

A. INGREDIENT NAME:

FERRIC SULFATE HYDRATE

B. Chemical Name:

Diiron Trisulfate

C. Common Name:

Ferric Sulfate, Iron Persulfate, Iron Sesquisulfate, Iron Sulfate (2:3), Iron (3+) sulfate, Iron Tersulfate, Sulfuric Acid, Iron (3+) Salt (3:2)

D. Chemical grade or description of the strength, quality, and purity of the ingredient:

Purity: 73.0 min.

A solution responds to the test for ferric iron and sulfate.

E. Information about how the ingredient is supplied:

Grayish-white powder, or Rhombic or Rhombohedral crystals, very hygroscopic, commercial product usually contains about 20% water and is yellowish in color.

F. Information about recognition of the substance in foreign pharmacopeias:

G. Bibliography of available safety and efficacy data including peer reviewed medical literature:

Fuks, A. B., Holan, G., and Davis, J. M. Ferric sulfate versus dilute formocresol in pulpotomized primary molars: long-term follow up. *Pediatric Dentistry*, 1997; 19(5): 327-330.

Jeansonne, B. G., Boggs, W. S., and Lemon, R. R. Ferric sulfate hemostasis: effect on osseous wound healing. II. With curettage and irrigation. *Journal of Endodontics*, 1993; 19(4): 174-176.

Fei, A. L., Udin, r. d., and Johnson, R. A clinical study of ferric sulfate as a pulpotomy agent in primary teeth. *Pediatric Dentistry*, 1991; 13(6): 327-332.

1998-345431-02-26-BDL13

Shaw, D. H., Krejci, R. F., and Kalkwarf, K. L. Gingival response to retraction by ferric sulfate. *Operative Dentistry*, 1983; 8(4): 142-147.

H. Information about dosage forms used:

Solution

I. Information about strength:

J. Information about route of administration:

K. Stability data:

Store at room temperature. Protect from light.

Stable

L. Formulations:

Ferrous Sulphate.....400gm

Sulphuric Acid.....78gm

Nitric Acid, Distilled water - a significant quantity.

See file for compounding formulation

M. Miscellaneous Information:

Database: Medline <1966 to present>

<1>

Unique Identifier

97406844

Authors

Fuks AB. Holan G. Davis JM. Eidelman E.

Title

Ferric sulfate versus dilute formocresol in pulpotomized primary molars: long-term follow up.

Source

Pediatric Dentistry. 19(5):327-30, 1997 Jul-Aug.

Abstract

The aim of this study was to compare the effect of ferric sulfate (FS) to that of dilute formocresol (DFC) as pulp dressing agents in pulpotomized primary molars. Ninety-six primary molars in 72 children were treated by a conventional pulpotomy technique. Fifty-eight teeth were treated by a FS solution for 15 sec, rinsed, and covered by zinc oxide-eugenol paste (ZOE). In another 38 teeth, a cotton pellet moistened with 20% DFC was placed for 5 min, removed, and the pulp stumps were covered by ZOE paste. The teeth of both groups were sealed by a second layer of intermediate restorative material (IRM) and restored with a stainless steel crown. This is a report of the clinical and radiographic examination of 55 teeth dressed with FS and 37 teeth fixed with DFC, that have been treated 6 to 34 months previously (mean 20.5 months). Four teeth were excluded from the study due to failure of the patient to present for recall. Success rates of 92.7% for the FS, and of 83.8% for the DFC were not significantly different. Four teeth (7.2%) of the FS group and two (5.4%) of the DFC group presented internal resorption. Inter-radicular radiolucencies were observed in two teeth of the FS group and three teeth of the DFC group. The latter also presented periapical lesions. Success rates of both groups were similar to those of previous studies utilizing the traditional Buckley's formocresol.

<2>

Unique Identifier

97391944

Authors

Kim S. Rethnam S.

Title

Hemostasis in endodontic microsurgery.

Unique Identifier

93316024

Authors

Jeansonne BG. Boggs WS. Lemon RR.

Title

Ferric sulfate hemostasis: effect on osseous wound healing.
II. With curettage and irrigation.

Source

Journal of Endodontics. 19(4):174-6, 1993 Apr.

Abstract

Hemorrhage control is often a problem for the clinician during osseous surgery. Ferric sulfate is an effective hemostatic agent, but with prolonged application to an osseous defect can cause persistent inflammation and delayed healing. The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the effectiveness of ferric sulfate as a hemostatic agent and to determine its effect on healing after thorough curettage and irrigation from osseous surgical wounds. Standard size osseous defects were created bilaterally in the mandibles of rabbits. Ferric sulfate was placed in one defect until hemostasis was obtained; the contralateral defect was allowed to fill with blood and clot. After 5 min both defects were curetted and irrigated. The repair of the defects was evaluated histologically at 18 and 46 days. There were no significant differences between the ferric sulfate-treated defects and the untreated controls. When adequately curetted and irrigated from the surgical site prior to closure, ferric sulfate did not cause persistent inflammation or delay osseous repair in comparison to controls.

<6>

Unique Identifier

93316023

Authors

Lemon RR. Steele PJ. Jeansonne BG.

Title

Ferric sulfate hemostasis: effect on osseous wound healing.
Left in situ for maximum exposure.

Source

Journal of Endodontics. 19(4):170-3, 1993 Apr.

Abstract

Ferric sulfate solution is an accepted soft tissue hemostatic agent for use in dermatology and dentistry. This study was designed to test its effect on osseous healing when used during surgery to control osseous hemorrhage. Standardized osseous defects were created bilaterally in the naturally edentulous zone in rabbit mandibles. The

control site was sutured immediately after clot formation in the defect. The contralateral experimental site received ferric sulfate application until complete hemostasis was achieved. The defect was filled with ferric sulfate solution to maximize any effect on healing and then closed with sutures. The experimental and control specimens were examined histologically after 18 and 46 days and scored for healing. Statistical analysis by Wilcoxon signed rank test showed significant adverse effects on osseous healing when ferric sulfate solution was left in situ.

<7>

Unique Identifier

93181319

Authors

Fei AL. Udin RD. Johnson R.

Title

A clinical study of ferric sulfate as a pulpotomy agent in primary teeth.

Source

Pediatric Dentistry. 13(6):327-32, 1991 Nov-Dec.

Abstract

Pulpotomies were performed on 83 primary molars in 62 patients. Ferric sulfate or formocresol was placed on the pulpal stumps, and teeth were followed for 3-, 6-, and 12-month periods. After the one-year follow-up, 28 of 29 teeth treated with ferric sulfate (FS group) were considered successful and 21 of 27 teeth treated with formocresol (FC group) were judged to be successful. The FS group demonstrated greater combined clinical and radiographic success than the FC group at the one-year recall ($P < 0.05$). Although the results of this study are promising, further study with longer observation periods is warranted before this technique can be recommended.

Source

Dental Clinics of North America. 41(3):499-511, 1997 Jul.

Abstract

There are numerous ways to achieve hemostasis. With the abundance of hemostatic agents available and with the introduction of new products, one has to make an objective decision. A good agent achieves hemostasis within a short period of time, is easy to manipulate, is biocompatible, does not impair or retard healing, must be relatively inexpensive, is reliable, and works best for the particular surgical procedure. With these purposes in mind, the following sequence is recommended to achieve hemostasis during endodontic microsurgery. I. Presurgical: Give 2 to 3 Carpules of 1:50,000 epinephrine local anesthetic with multiple infiltration sites throughout the entire surgical field. II. Surgical: A. Remove all granulation tissue. B. Place an epinephrine pellet into the bony crypt followed by dry sterile cotton pellets. Apply pressure for 2 minutes. Remove all the cotton pellets except the first epinephrine pellet. Continue with the surgical procedure and remove the epinephrine pellet before final irrigation and closure. C. Alternatively, calcium sulfate can be mixed into a thick putty and packed against the bone cavity. Because it is a biodegradable material, calcium sulfate can be left in situ. In fact, in large bone defects and through-and-through lesions, additional calcium sulfate can be placed to fill the entire bone cavity as a barrier material. Healing is more predictable with little chance of scar tissue formation. Calcium sulfate resorbs in 2 to 4 weeks. D. Small bleeding sites in the bone can be brushed with ferric sulfate solution. III. Postsurgical: Tissue compression before and after suturing cuts down on postsurgical bleeding and swelling. Hemostasis is imperative in endodontic microsurgery for better visualization, a good environment for placement of retrograde filling material, and a more efficient surgical procedure with less blood loss.

<3>

Unique Identifier

84144452

Authors

Shaw DH. Krejci RF. Kalkwarf KL. Wentz FM.

Title

Gingival response to retraction by ferric sulfate (Astringent).

Source

Operative Dentistry. 3(4):142-7, 1983 Autumn.

FERRIC SULFATE HYDRATE

Used in solution form to control osseous hemorrhaging and as a pulpotomy agent in teeth.

It has caused liver and kidney damage, G.I. tract irritation, shock, eye, skin and respiratory irritation.

REFERENCES

1. Fuks AB, Holan G, Davies JM, et al. Ferric sulfate versus dilute formocresol in pulpotomized primary molars: long-term follow up. *Pediatr Dent* 1997; 19(5):327-30.
2. Jeansonne BG, Boggs WS, Lemon RR. Ferric sulfate hemostasis: effect on osseous wound healing. II. With curettage and irrigation. *J Endodont* 1993; 19(4):174-6.
3. Lemon RR, Steele PJ, Jeansonne BG. Ferric sulfate hemostasis: effect on osseous wound healing. Left in situ for maximum exposure. *J Endodont* 1993; 19(4):170-3.
4. Fei AL, Udin RD, Johnson R. A clinical study of ferric sulfate as a pulpotomy agent in primary teeth. *Pediatr Dent* 1991; 13(6):327-32.
5. Shaw DH, Krejci RF, Kalkwarf KL, et al. Gingival response to retraction by ferric sulfate (Astringedent). *Operative Dent* 1983; 8(4):142-73.
6. Santin M, Motta A, Cannas M. Changes in serum conditioning profiles of glutaraldehyde-crosslinked collagen sponges after their treatment with calcification inhibitors. *J Biomed Mater Res* 1998; 40(3):434-41.
7. Kelley EE, Domann FE, Buettner GR, et al. Increased efficacy of in vitro Photofrin photosensitization of human oral squamous cell carcinoma by iron and ascorbate. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 1997; 40(3):273-7.
8. Tanglialatela M, Castaldo P, Iossa S, et al. Regulation of the human ether-a-gogo related gene (HERG) K⁺ channels by reactive oxygen species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997; 94(21):11698-703.
9. Fuks AB, Holan G, Davis JM, et al. Ferric sulfate versus dilute formocresol in pulpotomized primary molars: long-term follow up. *Pediatr Dent* 1997; 19(5):327-30.
10. Kim S, Rethnam S. Hemostasis in endodontic microsurgery. *Dent Clin North Am* 1997 41(3):499-511.
11. Knutsen BH, Skretting A, Hellebust TP, et al. Determination of 3D dose distribution from intracavitary brachytherapy of cervical cancer by MRI of irradiated ferrous sulphate gel. *Radiother Oncol* 1997; 43(2):219-27.
12. Hemmer W, Focke M, Wantke F, et al. Contact hypersensitivity to iron. *Contact Dermatitis* 1996; 34(3):219-20.
13. Land MF, Couri CC, Johnston WM. Smear layer instability caused by hemostatic agents. *J Prosthet Dent* 1996; 76(5):477-82.
14. al Momen AK, al Meshari A, al Nuaim L, et al. Intravenous iron sucrose complex in the treatment of iron deficiency anemia during pregnancy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 1996; 69(2):121-4.
15. Tinawi M, Martin KJ, Bastani B. Oral iron absorption test in patients on CAPD: comparison of ferrous sulfate and a polysaccharide ferric complex. *Nephron* 1996; 74(2):291-4.
16. Carroll JA, Dorward DW, Gherardini FC. Identification of a transferrin-binding protein from *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Infect Immun* 1996; 64(8):2911-6.

17. Rae WI, Willemse CA, Lotter MG, et al. Chelator effect on ion diffusion in ferrous-sulfate-doped gellan gel dosimeters as analyzed by MRI. *Med Phys* 1996; 23(1):15-23.
18. Silverberg DS, Iaina A, Peer G, et al. Intravenous iron supplementation for the treatment of the anemia of moderate to severe chronic renal failure patients not receiving dialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1996; 27(2):234-8.
19. Bailey JH, Fischer DE. Procedural hemostasis and sulcular fluid control: a prerequisite in modern dentistry. *Pract Periodontics Aesthet Dent* 1995; 7(4):65-75; quiz 76.
20. Murakami T, Ohtsuka A, Taguchi T. Neurons with intensely negatively charged extracellular matrix in the human visual cortex. *Arch Histol Cytol* 1994; 57(5):509-22.
21. Ranly DM. Pulpotomy therapy in primary teeth: new modalities for old rationales. *Pediatr Dent* 1994; 16(6):403-9.
22. Engelhardt R, Langkowski JH, Fischer R, et al. Liver iron quantification: studies in aqueous iron solutions, iron overloaded rats, and patients with hereditary hemochromatosis. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1994; 12(7):999-1007.
23. Land MF, Rosenstiel SF, Sandrik JL. Disturbance of the dentinal smear layer by acidic hemostatic agents. *J Prosthet Dent* 1994; 72(1):4-7.
24. Pels Rijcken TH, Davis MA, Ros PR. Intraluminal contrast agents for MR imaging of the abdomen and pelvis. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1994; 4(3):291-300.
25. Morais MB, Ferrari AA, Fisberg M. Effect of oral iron therapy on physical growth. *Rev Paul Med* 1993; 111(6):439-44.
26. Winston JL, Chen CK, Neiders ME, et al. Membrane protein expression by *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* in response to iron availability. *J Dent Res* 1993; 72(10):1366-73.
27. Robertson LJ, Campbell AT, Smith HV. Survival of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts under various environmental pressures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1992; 58(11):3494-500.
28. Hurrell RF, Reddy MB, Dassenko SA, et al. Ferrous fumarate fortification of a chocolate drink powder. *Br J Nutr* 1991; 65(2):271-83.
29. Fei AL, Udin RD, Johnson R. A clinical study of ferric sulfate as a pulpotomy agent in primary teeth. *Pediatr Dent* 1991; 13(6):327-32.
30. Allegra V, Mengozzi G, Vasile A. Iron deficiency in maintenance hemodialysis patients: assessment of diagnosis criteria and of three different iron treatments. *Nephron* 1991; 57(2):175-82.
31. Kelsey SM, Hider RC, Bloor JR, et al. Absorption of low and therapeutic doses of ferric maltol, a novel ferric iron compound, in iron deficient subjects using a single dose iron absorption test. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 1991; 16(2):117-22.