is another agency, in addition to itself, which may also have jurisdiction over the matter, that it refer the matter to that agency.

The twisted interpretation of my Petition -- at odds with the facts -- serves the agenda of the abortionists': *unfettered misbehavior in the marketplace, to the detriment of women's health in the United States of America.*

Additionally, the invocation of the *First Amendment* by these *fraudsters* is really something else. The Supreme Court of the U.S. has stated that speech can be subject to reasonable restrictions -- such as the prohibition of "shouting fire in a crowded theater," made last century, by one esteemed jurist.

The government can regulate speech that is harmful to the populace and serves no public good -- as does lying about the side effects of RU-486 by the National Abortion Federation, et al.


02P-0171

RC 1

The FDA must stop these hucksters, whose members are abortion clinics, the largest marketers of RU-486, according to press reports, before they induce more harm to American women who take RU-486, after having been spurred on by *their misleading advertising*.

Interestingly, the lawyers' response did not *deny* that the NAF has engaged in misleading advertising, as indicated in my Citizen's Petition. Thus, they *stipulate* that the facts are true – and are now *estopped* from saying otherwise in the future.

Very Respectfully Submitted,


Mr. Gene Koprowski
1415 North Dearborn Parkway
Chicago, Illinois 60610 USA