


DMB



**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Food and Drug Administration**

**21 CFR Parts 101 and 115**

[Docket Nos. 98N-1230, 96P-0418, and 97P-0197]

**Food Labeling: Safe Handling Statements: Labeling of Shell Eggs; Shell Eggs: Refrigeration of Shell Eggs Held for Retail Distribution; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

---

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting a proposed rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** of July 6, 1999 (64 FR 36492). The document proposed to require safe handling statements on labels of shell eggs that have not been treated to destroy Salmonella microorganisms. The document also proposed to require that, when held by retail establishments, shell eggs be stored and displayed under refrigeration at a temperature of 7.2°C (45°) or less.

The document was published with some inadvertent errors. This documents corrects those errors.

**DATES:** Submit written comments by *September 20, 1999* (*insert date of publication in the* **Federal Register**). *LDC*

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Geraldine A. June, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-158), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St., SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-205-5099.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FR Doc. 99-17122, beginning on page 36492 in the **Federal Register** of Tuesday, July 6, 1999, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 36497, in the second column, in the first full paragraph, the 19th line is corrected by inserting the word "eggs" before the word "into".

2. On page 36498, in the first column, in the first full paragraph, the second line is corrected by inserting the phrase “consumption of” before “SE-”.

3. On page 36507, in the first column, the last paragraph is corrected after the last line by adding “One comment suggested allowing existing safe handling labels. Several comments advocated some form of HACCP for shell eggs. Comments regarding the regulatory impact of the proposed rule are addressed below.”

**§ 101.17 [Corrected]**

4. On page 36513, in § 101.17(h)(8)(i)(E)(I), in the second column, in the second line, “(h)(8)(iv)” is corrected to read “(h)(8)(i)(A)”.


**§ 115.50 [Corrected]**

5. On page 36514, in § 115.50(e), in the second column, in the 19th line, “paragraphs (f)(2)(iii) through (f)(2)(v)” is corrected to read “paragraph (f)(2)(iii)”.

**§ 115.50 [Corrected]**

6. On page 36514, in § 115.50(f)(1)(ii)(D), in the third column, in the fourth line, “(g)(4)” is corrected to read “(f)(1)(v)”.

*JW*  
*ped*  
*Stacy's*  
*PK*  
*8-24-99*



**§ 115.50 [Corrected]**

7. On page 36514, in § 115.50(f)(1)(iv), in the third column, in the forth line, “(g)(1)” is corrected to read “(f)(1)(i)”.

Dated: AUG 19 1999  
August 19, 1999



William K. Hubbard

Senior Associate Commissioner for Policy, Planning and Legislation

[FR Doc. 99-???? Filed ??-??-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL

