

# Guidance for Industry

## **Disclosing Information Provided to Advisory Committees in Connection with Open Advisory Committee Meetings Related to the Testing or Approval of Biologic Products and Convened by the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research**

### *DRAFT GUIDANCE*

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted by the date provided in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

Additional copies of this draft guidance document are available from the Office of Communication, Training and Manufacturers Assistance (HFM-40), 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448, or by calling 1-800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800, or from the Internet at <http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm>

For questions on the content of the draft document contact Mark A. Elengold at 301-827-0372.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)  
February 2001**

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

**Table of Contents**

[Note: Page numbering may vary for documents distributed electronically.]

<b>I.</b>	<b>PURPOSE</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>APPLICABILITY OF THE DISCLOSURE PROCEDURES DESCRIBED IN THIS GUIDANCE</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>ORGANIZATION OF SPONSOR SUBMISSIONS TO ADVISORY COMMITTEES</b> .....	<b>4</b>
	<b>A. Fully Releasable Sponsor Submissions</b> .....	<b>4</b>
	<b>B. Sponsor Submissions That Contain Material Claimed to be Exempt From Disclosure</b> .....	<b>4</b>
	<b>C. What is Typically Disclosable and What is Typically Exempt from Disclosure?</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>TIMING OF SPONSOR'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE SUBMISSIONS AND CBER REVIEW</b> .....	<b>7</b>
	<b>A. Fully Releasable Sponsor Submissions</b> .....	<b>7</b>
	<b>B. Sponsor Submissions That Contain Material Designated by the Sponsor as Exempt From Disclosure (Marketing Application is Under Standard Review)</b> .....	<b>9</b>
	<b>C. Sponsor Submissions That Contain Material Designated by the Sponsor as Exempt From Disclosure (Effect on the Review Clock if Marketing Application is Under Priority Review)</b> .....	<b>11</b>

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

## **GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY**

### **Disclosing Information Provided to Advisory Committees in Connection with Open Advisory Committee Meetings Related to the Testing or Approval of Biologic Products and Convened by the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research**

*This guidance document represents the agency's current thinking on the implementation by the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) of the disclosure provisions of the FACA. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.*

#### **I. PURPOSE**

This document is intended to provide guidance to the sponsors of applications that are the subjects of open advisory committee meetings convened by the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) beginning June 1, 2001.<sup>1</sup> It describes the procedures CBER intends to follow when making publicly available the information provided to advisory committee members in connection with such meetings. The guidance also describes how a sponsor should prepare its submissions to an advisory committee.

The procedures described in this guidance are intended to make the process of complying with the disclosure requirements of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (the FACA) (5 U.S.C. App. 2) as efficient as possible. These procedures address: (1) the content and organization of a sponsor submission for an advisory committee; (2) the timing of the sponsor submission to CBER; and (3) the process by which CBER will review and redact the sponsor submission and the related CBER submission.

---

<sup>1</sup> This guidance applies to the following FDA advisory committees administered by CBER: Allergenic Products, Biological Response Modifiers, Blood Products, Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies, Vaccine and Related Products Advisory Committee. This guidance will also apply to advisory committees that are chartered in the future and convened by CBER.

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

## II. BACKGROUND

On November 30, 1999 (64 FR 66920), the Center for Drugs Evaluation and Research (CDER) issued a guidance document on the public disclosure of materials provided to advisory committees in connection with open advisory committee meetings convened by CDER on or after January 1, 2000 (*Disclosure of Materials Provided to Advisory Committees in Connection with Open Advisory Committee Meetings Convened by the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Beginning on January 1, 2000*<sup>2</sup>) (the disclosure policy guidance). On December 22, 1999 (64 FR71794), CDER issued further draft guidance for industry describing the procedures CDER would follow for making information provided to advisory committees publicly available and describing how sponsors should prepare submissions to advisory committees (*Disclosure of Information Provided to Advisory Committees in Connection with Open Advisory Committee Meetings Related to the Testing or Approval of New Drugs and Convened by the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Beginning on January 1, 2000*<sup>2</sup>). In the November 30, 1999 notice, CDER provided the following interpretation of the agency's responsibilities under the FACA and of FDA's regulations governing disclosure of information concerning new drug applications in 21 CFR 314.430:

FDA construes the FACA to require that, with respect to any open advisory committee meeting convened pursuant to the FACA, whenever practicable and subject to any applicable exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act (the FOIA) (5 U.S.C. § 552), those materials that are provided to the members of an advisory committee in connection with that meeting must be made available for public inspection and copying before or at the time of the advisory committee meeting. FDA interprets § 314.430 to be consistent with the FACA and therefore will exercise its discretion under § 314.430(d)(1) in a manner consistent with the FACA and the FOIA as described in the previous sentence to make available for public inspection and copying materials provided to the members of an advisory committee in connection with open advisory committee meetings convened by CDER, beginning on January 1, 2000.

CDER will make advisory committee materials available consistent with these principles set forth above and the regulations governing disclosure of information concerning biologic license applications at 21 CFR 601.51. FDA interprets 21 CFR 601.51 to be consistent with the FACA and therefore will exercise its discretion under 21 CFR 601.51(d)(1) in a manner consistent with FACA and the FOIA to make available for public inspection and copying materials provided to members of an advisory committee in connection with open advisory committee meetings

---

<sup>2</sup> This document is available from Drug Information Branch (HFD-210), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), 5600 Fishes Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, (Tel) 301-827-4573, <http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm>

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

convened by CBER beginning June 1, 2001. CBER has developed procedures for ensuring that materials that are provided to advisory committees in connection with open advisory committee meetings convened by CBER beginning June 1, 2001, will be made publicly available whenever practicable, before or at the meeting. These procedures should also ensure that those materials that are exempt from disclosure under the FOIA will not be made publicly available. These procedures are designed to minimize the time and resources spent reviewing the materials in an advisory committee submission, determining which materials are exempt from disclosure under the FOIA, and redacting such materials.

It is necessary to minimize CBER consultation and redaction time because the more time the Agency needs to redact materials in advance of an advisory committee meeting, the earlier in the application review process the sponsor must prepare its background package for the advisory committee. If the preparation of the advisory committee package occurs too early in the review process, the package may not adequately address the issues that will be the subject of the advisory committee meeting, because those issues will not yet have crystallized.

**III. APPLICABILITY OF THE DISCLOSURE PROCEDURES DESCRIBED IN THIS GUIDANCE**

Although many open advisory committee meetings convened by CBER concern biological license applications (BLAs) and BLA supplements, a few may concern new drug applications (NDAs), NDA supplements, abbreviated new drug application (ANDA), or premarket approval applications (PMAs) and PMA supplements. The policy and procedures described in this guidance apply to the portions of all open advisory committee meetings convened by CBER beginning June 1, 2001, where the meeting addresses a BLA, BLA supplement, or a NDA, NDA supplement or an ANDA reviewed by CBER, including advisory committee meetings regarding post approval monitoring of a biologic product.

If a BLA, BLA supplement, or a NDA, NDA supplement, or ANDA reviewed by CBER, is being discussed at an advisory committee meeting convened by CDER, including postapproval monitoring of the drug product, that application will be subject to the disclosure procedures described in this guidance document. However, sponsor submissions and the CBER background package should be sent to the executive secretary of the advisory committee in the CDER Advisors and Consultants Staff (ACS).

This guidance does not pertain to any advisory committee discussions of medical devices regulated under premarket approval applications (PMAs) or §510(k) authorities under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. However, if a device is being discussed in unison with a BLA (for example, a combination product consisting of both a biologic and a device), that device will be subject to these disclosure procedures to the extent allowed under applicable law.

***Draft – Not for Implementation***

The procedures outlined in this guidance do not apply to submissions in connection with open advisory committee meetings that do not concern the approval or testing of products (the type of meetings that involve, for example, general policy/guidance issues) because the submissions for such meetings do not generally involve as much redaction as submissions for meetings on unapproved products or unapproved new indications for approved products. The procedures in this guidance also do not apply to: (1) closed advisory committee meetings; and (2) open advisory committee meetings convened solely by components of FDA other than CBER, except as described in this section.

**IV. ORGANIZATION OF SPONSOR SUBMISSIONS TO ADVISORY COMMITTEES**

**A. Fully Releasable Sponsor Submissions**

To shorten the process of complying with the FACA's disclosure requirements, sponsors are strongly encouraged to submit advisory committee packages that may be publicly disclosed in their entirety (i.e., that do not contain any information that the sponsor asserts is exempt from disclosure under the FOIA because it is trade secret or confidential commercial information, or because it is information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, for example by including names or other information that would personally identify individual subjects). Sponsors are also encouraged to submit an electronic version of the package. A submission that is fully releasable (whether hard copy or electronic) should be clearly marked **"AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE WITHOUT REDACTION"** in uppercase, bolded script. Because such a submission will not require agency redaction for trade secret and confidential commercial information, it may be submitted to CBER closer in time to the advisory committee meeting than a package that requires redaction (see Section V.). This will give the sponsor more time to prepare the submission.

**B. Sponsor Submissions That Contain Material Claimed to be Exempt From Disclosure**

If the sponsor believes that it is necessary to include material in an advisory committee submission that it believes is exempt from disclosure under the FOIA, the sponsor should:

1. Segregate the material it believes is exempt from the disclosable material, generally by placing it in a separate portion of the briefing package. Where that is not possible, the material that the sponsor believes is exempt should be designated by a distinct typeface.

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

2. Clearly designate the material that the sponsor believes is exempt.
3. For each document or portion of a document that the sponsor believes is exempt from disclosure, provide a detailed justification explaining (a) why the information is necessary to the advisory committee's consideration of the issues before it, and (b) why the sponsor believes the information is exempt from disclosure under the FOIA.

Sponsors are also encouraged to submit an electronic version of the package. Following these steps will reduce the time CBER must spend determining the exempt status of the materials, consulting with the sponsor, and redacting any such exempt material.

**C. What is Typically Disclosable and What is Typically Exempt from Disclosure?**

To assist a sponsor in determining which materials in its advisory committee package are likely to be considered disclosable under the FOIA, CBER is providing guidance on certain materials that it is unlikely to consider confidential commercial or trade secret information exempt from disclosure under Exemption 4 of the FOIA.

In general, summaries of safety and effectiveness data will be disclosed because such summaries do not generally constitute confidential commercial information. Although some of the other materials from an application listed below might be considered confidential commercial information at earlier stages of the biologic product development process, CBER believes that it is appropriate to make them available under § 601.51(d)(1) at the time of an advisory committee meeting if they are germane to the issues to be discussed at the meeting. In general, these materials are often necessary to permit consideration of the safety and effectiveness of an unapproved application before an advisory committee and are routinely discussed by the advisory committee and the sponsor at an open advisory committee meeting. Sponsors of applications generally know that when their unapproved applications go before an open advisory committee, the information contained in the materials listed below will often be the subject of open discussion.

Ordinarily, the following materials in advisory committee packages will be considered disclosable, unless they contain information that the sponsor demonstrates will cause substantial competitive harm if disclosed:

1. Summary tables of safety and effectiveness data
2. Summaries of clinical or non-clinical safety or effectiveness data

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

3. Summaries of suspected adverse drug reaction data
4. Statistical summaries of safety and effectiveness data
5. Clinical or preclinical protocols
6. Copies of slides to be presented by the sponsor at the advisory committee meeting
7. Names of principal investigators
8. Proposed INDICATIONS FOR USAGE, DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION, and safety sections of product labeling
9. Any other information that has been previously publicly disclosed by the sponsor

Ordinarily, the following materials in advisory committee packages will be considered trade secret or confidential commercial information that is exempt from disclosure under the FOIA:

1. Product formulation and other chemistry, manufacturing, and controls (CMC) information
2. Full reports of raw clinical or preclinical data

For the purposes of this guidance, CBER considers “raw data” to be a complete data set of case report forms, case report tabulations, or line listings. Data that summarize individual or multiple subject outcomes/results are considered summaries. Summaries may include examples of specific findings.

These lists are neither exhaustive nor absolute and should be considered broad guidance to aid sponsors in their submissions and CBER in its redaction of advisory committee briefing packages.

Regardless of whether a sponsor submits a package that it designates as fully releasable, CBER cautions that submissions should include only information that accurately reports data that support the application and are directly relevant to the issues being discussed at the meeting. Statements or suggestions that could be viewed as misleading or promotional (e.g., statements that go beyond the study conclusions or speculate about clinical or commercial implications not supported by the data or not the subject of the advisory committee meeting) are inappropriate for inclusion in the package. In an effort

***Draft – Not for Implementation***

to avoid any misunderstanding that CBER has endorsed the contents of a sponsor package by posting it on the agency's website (see Section V.), the following notice will accompany each set of briefing materials placed on the FDA website: "*The statements contained in this document are those of the product's sponsor, not FDA, and FDA does not necessarily agree with the sponsor's statements. FDA has not made a final determination about the safety or effectiveness of the product described in this document.*" CBER also reserves the right to take appropriate action to address any information that may be promotional or misleading, including posting a correction on the agency website.

**V. TIMING OF SPONSOR'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE SUBMISSIONS AND CBER REVIEW**

CBER has developed the following timelines for submission and redaction of materials provided to advisory committee members in connection with open advisory committee meetings convened by CBER to discuss the testing of products or to discuss unapproved applications (including efficacy supplements to approved applications) to market products.

CBER notes that the timelines do not provide for formal predisclosure notification of sponsors pursuant to 21 CFR 20.61(e) and (f). The predisclosure notification requirements in that section apply only where the disclosure is to be made in response to a specific request for agency records. The disclosures contemplated here are not made in response to such a request, but to comply with the FACA.

This guidance document constitutes public notice under 21 CFR 14.35(d)(2) that a sponsor package should be submitted within the time frames listed below if it is to be considered by an advisory committee convened by CBER.<sup>3</sup> If a submission from a sponsor is not received by CBER within the time frames listed below, it will not be forwarded to the committee and will not be considered by the committee. In the time frames, *business day* means a day that FDA is officially open for business.

**A. Fully Releasable Sponsor Submissions**

1. By close of business (COB) 19 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the sponsor should submit its background package to the CBER Scientific Advisors and Consultants Staff (SACS).
2. By COB 19 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the

---

<sup>3</sup> See footnote 1 for a list of advisory committees convened by CBER.

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

CBER review division(s) should submit its background package to SACS.

3. By COB 18 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, SACS will send the sponsor package to the committee members by overnight mail, the CBER review division(s), and to the CBER Access Litigation and Freedom of Information (ALFOI) staff for redaction review.
4. By COB 18 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, SACS will send the complete (i.e., unredacted) CBER background package to the committee members by overnight mail and to the ALFOI staff for redaction review.
5. By COB 15 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the ALFOI staff will submit to SACS a redacted version (if any) of the CBER background package and the sponsor background package.
6. By COB 14 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, SACS will send to the sponsor by overnight mail a copy of the redacted version of the CBER background package and the sponsor background package.
7. By COB 9 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, final discussions with the sponsor on redaction of exempt materials from the CBER package will be completed.
8. By COB 7 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, CBER will fax and send to the sponsor by overnight mail a letter stating CBER's final decision on redaction of material from the CBER package.
9. By COB 7 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the sponsor and CBER's redacted packages will be submitted by CBER to the Dockets Management Branch for preparation for posting on the FDA website.
10. One (1) business day prior to the advisory committee meeting (24 hours prior to meeting), FDA will post on its website the sponsor package and CBER's redacted package. If FDA is unable to post the package on its website prior to the meeting, the two packages will be made publicly available at the location of the advisory committee meeting, and the two packages will be posted on the agency website after the meeting. Sponsors are encouraged to bring to the meeting, a reasonable number of hard copies of the slides they will be presenting for distribution to the committee and the public.

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

**B. Sponsor Submissions That Contain Material Designated by the Sponsor as Exempt From Disclosure (Marketing Application is Under Standard Review)**

1. By COB 45 business days before the advisory committee meeting, the sponsor should submit to SACS two versions of its background package: a complete (unredacted) version and a redacted version. In the complete version, the material the sponsor believes to be exempt from disclosure should be segregated and clearly marked and should be accompanied by the justification described in Section IV. above for each document or portion of a document the sponsor asserts is exempt. In the redacted version, the material that the sponsor believes is exempt should be deleted. Three copies of each version of the background package should be submitted to SACS.
2. By COB 44 business days before the advisory committee meeting, SACS will send one copy of the sponsor's submission to the ALFOI staff and one copy to the appropriate review division(s).
3. By COB 32 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, CBER will fax and send to the sponsor a letter stating which materials it believes should be redacted from the sponsor package.
4. By COB 27 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, final discussions with the sponsor on redaction of materials from the sponsor package will be completed.
5. By COB 25 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, CBER will fax and send to the sponsor by overnight mail a letter stating CBER's final position on redaction of material from the sponsor package. The sponsor then has 5 business days in which to decide whether to remove any materials that CBER has determined will not be redacted if the background package is ultimately submitted for committee review and to reformat the submission accordingly. No new materials for possible redaction may be added to the package during this period.
6. By COB 19 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the sponsor's complete and redacted final package FOR ADVISORY COMMITTEE REVIEW should be submitted to SACS. It should be made clear to CBER what materials that were originally in the package have been removed, if any. The sponsor should submit the unredacted package and the redacted package to SACS.

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

7. By COB 19 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the CBER review division should submit its background package to CBER SACS.
8. By COB 18 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, SACS will send the sponsor's final unredacted background package to the committee members by overnight mail and to the CBER review division(s).
9. By COB 18 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, SACS will send the complete (i.e., unredacted) CBER background package to the committee members by overnight mail and to the ALFOI staff for redaction review.
10. By COB 15 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the ALFOI staff will submit to SACS a redacted version (if any) of the CBER background package.
11. By COB 14 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, CBER will send to the sponsor by overnight mail a copy of the redacted version of the CBER background package.
12. By COB 9 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, final discussions with the sponsor on redaction of exempt materials from the CBER package will be completed.
13. By COB 7 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, CBER will fax and send by overnight mail a letter stating CBER's final decision on redaction of material from the CBER package.
14. By COB 7 business days prior to the advisory committee meeting, the final redacted sponsor package and CBER's redacted package will be submitted by CBER to the Dockets Management Branch for preparation for posting on the FDA website.
15. One (1) business day prior to the advisory committee meeting (24 hours prior to meeting), FDA will post on its website the sponsor's redacted package and CBER's redacted package. If not posted, the two packages will be made publicly available at the time of the advisory committee meeting. Sponsors are encouraged to bring to the meeting, for public distribution, a reasonable number of hard copies of the slides they will be

*Draft – Not for Implementation*

presenting.

**C. Sponsor Submissions That Contain Material Designated by the Sponsor as Exempt From Disclosure (Effect on the Review Clock if Marketing Application is Under Priority Review)**

When the product being discussed at an advisory committee meeting covered by this guidance is a product that is the subject of a marketing application that is under priority review by CBER, the process for handling a sponsor package that the sponsor asserts contains materials to be redacted will be handled within the same time frames and expectations described in Section V., B of this guidance. To satisfy the agency's statutory obligations under the FACA and the FOIA, CBER may need to delay the advisory committee meeting and, therefore, miss the Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) performance goal of acting on the priority application within 6 months of receipt.